

## Murray, Susie

---

**From:** Scott Schellinger <scott@cswwland.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 17, 2014 5:45 PM  
**To:** Murray, Susie  
**Subject:** Fwd: 408 Calistoga Road

Please see attached.

Scott Schellinger  
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Dalene Whitlock <dwhitlock@w-trans.com>  
**Date:** June 17, 2014 at 15:15:45 PDT  
**To:** "Scott Schellinger (scott@cswwland.com)" <scott@cswwland.com>  
**Subject:** 408 Calistoga Road

Scott, as requested I have looked at the potential increase in traffic on Monte Verde Drive as a result of subdividing 408 Calistoga Road to accommodate three additional single family dwellings. The site currently has one home on it and is served by a single driveway on Calistoga Road.

As noted in our focused traffic study, the three additional homes would be expected to generate an average of 29 trips per day, including three during each of the morning and afternoon peak periods. Given the location of the site and its access directly to Calistoga Road, as well as the connectivity to local schools, jobs, and retail, it is likely that the project will typically generate no new trips on Monte Verde Drive, though two to four trips could occur on some days. This level of added traffic is so insignificant as to be completely imperceptible. In fact, a resident having a party would generate substantially more traffic than the proposed subdivision. Similarly, a home for sale in the area would result in considerably more traffic during an open house than would the subdivision.

Based on the very small size of the project as well as its driveway location, there does not appear to be any reasonable expectation for this change to result in a measurable increase in traffic on Monte Verde Drive. It should be further noted that the City typically does not require a traffic analysis for projects generating fewer than 50 peak hour trips, and this project doesn't even generate 50 trips daily, much less during an hour. This standard speaks to the very low impact a project of this size would be expected to have.

Please contact me if you have any further questions.

Dalene Whitlock

---

**Dalene J. Whitlock**, PE, PTOE, Principal  
**Whitlock & Weinberger Transportation, Inc. (W-Trans)**  
490 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 201  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401  
voice: (707) 542-9500 / cell: (707) 486-5792 / fax: (707) 542-9590  
[www.w-trans.com](http://www.w-trans.com)



## Murray, Susie

---

**From:** Janine <janine@origer.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 03, 2014 9:31 AM  
**To:** Murray, Susie  
**Subject:** RE: 408 Calistoga Road

Good morning Susie:

The topography does indicate that there are potentially several drainages that could have seasonal flow in the general area, and at one time there was a reservoir west of Calistoga Road, that looks like it would have been fed by those drainages. The creek in question is small/ephemeral enough that it doesn't appear on maps even as a seasonal creek.

As sources of fresh water to support a village or seasonal camp, drainages of that type would be unreliable, and a poor indicator of potential prehistoric occupation.

Could I have been more specific about the need for fresh water on a reliable and sustained basis, yes. Does this alter the conclusions regarding the potential for buried archaeology on the property, no.

Janine

Janine M. Origer  
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates  
Archaeology / Historical Research  
Phone (707) 584-8200 ♦ Fax (707) 584-8300  
P.O. Box 1531, Rohnert Park, California 94927

---

**From:** Murray, Susie [mailto:SMurray@srcity.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 2, 2014 5:17 PM  
**To:** Janine  
**Cc:** scott@cswland.com  
**Subject:** RE: 408 Calistoga Road


Hi Janine,

The Planning Commission hearing was held last week. Calistoga Cottages, the project discussed below, was approved unanimously. During the public hearing, a neighbor challenged your comment below which states, "The nearest source of fresh water for the property is more than 500 meters to the south." They offered to produce a copy of a deed restriction showing an easement for a creek that ran through a neighboring property.

The General Plan Amendment and request to rezone are scheduled for a public hearing before the City Council on July 29, 2014. The purpose for my email it to ask if you could provide any further comments that would support the information below and help prepare a response as I'm sure this issue will come up again.

Thank you,

Susie Murray | City Planner  
Community Development | 100 Santa Rosa Avenue | Santa Rosa, CA 95404  
Tel. (707) 543-4348 | Fax (707) 543-3269 | [smurray@srcity.org](mailto:smurray@srcity.org)



**From:** Janine [mailto:janine@origer.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 22, 2014 12:07 PM  
**To:** Murray, Susie  
**Subject:** 408 Calistoga Road

Good morning Ms. Murray:

Meyer, Scher, and Kaijankoski (2012:6) write that “. . . Holocene-age deposits located within 200 meters of an historic-era lake or stream are generally considered to have an elevated (i.e., high or very high) potential to contain buried sites”.

Conversely, areas more than 200 meters from a source of fresh water, have moderate to low potential for buried deposits.

The nearest source of fresh water for the property is more than 500 meters to the south. This fact, combined with results of our auger borings during the field survey lead us to conclude that the potential for buried archaeological sites on the property at 408 Calistoga Road is low, and additional subsurface work is not warranted.

Meyer, J., N. Scher, and P. Kaijankoski  
2012 Extended Phase I Geoarchaeological Investigation for the Lower Lagoon Valley Project, Solano County, California.

Please let us know if you have further questions.

Cordially,

Janine M. Origer  
Senior Associate

Tom Origer & Associates  
Archaeology / Historical Research  
Phone (707) 584-8200 ♦ Fax (707) 584-8300  
P.O. Box 1531, Rohnert Park, California 94927