

From: [Rogerio Pereira](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#); [_CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] 24 bed Addiction Services Center
Date: Saturday, November 1, 2025 6:23:47 PM

Dear Members of the Planning Commission,

Three years ago, more than **400 Skyhawk residents** united to **oppose** the proposal to establish a **permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center** in Skyhawk Village—presented misleadingly as a “community services center.” After careful review and a well-attended public hearing on **December 8, 2022**, the Planning Commission **rightly denied** the Minor Use Permit based on overwhelming community opposition and clear incompatibility with our neighborhood.

Now, **Pura Vida is attempting once again** to push through the same proposal—a **24-bed recovery facility** in a location that remains completely unsuitable. This site sits dangerously close to **Austin Creek Elementary School**, poses significant **traffic and parking issues**, threatens the **vitality of local small businesses**, and **violates Santa Rosa’s General Plan**. Approving it would expose the City to unnecessary **legal and community backlash**.

The residents of Skyhawk have spoken before, and we are speaking again—**this facility does not belong here**. We urge the Commission to **stand by your previous decision** and **deny this application once more, decisively and permanently**.

Respectfully,

Rogerio Pereira

On Behalf of the Skyhawk Community

From: [Maryann Poni](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: Citycouncil@SRcity.ig; [Bisla, Sachnoor](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Planning commissioners
Date: Saturday, November 1, 2025 6:40:28 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Thank you,
Maryann Poni
Sent from my iPhone

From: [Sandy Litzie](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Proposed Skyhawk Village Addiction Center
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 11:21:49 AM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Sandra Litzie

From: [Leslie Ham](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#) [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Pura Vida/Skyhawk Village
Date: Sunday, November 2, 2025 1:31:35 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Samuel & Leslie Ham

From: [JODI&MICHAEL LOETSCHER](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#) [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] STOP the 24-bed Detox File #PLN25-0136
Date: Sunday, November 2, 2025 8:00:59 AM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, many residents of our neighborhood signed a petition to block the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village. Now Pura Vida Recovery Services is once again trying to persuade the City that this would be a good location for another one of its facilities.

It is our understanding that Pura Vida is an inpatient *and outpatient* facility that treats dual diagnosis disorders, which include substance abuse as well as mental health conditions. While we have no doubt the services provided by this privately-owned company are valuable, the placement of these facilities must be carefully considered by the City. Concentrating a population of recovering addicts and people with mental health concerns at the entrance of a neighborhood with an elementary school less than a mile from the intended location is not ideal. There have to be many other locations in Santa Rosa that are more suitable and would provide the same level of accessibility for the Pura Vida residents.

We are very proud to live in Santa Rosa, which is a model for the rest of the country with its inRESPONSE mental health crisis team. We hope that it will make thoughtful decisions that include all of its residents when being presented with these kinds of proposals.

Jodi and Michael Loetscher
[REDACTED]

From: [Amber N](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Sunday, November 2, 2025 5:52:42 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Amber M. Nierode, DDS

From: [Drew](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-013
Date: Saturday, November 1, 2025 7:50:56 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community (including my wife and me) signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Further, this location is located directly next to a bar serving alcohol late at night. Hardly a location for a rehab facility.

Please help keep Santa Rosa beautiful, and for families. I fear for our children and community members.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Andrew and Kelsey Maynard

From: [Beibei Sun](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); sbisla@crcity.org; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 8:47:13 AM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Beibei Sun

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Charlie Considine](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] STOP the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 9:58:17 AM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is submitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such a recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Charlie Considine

From: [Chih Kai Wu](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#); [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 2:56:32 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Chih Kai

[REDACTED]

From: [Cindy Arthur](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 9:56:55 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Cynthia and Richard Arthur

From: [DJ Phimister](#)
To: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [Skyhawk United](#); [DJ Phimister](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] 24 bed Detox facility - File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Thursday, November 6, 2025 10:54:37 AM

