For Council Meeting of: April 16, 2013

CITY OF SANTA ROSA CITY COUNCIL

TO: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

SUBJECT: PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA

CITIES BYLAWS

STAFF PRESENTER: KATHLEEN MILLISON, CITY MANAGER

AGENDA ACTION: MOTION

ISSUE

Should the Council, by motion, approve two amendments to the League of California Cities Bylaws as recommended by the League's Board of Directors and authorize the City Manager to sign and return the Ballot on Bylaws Amendments?

BACKGROUND

- 1. On March 7, 2013, the City received a letter from the Executive Director of the League of California Cities requesting cities consider two amendments to the League's Bylaws as recommended by the League's Board of Directors.
- 2. The first proposed amendment would require the concurrence of at least five cities or city officials from at least five cities to submit a proposed resolution to the League for consideration by the General Assembly.
- 3. The second proposed amendment would require a two-thirds vote, rather than a simple majority, of the League's Board of Directors (Board) before the League can take a position on a statewide ballot measure. The Board comprises the following:
 - a. President, first vice president, second vice president, and immediate past president
 - b. One director elected by each of the sixteen regional divisions;
 - c. One director elected by each of the eleven functional departments;
 - d. Ten directors at large;
 - e. One director designated by each of the ten largest cities in California; and
 - f. Any California city officials serving on the National League of Cities board of directors.
- 4. On April 9, 2013, the Council considered the Bylaws Amendments and requested additional information regarding the basis for the proposed

amendment that would require a two-thirds vote of the League Board before the League can take a position on a statewide ballot measure.

ANALYSIS

- The Board's stated purpose for the first proposed amendment is to encourage members to seek concurrence of other cities and city officials that the subject of a proposed resolution is a substantial one and of broad interest and importance to cities.
- 2. The Board's stated purpose for the second proposed amendment is to ensure that the Board represents a broad consensus of the Directors when it considers a position on statewide ballot measures, which are often controversial in nature.
- 3. Following the April 9th City Council meeting, staff contacted Patrick Whitnell, the League's General Counsel, for additional information regarding the basis for the second proposed Bylaws Amendment. Mr. Whitnell indicated the amendment did not arise from any particular statewide ballot measure but is intended to address a general concern regarding the potential for a sharp division in the League's membership on more controversial statewide ballot measures given the League's diverse membership. The Board's intent in establishing a 2/3 vote requirement when taking a position on a statewide ballot measure is to ensure the position represents a strong, as opposed to majority, consensus of the League Board. Currently, if all Directors were to cast votes on a statewide ballot measure, 27 Directors would need to vote favorably for the League Board to take a position. Under the proposed Bylaws amendment, 36 Directors would need to vote favorably for the League Board to take a position on a statewide ballot measure.
- 4. The attached Ballot on Bylaws Amendment must be returned to the League of California Cities by April 19, 2013. Following a canvass of the ballots, the Board will adopt a resolution effecting the Bylaws amendments if the amendments have been approved by a 2/3 vote of the member cities voting.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended by the City Manager that the Council, by motion, approve two amendments to the League of California Cities Bylaws as recommended by the League's Board of Directors and authorize the City Manager to sign and return the Ballot on Bylaws Amendments

Author: Terri Griffin, City Clerk

Attachments:

- Letter from Chris McKenzie, Executive Director, League of California Cities
- Proposed League Resolution Relating to League Bylaws Amendments
- League of California Cities 2013 Board of Directors

1400 K Street Sacramento, CA 95814 ph: (916) 658-8200 fx: (916) 658-8240

RECEIVED

MAR 0 7 2013



CITY OF SANTA ROSA CITY MANAGERS OFFICE

WWW.CACITIES.ORG

March 5, 2013

To: League Membership

From: Chris McKenzie, Executive Director Re: Proposed Amendments to League Bylaws

At its February meeting, the League's Board of Directors approved submitting two amendments to the League's Bylaws to the membership. The proposed amendments would amend the Bylaws to provide that:

- Resolutions submitted to the League for presentation to the General Assembly must be concurred in by at least five or more cities or by city officials from at least five or more cities.
- 2. The League Board may take a position on a statewide ballot measure by a 2/3rd vote of those Directors present. Currently, the Board may take positions with a simple majority vote.

