## Santa Rosa Water Agriculture Recycled Water Rate Update

December 6, 2018



## Agenda

- Key Pricing Considerations
- Agricultural Working Group discussions
  - Historical reuse
  - Pricing approaches
- Working group input
- Desired outcomes
- Proposed rate structure
- Continuing process



## Key Pricing Considerations


- City needs to maintain disposal capacity through agricultural reuse
- Certainty/predictability has value
- Current pricing and terms are inconsistent
- User input for sustainability is vital
- Implementation of new pricing to provide time for planning



# Agricultural User Group


- Developed to solicit input, to provide a forum for understanding the needs of the City and users, and to disseminate information to all agricultural users
  - 6 agricultural recycled water users
  - Water staff
  - Reed Group

### Historical Ag & Urban Reuse





#### Certainty vs. Availability of Agricultural Recycled Water Supply





### Agricultural Water Reuse Pricing Options

#### **Options**

- Standard uniform rate for interruptible water supply
- 2-tier pricing
  - Inclining block
  - Declining block
- Fixed allocation pricing
- Temporary urgency disposal incentives
- Fixed base charges
- Non-standard rates
  - Frost protection
  - Off-season storage
  - Non-interruptible



OUR FUTURE IN EVERY DROP

#### **Considerations**

- Simplicity and understandability
- Administrative ease
- Fairness and equity
- Assist with meeting disposal objectives
- Improved flexibility and predictability for subregional operations
- Improved flexibility and predictability for users
- Minimize disruption of service for users
- Recognition of market for water

## Input from Ag User Group

- Agricultural users have been long-term partners with the City, but don't always feel appreciated
- Regular communication regarding the availability of water during the irrigation season is important
  - Surprises and restrictions are disruptive
- A simple rate structure/approach is preferred
- Reliable water availability is more important than total volume of supply
- Agricultural users are concerned about rising costs
  - Its easier to pay for water at the end of the irrigation season than during it
- At times, water quality is a concern
- Pumps and meters would be costly/burdensome for users to maintain

# **Desired Outcomes**

- Concurrence on a rate structure(s)
- Development of a rate schedule(s)
- Consistent language in all agricultural interruptible water service contracts
- Adopted policy on agricultural recycled water pricing
- Coordinated implementation of land lease and agricultural user agreements

## Proposed Rate Structure

- Uniform
- Phased Implementation
- Temporary Urgency Disposal Considerations



## Standard Uniform Rate for Interruptible Water Supply

- Simple to understand and administer
- Proposed phased implementation
  - 2020 \$25.00/AF
  - 2021 \$37.50/AF
  - 2022 \$50.00/AF



#### Temporary Urgency Disposal Incentives

- Director declares temporary urgency disposal need
- Agricultural water rate is \$0/AF for declared urgency period



# Continuing Process

- Standard agreement language
- Improve communications
- Allocation refinement
- Frost protection
  - Discussions on fee
- Onsite equipment
  - City to retain maintenance of meters and pumps

## Next Steps

- Agreement development
- Ag User Meetings
- BPU Ad Hoc Meetings
- Presentation of recommendations to BPU
  - Rate schedule
  - Agreements



#### **Discussion / Comments**

