

Transportation Impact Study for the Masamigas Mercado Project



Prepared for the City of Santa Rosa

Submitted by **W-Trans**

March 4, 2025





This page intentionally left blank

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 1 |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| Introduction | 2 |
| Transportation Setting | 5 |
| Project Data | 9 |
| Circulation System | |
| Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) | 14 |
| Safety Issues | |
| Emergency Access | |
| Capacity Analysis | |
| Parking | |
| Conclusions and Recommendations | |
| Study Participants and References | |

Figures

| 1. | Study Area, Existing Lane Configurations4 |
|----|---|
| 2. | Site Plan |
| 3. | Existing and Baseline Traffic Volumes |
| 4. | Project Traffic Volumes |
| 5. | Existing plus Project and Baseline plus Project Traffic Volumes |

Tables

| 1. | Bicycle Facilities Summary | 7 |
|-----|--|----|
| 2. | Existing Transit Routes | 7 |
| 3. | Collision Rates for the Study Intersections | 8 |
| 4. | Trip Generation Summary | 11 |
| 5. | Trip Distribution Assumptions | 11 |
| 6. | Intersection Level of Service Criteria | 18 |
| 7. | Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | |
| 8. | Baseline PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | |
| 9. | Existing and Existing plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | |
| 10. | Baseline and Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | |
| 11. | Parking Required | |



Appendices

- A. Collision Rate Calculations
- B. Intersection Level of Service Calculations



Executive Summary

The Masamigas Mercado project is a proposed specialty marketplace to be located in an existing building at 2970 Santa Rosa Avenue. The existing 10,983-square-foot space would be remodeled to accommodate the sale of fresh and prepared food together with associated kitchen space, offices and dining areas. A 4,700 square foot second story addition is proposed to house the office space, and 1,327 square feet of outdoor dining space are also proposed.

Though proposed primarily as a market, to reflect the inclusion of dining space per staff direction the project was evaluated using the trip generation rates for a "Fast Casual Restaurant," translating to an average of 1,523 trips per day, with 22 during the morning peak hour and 197 during the evening peak hour. After deducting trips associated with the previous use as a furniture store as well as trips that would be captured from traffic passing the site on Santa Rosa Avenue, the project is expected to generate an average of 1,149 new primary trips per day, including 15 during the morning peak hour and 106 during the evening peak hour.

The proposed project complies with City policy regarding transportation facilities, including those for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders except that long-term bicycle parking is not shown on the plans. With the inclusion of such parking the project would have a less-than-significant impact on transportation facilities.

The project provides local-serving retail, so would be presumed to have a less-than-significant impact on VMT. No hazards would be introduced by the project, and it would have a nominal effect on response times, so the project's impact on safety and emergency response would also be less than significant.

Three signalized intersections on Santa Rosa Avenue (at Hearn Avenue, US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Avenue, and Todd Road) were analyzed. All three had collision rates below the statewide average, so appear to be operating within normal safety parameters. The three study intersections are currently operating acceptably at LOS C or D and would be expected to continue doing so upon adding trips associated with other proposed developments in the area as well as the proposed project.

The required parking supply was evaluated based on the planned uses for the various areas within the building (market, dining, food preparation, office) rather than a single land use as was applied for the operational analysis. Based on the application of the City's parking requirements the project would need to provide 51 parking spaces; the proposed supply is 52 spaces, so exceeds the minimum number required.



Introduction

This report presents an analysis of the potential transportation impacts and adverse operational effects that would be associated with redevelopment of the site at 2970 Santa Rosa Avenue in the City of Santa Rosa to accommodate the proposed Masamigas Mercado project. The transportation study was completed in accordance with the criteria established by the City of Santa Rosa, reflects a scope of work approved by City staff, and is consistent with standard traffic engineering techniques.

Prelude

The purpose of a transportation impact study is to provide City staff and policy makers with data that they can use to make an informed decision regarding the potential transportation impacts of a proposed project and any associated improvements that would be required to mitigate these impacts to an acceptable level under CEQA, the City's General Plan, or other policies. This report provides an analysis of those items that are identified as areas of environmental concern under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and that, if significant, require an EIR. Impacts associated with access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and to transit; the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) generated by the project; potential safety concerns such as increased queuing in dedicated turn lanes, adequacy of sight distance, need for turn lanes, and need for additional right-of-way controls; and emergency access are addressed in the context of the CEQA criteria. While no longer a part of the CEQA review process, vehicular traffic service levels at key intersections were evaluated for consistency with General Plan policies by determining the number of new trips that the proposed use would be expected to generate, distributing these trips to the surrounding street system based on anticipated travel patterns specific to the proposed project, then analyzing the effect the new traffic would be expected to have on the study intersections, and potentially identifying the need for improvements to maintain acceptable operation. The adequacy of parking is also addressed as a policy issue.

Applied Standards and Criteria

The report is organized to provide background data that supports the various aspects of the analysis, followed by the assessment of CEQA issues and an evaluation of policy-related issues. The CEQA criteria evaluated are as follows.

Would the project:

- a. Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities?
- b. Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b)?
- c. Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?
- d. Result in inadequate emergency access?

Additionally, Section 5.8, Transportation Goals & Policy, of the City of Santa Rosa General Plan provides the following guidance relative to these CEQA criteria.

- T-H-3 Require new development to provide transit improvements, where a rough proportionality to demand from the project is established. Transit improvements may include:
 - Direct and paved pedestrian access to transit stops
 - Bus turnouts and shelters
 - Lane width to accommodate buses



<u>General interpretation of Policy T-H-3</u>. An impact is considered adverse if the project has the potential to disrupt existing transit operations or establishes transit facilities and equipment such that it creates a sight distance deficiency or vehicle conflict point.

T-J Provide attractive and safe streets for pedestrian and bicyclists.

<u>General interpretation of Policy T-J</u>. An impact is considered adverse if the project generates 20 pedestrians in any single hour at an unsignalized intersection, mid-block crossing or where no crossing has been established.

An impact is further considered significant if the project interrupts existing or proposed pedestrian, bicycle, and transit facilities, their path of travel, hinders direct access resulting in excessive rerouting, or creates a vehicle conflict condition that affects the safety of other roadway users.

Project Profile

The project as proposed includes the renovation of an existing 10,983-square-foot commercial space to a specialty marketplace that would include fresh and prepared food vending, kitchen space, offices, and dining areas. The project also includes construction of a 4,700 square-foot second story addition to the building and development of 1,327 square feet of outdoor dining space. The project site is located at 2970 Santa Rosa Avenue, as shown in Figure 1.





sro654.ai 12/24

Transportation Impact Study for the Masamigas Mercado Project Figure 1 – Study Area and Existing Lane Configurations



Transportation Setting

Study Area and Periods

The study area varies depending on the topic. For pedestrian trips it consists of all streets within approximately one-half mile of the project site that would lie along primary routes of pedestrian travel or those leading to nearby generators. For bicycle trips it consists of all streets within one mile of the project site that would lie along primary routes of bicycle travel. For the safety and operational analyses, it consists of the project frontage and the following intersections:

- 1. Hearn Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue
- 2. US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue
- 3. Todd Road/Santa Rosa Avenue

Operating conditions during the p.m. peak period were evaluated to capture the highest potential impacts for the proposed project as well as the highest volumes on the local transportation network. The p.m. peak hour occurs between 4:00 and 6:00 p.m. and typically reflects the highest level of congestion during the homeward bound commute. Counts were obtained for the study intersections on Tuesday, October 29, 2024.

Study Intersections

Hearn Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue is a four-legged signalized intersection with a driveway controlled by the signal as the east leg. The signal operates with protected left-turn phasing on the northbound and southbound Santa Rosa Avenue approaches and split phasing on the eastbound and westbound Hearn Avenue approaches, as well as right turn overlaps on the southbound and eastbound approaches. There are marked crosswalks on the south and west legs and curb ramps on the northwest, southwest, and southeast corners. Bike lanes are present on the north and south legs.

US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue is a four-legged signalized intersection with protected left-turn phasing on all approaches as well as a right turn overlap on the southbound approach. There are marked crosswalks on the south, east, and west legs as well as curb ramps on all corners of the intersection. Bike lanes are present on the north, south, and east legs.

Todd Road/Santa Rosa Avenue is a signalized intersection with protected left-turn phasing on the northbound and southbound approaches and split phasing on the eastbound and westbound Todd Road approaches. There are bike lanes on the north and south legs, marked crosswalks on all but the north leg, and curb ramps on all four corners of the intersection.

The locations of the study intersections and the existing lane configurations and controls are shown in Figure 1.

Study Roadway

Santa Rosa Avenue is a north-south arterial roadway with a posted speed limit of 40 mph. Along the project frontage the road has two approximately 12-foot travel lanes in each direction and a 16-foot center two-way left-turn lane. Bike lanes are present on both sides of the street. Traffic counts collected over 24 hours on Tuesday, October 29, 2024, indicate that the roadway is currently carrying approximately 21,000 vehicles per day.



Existing Transportation Facilities

Existing and Planned Pedestrian Facilities

Pedestrian facilities include sidewalks, crosswalks, curb ramps, curb extensions, and various streetscape amenities. In general, there are continuous sidewalks in the study area along developed property frontages, including in front of the project site.

• Santa Rosa Avenue – There is continuous sidewalk coverage on Santa Rosa Avenue on both sides of the street between Todd Road and Hearn Avenue. There are overhead streetlights, marked crosswalks, and curb ramps at intersections along Santa Rosa Avenue, as well as midblock crossings within the study area.

Existing and Planned Bicycle Facilities

The Highway Design Manual, Caltrans, 2020, classifies bikeways into four categories:

- **Class I Multi-Use Path** a completely separated right-of-way for the exclusive use of bicycles and pedestrians with cross flows of motorized traffic minimized.
- Class II Bike Lane a striped and signed lane for one-way bicycle travel on a street or highway.
- **Class III Bike Route** signing only for shared use with motor vehicles within the same travel lane on a street or highway.
- Class IV Bikeway also known as a separated bikeway, a Class IV Bikeway is for the exclusive use of bicycles and includes a separation between the bikeway and the motor vehicle traffic lane. The separation may include, but is not limited to, grade separation, flexible posts, inflexible physical barriers, or on-street parking.