The Board's purpose in submitting the first proposed amendment is to encourage members to seek concurrence of other cities and city officials that the subject of a proposed resolution is a substantial one and of broad interest and importance to cities. The Board's purpose in submitting the second proposed amendment is to ensure that when the Board considers a position on possibly controversial statewide ballot measures, the Board's ultimate decision represents a broad consensus of the Directors.

The language of the proposed amendments is provided in the attached Resolution. To be approved, the Bylaws require each amendment must receive a 2/3rd vote of those members voting. To consult the League's Bylaws: go to www.cacities.org. The link is at the bottom of the page.

As provided in the League's Bylaws, the Board of Directors is submitting these amendments to the membership for approval by mail ballot. Please return the enclosed ballot, signed by an authorized city official, by April 19, 2013.

Ballots may be submitted by email to: ballots@cacities.org.

By mail to:

League of California Cities Attn: Ballots 1400 K Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

Or by fax to: (916) 658-8240

Thank you for your attention to this important matter concerning the League's governance. If you have questions, please direct them to Patrick Whitnell, General Counsel at pwhitnell@cacities.org.



PROPOSED RESOLUTION RELATING TO LEAGUE BYLAWS AMENDMENTS

WHEREAS, the League of California Cities is a nonprofit mutual benefit corporation under California law and, as such, is governed by corporate bylaws; and

WHEREAS, the League's Board of Directors periodically reviews the League's bylaws for issues of clarity, practicality, compliance with current laws, and responsiveness to membership interests; and

WHEREAS, the League Board of Directors at its February 7-8, 2013 meeting approved submitting the following amendments to the League's bylaws to the League's membership by mailed ballot:

1. Article VI, section 2 of the League's bylaws is amended to read as follows:

"Resolutions may originate from city officials, city councils, regional divisions, functional departments, policy committees, or the League Board or by being included in a petition signed by designated voting delegates of ten percent of the number of Member Cities. Except for petitioned resolutions, all other resolutions must be submitted to the League with documentation that at least five or more cities, or city officials from at least five or more cities, have concurred in the resolution."

2. A new Article VII, section 16 is added to the League's bylaws to read as follows:

"Section 16: Positions on Statewide Ballot Measures.

Notwithstanding any other provision of these bylaws, the League Board may take a position on a statewide ballot measure by a 2/3rd vote of those Directors present."

Now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the League Board of Directors at its April 24-25, 2013 meeting in Sacramento, California, after a canvass of mailed ballots, has determined that the above amendments to the League bylaws have been approved by a 2/3rd vote of those Member Cities voting. These amendments shall take effect 60 days after the approval of this resolution.

////////

Ballot on Bylaws Amendments

| City of | |
|--|--|
| Does your city vote to approve the a the League's bylaws relating to sub League's General Assembly as set incorporated by reference in this ba | mission of resolutions to the forth in the Proposed Resolution and |
| □ Yes | |
| □ No | |
| Does your city vote to approve the add League's bylaws relating to the League positions on statewide ballot measures Resolution and incorporated by referen | Board vote threshold for taking as set forth in the Proposed |
| □ Yes | |
| □ No | |
| Ballot returned by: | |
| | _ City Official Name |
| | _ City Official Title |
| Please return this ballot by April 19, 2 | 013 to: |
| League of California Cities Attn: Ballots 1400 K Street, 4 th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 | |
| or by email to: ballots@cacities.org | |
| or by fax to: (916) 658-8240 | |

Thanks in advance for your participation in this important decision.

