

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

**Santa Rosa Compl Sts Road Diet on Transit Corridor**

04-SON-0-SRO		STPL – 5028 (076)	
Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M.		E.A/Project No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.	
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b> (Briefly describe project including need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary.)			
The City of Santa Rosa plans to rehabilitate roadway on the following two city streets: West 3d street from 1,100 feet west of N Dutton Avenue to 200 feet east of Santa Rosa Creek Bridge deck, Mendocino Avenue from 45 feet south of Steele Lane to Chanate Road. Following the removal and replacement of failed pavement, and asphalt-concrete overlay pedestrian ramps will upgrade to ADA-compliant standards, and lanes will be reconfigured to accommodate Class II bicycle lanes. Bicycle signage will be installed, primarily on existing poles, and an asphalt-concrete dike will be constructed. Manholes and water valves boxes will be adjusted to the finished grade, and the pavement will be re-striped.			
<b>CEQA COMPLIANCE</b> (for State Projects only)			
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.):			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.</li> <li>• There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.</li> <li>• There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.</li> <li>• This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.</li> <li>• This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").</li> <li>• This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.</li> </ul>			
<b>CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION</b> (Check one)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency		<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA	
<input type="checkbox"/> Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)			
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Categorical Exempt. Class (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Categorical Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].)]			
_____ Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief		_____ Print Name: Project Manager	
_____ Signature	_____ Date	_____ Signature	_____ Date
<b>NEPA COMPLIANCE</b>			
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and</li> <li>• has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).</li> </ul>			
<b>CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION</b> (Check one)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(26)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)			
<input type="checkbox"/> Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State			
<input type="checkbox"/> 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.			
Thomas Holstein		Chien S. Wu	
_____ Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief		_____ Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	
_____ Signature	_____ Date	_____ Signature	_____ Date
Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 07/27/16		Date of ECR or equivalent : None	

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).