In the project area, Class II bike lanes exist on Santa Rosa Avenue between Todd Road and South A Street-Maple Avenue, on Kawana Springs Road between Santa Rosa Avenue and Brookwood Avenue, and on Petaluma Hill Road between Old Petaluma Hill Road and Barham Avenue-Pressley Street. Bicyclists ride in the roadway and/or on sidewalks along all other streets within the project study area. With the implementation of planned Class II bike lanes on Hearn Avenue and Todd Road, the bicycle facilities on Santa Rosa Avenue would be connected to the bikeway network west of the US 101 freeway. Table 1 summarizes the existing and planned bicycle facilities in the project vicinity, as contained in the *City of Santa Rosa Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan Update 2018* as well as the *Countywide Active Transportation Plan Update Bike Route Map*.



| Table 1 – Bicycle Facilities Summary | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Status Facility | Class | Length (miles) | Begin Point | End Point | | |
| Existing | | | | | | |
| Kawana Springs Rd | П | 1.00 | Santa Rosa Ave | Brookwood Ave | | |
| Petaluma Hill Rd | П | 1.40 | Old Petaluma Hill Rd | Barham Ave-Pressley St | | |
| Santa Rosa Ave | П | 3.10 | Todd Rd | South A St-Maple Ave | | |
| Planned | | | | | | |
| SMART Trail | I | 2.77 | Bellevue Ave | Golf Course Dr | | |
| Todd Creek Trail | I | 1.56 | Bellevue Ave | Hunter Creek Trail | | |
| Bellevue Ave | П | 1.95 | Petaluma Hill Rd | Burgess Dr | | |
| Dutton Ave | П | 2.77 | W Robles Ave | West 3 rd St | | |
| Hearn Ave | П | 0.42 | Santa Rosa Ave | Whitewood Dr | | |
| Petaluma Hill Rd | II | 1.09 | City Limit | Barham Ave-Pressley St | | |
| Todd Rd | II | 1.51 | Santa Rosa Ave | Stony Point Rd | | |
| Yolanda Ave | П | 0.50 | Santa Rosa Ave | Petaluma Hill Rd | | |
| Wiljan Ct-Dowd Dr | III | 0.75 | Bellevue Ave | Corby Ave Extension | | |

Source: City of Santa Rosa Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan Update 2018, City of Santa Rosa, 2018; Countywide Active Transportation Plan Update Bike Route Map, Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA), 2024

Existing Transit Facilities

Santa Rosa CityBus and Sonoma County Transit (SCT) provide fixed route bus service in the Santa Rosa area. Existing transit routes and their operation are summarized in Table 2.

| Table 2 – Existing Transit Routes | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Transit | Distance | | Service | Connection | | | | |
| Agency Route | to Stop (mi) ¹ | Days of Operation | Time | Frequency | | | | |
| | Santa Rosa CityBus | | | | | | | |
| Route 3 | 0.1 | Mon-Fri Sat Sun | 6:00 AM – 7:20 PM 6:00 AM – 8:20 PM 10:00 AM – 5:20 PM | 1 hour | Santa Rosa Transit Mall, Southside Shopping Center, Santa Rosa Marketplace | | | |
| Route 5 | 0.7 | Mon-Fri Sat Sun | 6:30 AM – 8:50 PM 6:30 AM – 7:50 PM 10:30 AM – 4:50 PM | 1 hour | Santa Rosa Transit Mall, Council on Aging | | | |
| | | | Sonoma County T | ransit | | | | |
| Route 44 | oute 44 0.1 Mon-Fri 6:00 J Sat-Sun 7:00 J | 6:00 AM – 11:15 PM | 30 min – 1 hr | Santa Rosa Junior College, Sonoma State, Cotati SMART Station | | | | |
| Route 48 | | Sat-Sun 7:00 AM – 10 | 7:00 AM – 10:30 PM | 1 – 3 hours | Santa Rosa Junior College, Cotati Hub, Downtown Petaluma | | | |

Note: ¹ Defined as the shortest walking distance between the project site and the nearest bus stop Source: Santa Rosa CityBus, Sonoma County Transit



Two bicycles can be carried on the front of all Santa Rosa CityBus and SCT buses. Bike rack space is available on a first come, first served basis. Additional bicycles can be carried on Santa Rosa CityBus buses while one additional bike rack space is provided on some SCT buses.

Dial-a-ride, also known as paratransit or door-to-door service, is available for those who are unable to independently use the transit system due to a physical or mental disability. Santa Rosa ParaTransit is designed to serve the needs of individuals with disabilities within the Santa Rosa area and SCT Paratransit provides service throughout all of Sonoma County.

Collision History

The collision histories for intersections within the study area were reviewed to determine any trends or patterns that may indicate a safety issue. Collision rates were calculated based on records available from the California Highway Patrol as published in their Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) reports. The most current five-year period available is July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2024.

As presented in Table 3, the calculated collision rates for the study intersections were compared to average collision rates for similar facilities statewide, as indicated in *2021 Collision Data on California State Highways*, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), 2023. These average rates statewide are for intersections in a similar environment (urban, suburban, or rural), with the same number of approaches (three or four), and the same controls (all-way stop, two-way stop, or traffic signal). Collision rates for all three intersections were below the statewide average during the five-year period analyzed. The collision rate calculations are provided in Appendix A.

| Tal | Table 3 – Collision Rates for the Study Intersections | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study Intersection | | Number of Collisions (2019-2024) | Calculated Collision Rate (c/mve) | Statewide Average Collision Rate (c/mve) | | | | |
| 1. | Hearn Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 10 | 0.16 | 0.33 | | | | |
| 2. | US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Ave/ Santa Rosa Ave | 5 | 0.07 | 0.33 | | | | |
| 3. | Todd Rd/Santa Rosa Ave | 7 | 0.15 | 0.55 | | | | |

Note: c/mve = collisions per million vehicles entering

Pedestrian Safety

The collision history for the study area was reviewed to determine any trends or patterns that may indicate a safety issue for pedestrians. During the five-year study period, there was one reported collision involving a pedestrian at the study intersection of US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue.

Bicyclist Safety

During the five-year study period, there were four reported collisions involving a bicyclist at the study intersections, including two at Hearn Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue and two at Todd Road/Santa Rosa Avenue. Collision records show the cause of two of these crashes as vehicle right-of-way violations while one involved a wrong-way bicyclist, and one was a pedestrian right-of-way violation.



Project Data

The project includes the renovation of an existing 10,983-square-foot commercial building to transform it into a specialty marketplace that would include fresh and prepared food vending, kitchen space, offices, and dining areas. A 4,700-square-foot second story addition as well as 1,327 square feet of outdoor dining areas are also proposed. The market sales area and supporting functions, such as the meat department, will comprise approximately 10,090 square feet of the total space and about 2,168 square feet will be used for offices. The project site plan is shown in Figure 2.

Trip Generation

Although the bulk of the proposed project is a market, because there will be seating areas and prepared food can be purchased, the estimated trip generation for the proposed project was conservatively based upon standard rates published by ITE in *Trip Generation Manual*, 11th Edition, 2021, for Fast Casual Restaurant (LU #930) per staff direction. With 1,327 square feet of eating space to 10,090 square feet of preparation and sales space, the eating space is a much smaller percentage of the preparation space than is typical for a restaurant, where a ratio of one-to-one or two-to-one would be anticipated. Because the higher restaurant rates are being applied to the entire floor area and because they are not part of the building size, the proposed outdoor seating areas were not included in the floor area. The rates for a Furniture Store (LU #890) would be most appropriate for the most recent prior use.

Pass-by Trips

Some portion of traffic associated with the project would be drawn from existing traffic on Santa Rosa Avenue. These vehicle trips are not considered "new" but would instead be comprised of motorists who are already driving on the adjacent street system and choose to make an interim stop, referred to as "pass-by". The percentage of these pass-by trips is based on information provided in the *Trip Generation Manual*. The pass-by rate for a High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant (LU #932), which is the closest similar use for which rates are available, was applied. Only a p.m. peak hour rate is available; rates for the a.m. peak hour and daily were estimated based on this rate.

Total Project Trip Generation

After deducting for pass-by trips, the project is anticipated to generate an average of 1,218 daily weekday primary trips with 18 trips occurring during the a.m. peak hour and 112 occurring during the p.m. peak hour. Compared to the previous use, the proposed use would be expected to generate 1,149 net new primary trips daily, including 15 trips during the morning peak hour and 106 during the evening peak hour, as presented in Table 4.







sro654.ai 2/25

| Table 4 – Trip Generation Summary | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| Land Use | Units | Da | ily | AM Peak Hour | | PM Peak Hour | | | r | | |
| | | Rate | Trips | Rate | Trips | In | Out | Rate | Trips | In | Out |
| Prior Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Furniture Store | -10.983 ksf | 6.30 | -69 | 0.26 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0.52 | -6 | -3 | -3 |
| Proposed Use | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fast Casual Restaurant | 15.683 ksf | 97.14 | 1,523 | 1.43 | 22 | 11 | 11 | 12.55 | 197 | 108 | 89 |
| Pass-by | | -20% | -305 | -20% | -4 | -2 | -2 | -43% | -85 | -47 | -38 |
| Net Project Trips | | | 1,218 | | 18 | 9 | 9 | | 112 | 61 | 51 |
| Net New Primary Trips | | | 1,149 | | 15 | 7 | 8 | | 106 | 58 | 48 |

Note: ksf = 1,000 square feet

As the project would be expected to generate more than 50 p.m. peak hour trips, an operations analysis is required per the City's guidelines.

Trip Distribution

The pattern used to allocate new project trips to the street network was based on knowledge of the study area. The applied distribution assumptions and resulting trips associated with the proposed project are presented in Table 5.

| Table 5 – Trip Distribution Assumptions | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------------|----------|----------|--|--|--|
| Route | Percent | Daily Trips | AM Trips | PM Trips | | | |
| From/To US 101 to the north | 35% | 426 | 6 | 40 | | | |
| From/To US 101 to the south | 25% | 304 | 4 | 28 | | | |
| From/To Hearn Ave to the west | 15% | 183 | 3 | 17 | | | |
| From/To Santa Rosa Ave to the north | 15% | 183 | 3 | 17 | | | |
| From/To Todd Rd to the west | 5% | 61 | 1 | 5 | | | |
| From/To Santa Rosa Ave to the south | 5% | 61 | 1 | 5 | | | |
| TOTAL | 100% | 1218 | 18 | 112 | | | |



Circulation System

This section addresses the first transportation bullet point on the CEQA checklist, which relates to the potential for a project to conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.

Pedestrian Facilities

Site Design

According to the preliminary site plan, new sidewalks would be installed connecting the existing sidewalk on Santa Rosa Avenue to the parking lot as well as along the southern edge of the site connecting to existing sidewalks surrounding the building. A marked crosswalk is proposed to be installed across the parking lot that would provide a continuous accessible path of travel between the sidewalk on Santa Rosa Avenue and the building entrance. No changes are proposed that would conflict with City policies regarding existing or planned future pedestrian facilities.

Project Impacts on Pedestrian Facilities

Given the proximity of residential uses to the project site, it is reasonable to assume that some employees would walk, bicycle, and/or use transit to reach it. Sidewalks currently exist along both sides of Santa Rosa Avenue, including along the project frontage. The proposed pedestrian facilities in the project site plan would allow for connectivity within the site and to the existing sidewalks along Santa Rosa Avenue.

The closest marked crosswalk to the project site across Santa Rosa Avenue is located 670 feet to the north at Court Street, and another is located 0.2 miles to the south at Bellevue Avenue. Both of these crossings include refuge medians and Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFBs) that are push-button activated and provide a measure of safety for pedestrians crossing this busy arterial roadway. Although there are two new residential apartment buildings either under construction or planned on the west side of Santa Rosa Avenue that could potentially generate walking trips to the project site, the vast majority of land uses there are industrial or commercial in nature and would likely generate few, if any, walking trips to the site.

With a p.m. peak hour trip generation of 112 primary trips, it is unlikely that there would be 20 walking trips from/to the other side of Santa Rosa Avenue given the mix of land uses there. It is therefore concluded that the number of walking trips made across the roadway would be less than 20 and thus would not have an adverse impact according to the Santa Rosa General Plan 2035, Section 5.8 T-J.