My name is DJ Phimister and I live near the proposed Detox facility at 5761 Mountain Hawk Dr. I recently saw the sign advising this facility will come before the Planning Commission next Thursday. I was one of the 40 people who spoke out against the facility about three years ago when it was first proposed and denied. I'm surprised it is being resubmitted, as I don't know of any major changes to their first proposal. I'm also somewhat surprised I didn't receive any written notification of the Planning meeting, especially since I spoke out against it three years ago. How long has the City known of the application, and is there some reason we have such short notice on the proposal? Although I believe the facility has admirable goals, it is in the wrong place with insufficient guidelines to hold them accountable. Accountability is only one of many concerns I have about the facility. Their literature implies they are not a medical facility but it was determined three years ago that they do control all medications for their clients. They also say the clients will be mostly off-site during the day and confined to their room in the evening and overnight, however it was determined three years ago that this isn't a locked facility, so the clients can leave anytime they wish. There will be nothing to stop them from going out at 10:00 at night to smoke a cigarette or talk to a friend. Three years ago we were told that people who violate the rules would be terminated from the program, however recidivism is a common occurrence with substance rehab and second chances are standardly part of a program. Enforcement would be left up to the staff on hand and there is nothing to hold them to any kind of standard as to what would constitute a dismissable event or events. Staffing is also a concern. They have listed what their maximum staffing would be, but no indication of what their minimum staffing will be. The numbers for overnight staff were not listed in the paperwork I have seen, so it is plausible they would only have one staff member on site for 24 clients. Regardless, even if they say they are going to have two or more, there is currently nothing to hold them to any staffing requirements. Should this facility be approved, this issue, along with many others should be addressed in a conditional use permit. All of these issues and more should be discussed with the owner and Planning representatives to make sure the Commissioners have a full view of the potential impacts of approving this facility and appropriate concerns are addressed in a conditional use permit. I offered three years ago to participate in such a meeting, but it never happened. Ultimately, we ended up with about 40 people speaking when it came up before the City. Our speaking time was extremely limited and it was not really the best way to address all of the concerns. I am again offering to participate in such a meeting if it can somehow be arranged prior to the meeting next Thursday. Please let me know if you would like a full list of all of my concerns prior to next Thursday. I do have more, but with time so short, I wanted to get something in quickly to try and determine the best way to address my questions & input.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter.

Best Regards,

DJ Phimister

From: [Sara Nakashian](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [CityCouncilListPublic](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox
Date: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 4:03:20 PM

File No. PLN25-0136

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Scott & Sara Nakashian

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Bisla, Sachnoor](#)
To: [David Chen](#)
Cc: [Skyhawk United](#); [Ann Brown](#); [Weixiang Shi](#); [Tracy Gu](#); [David Paul \(Skyhawk\)](#); [Jun Lin](#); [Erin Morris](#)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Please Postpone Public Hearing for PLN25-0136
Date: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 3:25:00 PM
Attachments: [mPuraVidaTraffic.docx](#)
[Mountain Hawk 5761 - PLN25-0136 - Pure Vida Rec Ser.docx](#)
[2025-07-25 Building Comments.docx](#)

Hi David,

I've attached all formal staff review letters from Building, Fire, and Traffic. The ones from Building and Fire contain conditions of approval; the one from Traffic was not necessary to send to the applicant as there were no conditions to inform them of.

Thank you,
Noor

Sachnoor Bisla | City Planner

Planning and Economic Development Department | 100 Santa Rosa Ave | Santa Rosa, CA
95404

Tel. (707) 543-3223 | Fax (707) 292-0963 | sbisla@srcity.org



Coming soon in 2025, the Planning, Building, and Engineering Divisions of the City of Santa Rosa's Planning and Economic Development Department will fully transition to an online application submittal process through the Accela Citizen Access platform. Learn more about the Online Permitting System [here](#), and more information will be coming soon!

From: David Chen [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 4:23 PM
To: Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org>
Cc: Skyhawk United [REDACTED]; Ann Brown [REDACTED] Weixiang Shi [REDACTED] Tracy Gu [REDACTED]; David Paul (Skyhawk) [REDACTED] Jun Lin <[REDACTED]> Erin Morris [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Please Postpone Public Hearing for PLN25-0136

Hello Noor,

Thank you for the response. We will file PRAs for the requested information. Since it could take

more than a month to receive a response to the PRA, could you please email me a copy of any review letters sent to the Applicant by the City in response to their new application that was filed on May 7, 2025?

Regards,

David Chen

On Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 3:37 PM Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org> wrote:

Hi David,

In order to obtain all of the correspondence, you will need to obtain a Public Records Request: <https://www.srcity.org/236/Public-Records-Request>

This will prompt staff to do a thorough search to obtain all of the records you are looking for.

Thanks,
Noor

Sachnoor Bisla | City Planner

Planning and Economic Development Department | 100 Santa Rosa Ave | Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Tel. (707) 543-3223 | Fax (707) 292-0963 | sbisla@srcity.org



Coming soon in 2025, the Planning, Building, and Engineering Divisions of the City of Santa Rosa's Planning and Economic Development Department will fully transition to an online application submittal process through the Accela Citizen Access platform. Learn more about the Online Permitting System [here](#), and more information will be coming soon!

