

Resolution No.

Exhibit B

page 1 of 3

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 2016/2017

Applicant: City of Santa Rosa

Contact person: Nancy Adams

Mailing Address: 69 Stony Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401

E-Mail Address: nadams@srcity.org

Telephone: 707.543.3910

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Rob Sprinkle

E-Mail Address: rsprinkle@srcity.org

Telephone: 707.543.3817

Short Title Description of Project: Montecito Boulevard Bike Enhancements

Amount of claim: \$ 40,000

Functional Description of Project:

Install (Class II bike lanes and sharrow legends) on Montecito Boulevard between Brush Creek Road and Middle Rincon Road. Project will restripe segments of roadway to include bike lanes as possible and install bike sharrow legends. Design will include a review of lane configuration and operations to ensure that any changes made will have minimal reduction to the level of service at the intersections. This project will connect to the existing Class II bike lanes on Fountaingrove Parkway/Brush Creek Road and continue east to Middle Rincon Road.

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, and construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

Project Elements engineering, construction and contingency

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY 16/17	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3		\$40,000			\$40,000
list all other sources:					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
Totals		\$40,000			\$40,000

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	No
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	Yes
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC? January 21, 2016	Yes
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	Yes
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) December 2017	Yes
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____)	Yes

Resolution No.

Exhibit B

page 2 of 3

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 2016/2017

Applicant: City of Santa Rosa

Contact person: Nancy Adams

Mailing Address: 69 Stony Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401

E-Mail Address: nadams@srcity.org

Telephone: 707.543.3910

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Rob Sprinkle

E-Mail Address: rsprinkle@srcity.org

Telephone: 707.543.3817

Short Title Description of Project: Citywide Green Bike Lane Transition Enhancements

Amount of claim: \$ 78,000

Functional Description of Project:

Project includes restriping and treating pavement with a color additive, typically at intersections where there is a strong potential for conflicting movements between cyclists and motorists. Preliminary locations include Guerneville Road, Mendocino Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue, Sebastopol Road, Sonoma Avenue, Stony Point Road/Marlow Road, Hoen Avenue and Summerfield Road.

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, and construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

Project Elements engineering, construction and contingency

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY 16/17	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3		\$78,000			\$78,000
list all other sources:					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
Totals		\$78,000			\$78,000

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	No
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	Yes
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC? January 21, 2016	Yes
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	Yes
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) December 2017	Yes
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____)	Yes

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 2016/2017

Applicant: City of Santa Rosa

Contact person: Nancy Adams

Mailing Address: 69 Stony Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401

E-Mail Address: nadams@srcity.org

Telephone: 707.543.3910

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Rob Sprinkle

E-Mail Address: rsprinkle@srcity.org

Telephone: 707.543.3817

Short Title Description of Project: Citywide Pedestrian Enhancements

Amount of claim: \$ 47,000

Functional Description of Project:

This project would construct pedestrian pavement markings, signs, electronic warning devices, and/or medians within existing City right of way at crossing locations on arterial and collector streets Citywide

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, and construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

Project Elements engineering, construction, inspection and contingency

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY 16/17	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3		\$47,000			\$47,000
list all other sources:					
1.					
2.					
3.					
4.					
Totals		\$47,000			\$47,000

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page. The City received a federal grant from Caltrans. We are requesting an additional \$47,000 to fund the enhancements due to recent unforeseen federal environmental requirements	Yes
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	Yes
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC? January 21, 2016	Yes
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	Yes
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) December 2017	Yes
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____)	Yes