Finding – The project would not conflict with any policies related to pedestrian facilities.

Bicycle Facilities

Project Impacts on Bicycle Facilities

Existing Class II bicycle facilities in the study area, together with shared use of minor streets, provide adequate access for bicyclists around the project site. Completion of the planned Class II bike lanes on Hearn Avenue and Todd Road would further improve bicycle access to the project site. No physical changes are proposed along the project's frontage that would affect existing or planned bicycle facilities.



Bicycle Storage

Per the site plan, bicycle parking would be provided by bike racks located outside the west entrance. A total of 20 short-term bicycle parking spaces are proposed, some of which would be designated for employee use. Per the *Santa Rosa City Code Section 20-36.040*, one bicycle parking space per 4,000 square feet must be provided for the "Restaurant – Counter Ordering" use. As the proposed project would include 15,683 square feet, four bicycle parking spaces would be required.

Section 20-36.090 of the Municipal Code provides further requirements and design standards for bicycle parking. When the number of spaces required is based on square footage, at least 25 percent of bicycle parking spaces must be long-term, and 50 percent must be short-term. Thus, the Municipal Code requires a minimum of one long-term and two short-term parking spaces at the project site. Further, the Code specifies that short-term bicycle parking space for bicycles to be accessed and stored. Long-term bicycle parking spots include covered bicycle lockers with a built-in locking mechanism or covered, restricted-access bicycle enclosures containing only one bicycle each.

Finding – Off-site bicycle facilities serving the project site are adequate and would be improved upon the completion of Class II bike lanes on Hearn Avenue and Todd Road. Bicycle parking or storage facilities are required by the City of Santa Rosa, and 20 bicycle parking spaces are proposed via bike racks in the project site plan, though long-term facilities are not identified.

Recommendation – Long-term bicycle parking accommodations should be provided based on the City's municipal code requirements.

Transit Facilities

Impact on Transit Facilities

Given the four available bus routes serving the project area within a one-mile radius, existing transit routes are adequate to accommodate project-generated transit trips. No modifications to the project's frontage on Santa Rosa Avenue are proposed, so the project would not conflict with any existing or planned facilities or amenities for transit.

Finding – Transit facilities serving the project site are adequate.

Significance Finding – The proposed project would not conflict with any plans or policies for transportation facilities and would provide adequate on-site pedestrian and bicycle facilities; however, this finding assumes that at least one long-term bicycle parking accommodation would be included on the project site.



The potential for the project to conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b) was evaluated based on the project's anticipated Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT).

Senate Bill (SB) 743 established the increase in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) as a result of a project as the basis for determining transportation impacts. The City of Santa Rosa has established parameters for VMT analyses in the *Vehicles Miles Traveled Guidelines Final Draft*, 2020. The City's parameters are consistent with guidance provided in the publication *Transportation Impacts (SB 743) CEQA Guidelines Update and Technical Advisory*, California Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR), 2018.

The City guidelines state that for retail projects, any increase in total VMT would be considered a significant impact. In addition, the policy notes that retail projects with a floor area less than 10,000 square feet would be presumed to have a less-than-significant VMT impact. Smaller retail projects do not draw customers from a regional level and tend to redistribute existing customer trips as new retail opportunities provide additional local destinations and result in more efficient trip patterns. The project as proposed would occupy a total of 15,683 square feet. Since this is greater than the City's significance threshold, there is the potential that the project would have a significant VMT impact, which was evaluated qualitatively.

As indicated in the trip generation analysis, an estimated 20 percent of project-generated trips are considered "pass-by", indicating that these project trips were previously existing and would therefore not add to regional VMT. In fact, such trips may have the effect of reducing VMT since customers could avoid trips to stores that require more of a diversion from their travel route.

The development context of the project was also considered to assess the trip patterns associated with the project. The project includes a grocery and casual restaurant that would serve items targeting the population living in the vicinity. According to the 2019 American Community Survey, the Census tract where the project is located has a population of 9,583 residents, of whom 46 percent are of Mexican origin. Given the availability of markets and restaurants throughout Santa Rosa that sell similar products, the proposed market would not be expected to generate new regional trips as residents outside the vicinity of the project would have access to similar shopping and dining options closer to their homes. In the more immediate area surrounding the site there is a relatively dense concentration of residential development as the transportation analysis zone (TAZ) where the project is located includes 1,796 residents that live within approximately one-quarter mile of the site, a walkable distance for most people. As a result, it is expected that many customers would access the site by walking, and those who opt to drive would typically drive relatively short distances.

While the City has the authority to apply its own thresholds for CEQA analysis, it is noted that the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR) technical advisory regarding the implementation of SB 743 provides policies that are employed by a large number of agencies throughout California. The technical advisory includes a recommended significance threshold of 50,000 square feet for retail uses, which is substantially higher than Santa Rosa's threshold. This threshold was established to reflect evidence that large-scale retail such as supermarkets and big box stores have the potential to draw from a larger geographic area, which could increase regional VMT. While smaller retail projects would conceivably generate new trips in a rural setting, Santa Rosa includes numerous markets, many of which are larger than the proposed project. As noted above, based on the specific uses proposed and the area's demographic characteristics, the proposed market appears to be more similar to local-serving uses and would therefore be expected to have more of a local customer base. Such uses tend to produce a more efficient trip pattern as residents would no longer need to leave their neighborhood to access this type of store and may result in a net reduction in VMT.

Given the size of the project, its proximity to US 101, and the overall land use context in the immediately surrounding area, it is expected that the project would be a local-serving use, would not act as a regional attractor, and would tend to redistribute existing retail trips rather than increasing regional VMT. Therefore, it is



recommended that the City consider these factors in the application of its VMT policy, as the project's impact is expected to be less than significant.

Significance Finding – Based on application of the City's VMT guidelines, the project would have a potentially significant VMT impact. Given the specifics of the proposed use, the land use context, and the population surrounding the site, it is expected that the project would be local-serving and would therefore have a less-than-significant impact on VMT.



Safety Issues

This section addresses the third transportation bullet on the CEQA checklist which is whether the project would substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment). The potential for the project to impact safety was evaluated in terms of the adequacy of sight distance at the project driveway.

Site Access

According to the project site plan, the project site would be accessed via an existing driveway on the east side of Santa Rosa Avenue approximately 260 feet south of Elsa Drive. An existing internal circulation roadway exists around the project building that would provide access to parking spaces on the west, north, and east sides of the structure.

Sight Distance

Sight distance along Santa Rosa Avenue at the project driveway was evaluated based on sight distance criteria contained in in the *Highway Design Manual* published by Caltrans. These guidelines include recommended sight distances for various conditions. Although sight distance requirements are not applicable to urban driveways, the stopping sight distance criterion was applied for safety evaluation purposes.

For the posted 40-mph speed limit on Santa Rosa Avenue adjacent to the project site, the minimum stopping sight distance needed is 300 feet. During a review of field conditions, it was determined that sight lines extend more than 300 feet in each direction at the driveway.

Finding – Sight distances along Santa Rosa Avenue at the project driveway are adequate for the approach speeds.

Significance Finding – The proposed project would not create any new hazards or introduce incompatible uses to the roadway system, so its impact on safety would be less than significant.



Emergency Access

The final transportation bullet on the CEQA checklist requires an evaluation as to whether the project would result in inadequate emergency access.

Adequacy of Site Access

Emergency vehicles would be able to enter the project site from the existing driveway on Santa Rosa Avenue. According to the City of Santa Rosa's Municipal Code, Section 20-36.080, the minimum width of driveways is 12 feet for one-way traffic and 20 feet for two-way traffic. Interior drive aisles would be 26 feet wide per the preliminary site plan, which is greater than the minimum driveway width for two-way traffic. The Santa Rosa Fire Prevention Bureau Standards specify minimum roadway turning radii of 20 feet for the inside turn radius and 40 feet for the outside turn radius. On-site roadway turning radii appear to be in accordance with the City's standards, though review and approval from the fire code official would be required as part of the entitlement process.

Finding – Internal roadway width would be adequate for two-way traffic, and it is assumed that adequate radii would be provided for turns as the site plan would require review and approval by a fire code official.

Off-Site Impacts

While the project would be expected to result in minor increases in delay for vehicles at the study intersections as detailed in the Capacity Analysis section of this report (less than five-second increases in average delay), emergency response vehicles may use their lights and sirens to bypass queued traffic and minimize the effects of intersection delay; therefore, the project would be expected to have a negligible impact on emergency response times.

Finding – The proposed project is expected to have a nominal effect on response times.

Significance Finding – The proposed project would have a less-than-significant impact on emergency response.



Capacity Analysis

Intersection Level of Service Methodologies

Level of Service (LOS) is used to rank traffic operation on various types of facilities based on traffic volumes and roadway capacity using a series of letter designations ranging from A to F. Generally, Level of Service A represents free flow conditions and Level of Service F represents forced flow or breakdown conditions. A unit of measure that indicates a level of delay generally accompanies the LOS designation.

The study intersections were analyzed using the signalized methodology published in the *Highway Capacity Manual* (HCM) 7th *Edition*, Transportation Research Board, 2022. This source contains methodologies for various types of intersection control, all of which are related to a measurement of delay in average number of seconds per vehicle. The signalized methodology is based on factors that include traffic volumes, green time for each movement, phasing, whether the signals are coordinated, and pedestrian activity. Signal timing information was provided by City of Santa Rosa and County of Sonoma staff. Average stopped delay per vehicle in seconds is used as the basis for evaluation in this LOS methodology. The ranges of delay associated with the various levels of service are presented in Table 6.

| Table 6 | Table 6 – Intersection Level of Service Criteria | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| LOS | Signalized Intersections | | | | | |
| А | Delay of 0 to 10 seconds. Most vehicles arrive during the green phase, so do not stop at all. | | | | | |
| В | Delay of 10 to 20 seconds. More vehicles stop than with LOS A, but many drivers still do not have to stop. | | | | | |
| С | Delay of 20 to 35 seconds. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many still pass through without stopping. | | | | | |
| D | Delay of 35 to 55 seconds. The influence of congestion is noticeable, and most vehicles have to stop. | | | | | |
| Е | Delay of 55 to 80 seconds. Most, if not all, vehicles must stop, and drivers consider the delay excessive. | | | | | |
| F | Delay of more than 80 seconds. Vehicles may wait through more than one cycle to clear the intersection. | | | | | |

Reference: *Highway Capacity Manual 7th Edition*, Transportation Research Board, 2022

Traffic Operation Standards

Caltrans

The intersection of US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Avenue/Santa Rosa Avenue is under the jurisdiction of the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). Caltrans does not have operations standards for intersection Level of Service as it now uses VMT as the basis for determining significant transportation impacts. The City of Santa Rosa's standards were therefore applied.

City of Santa Rosa

Section 5.8 Transportation Goals & Policy of the *Santa Rosa General Plan 2035* provides the following guidance relative to traffic operation.

- T-D-1 Maintain a Level of Service (LOS) D or better along all major corridors. Exceptions to meeting the standard include:
 - Within downtown;



- Where attainment would result in significant degradation;
- Where topography or impacts makes the improvement impossible; or
- Where attainment would ensure loss of an area's unique character.

