City of Santa Rosa CHOICE Grant Program

RFQ Rating Form
Cycle XI: July 1, 2022 – December 31, 2024

Community Helping Our Indispensable Children Excel

Funding Priority Areas:	
CATEGORY ONE:	CATEGORY TWO:
☐ School Readiness	☐ School Engagement/Truancy Prevention
CATEGORY THREE:	CATEGORY FOUR:
\square Street Outreach, Intervention, & Mediation	☐ Workforce Development
The following evaluation rating form has been de analyzing the City of Santa Rosa, CHOICE Grant Progin the space provided, with the awarded points not each question. Scores of 70% or more will deem addesignated Funding Priority Area(s).	gram Cycle XI RFQ applications. Enter a score to exceed the maximum-allowable points for
When scoring for each Section, please use the follo the responsiveness and/or level of evidence to provenea(s) and identified Target Population:	
5 – Response articulates strong understanding of that supports the answer.	of the question and provides ample evidence
4 – Response articulates <u>clear</u> understanding of that supports the answer.	the question and provides ample evidence
3 – Response articulates <u>adequate</u> understandir that supports the answer.	ng of the question and provides ample evidence
2 – Response articulates <u>some</u> understanding of that supports the answer.	the question and provides ample evidence
1 – Response articulates <u>vague</u> understanding o that supports the answer.	f the question and provides ample evidence
0 – Response articulates <u>no</u> understanding of th	e question and provides ample evidence that

supports the answer.

AGENCY NAME:	
,	Reviewer
PROGRAM NAME:	Number

SECTION 1: PROGRAM NARRATIVE (recommended max 11 pages)

(35 points max)

	Questions/Criteria	Max Pts	Pts Award
1	The extent to which the agency and proposed program are in alignment with the 2017-2022 Strategic Plan, 2016 Community Safety Scorecard, 2021 Community Needs Assessment, Measure O Ordinance, and selected Funding Priority Area(s).	5	
2	The extent to which the agency clearly defines and describes how the program uses a strength-based, youth developmental approach that will effectively support the needs of the Target Population.	5	
3	The extent to which the agency demonstrates a wraparound, case management strategy that will effectively support the needs of the Target Population. Is the identified collaborative approach appropriate and effective in the delivery of services for the identified Target Population?	5	
4	The extent to which the program design (i.e. curriculum, activities, hours of operation, etc.) and facility(s) will effectively respond to the needs of the Target Population and specified age range. Did the agency consider learning styles, capacities, and interests of participants, etc., as well as culturally appropriate methodologies?	5	
5	The extent to which the program structure, key activities, and services to be undertaken, where they take place, and the number of participants projected to serve for each of the activities in a session for a twelve-month program are reasonable for the identified Target Population.	5	
6	The extent to which the location(s) of the program are accessible for the Target Population.	5	
7	The extent to which the agency demonstrates a realistic method of recruitment for the Target Population. Is it a sustainable and culturally appropriate approach that will encourage retention of participants?	5	
	Total	35	

SECTION 2: STAFF QUALIFICATIONS (recommended max 2 pages) (10 points max)

	Questions/Criteria	Max Pts	Pts Award
1	The extent to which the agency describes and demonstrates its organizational capacity to implement the proposed services including staffing, experience, education, training, certification, and resources.	5	
2	The extent to which the agency demonstrates that staff operates under a trauma informed and culturally appropriate lens necessary to deliver effective services to the Target Population.	5	
	Total	10	

SECTION 3: PAST PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION (recommended max 2 pages) (15 points max)

	Questions/Criteria	Max Pts	Pts Award
1	The extent to which the agency defines realistic measures of success for the program and	Г	
1	identified Target Population.	,	
	The extent to which the agency clearly links the short and long-term outcomes of the		
2	proposed program with the Target Population, Positive Youth Justice Model, and Results-	5	
	Based Accountability. Are the measurement methods realistic?		
	The extent to which the agency demonstrates that they have outcome measurements to		
3	date and the degree to which they have identified, addressed, and implemented	5	
	recommendations for improvement of the program.		
	Total	15	

Grand Total	Percentage
60	100%
45	75%
30	50%

	GRAND TOTAL (Max 60 pts)	
SECTIO	N 3: Past Performance and Evaluation	
SECTIO	N 2: Staff Qualifications	
SECTIO	N 1: Program Narrative	

AGENCY NAME:		Reviewer	
PROGRAM NAME:			
FUNDING CATEGORY AND PRIORITY AREA(S)			
CATEGORY ONE:	<u>CATEGORY TWO</u> :		
☐ School Readiness ☐ School Engagement/Truancy		revention	
TEGORY THREE: <u>CATEGORY FOUR</u> :			
\square Street Outreach, Intervention, & Mediation	☐ Workforce Development		
Scores of 70% or more will deem an agency qua Funding Category and Priority Area(s). Please circle		gnated	
1. Final Score:			
2. Do you hereby certify that this agency has met thereby deeming them qualified for the Fund above for Cycle XI?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
YES or NO			
If no, please indicate why:			
3. Has the agency demonstrated a realistic budget month timeline?	and budget narrative for a projected t	welve-	
YES or NO			
If no, please indicate why:			
4. Is this proposal recommended for full, partial, or	no funding?		
FULL PARTIAL NO FUND	ING		
If Partial Funding is recommended, please indica	te how much:		
Please indicate the reason for your recommendation:			