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## City’s Historical Use of Groundwater

- Prior to 1959, City relied primarily on groundwater for water supply
- After 1959, City relied almost exclusively on purchased water from SCWA for water supply
- In July 2005, City converted Farmers Lane Wells from emergency to active status
- City began using Farmers Lane Wells in 2007 to provide supplemental supply during summer months


OUR FUTURE IN EVERY DROP

## Groundwater Master Plan

- 1998 - City identified need to develop additional 8.7 million gallons per day (mgd) of emergency groundwater supply
- Numerous Meetings with BPU to develop Master Plan, with adoption in 2013
- Provide a strategic road map for the City regarding how groundwater resources could be most effectively used to meet the needs of the City's existing and future customers
- Expand City's understanding of GW resources
- Focuses on need for emergency supply wells
- Future production not evaluated due to lack of data from the USGS Study
- Update Groundwater Master Plan every 5 years


## Emergency GW Analysis Assumptions

## EMERGENCY SCENARIOS

- Full Loss of Agency Supply
- Partial Loss of Agency Supply


## OUTAGE DURATIONS

- Short-term (2 days)
- Long-term (14 days)


## FACILITY STATUS

- All Tanks Half Full
- Pump Stations Operational
- Pipelines Operational
- Existing City Wells Operational
- New Emergency Wells Produce 700 gpm (equivalent to 1 mgd )


## DEMAND CONDITIONS

- Existing \& Buildout Conditions
- Buildout Demand based on uniform growth in City
- Health \& Safety


## LEVEL OF SERVICE

- Service to all pressure zones to extent possible
- Provide supply to key pump stations or other key locations within City for distribution to customers
- Provide supply equivalent to $1 / 2$ of Winter water use


Additional Groundwater Need (per GW Master Plan)


## Required New Emergency Wells (per GW Master Plan)

- Based on analysis:
- Existing Demands - 6 to 7 emergency wells
- Buildout Demands - 11 to 12 emergency wells
- Each new emergency well assumed to produce $700 \mathrm{gpm}(1 \mathrm{mgd})$


## Emergency Groundwater Program Implementation

- Conducted Test Well siting studies
- Rigorous Selection Criteria
- Appropriate relative to Fault Traces, Monitoring, Geology, Recent GW Program Results
- 50 Feet From Sewers
- 1,000 Feet From Known Toxic Release Sites
- Half Acre Parcel Size With Good Access
- Preferably City-Owned Parcels
- Water and Sanitary Sewer Access and Capacity
- Generally Feasible For Drilling Operations OUR FUTURE IN EVERY DROP


## Test Boring Sites



## Implementation Challenges

- Difficulty with property acquisition/negotiating site access
- Project Team explored parallel approaches:
- Continue to pursue new well sites
- Convert existing test wells
- Protect City's existing emergency supply wells
- Look for opportunities for agreements with others for emergency GW supplies

OUR FUTURE IN EVERY DROP

## Program Findings To-date

- Well yields in the $1,000-1,200 \mathrm{gpm}$ range, like Farmers Lane wells, are the exception
- More typical well yields 350 - 450 gpm
- Instead of 10-12 emergency wells, may need 20 wells at buildout located throughout the City


## Emergency Groundwater Program

- In 2015/16 worked with BPU Ad Hoc and BPU to refocus program and provide new direction:
- Convert test borings to emergency wells
- Continue to pursue property acquisition
- Continue to pursue additional test borings
- Partnership opportunities


## What Has Changed? <br> Costs

- Total expenditures from inception - \$10.7 M
- Test borings and related expenses
- Conversion of Farmers Lane to Production
- Other expenses
- 20 wells $=\$ 60 \mathrm{M}$
- \$2.5 - \$3M per emergency well
- Competing priorities for limited financial resources


## What Has Changed? Risk Profile

- SC Water Agency has increased seismic reliability of Aqueducts
- SC Water Agency, along with the Retailers, have initiated a Regional Water Supply Resiliency Study


## Status of Potential New Wells

- A Place to Play
- Design Complete
- Arts in Public Places Committee
- Master Plan Amendment
- Madrone School
- No longer interested in working with City
- Other Park sites
- In discussion



## Additional Opportunities for New Wells

- Bennett Valley Golf Course
- Exploring opportunities
- Highway Site
- Oakmont Treatment Plant
- Site constraints
- 618 Speers Road
- Concerns/questions from residents
- 3 community meetings held


# Current Emergency Supply All existing City infrastructure 

- Farmers Lane Well - Upgrades completed
- Carley and Peter Springs - Planned upgrades
- Leete Well - Evaluation for rehabilitation
- Collectively - will provide approximately 15 gal/day/person for emergency supply


## Next Steps

- BPU Study Session in 2019, including:
- Re-consider Level-of-Service for Emergency Water Supply

1. FEMA/EPA/Red Cross recommendation ${ }^{1}=1(0.5-5) \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{p}$
2. Utilization of City's existing wells $=12-15 \mathrm{gpd} / \mathrm{p}$
3. Current GW Master Plan $1 / 2$ Winter water use $=65$ gpd/p

- Regional partnering for additional emergency GW supplies
- Cities (Rohnert Park)
- Active Ag Wells


## Questions?