The LOS is to be calculated using the average traffic demand over the highest 60-minute period.

Traffic Engineering Division will require a level of service evaluation of arterial and collector corridors if deemed necessary.

T-D-2 Monitor level of service at intersections to assure that improvements or alterations to improve corridor level of service do not cause severe impacts at any single intersection.

<u>General interpretation of Policy T-D-2</u>. The impact to an intersection is considered adverse if the project related and/or future trips result in:

- 1. The level of service (LOS) at an intersection degrading from LOS D or better to LOS E or F, or
- 2. An increase in average vehicle delay of greater than 5 seconds at a signalized intersection where the current LOS is either LOS E or F.
- 3. Queuing impacts based on a comparative analysis between the design queue length and the available queue storage capacity. Impacts include, but are not limited to, spillback queue at project access locations (both ingress and egress), turn lanes at intersections, lane drops, spillback that impacts upstream intersections or interchange ramps.
- 4. Exceptions may be granted under the following conditions:
 - a. Within downtown,
 - b. Where attainment would result in significant degradation,
 - c. Where topography or impacts makes the improvement impossible; or
 - d. Where attainment would ensure loss of an area's unique character.

County of Sonoma

The intersection of Santa Rosa Avenue/Todd Road is under the jurisdiction of the County of Sonoma. The following criterion published by the County of Sonoma in 2016, and updated in 2019, was applied in evaluating potential for the project to have an adverse effect on operation.

The Level of Service standard for County intersection operations is to maintain a Level of Service D or better pursuant to General Plan Policy CT-4.2. The project would have an adverse effect if the project's traffic would cause an intersection currently operating at an acceptable service level (LOS D or better) to operate at an unacceptable level (LOS E or worse).

If the intersection currently operates or is projected to operate below the County standard, the project's effect is considered adverse if it causes the average delay to increase by five seconds or more. The delay will be determined by comparing intersection operations with and without the project's traffic for the projected future conditions.

Existing Conditions

The Existing Conditions scenario provides an evaluation of current operation based on existing traffic volumes during the p.m. peak period. This condition does not include project-generated traffic volumes. Volume data was collected in October 2024 while local schools were in session.

Under Existing Conditions, all three study intersections operate acceptably at LOS D or better. The Existing traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3, and copies of the calculations are provided in Appendix B. A summary of the intersection Level of Service calculations is presented in Table 7.





Transportation Impact Study for the Masamigas Mercado Project Figure 3 – Existing and Baseline Traffic Volumes



sro654.ai 12/24

| Table 7 – Existing PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Study Intersection | Delay (seconds) | LOS | | | |
| 1. Hearn Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 32.5 | С | | | |
| 2. US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 40.0 | D | | | |
| 3. Todd Rd/Santa Rosa Ave | 28.7 | С | | | |

Note: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service

Baseline Conditions

Baseline operating conditions were assessed with traffic from approved projects in and near the study area added to the existing volumes. The following eight projects contained in the *Citywide Summary of Pending Development Report*, 2023, were included in the evaluation of Baseline Conditions. Unless stated otherwise, all projects have been approved and the same trip generation and distribution assumptions used in the traffic studies for the various projects, where available, were used in this analysis.

38 Degrees North Phase 3 Apartment Homes is a multi-family residential development project recently completed at 2660 Petaluma Hill Road that consists of 30 apartment homes. Based on rates published in the *Trip Generation Manual*, the project is expected to generate 12 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Avenue 3111 Storage and Apartments is a multi-family residential development project approved in 2022 to be located at 3111 Santa Rosa Avenue that will include 48 units of multi-family housing and a self-storage facility of 85,000 square feet. Based on the *Focused Traffic Analysis for the 3111 Santa Rosa Avenue Project,* W-Trans, 2022, the project is expected to generate 36 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Bellevue Ranch 7 is a residential project approved in 2020 to be located at 2903 Dutton Meadow that will consist of 30 single-family homes. Based on rates published in the *Trip Generation Manual*, the project is expected to generate 28 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Canine Companions Early Development Center Expansion Project is a veterinary project approved in 2021 to be located at 2965 Dutton Avenue that will consist of 21,991 square feet of veterinary-related uses. Based on rates published in the *Trip Generation Manual*, the project is expected to generate 78 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Kawana Meadows is a residential project approved in 2005 to be located at 1230 Goya Street that will consist of 62 single-family homes. Based on the *Trip Generation Manual*, the project is expected to generate 66 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Meadowood Ranch Subdivision is a small lot residential project approved in 2023 to be located at 2853 Dutton Meadow that will consist of 137 single-family homes. Based on the *Traffic Impact Study for the Meadowood Ranch Project*, W-Trans, 2021, the project is expected to generate 77 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Old Dominion is a freight transfer terminal project that will include a 17,695 square-foot building and 224,901 square feet of paving for the maneuvering and parking of trailers located at 2960 Dutton Avenue. Based on the *Trip Generation Study for the 2960 and 2970 Dutton Avenue Project,* W-Trans, 2018, the project is expected to generate 33 trips during the p.m. peak hour.

Santa Rosa Avenue Apartments is a multi-family residential development project currently under construction located at 2905 Santa Rosa Avenue that will consist of 154 apartment units. Based on the *Traffic Impact Study for the Santa Rosa Avenue Apartments*, W-Trans, 2020, the project is expected to generate 68 trips during the p.m. peak hour.



Under the baseline volumes resulting from adding trips associated with the eight projects detailed above to existing volumes, all the study intersections would be expected to operate acceptably at LOS D or better. These results are shown in Table 8, and Baseline traffic volumes are shown in Figure 3.

| Table 8 – Baseline PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | | | | | |
|--|------|---|--|--|--|
| Study IntersectionDelay (seconds)LOS | | | | | |
| 1. Hearn Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 35.9 | D | | | |
| 2. US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 43.0 | D | | | |
| 3. Todd Rd/Santa Rosa Ave | 28.8 | С | | | |

Note: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service

Project Conditions

Existing plus Project Conditions

Upon the addition of anticipated project-generated traffic volumes to existing volumes, the study intersections would be expected operate acceptably at LOS D or better with increases to delay of less than five seconds. These results are summarized in Table 9 while Existing plus Project volumes are shown in Figure 4.

| Та | Table 9 – Existing and Existing plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|--|-------|------|--------------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| Study Intersection | | Exis | ting | Existing plus Project | | | | |
| | | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | | | |
| 1. | Hearn Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 32.5 | C | 33.8 | С | | | |
| 2. | US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 40.0 | D | 41.0 | D | | | |
| 3. | Todd Rd/Santa Rosa Ave | 28.7 | C | 28.9 | С | | | |

Note: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service

Finding – The study intersections would continue operating acceptably with project traffic added to existing volumes.

Baseline plus Project Conditions

Upon the addition of expected project-generated traffic to baseline volumes, the study intersections are expected to operate acceptably at the same Levels of Service as without the project. The Baseline plus Project volumes are shown in Figure 5 and the operating conditions are summarized in Table 10.





sro654.ai 12/24

Transportation Impact Study for the Masamigas Mercado Project Figure 4 – Project Traffic Volumes





sro654.ai 12/24

Transportation Impact Study for the Masamigas Mercado Project Figure 5 – Existing plus Project and Baseline plus Project Traffic Volumes



| Table 10 – Baseline and Baseline plus Project PM Peak Hour Intersection Levels of Service | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------|--------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Study Intersection | Base | line | Baseline plus Project | | | | | | |
| | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | | | | | |
| 1. Hearn Ave/Santa Rosa Ave | 35.9 | D | 37.2 | D | | | | | |
| 2. US 101 North Ramps-Yolanda Ave/Santa Rosa Av | e 43.0 | D | 44.8 | D | | | | | |
| 3. Todd Rd/Santa Rosa Ave | 28.8 | С | 29.0 | С | | | | | |

Note: Delay is measured in average seconds per vehicle; LOS = Level of Service

Finding – The study intersections would continue operating acceptably at the same service levels as under Baseline Conditions with project traffic added.



Parking

The project was analyzed to determine whether the proposed parking supply would be sufficient for the anticipated parking demand and provide the number of spaces required by City code. According to the project site plan, 52 vehicle parking spaces would be provided with 35 spaces in the existing on-site lot and 17 spaces on the adjacent property to the north per a parking easement.

Jurisdiction parking supply requirements are based on the Santa Rosa City Code, Section 20-36.040. While the operational analysis was conservatively based on restaurant rates for the entire project, the parking analysis was broken down into discreet areas to better match the way that parking requirements are typically established. Space that is dedicated to circulation (such as halls) as well as restrooms and the electric room do not require parking. The City's code includes various land use categories that were applied to the proposed project as shown in Table 11.

| Table 11 – Parking Required | | | |
|---|----------|------------|----------------|
| Land Use Category (Project Component) | Units | Rate | Parking Spaces |
| Industry, Manufacturing and Processing, Wholesaling, less than 50,000 sf (Departments) | 5,116 sf | 1/350 sf | 14.6 |
| Retail Trade (Market) | 4,975 sf | 1/250 sf | 19.9 |
| Industry, Manufacturing and Processing, Wholesaling (Storage) | 2,070 sf | 1/1,000 sf | 2.1 |
| Services – Business, Financial, Professional (Office) | 2,216 sf | 1/250 sf | 8.9 |
| Retail Trade (Outdoor Seating) | 1,327 sf | 1/250 sf | 5.3 |
| City Required Parking Total | | | 51 |
| Proposed Parking Supply | | | 52 |

Note: sf = square feet

Finding – The proposed parking supply would exceed the City's code requirements by one space, so would be adequate to meet the City's requirements.



Conclusions and Recommendations

Conclusions

- The project would be expected to generate an average of 1,149 net new primary trips per day, including 15 a.m. peak-hour trips and 106 p.m. peak-hour trips.
- The calculated collision rates for the study intersections are below the statewide average for similar facilities, and there was one reported collision during the study period involving a pedestrian and four involving bicyclists. It does not appear that the causes of these crashes indicate a consistent safety issue.
- The project would not conflict with any policies or plans regarding pedestrian, bicycle, or transit modes of travel. Short-term bicycle parking would be provided for 20 bicycles, which exceeds municipal code requirements, but the project would need to include at least one long-term bicycle parking accommodation in order to fully comply.
- The project is expected to have a less-than-significant impact on VMT.
- Sight lines at the project driveway are adequate. No hazards would be introduced so the project would have a less-than-significant impact on safety.
- Emergency access and circulation within the project site would be adequate. The project would have a less-than-significant impact on emergency response times.
- All study intersections would operate at acceptable Levels of Service under Existing and Baseline Conditions and with traffic generated by the project added.
- The proposed on-site vehicle parking supply of 52 parking spaces would be sufficient to meet the City's code requirement of 51 spaces.

Recommendations

• The project should include a minimum of one long-term bicycle parking accommodation for use by employees.