From: David Chen <dchenx@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 1:55 PM

To: Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org>

Cc: Skyhawk United [REDACTED]; Ann Brown [REDACTED]; Weixiang Shi <[\[REDACTED\].com](#)>; Tracy Gu [REDACTED]; David Paul (Skyhawk) [REDACTED]; Jun Lin <[\[REDACTED\]](#)>; Erin Morris [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Please Postpone Public Hearing for PLN25-0136

Thank you Noor.

Please provide any/all correspondence between the City and the applicant since the new application was filed in early 2025.

David

On Tue, Nov 4, 2025, 10:03 AM Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org> wrote:

Hello David,

Please see attached. The meeting agenda will be posted no later than this Thursday, which will include all of these files as well as the Staff Report and Resolution. You will be able to access meeting information as well as the agenda documents (once the agenda is posted) at srcity.org/pc.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if I can provide any additional information.

Thank you,
Noor

Sachnoor Bisla | City Planner

Planning and Economic Development Department | [100 Santa Rosa Ave | Santa Rosa, CA 95404](http://100.Santa.Rosa.Ave.Santa.Rosa.CA.95404)

Tel. (707) 543-3223 | Fax (707) 292-0963 | sbisla@srcity.org



Coming soon in 2025, the Planning, Building, and Engineering Divisions of the City of Santa Rosa's Planning and Economic Development Department will fully transition to an online application submittal process through the Accela Citizen Access platform. Learn more about the Online Permitting System [here](#), and more information will be coming soon!

From: David Chen <dchenx@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, November 3, 2025 8:30 PM

To: Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org>

Cc: Skyhawk United [REDACTED]; Ann Brown <[REDACTED]>; Weixiang Shi <[REDACTED]>; Tracy Gu <[REDACTED]>; David Paul (Skyhawk) [REDACTED]; Jun Lin [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Please Postpone Public Hearing for PLN25-0136

Hello Noor,

Please send me the project files associated with PLN25-0136.

Regards,

David Chen
President, Skyhawk United

On Mon, Nov 3, 2025 at 10:04 AM Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org> wrote:

Good morning, David,

Thank you for your email. The public hearing for the Minor Use Permit application at Skyhawk Village has been scheduled in accordance with the City's standard noticing procedures and requirements under [Zoning Code Chapter 20-66](#). Unfortunately, postponing a hearing is not something we typically do by request.

Notices for public hearings are mailed at least 10 days in advance to property owners and residents within the required radius, providing time for the community to submit comments or attend the hearing. [Section 20-66.040](#) states that "hearings shall be held at the date, time, and place described in the public notice" and that the hearing may be continued if continuance is found to be necessary.

Minor Conditional Use Permits are acted on by the Zoning Administrator in a public meeting, and do not require a formal public hearing unless one is requested. The previous proposal was set to go before the Zoning Administrator on October 20, 2022. However, after the notice was distributed, a formal public hearing was requested by members of the public. Staff then prepared for the public hearing which was held by the Planning Commission on December 8, 2022.

The current proposal has already been elevated to a Planning Commission public hearing, in order to keep the level of review consistent. Therefore, it will not be postponed upon request.

If you or other community members would like to share input, you are welcome to submit written comments in advance or speak during the public hearing. All public comments will be included in the record and considered as part of the review process.

Please let me know if you have any questions or if I can provide any additional information.

Thank you,
Noor

Sachnoor Bisla | City Planner

Planning and Economic Development Department | [100 Santa Rosa Ave | Santa Rosa, CA 95404](#)

Tel. (707) 543-3223 | Fax (707) 292-0963 | sbisla@srcity.org



Coming soon in 2025, the Planning, Building, and Engineering Divisions of the City of Santa Rosa's Planning and Economic Development Department will fully transition to an online application submittal process through the Accela Citizen Access platform. Learn more about the Online Permitting System [here](#), and more information will be coming soon!

From: David Chen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, October 31, 2025 12:46 PM
To: Bisla, Sachnoor <sbisla@srcity.org>
Cc: Skyhawk United [REDACTED]; Ann Brown <[REDACTED]>
Weixiang Shi <[REDACTED]>; Tracy Gu [REDACTED]; David Paul
(Skyhawk) [REDACTED]; Jun Lin [REDACTED] >
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please Postpone Public Hearing for PLN25-0136

Hello Sachnoor,

I was informed today by Pura Vida that a public hearing is planned for November 13 for the Minor Use Permit of a 24 bed facility in Skyhawk Village.