League of California Cities 2013 Board of Directors

Officers

Bill Bogaard Mayor, Pasadena Jose Cisneros Treasurer, San Francisco

Tony Ferrara Mayor, Arroyo Grande

Mike Kasperzak Council Member, Mountain View

Chris McKenzie Executive Director

Directors

Pete Aguilar Mayor, Redlands Council Member, Scotts Valley Stephany Aguilar

Neal Andrews Council Member, Ventura At-large (13) Jan Arbuckle Council Member, Grass Valley At-large (13)

Harry Armstrong Mayor, Clovis Karen Avilla Treasurer, Carson

City Manager, Laguna Hills **Bruce Channing**

Kansen Chu Council Member, San Jose Large City **Bob Combs** Planning Commissioner, Danville

Supervisor, San Francisco Mark Farrell Large City Mayor, Long Beach Robert Foster Large City Douglas Fry Fire Chief, Belmont Fire Chiefs Dept. (E)

Richard Garbarino Council Member. South San Francisco At-Large (13) Wendy Greuel Controller, Los Angeles Large City

Terry Henderson Council Member, La Quinta Deputy City Manager, Santa Clarita Darren Hernandez

Vice Mayor, Ridgecrest Chip Holloway Curtis Hunt Council Member, Vacaville

City Clerks Dept. (È) Randi Johl City Clerk, Lodi Kevin Johnson Mayor, Sacramento Large City Art Kiesel Mayor, Foster City Peninsula Division (O)

Ken Lane Vice Mayor, Ceres Council Member, Murrieta Randon Lane NLC (13)

Police Chief. Elk Grove Robert Lehner

Art Madrid Mayor, La Mesa William "Bill" Marble Council Member, Woodland Sacramento Valley Division (14) L. Dennis Michael Mayor, Rancho Cucamonga At-Large (14)

Katherine Miller Council Member, Stockton Council Member, Ventura Channel Counties (O) Carl Morehouse JoAnne Mounce Council Member, Lodi

Scott Nelson Council Member, Placentia Orange County Division (E) Mayor, Whittier Owen Newcomer Joseph Palla Mayor, Cloverdale

Daniel Parra Mayor Pro Tem, Fowler At-large (14)

Public Works Director, Santa Monica Martin Pastucha **Gregory Pettis** Council Member, Cathedral City NLC (14) Joe Pirzynski Council Member, Los Gatos

Jean Quan Mayor, Oakland

Sedalia Sanders Council Member, El Centro City Attorneys Dept. (O) Bob Shannon City Attorney, Long Beach

Mayor, Fresno Large City Ashley Swearengin Mayor, Pleasanton East Bay Division (O) Jerry Thorne Miguel Ucovich Council Member, Loomis

Council Member, Ontario Mayors & Council Members Dept. (E) Alan Wapner

Ken Weir Vice Mayor, Bakersfield Large City

Mark Wheetley Vice Mayor, Arcata

Gilbert Wong Vice Mayor, Cupertino

Serena Wright Human Resources Director, Culver City Ella Zanowic Council Member, Calimesa

Community Services Department (O) Vacant

Vacant San Diego Large City

President

1st Vice President 2nd Vice President

Immediate Past President

Inland Empire Division (O) Monterey Bay Division (E)

So. San Joaquin Valley Division (O)

NLC (14)

City Managers Dept. (O)

Planning & Community Dev Dept (E)

Riverside County Division (O) Fiscal Officers Dept. (E) Desert-Mountain Division (E) North Bay Division (E)

Central Valley Division (E)

Police Chiefs Dept. (0)

San Diego County Division (E)

At-Large (13)

At-Large (14)

Los Angeles County Division (O)

At-large (14)

Public Works Dept. (E)

At-large (14) Large City

Imperial County Division (E)

At-large (13)

Redwood Empire Division (E)

At-large (14)

Personnel & Emp. Rel. Dept. (O)

At-large (13)

^{*}E - elected in even numbered years; O - elected in odd numbered years.

^{**}Number denotes end of term.