Study Participants and References

Study Participants

| Principal in Charge | Dalene J. Whitlock, PE (Civil, Traffic), PTOE |
|---------------------|---|
| Assistant Engineer | Alyssa Labrador, EIT |
| Graphics | Jessica Bender |
| Editing/Formatting | Rebecca Mansour |
| Quality Control | Dalene J. Whitlock, PE (Civil, Traffic), PTOE |

References

2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design, Department of Justice, 2010 2021 Collision Data on California State Highways, California Department of Transportation, 2023 City of Santa Rosa Bicycle & Pedestrian Master Plan Update 2018, City of Santa Rosa, 2018 Citywide Summary of Pending Development, 2023, City of Santa Rosa Department of Planning and Economic Development, https://www.srcity.org/Archive/ViewFile/Item/4478 Countywide Active Transportation Plan, Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA), 2019 Focused Traffic Analysis for the 3111 Santa Rosa Avenue Project, W-Trans, 2022 *Highway Capacity Manual*, 7th Edition, Transportation Research Board, 2022 Highway Design Manual, 7th Edition, California Department of Transportation, 2020 Intersection Channelization Design Guide, National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report No. 279, Transportation Research Board, 1985 Method for Prioritizing Intersection Improvements, Washington State Transportation Center, 1997 Parking Generation Manual, 6th Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2023 Santa Rosa City Code, Quality Code Publishing, 2017 Santa Rosa CityBus, http://srcity.org/1661/Maps-and-Schedules Santa Rosa General Plan 2035, City of Santa Rosa, 2014 Sonoma County General Plan 2020, County of Sonoma, 2013 Sonoma County Transit, http://sctransit.com/ Southwest Area Plan, City of Santa Rosa, 1994 Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS), California Highway Patrol, 2018-2022 Traffic Impact Study for the Meadowood Ranch Project, W-Trans, 2021 Traffic Impact Study for the Santa Rosa Avenue Apartments, W-Trans, 2020 Transportation Impacts (SB 743) CEQA Guidelines Update and Technical Advisory, Governor's Office of Planning and Research, 2018 Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 2021 Trip Generation Study for the 2960 and 2970 Dutton Avenue Project, W-Trans, 2018 Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Guidelines Final Draft, City of Santa Rosa, 2020

SRO654





Appendix A

Collision Rate Calculations





This page intentionally left blank



| Collision Pate - | 5 | x 1,000 | 0,000 |
|--|---|---|-------------|
| Comsion Nate - | 38,400 x | 365 | x 5 |
| | | | |
| | Collision Rate | Fatality Rate | Injury Rate |
| Study Intersection | 0.07 c/mve | 0.0% | 100.0% |
| Statewide Average* | 0.33 c/mve | 0.6% | 47.7% |
| <u>Notes</u> ADT = average daily total v c/mve = collisions per milli * 2021 Collision Data on Ca | ehicles entering i on vehicles enteri alifornia State Hig | ntersection ng intersection hways, Caltrans | |



W-Trans

Appendix **B**

Intersection Level of Service Calculations





This page intentionally left blank

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |
|------------------------------------|
| 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy |

| | ≯ | - | \rightarrow | 1 | + | | 1 | † _ | 1 | 1 | ↓. | - |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | થ | 1 | | \$ | | ሻሻ | <u> </u> | | 5 | 44 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 367 | 5 | 721 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 255 | 928 | 0 | 8 | 797 | 358 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 367 | 5 | 721 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 255 | 928 | 0 | 8 | 797 | 358 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 500 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 345 | | 0 | 150 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.945 | | | | | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.954 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1688 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.954 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd, Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1688 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd, Flow (RTOR) | | | 190 | | 18 | | | | | | | 369 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 30 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 994 | | | 888 | | | 733 | | | 825 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 22.6 | | | 24.2 | | | 14.3 | | | 16.1 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 399 | 5 | 784 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 277 | 1009 | 0 | 9 | 866 | 389 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 49% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 203 | 201 | 784 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 277 | 1009 | 0 | 9 | 866 | 389 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | J . | | 12 | J . | | 24 | J . | | 24 | 5 |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm+ov | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | . 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | 4 |

Existing PM TIS for the Masamigas Mercado Project 3:57 pm 09/11/2024 Baseline

Synchro 11 Report Page 1

11/22/2024

| Lanes, Volumes, 1: Santa Rosa Av | Timings /e & Hea | rn Ave | /Drwy | | | | | | | | 11/ | 22/2024 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|------|-------|---------|
| | ۶ | - | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ | 1 | - | * | 1 | † | 1 | 1 | Ļ | 4 |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 8.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 12.9 | 10.9 | | 10.9 | 38.9 | 43.6 |
| Total Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 35.8 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 35.8 | 67.5 | | 10.9 | 42.6 | 43.6 |
| Total Split (%) | 32.3% | 32.3% | 26.5% | 9.6% | 9.6% | | 26.5% | 50.0% | | 8.1% | 31.6% | 32.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 39.0 | 39.0 | 30.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 30.9 | 62.6 | | 6.0 | 37.7 | 39.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | | 4.0 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | | None | C-Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 32.0 | 32.0 | | | | | | | | | 27.0 | 32.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | 16 | 5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 25.4 | 25.4 | 63.8 | | 9.0 | | 33.8 | 87.5 | | 6.2 | 51.0 | //.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.47 | | 0.07 | | 0.25 | 0.65 | | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.57 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.92 | | 0.33 | | 0.32 | 0.31 | | 0.11 | 0.65 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 58.7 | 58.2 | 41.4 | | 47.3 | | 64.1 | 10.4 | | 0.00 | 40.7 | 2.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 E0.0 | 0.0 | | 47.2 | | 0.0 | 10.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | 50.7 | 50.Z | 41.4 | | 47.5 | | 04.1 | 10.4 | | 05.U | 40.7 | Z.1 |
| LUS Approach Dolov | E | 47.2 | D | | 47.2 | | E | 22.0 | | E | 20.0 | A |
| Approach LOS | | 47.Z | | | 47.3 D | | | 22.0 | | | 29.0 | |
| Approach 200 | | U | | | D | | | U | | | U | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 1 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Reference | ed to phase 4 | :SBT and | 18:NBT, S | Start of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-C | coordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay | : 32.5 | , | | In | itersection | 1 LUS: C | - | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Util | ization 85.7% | D | | | U Level (| of Service | 9 E | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy

| ▼ Ø1 | Ø2 | \$ Ø3 | | 🛛 🕴 Ø4 (F | ٤) |
|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|-----------|----|
| 13 s | 43.6 s | 35.8 s | | 42.6 s | |
| | | Ø7 | Ø8 (R) | | |
| | | 10.9 s | 67.5 s | | |

Existing PM TIS for the Masamigas Mercado Project 3:57 pm 09/11/2024 Baseline

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings | |
|---|--|
| 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave | |

.

| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ÷. | 1 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻሻ | • | 1 | <u>5</u> | • | 1 | ሻሻ | ** | 1 | ሻሻ | ** | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 209 | 38 | 59 | 121 | 288 | 108 | 430 | 840 | 221 | 210 | 1049 | 269 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 209 | 38 | 59 | 121 | 288 | 108 | 430 | 840 | 221 | 210 | 1049 | 269 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1824 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 350 | | 160 | 130 | | 210 | 230 | | 230 | 190 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 134 | | | 174 | | | 221 | | | 114 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 40 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 461 | | | 1211 | | | 476 | | | 733 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 9.0 | | | 20.6 | | | 9.3 | | | 14.3 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 227 | 41 | 64 | 132 | 313 | 117 | 467 | 913 | 240 | 228 | 1140 | 292 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 227 | 41 | 64 | 132 | 313 | 117 | 467 | 913 | 240 | 228 | 1140 | 292 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 24 | Ū | | 12 | Ū | | 24 | Ū | | 24 | Ū |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | 6 | | | 8 | | | 4 |

Existing PM TIS for the Masamigas Mercado Project 3:57 pm 09/11/2024 Baseline

Synchro 11 Report Page 3

11/22/2024

| 2: Santa Rosa Ave | e & US 1 | 01 NE | 8 Ram | os/Yola | anda A | ve | | | | | 11/ | 22/202 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ÷. | 1 |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5. |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 9.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 9.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 9.9 | 43.9 | 9. |
| Fotal Split (s) | 26.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 23.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 18.0 | 52.0 | 26. |
| Total Split (%) | 19.3% | 31.9% | 31.9% | 12.6% | 25.2% | 25.2% | 17.0% | 42.2% | 42.2% | 13.3% | 38.5% | 19.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.1 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 12.1 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 18.1 | 52.1 | 52.1 | 13.1 | 47.1 | 21. |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3. |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1. |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4. |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lea |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Ye |
| /ehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3. |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | Non |
| Nalk Time (s) | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 32.0 | |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | | 8 | 8 | | | | | 15 | 15 | | 6 | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 14.4 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 16.7 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 23.0 | 60.3 | 60.3 | 13.5 | 50.8 | 70. |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.5 |
| //c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 0.26 | 0.80 | 0.58 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 0.86 | 0.3 |
| Control Delay | 64.9 | 39.5 | 0.8 | 70.5 | 70.9 | 2.4 | 65.0 | 31.2 | 5.4 | 70.0 | 35.6 | 7. |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. |
| Fotal Delay | 64.9 | 39.5 | 0.8 | 70.5 | 70.9 | 2.4 | 65.0 | 31.2 | 5.4 | 70.0 | 35.6 | 7. |
| LOS | E | D | А | E | E | А | E | С | А | E | D | 1 |
| Approach Delay | | 49.4 | | | 56.6 | | | 37.1 | | | 35.4 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | E | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 126 (93%), Referer | nced to phas | e 4:SBT | and 8:NB | T, Start o | of Green | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 120 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ntersection Signal Delay: | 40.0 | | | h | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | | |
| ntersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 78.7% | 1 | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e D | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave

| 6 01 | ₩ Ø2 | ↑ Ø3 | ● ↓ Ø4 (R) |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 17 s | 43 s | 23 s | 52 s |
| */* Ø5 | Ø6 | Ø7 | 1028 (R) |
| 26 s | 34 s | 18 s 57 | S |

Existing PM TIS for the Masamigas Mercado Project 3:57 pm 09/11/2024 Baseline

| 3: Santa Rosa Ave | ta Rosa Ave & Todd Rd 11/22/2024 | | | | | | | | 22/2024 | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{r} | 4 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ļ | - |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | <u>8</u> | ្ឋ | 1 | 3 | ۴. | | 3 | ** | 1 | 3 | 44 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 383 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 811 | 22 | 16 | 461 | 220 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 383 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 811 | 22 | 16 | 461 | 220 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 180 | | 180 | 0 | | 0 | 110 | | 290 | 200 | | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.929 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 241 | | 34 | | | | 137 | | | 239 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 40 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 716 | | | 780 | | | 596 | | | 492 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 19.5 | | | 21.3 | | | 11.6 | | | 8.4 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 416 | 27 | 301 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 318 | 882 | 24 | 17 | 501 | 239 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 47% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 220 | 223 | 301 | 52 | 76 | 0 | 318 | 882 | 24 | 17 | 501 | 239 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | Yes | | | Yes | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | 8 | | | 4 |