Please postpone this public hearing so that our community will have time to prepare for it.

Regards,

David Chen
President, Skyhawk United

From: [Sabrina Bellinger](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [Skyhawk United](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Deny the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Sunday, November 2, 2025 7:42:04 AM

Dear Members of the Planning Commission,

I respectfully request that you **deny the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village**. While I fully recognize the importance of addiction treatment and the valuable work these organizations do, this type of facility is **not appropriate for a residential neighborhood**.

Skyhawk Village is a small, community-oriented commercial area that serves the surrounding homes. The local businesses here are invested in maintaining a safe, welcoming environment that supports nearby residents and contributes positively to property values. These businesses rely on good relationships with the community and have a vested interest in keeping the area attractive and harmonious.

By contrast, a 24-bed detox facility does not depend on neighborhood support or participation. Its patients and visitors are not members of our community, and the operation of such a facility could introduce safety, parking, and compatibility challenges inconsistent with the character of Skyhawk Village. This location simply does not provide the infrastructure or setting suited for an intensive residential treatment program.

I urge the Commission to consider **alternative locations** in areas zoned for medical, institutional, or commercial services that can better support this important mission without negatively impacting a residential neighborhood.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sabrina Bellinger

Skyhawk homeowner and resident since 2017

From: [Guasco, Cher](#)
To: [Jones, Jessica](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 9:12:10 AM

Cher L. Guasco | Senior Administrative Assistant

City Manager's Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Rm 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Tel. (707) 543-4647 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | cguasco@srcity.org



All emails are subject to the California Public Records Act and neither the sender nor any recipients should have any expectation of privacy regarding the contents of such communications.

From: Beibei Sun [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 8:47 AM
To: _PLANCOM - Planning Commission <planningcommission@srcity.org>
Cc: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>; sbisla@crcity.org; [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,
Beibei Sun
Sent from my iPhone

From: lararomhain@yahoo.com
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: skyhawk.united@gmail.com; [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [CityCouncilListPublic](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25- 0136
Date: Saturday, November 1, 2025 9:48:12 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Lara

[Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone](#)

From: [Jasmine Jackson](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); [REDACTED]
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Saturday, November 1, 2025 9:45:33 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Jasmine Jackson

--

Dr. Jasmine Jackson, D.C.
830 2nd St Suite B
Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Office (707)544-5338
www.jacksonchiro.care

"Happiness is when what you think, what you say, and what you do are in harmony."

- Ghandi

From: [Kelsey Maynard, PA-C](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#); skyhawk.united@gmail.com
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Stop the 24-bed Detox File No. PLN25-0136
Date: Saturday, November 1, 2025 9:19:55 PM

Dear Planning Commission,

As a healthcare provider I can confidently state that Pura Vida Recovery Services should not go into 5761 Mountain Hawk Dr. This recovery center in the same area as a bar puts both the community and rehab patients at risk. Please uphold your decision already voted upon and deny this proposal.

Three years ago, over 400 people from the Skyhawk community signed a petition seeking to BLOCK the proposal to establish a permanent 24-bed Addiction Services center in Skyhawk Village under the guise of a community services center. The Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against it.

Now Pura Vida is resubmitting the application to try a second time to get a Minor User Permit to operate a 24-bed recovery center. Such recovery center is too close to Austin Creek Elementary. It blocks traffic and takes customers away from other small businesses. Worst of all, it also violates the Santa Rosa city General Plan and creates a liability for the city should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take steps today to block this proposal.

Regards,

Kelsey Maynard, PA-C

Physician Assistant

From: [Kathie](#)
To: [PLANCOM - Planning Commission](#)
Cc: [CityCouncilListPublic](#); [Bisla, Sachnoor](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Hearing - File No. PLN25-0136 - Pura Vida Recovery Services - 5761 Mountain Hawk Dr. CA 95409
Date: Thursday, November 6, 2025 12:44:07 PM

To All Planning Commission Members,

My name is Kathleen Ramazzotti and I live on Owl Hill Avenue within the Skyhawk community. I am writing to relay my concern and OBJECTION regarding the now repeated request of Pura Vida Recovery Services, to yet again try and operate a "detox facility" for 24 participants. And, yet again, there are unmet concerns that need clarification and more details to consider accepting this proposal as a safe environment for our community members and all visitors. The notification of such a consideration was, yet again, deficient in appropriate notice to local impacted residents.