Existing PM TIS for the Masamigas Mercado Project 3:57 pm 09/11/2024 Baseline

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{r} | 1 | - | | - | 1 | 1 | - \ _ | ÷. | - |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase | _ | _ | _ | - | - | | - | - | - | - | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.(|
| Minimum Split (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 9.1 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 9.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 13.0 | 41.4 | 41.4 | 9.4 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
| Total Split (%) | 40.1% | 40.1% | 40.1% | 9.1% | 9.1% | | 13.0% | 41.4% | 41.4% | 9.4% | 37.8% | 37.8% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 7.9 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 4.0 | 32.4 | 32.4 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lac |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Mir |
| Walk Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | | | | | 17.0 | 17.0 | | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 3 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.4 | 18.4 | 18.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | | 31.8 | 54.1 | 54.1 | 5.0 | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.39 | 0.47 | | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| Control Delay | 50.1 | 50.3 | 13.8 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 38.3 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 49.9 | 39.3 | 6.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.1 | 50.3 | 13.8 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 38.3 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 49.9 | 39.3 | 6.6 |
| LOS | D | D | В | D | D | | D | В | А | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 35.5 | | | 42.7 | | | 22.9 | | | 29.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | d to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 28.7 | | | In | tersectior | LOS: C | | | | | | |
| Intersection Canacity Utiliz | ation 59 9% | | | 10 | CUL evel of | of Service | ≏ B | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Santa Rosa Ave & Todd Rd

| Ø2 | 706 | ▲ Ø3 | 🛛 🕈 Ø4 (R) | |
|--------|------|-------------|------------|--|
| 40.1 s | 9.1s | 13 s | 37.8 s | |
| | | Ø7 | 08 (R) | |
| | | 9.4s | 41.4 s | |

Existing PM TIS for the Masamigas Mercado Project 3:57 pm 09/11/2024 Baseline

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |
|------------------------------------|
| 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy |

| | ≯ | - | \rightarrow | 1 | + | * | 1 | † | 1 | 1 | ÷. | - |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | થ | 1 | | \$ | | ሻሻ | <u> </u> | | 5 | ^ | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 367 | 5 | 752 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 262 | 936 | 0 | 8 | 806 | 358 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 367 | 5 | 752 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 262 | 936 | 0 | 8 | 806 | 358 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 500 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 345 | | 0 | 150 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.945 | | | | | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.954 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1688 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.954 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1688 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 189 | | 18 | | | | | | | 362 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 30 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 994 | | | 888 | | | 733 | | | 825 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 22.6 | | | 24.2 | | | 14.3 | | | 16.1 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 399 | 5 | 817 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 285 | 1017 | 0 | 9 | 876 | 389 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 49% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 203 | 201 | 817 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 285 | 1017 | 0 | 9 | 876 | 389 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | | | 12 | , i | | 24 | | | 24 | |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | _ |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm+ov | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | 4 |

03 Existing + Project PM 5:55 pm 11/22/2024 Existing + Project

Synchro 11 Report Page 1

11/22/2024

| | • | - | \rightarrow | 1 | - | • | 1 | T., | 1 | 1 | ÷ | - |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 1 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 8.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 12.9 | 10.9 | | 10.9 | 38.9 | 43.6 |
| Total Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 35.8 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 35.8 | 67.5 | | 10.9 | 42.6 | 43.6 |
| Total Split (%) | 32.3% | 32.3% | 26.5% | 9.6% | 9.6% | | 26.5% | 50.0% | | 8.1% | 31.6% | 32.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 39.0 | 39.0 | 30.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 30.9 | 62.6 | | 6.0 | 37.7 | 39.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | | 4.0 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | | None | C-Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 32.0 | 32.0 | | | | | | | | | 27.0 | 32.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | 16 | 5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 25.4 | 25.4 | 65.2 | | 9.0 | | 35.2 | 87.5 | | 6.2 | 49.6 | 76.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.48 | | 0.07 | | 0.26 | 0.65 | | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.63 | 0.95 | | 0.33 | | 0.32 | 0.31 | | 0.11 | 0.67 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 58.7 | 58.2 | 45.0 | | 47.3 | | 64.0 | 10.5 | | 65.0 | 42.2 | 2.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 58.7 | 58.2 | 45.0 | | 47.3 | | 64.0 | 10.5 | | 65.0 | 42.2 | 2.3 |
| LOS | E | E | D | | D | | E | В | | E | D | F |
| Approach Delay | | 49.4 | | | 47.3 | | | 22.2 | | | 30.2 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | d to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | oordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.95 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 33.8 | | | In | tersectior | LOS: C | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 87.8% | | | 10 | LI evel o | of Service | ۶F | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy

| | Ø1 | 102 | \$ Ø3 | | { | Ø4 (R) | |
|---|------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--|
| 1 | .3 s | 43.6 s | 35.8 s | | 42. | 6 s | |
| | | | Ø7 | Des (R) | | | |
| | | | 10.9 s | 67.5 s | | | |

03 Existing + Project PM 5:55 pm 11/22/2024 Existing + Project

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings | |
|---|--|
| 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave | |

| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | - | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ÷. | 1 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻሻ | | 1 | 5 | • | 1 | ሻሻ | 44 | 1 | ሻሻ | 44 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 209 | 38 | 59 | 121 | 288 | 108 | 448 | 855 | 221 | 210 | 1089 | 269 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 209 | 38 | 59 | 121 | 288 | 108 | 448 | 855 | 221 | 210 | 1089 | 269 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1824 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 350 | | 160 | 130 | | 210 | 230 | | 230 | 190 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 134 | | | 174 | | | 217 | | | 113 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 40 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 461 | | | 1211 | | | 476 | | | 733 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 9.0 | | | 20.6 | | | 9.3 | | | 14.3 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 227 | 41 | 64 | 132 | 313 | 117 | 487 | 929 | 240 | 228 | 1184 | 292 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 227 | 41 | 64 | 132 | 313 | 117 | 487 | 929 | 240 | 228 | 1184 | 292 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 24 | Ū | | 12 | Ū | | 24 | Ū | | 24 | Ū |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | 6 | | | 8 | | | 4 |

03 Existing + Project PM 5:55 pm 11/22/2024 Existing + Project

11/22/2024

| | * | | ~ | | - | | | * | | τ. | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------------|--------------|---------|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | _ | - | ¥ | - F | • | | | I | 1 | | + | * |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 9.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 9.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 9.9 | 43.9 | 9.9 |
| Total Split (s) | 26.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 23.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 18.0 | 52.0 | 26.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 19.3% | 31.9% | 31.9% | 12.6% | 25.2% | 25.2% | 17.0% | 42.2% | 42.2% | 13.3% | 38.5% | 19.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.1 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 12.1 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 18.1 | 52.1 | 52.1 | 13.1 | 47.1 | 21.1 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 32.0 | |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | | 8 | 8 | | | | | 15 | 15 | | 6 | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 14.4 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 16.7 | 27.1 | 27.1 | 24.2 | 60.3 | 60.3 | 13.5 | 49.7 | 69.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.51 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.62 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 0.26 | 0.79 | 0.59 | 0.29 | 0.69 | 0.91 | 0.34 |
| Control Delay | 64.9 | 39.5 | 0.8 | 70.5 | 70.9 | 2.4 | 63.6 | 31.4 | 5.7 | 69.9 | 39.2 | 8.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 64.9 | 39.5 | 0.8 | 70.5 | 70.9 | 2.4 | 63.6 | 31.4 | 5.7 | 69.9 | 39.2 | 8.0 |
| LOS | E | D | А | E | E | А | E | С | А | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 49.4 | | | 56.6 | | | 37.2 | | | 38.0 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | E | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 126 (93%), Referen | nced to phas | e 4:SBT | and 8:NB | T, Start c | of Green | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| intersection Signal Delay: | 41.0 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 80.3% | 1 | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | e D | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave

| √ Ø1 | ₩ Ø2 | 1 Ø3 | 🚽 🖉 Ø4 (R) |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|
| 17 s | 43 s | 23 s | 52 s |
| 2 / A Ø5 | ▲ Ø6 | Ø7 | ₩08 (R) |
| 26 s | 34 s | 18 s | 57 s |

03 Existing + Project PM 5:55 pm 11/22/2024 Existing + Project

| 3: Santa Rosa Ave | & Todo | d Rd | | | | | | | 11/22/20 | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ļ | ~ | | | |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | | | |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ર્સ | 1 | 5 | 1, | | 3 | 44 | 1 | 3 | 44 | 1 | | | |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 401 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 814 | 22 | 16 | 464 | 235 | | | |
| Future Volume (vph) | 401 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 814 | 22 | 16 | 464 | 235 | | | |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | | | |
| Storage Length (ft) | 180 | | 180 | 0 | | 0 | 110 | | 290 | 200 | | 200 | | | |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | | |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | | | |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.929 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | | | |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | | | |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 240 | | 34 | | | | 137 | | | 255 | | | |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 40 | | | | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 716 | | | 780 | | | 596 | | | 492 | | | | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 19.5 | | | 21.3 | | | 11.6 | | | 8.4 | | | | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | | | |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 436 | 27 | 301 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 318 | 885 | 24 | 17 | 504 | 255 | | | |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 47% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 231 | 232 | 301 | 52 | 76 | 0 | 318 | 885 | 24 | 17 | 504 | 255 | | | |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | | | |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | | | |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | | | | |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | | | |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | | | |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | | | |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | | | |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | | | |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | | | |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | | | | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | 8 | | | 4 | | | |

03 Existing + Project PM 5:55 pm 11/22/2024 Existing + Project

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{i} | < | - | | • | Ť | - | 1 | Ţ | 4 |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 00. |
| Switch Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | | 5 | 0 | 0 | ' | - | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.(|
| Minimum Split (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 9.1 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 9.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 13.0 | 41.4 | 41.4 | 9.4 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
| Total Split (%) | 40.1% | 40.1% | 40.1% | 9.1% | 9.1% | | 13.0% | 41.4% | 41.4% | 9.4% | 37.8% | 37.8% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 7.9 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 4.0 | 32.4 | 32.4 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Mir |
| Walk Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | | | | | 17.0 | 17.0 | | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 3 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 19.1 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 7.5 | 7.5 | | 31.1 | 53.7 | 53.7 | 4.9 | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| //c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.47 | | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 50.1 | 49.8 | 13.5 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 39.2 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 39.4 | 6.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.1 | 49.8 | 13.5 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 39.2 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 50.6 | 39.4 | 6.6 |
| LOS | D | D | В | D | D | | D | В | Α | D | D | ŀ |
| Approach Delay | | 35.6 | | | 42.7 | | | 23.3 | | | 28.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | I to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ntersection Signal Delay: | 28.9 | | | In | tersectior | n LOS: C | _ | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 60.5% |) | | IC | U Level o | of Service | ЭB | | | | | |

| 4 _{Ø2} | 7 Ø6 | ▲ Ø3 | 🔮 🖗 Ø4 (R) |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| 40.1 s | 9.1s | 13 s | 37.8 s |
| | | Ø7 | 🕼 🖉 |
| | | 9.4s | 41.4 s |

03 Existing + Project PM 5:55 pm 11/22/2024 Existing + Project

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |
|------------------------------------|
| 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy |

| | ≯ | - | \rightarrow | - | + | | 1 | † | 1 | 1 | - - | 1 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|----------|------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ર્સ | 1 | | \$ | | ሻሻ | <u> </u> | | 5 | ** | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 393 | 5 | 785 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 319 | 941 | 0 | 8 | 815 | 386 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 393 | 5 | 785 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 319 | 941 | 0 | 8 | 815 | 386 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 500 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 345 | | 0 | 150 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.945 | | | | | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.953 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1686 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.953 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd, Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1686 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 189 | | 18 | | | | | | | 313 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 30 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 994 | | | 888 | | | 733 | | | 825 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 22.6 | | | 24.2 | | | 14.3 | | | 16.1 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adi, Flow (vph) | 427 | 5 | 853 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 347 | 1023 | 0 | 9 | 886 | 420 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 49% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 218 | 214 | 853 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 347 | 1023 | 0 | 9 | 886 | 420 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | J . | | 12 | J | | 24 | J . | | 24 | 5 |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm+ov | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | 4 |

02 Baseline PM 5:45 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline

Synchro 11 Report Page 1

11/22/2024

| | * | | ~ | | - | | | * | | 1 | 1 |) |
|---|--------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|--------|-------|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| | | - | | - | - | | | T | 1 | * | ÷ | * |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 8.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 12.9 | 10.9 | | 10.9 | 38.9 | 43.6 |
| Total Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 35.8 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 35.8 | 67.5 | | 10.9 | 42.6 | 43.6 |
| Total Split (%) | 32.3% | 32.3% | 26.5% | 9.6% | 9.6% | | 26.5% | 50.0% | | 8.1% | 31.6% | 32.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 39.0 | 39.0 | 30.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 30.9 | 62.6 | | 6.0 | 37.7 | 39.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | | 4.0 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.6 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | Lac |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | | None | C-Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 32.0 | 32.0 | | | | | | | | | 27.0 | 32.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | 16 | 5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 26.3 | 26.3 | 67.3 | | 9.0 | | 36.4 | 86.7 | | 6.2 | 47.5 | 75.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.50 | | 0.07 | | 0.27 | 0.64 | | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.56 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.97 | | 0.33 | | 0.37 | 0.31 | | 0.11 | 0.71 | 0.41 |
| Control Delay | 59.2 | 58.4 | 47.7 | | 47.3 | | 64.4 | 11.3 | | 65.0 | 44.6 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delav | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.2 | 58.4 | 47.7 | | 47.3 | | 64.4 | 11.3 | | 65.0 | 44.6 | 4.1 |
| LOS | E | E | D | | D | | E | В | | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 51.5 | | | 47.3 | | | 24.8 | | | 31.8 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | d to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 35.9 | | | In | tersection | LOS: D | | | | | | |
| laters a still an Oan a site of Hilling | ation 00 10/ | | | 10 | NUL I | 10 1 | - | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy

| V Ø1 | Ø2 | \$ Ø3 | | • | Ø4 (R) | |
|-------------|-------|--------------|--------|---|--------|--|
| 13 s 43 | 3.6 s | 35.8 s | | 4 | 2.6 s | |
| | | Ø7 | Ø8 (R) | | | |
| | | 10.9 s | 57.5 s | | | |

02 Baseline PM 5:45 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |
|---|
| 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave |

| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ÷. | ~ |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻሻ | • | 1 | 5 | • | 1 | ሻሻ | ** | 1 | ሻሻ | ** | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 237 | 59 | 77 | 122 | 298 | 125 | 443 | 865 | 223 | 229 | 1084 | 299 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 237 | 59 | 77 | 122 | 298 | 125 | 443 | 865 | 223 | 229 | 1084 | 299 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1824 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 350 | | 160 | 130 | | 210 | 230 | | 230 | 190 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 134 | | | 174 | | | 217 | | | 106 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 40 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 461 | | | 1211 | | | 476 | | | 733 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 9.0 | | | 20.6 | | | 9.3 | | | 14.3 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 258 | 64 | 84 | 133 | 324 | 136 | 482 | 940 | 242 | 249 | 1178 | 325 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 258 | 64 | 84 | 133 | 324 | 136 | 482 | 940 | 242 | 249 | 1178 | 325 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 24 | | | 12 | | | 24 | | | 24 | |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | 6 | | | 8 | | | 4 |

02 Baseline PM 5:45 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline

11/22/2024

| Z. Santa Rosa Ave | εα 03 | | кат | JS/ 1 01a | anua A | ve | | | | | 11/ | 22/2024 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------|---------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | ≯ | - | \rightarrow | 4 | - | * | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | ↓. | - |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | Ę |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vinimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 9.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 9.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 9.9 | 43.9 | 9.9 |
| Total Split (s) | 26.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 23.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 18.0 | 52.0 | 26.0 |
| Total Split (%) | 19.3% | 31.9% | 31.9% | 12.6% | 25.2% | 25.2% | 17.0% | 42.2% | 42.2% | 13.3% | 38.5% | 19.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.1 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 12.1 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 18.1 | 52.1 | 52.1 | 13.1 | 47.1 | 21.1 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 32.0 | |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | | 8 | 8 | | | | | 15 | 15 | | 6 | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.6 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 11.9 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 22.8 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 13.5 | 49.2 | 69.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.17 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.52 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.29 | 0.83 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.76 | 0.91 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 64.7 | 39.5 | 1.7 | 102.1 | 71.4 | 4.0 | 67.4 | 32.9 | 5.9 | 71.6 | 40.6 | 8.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 64.7 | 39.5 | 1.7 | 102.1 | 71.4 | 4.0 | 67.4 | 32.9 | 5.9 | 71.6 | 40.6 | 8.9 |
| LOS | E | D | А | F | E | А | E | С | А | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 47.7 | | | 62.8 | | | 39.0 | | | 39.1 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | E | | | D | | | D | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 126 (93%), Referer | nced to phase | se 4:SBT | and 8:NB | T, Start o | of Green | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ntersection Signal Delay: | 43.0 | | | h | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | | |
| ntersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 81.4% | Ď | | 10 | CU Level | of Servic | e D | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave

| Ø 1 | ₩ Ø2 | ↑ Ø3 | 🛛 🕈 Ø4 (R) | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------|------------|--|
| 17 s | 43 s | 23 s | 52 s | |
| ₽ Ø5 | 4 [∞] Ø6 | Ø7 | Ø8 (R) | |
| 26 s | 34 s | 18 s | 57 s | |

02 Baseline PM 5:45 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline

| 3: Santa Rosa Ave & Todd Rd 11/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | 22/2024 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------|--------------|----------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | ۶ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ŧ | ~ |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | <u>8</u> | ្ឋ | 1 | <u>8</u> | î. | | 3 | ** | 1 | 3 | ** | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 392 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 812 | 22 | 16 | 462 | 227 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 392 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 812 | 22 | 16 | 462 | 227 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 180 | | 180 | 0 | | 0 | 110 | | 290 | 200 | | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.929 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 241 | | 34 | | | | 137 | | | 247 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 40 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 716 | | | 780 | | | 596 | | | 492 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 19.5 | | | 21.3 | | | 11.6 | | | 8.4 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 426 | 27 | 301 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 318 | 883 | 24 | 17 | 502 | 247 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 47% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 226 | 227 | 301 | 52 | 76 | 0 | 318 | 883 | 24 | 17 | 502 | 247 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | Yes | | | Yes | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | 8 | | | 4 |

02 Baseline PM 5:45 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{r} | 1 | - | | 1 | † | 1 | - \ | ÷. | - |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|------------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | | | | - | - | | - | - | - | | | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.(|
| Minimum Split (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 9.1 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 9.4 | 37.4 | 37.4 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 13.0 | 41.4 | 41.4 | 9.4 | 37.8 | 37.8 |
| Total Split (%) | 40.1% | 40.1% | 40.1% | 9.1% | 9.1% | | 13.0% | 41.4% | 41.4% | 9.4% | 37.8% | 37.8% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 7.9 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 4.0 | 32.4 | 32.4 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lao |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Mir |
| Walk Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | | | | | 17.0 | 17.0 | | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 3 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.7 | 18.7 | 18.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 | | 31.4 | 53.9 | 53.9 | 5.0 | 21.7 | 21.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.47 | | 0.57 | 0.46 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.66 | 0.46 |
| Control Delay | 50.3 | 50.1 | 13.6 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 38.9 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 39.1 | 6.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.3 | 50.1 | 13.6 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 38.9 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 50.2 | 39.1 | 6.6 |
| LOS | D | D | В | D | D | | D | В | А | D | D | ŀ |
| Approach Delay | | 35.6 | | | 42.7 | | | 23.1 | | | 28.9 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | d to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 28.8 | | | In | tersectior | LOS: C | | | | | | |
| Intersection Canacity Itiliz | ation 60.2% | | | 10 | | of Service | R | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Santa Rosa Ave & Todd Rd

| 4 ₀₂ | 7 Ø6 | 1 Ø3 | 🛛 🕈 Ø4 (R) | |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--|
| 40.1 s | 9.1s | 13 s | 37.8 s | |
| | | Ø7 | Ø8 (R) | |
| | | 9.4s | 41.4 s | |

02 Baseline PM 5:45 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |
|------------------------------------|
| 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy |

| | ≯ | - | \rightarrow | 1 | + | | 1 | † _ | 1 | 1 | ÷. | - |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | થ | 1 | | \$ | | ሻሻ | <u> </u> | | 5 | ^ | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 393 | 5 | 816 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 326 | 949 | 0 | 8 | 824 | 386 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 393 | 5 | 816 | 8 | 16 | 17 | 326 | 949 | 0 | 8 | 824 | 386 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 500 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 345 | | 0 | 150 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 0 | | 0 | 2 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.945 | | | | | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.953 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1686 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.953 | | | 0.990 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1686 | 1583 | 0 | 1743 | 0 | 3433 | 5085 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 188 | | 18 | | | | | | | 308 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 30 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 994 | | | 888 | | | 733 | | | 825 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 22.6 | | | 24.2 | | | 14.3 | | | 16.1 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 427 | 5 | 887 | 9 | 17 | 18 | 354 | 1032 | 0 | 9 | 896 | 420 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 49% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 218 | 214 | 887 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 354 | 1032 | 0 | 9 | 896 | 420 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | J . | | 12 | J | | 24 | J . | | 24 | 5 |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | pm+ov | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | . 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | 4 |