I am shocked that the Planning Commision would even reconsider this request based on the response and factual details that were presented three years ago and which facilitated a denial of the facility. The question is: why the Pura Vida Recovery Services owner/operator is trying to get this approved again, and spending \$12,000 just to get this passed through your department. The message from this surrounding community was VERY clear three years ago, with their concerns and the lack of details initially provided by the Pura Vida Recovery Services personnel, substantiated with several hundred petition signatures. Also, the Planning Commission voted against the Minor Use Permit after a public hearing on 12/8/2022 where many residents spoke against the passing of the request . That lack of information presented for this new request and the old reasons for denial, are still reasons to deny this request for the Pura Vida Recovery Services in 2025.

This proposed expansion on what is currently referred to as a "community care facility with 6 beds" certainly expands on its function.....asking to be allowed a DETOX facility for 24 clients - a quadrupled increase. It is now to be shadingly titled as a:

"Non-medical, monitored detoxification and withdrawal management for the addicted". Quite the contradiction between terminology and reality. As the details of staffing have not yet been clarified for the 24 clients (18 additional from today's residency), will it be 1 - or 2 - or? And, overnight shifts are always difficult to staff, so how many will be mandated to work at night? What needs to be the staffing number to be adequate for 24 "clients" with addiction withdrawal?

DETOX can be defined as: ***to abstain or rid the body of the addictive or unhealthy substances***. Detoxification should be medically monitored if we are

discussing medication addiction or illegal drug addiction. The next question is: how will they be 'medically monitored' in a "non-medical" facility? Will it be onsite or offsite ... by a physician? If offsite, what happens when a client/patient experiences a substance crisis and needs medication to quiet the crisis? How can that be controlled without a physician present to immediately administer needed medication at the moment of crisis? Or, is non-medical personnel allowed to administer prescription medication(s) that possibly should be **only** done by a licensed physician? And, how is this stretching of medical distribution law enforced or monitored? What happens when the out of control patient/client refuses the medication? Is the client then ejected immediately into the community on foot....and still suffering the addiction crisis? Does the now out-of-control-former-client become a hazard to him/her-self and others? The sad possibility in a mental crisis is the client may not recognize, nor care, about the traffic on Hwy 12 - just off property of their "non-medical facility" - and end up the victim of a traffic/pedestrian collision.

A Detox Program ***Definition: a process or period of time in which one abstains from or rids the body of toxic or unhealthy substances.***

That may often include someone arriving in an actively using state with whatever substance

is the addiction, and is then "admitted" to a facility ... or sent away. It WILL have active users - without a doubt -- as that is why they call it a detox program. That encouraged presence in our community is the objection.

There is too much to lose with allowing the facility to expand in a location such as Skyhawk. Safety is tantamount of course - to young and old and anyone in between, property encroachment and/or trespass, theft, vandalism, possible physical harm/assault committed by someone actively using and becoming delusional or angry, along with other minor offenses that have been common in the neighborhood areas surrounding these facilities.

During the last attempt at this Detox Program location - a question was asked, and was conveniently left quiet until encouraged to answer ... that it was ALSO going to run an ACTIVE Walk-in Methadone Clinic. This then means they will be distributing drugs for addicts. Often the clients there for the medication are on the verge of a crisis before receiving the medication. Quite the contradiction for a "non-medical" facility.

The last issues of concern are, and still are, the lack of adequate personnel for the number of addicted clients that were to be allowed. Also no method of containment to prevent a client leaving if they choose, day or night. Now, with no transportation available, they wander through the residential areas or along Hwy 12. There also is that convenient bus stop immediately in front of the location for potential meet-ups with dealers and other users.....but also where students use the bus for school transportation and others without vehicles put to use. This is an additional major concern of youths being exposed to known addicts -- who often are still in possession of the addictive material, with Austin Creek Elementary School is very close by and many of the students walk to and from school and to the businesses in the same business complex. There is an overabundance of anger, trauma, drug availability and assaults in our society - let's not ***knowingly add more*** to our neighborhood streets.

How many customer complaints to the Skyhawk Villages businesses will happen for those customers to look elsewhere for businesses similar to Skyhawk Villages and refrain from utilizing our local business owners any longer. Worst of all, I believe it also violates the Santa Rosa City General Plan for this development and ***creates a liability for the city*** should you continue to support this proposal.

Please take your steps today to block this proposal...once and for all if possible. I would be happy to discuss any and all of these issues - again - should you like further conversation.

Thank you for your time in supporting your Citizens of Santa Rosa.

Regards,

Kathleen Ramazzotti

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]