04 Baseline + Project PM 5:57 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline + Project

Synchro 11 Report Page 1

11/22/2024

| | ≯ | | ~ | 1 | - | | • | † | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|-------|----------|-----|------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SB |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | |
| Switch Phase | 2 | 2 | Ū | | | | Ū | Ū | | | - | |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 8.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 8.0 | 8. |
| Minimum Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 12.9 | 10.9 | | 10.9 | 38.9 | 43. |
| Total Split (s) | 43.6 | 43.6 | 35.8 | 13.0 | 13.0 | | 35.8 | 67.5 | | 10.9 | 42.6 | 43. |
| Total Split (%) | 32.3% | 32.3% | 26.5% | 9.6% | 9.6% | | 26.5% | 50.0% | | 8.1% | 31.6% | 32.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 39.0 | 39.0 | 30.9 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 30.9 | 62.6 | | 6.0 | 37.7 | 39.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.f |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | | 4.0 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | | Lead | Lag | | Lead | Lag | La |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | | None | C-Min | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | | | | | 7.0 | 7. |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 32.0 | 32.0 | | | | | | | | | 27.0 | 32.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | | 16 | ę |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 26.3 | 26.3 | 69.1 | | 9.0 | | 38.3 | 86.7 | | 6.2 | 45.7 | 73.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.51 | | 0.07 | | 0.28 | 0.64 | | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.54 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.65 | 0.98 | | 0.33 | | 0.36 | 0.32 | | 0.11 | 0.75 | 0.42 |
| Control Delay | 59.2 | 58.4 | 51.3 | | 47.3 | | 63.3 | 11.4 | | 65.0 | 46.9 | 4.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.2 | 58.4 | 51.3 | | 47.3 | | 63.3 | 11.4 | | 65.0 | 46.9 | 4.4 |
| LOS | E | E | D | | D | | E | В | | E | D | - 1 |
| Approach Delay | | 53.8 | | | 47.3 | | | 24.7 | | | 33.5 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.98 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 37.2 | | | In | tersectior | LOS: D | - | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 92.3% |) | | | U Level o | DI Service | 3 1 | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 1: Santa Rosa Ave & Hearn Ave/Drwy

| ₩ø1 | 102 W | 3 Ø3 | 🗣 🖤 Ø4 (R) |
|------|--------|---------------|------------|
| 13 s | 43.6 s | 35.8 s | 42.6 s |
| | | Ø7 Ø8 (R) | • |
| | | 10.9 s 67.5 s | |

04 Baseline + Project PM 5:57 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline + Project

| Lanes, Volumes, Timings |
|---|
| 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave |

| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ÷. | 1 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ሻሻ | | 1 | 5 | • | 1 | ሻሻ | 44 | 1 | ሻሻ | 44 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 237 | 59 | 77 | 122 | 298 | 125 | 461 | 880 | 223 | 229 | 1124 | 299 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 237 | 59 | 77 | 122 | 298 | 125 | 461 | 880 | 223 | 229 | 1124 | 299 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1824 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 350 | | 160 | 130 | | 210 | 230 | | 230 | 190 | | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.97 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3433 | 1863 | 1583 | 1770 | 1863 | 1583 | 3433 | 3539 | 1583 | 3296 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 134 | | | 174 | | | 213 | | | 106 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 35 | | | 40 | | | 35 | | | 35 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 461 | | | 1211 | | | 476 | | | 733 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 9.0 | | | 20.6 | | | 9.3 | | | 14.3 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 258 | 64 | 84 | 133 | 324 | 136 | 501 | 957 | 242 | 249 | 1222 | 325 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 258 | 64 | 84 | 133 | 324 | 136 | 501 | 957 | 242 | 249 | 1222 | 325 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 24 | | | 12 | | | 24 | | | 24 | |
| Link Offset(ft) | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | | | 0 | |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Two way Left Turn Lane | | | | | Yes | | | | | | | |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 | 15 | | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | Cl+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | | 1 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | 6 | | | 8 | | | 4 |

04 Baseline + Project PM 5:57 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline + Project

11/22/2024

| 2: Santa Rosa Ave | | UT NE | Ram | DS/YOI | anda A | ve | | | | | 11/ | 22/2024 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | ≯ | - | $\mathbf{\hat{z}}$ | 4 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | ÷. | - |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBF |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Switch Phase | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vinimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Vinimum Split (s) | 9.9 | 42.9 | 42.9 | 9.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 9.9 | 35.9 | 35.9 | 9.9 | 43.9 | 9.9 |
| Fotal Split (s) | 26.0 | 43.0 | 43.0 | 17.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 23.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 18.0 | 52.0 | 26.0 |
| Fotal Split (%) | 19.3% | 31.9% | 31.9% | 12.6% | 25.2% | 25.2% | 17.0% | 42.2% | 42.2% | 13.3% | 38.5% | 19.3% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 21.1 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 12.1 | 29.1 | 29.1 | 18.1 | 52.1 | 52.1 | 13.1 | 47.1 | 21.1 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| ost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | None |
| Nalk Time (s) | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | | 7.0 | 7.0 | | 7.0 | |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | | 31.0 | 31.0 | | | | | 24.0 | 24.0 | | 32.0 | |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | | 8 | 8 | | | | | 15 | 15 | | 6 | |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 15.6 | 31.4 | 31.4 | 11.9 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 23.9 | 58.5 | 58.5 | 13.5 | 48.1 | 68.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.10 | 0.36 | 0.51 |
| //c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.29 | 0.82 | 0.62 | 0.30 | 0.76 | 0.97 | 0.38 |
| Control Delay | 64.7 | 39.5 | 1.7 | 102.1 | 71.4 | 4.0 | 65.9 | 33.2 | 6.2 | 70.8 | 47.4 | 8.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 64.7 | 39.5 | 1.7 | 102.1 | 71.4 | 4.0 | 65.9 | 33.2 | 6.2 | 70.8 | 47.4 | 8.9 |
| LOS | E | D | А | F | E | А | E | С | А | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 47.7 | | | 62.8 | | | 39.0 | | | 43.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | E | | | D | | | D | |
| ntersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 13 | 35 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 126 (93%), Referer | nced to phas | se 4:SBT | and 8:NB | T, Start c | of Green | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 130 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | oordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vaximum v/c Ratio: 0.97 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ntersection Signal Delay: | 44.8 | | | Ir | ntersectio | n LOS: D | | | | | | |
| ntersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 83.0% | 1 | | 10 | CU Level | of Service | еE | | | | | |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 2: Santa Rosa Ave & US 101 NB Ramps/Yolanda Ave

| Ø1 | ₩ Ø2 | | Ø 3 | Ø4 (R) | |
|----------------|-------------|------------------|------------|--------|--|
| 17 s | 43 s | | 23 s | 52 s | |
| ₽ Ø5 | | < ∞ Ø6 | Ø7 | Ø8 (R) | |
| 26 s | | 34 s | 18 s | 57 s | |

04 Baseline + Project PM 5:57 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline + Project

| 3: Santa Rosa Ave | & Todo | d Rd | | | | | | | | | 11/ | 22/2024 |
|----------------------------|--------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | ≯ | - | \mathbf{F} | 4 | + | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ļ | - |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 5 | ર્સ | 1 | 5 | ĥ | | 3 | ^ | 1 | 5 | 44 | 1 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 410 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 815 | 22 | 16 | 465 | 242 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 410 | 25 | 277 | 48 | 37 | 33 | 293 | 815 | 22 | 16 | 465 | 242 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 180 | | 180 | 0 | | 0 | 110 | | 290 | 200 | | 200 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 0 | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 25 | | |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Frt | | | 0.850 | | 0.929 | | | | 0.850 | | | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.958 | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | | 0.950 | | |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1681 | 1695 | 1583 | 1770 | 1730 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 | 1770 | 3539 | 1583 |
| Right Turn on Red | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes | | | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | | | 239 | | 34 | | | | 137 | | | 263 |
| Link Speed (mph) | | 25 | | | 25 | | | 35 | | | 40 | |
| Link Distance (ft) | | 716 | | | 780 | | | 596 | | | 492 | |
| Travel Time (s) | | 19.5 | | | 21.3 | | | 11.6 | | | 8.4 | |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 446 | 27 | 301 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 318 | 886 | 24 | 17 | 505 | 263 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (%) | 47% | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 236 | 237 | 301 | 52 | 76 | 0 | 318 | 886 | 24 | 17 | 505 | 263 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Lett | Right |
| Median Width(ft) | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | | | 12 | _ |
| LINK UTISET(IT) | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | | | 16 | |
| Crosswark Width(It) | | 10 | | | 10 | | | Vee | | | Vee | |
| Hoodway Leit Turn Lane | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1 00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turping Speed (mph) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Number of Detectors | ا ما | Z | Right | l off | Thru | | l off | Thru | Right | l oft | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | | 20 | 100 | 20 | 20 | 100 | 20 |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | | 20 | 6 | 20 | 20 | 6 | 20 |
| Detector 1 Type | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex | CI+Ex |
| Detector 1 Channel | OF EX | OF EX | OF EX | OI EX | OT EX | | OF EX | OI - EX | 01-24 | OT EX | 01-24 | OT EX |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | | | 94 | |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | | | 6 | |
| Detector 2 Type | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | | | CI+Ex | |
| Detector 2 Channel | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | | | 0.0 | |
| Turn Type | Split | NA | Perm | Split | NA | | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 2 | 2 | | 6 | 6 | | 3 | 8 | | 7 | 4 | |
| Permitted Phases | | | 2 | | | | | | 8 | | | 4 |

04 Baseline + Project PM 5:57 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline + Project

Lanes, Volumes, Timings

Synchro 11 Report Page 5

| | ٦ | | ~ | 1 | - | | • | † | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | EDI | EDT | EDD | W/DI | MDT | W/DD | NDI | | NDD | CDI | CDT | CDE |
| | CDL | | EDR | VVDL | | WDR | INDL | | | JDL 7 | 301 | 000 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | b | U | | 3 | ð | ð | 1 | 4 | 4 |
| Minimum Initial (c) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 10 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.0 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 4.0 | 37 / | 37 / |
| Total Solit (s) | 40.1 | 40.1 | 40.1 | 9.1 | 9.1 | | 13.0 | 20.1 /1 / | 20.1 41.4 | 9.4 | 37.8 | 37.9 |
| Total Split (%) | 40.1% | 40.1% | 40.1% | 9.1% | 9.1% | | 13.0% | 41.4% | 41.4 | 9.4% | 37.8% | 37.8% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 7 9 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 4.0 | 32 / | 32 / |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4 1 | 4 1 | 4 1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | | 4.1 | 4 1 | 4 1 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| ost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Lead/Lag | | | | | | | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lao |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | | | | | | | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min |
| Walk Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | | | | | 6.0 | 6.0 | | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 29.0 | 29.0 | 29.0 | | | | | 17.0 | 17.0 | | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | 1 | 1 | | 3 | 3 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 19.3 | 19.3 | 19.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | | 30.9 | 53.5 | 53.5 | 4.8 | 21.5 | 21.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.08 | | 0.31 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.47 | | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.66 | 0.48 |
| Control Delay | 50.1 | 49.8 | 13.4 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 39.5 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 51.1 | 39.5 | 6.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.1 | 49.8 | 13.4 | 51.9 | 36.4 | | 39.5 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 51.1 | 39.5 | 6.6 |
| LOS | D | D | В | D | D | | D | В | А | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay | | 35.7 | | | 42.7 | | | 23.4 | | | 28.7 | |
| Approach LOS | | D | | | D | | | С | | | С | |
| Intersection Summary | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Area Type: | Other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length: 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced | I to phase 4 | :SBT and | 8:NBT, S | tart of Gr | een | | | | | | | |
| Natural Cycle: 110 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control Type: Actuated-Co | ordinated | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intersection Signal Delay: | 29.0 | | | In | tersection | n LOS: C | | | | | | |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation 60.8% | 0 | | 10 | CU Level of | of Service | eΒ | | | | | |

Splits and Phases: 3: Santa Rosa Ave & Todd Rd

| 4 ₀₂ | 7 Ø6 | 1 Ø3 | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------|--------|--|
| 40.1 s | 9.1s | 13 s | | 37.8 s | |
| | | Ø7 | | Ø8 (R) | |
| | | 9.4 s | 41.4 | s | |

04 Baseline + Project PM 5:57 pm 11/22/2024 Baseline + Project