



February 3, 2021

To: Santa Rosa City Council

From: Naomi Fuchs, CEO, Santa Rosa Community Health

**RE: 24-Hour Safe Parking Ordinance**

---

To our esteemed City Leaders –

I am writing to express my strong support for the Safe Parking Ordinance under consideration by the City Council.

Santa Rosa Community Health (SRCH) provides comprehensive primary care to more than 40,000 low-income and uninsured people residing in the greater Santa Rosa area, thousands of whom are experiencing homelessness. We have a dedicated clinical team and outreach staff that focus on providing care to people who are unhoused. This is a core part of our mission and we are dedicated to ensuring that all people have access to care – no matter what.

Additionally, SRCH has a health center located on Lombardi Court. For the past many months there has been a significant homeless encampment (mostly RVs) on Lombardi Court. This encampment has created an environment where our staff and patients no longer feel safe coming and going from the clinic. Many of our patients walk to the health center along the entire street through the encampment, as do all of our 120 employees who work there because we reserve our limited parking for patients. There are needles, garbage and feces being left on the sidewalk and around the outside of the health center. Several weeks ago, a person encamped on the street assaulted one of our contract employees.

We already have had to assign two security guards to monitor the clinic and we are investing in additional lighting and possibly cameras to create a safer environment. We have worked closely with the City Police, the Housing and Community Services Director, and the HOST team to try to address the situation. They have done their very best, but their hands are tied because they have no place to move the encamped people to.

A 24-Hour Safe Parking ordinance would provide a safe and supported place for people to park their cars and RVs and provide a resource for the City staff to safely move people to an alternate location with consequences if they don't. We are dedicated to caring for all people – and we need all patients and staff to be able to receive care without fear or exposure to unsafe conditions.

**From:** [Nancy Andrews](#)  
**To:** [CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Homeless problems--see email below  
**Date:** Thursday, February 4, 2021 1:58:23 PM

---

Dear Council Members,

I am attaching a copy of the email from my prospective tenant who was so excited to move into our space and has now canceled after doing a second look in the early morning hours finding the homeless problem is even worse than what it was the day before. We have lost two huge tenants due to Covid which is unfortunate and can't be fixed quickly. This is a problem that can be remedied and has not yet been. I do not accept the response that nothing can be done until the shelter in place is lifted, these people deserve shelter. It does not need to be in my doorway. I have cleaned up all the foil wrappers from the meth heads, human feces repeatedly and picked up untold amounts of garbage left on our property. **This is unacceptable on so many levels.**

I did hear from an existing tenant that they received a letter from the city asking if no parking at night would bother their business. This was a thoughtful offer. As a property owner, NOTHING was sent to me. Nevertheless, it is a first step and appreciated.

I rarely see police monitoring the situation. I am not faulting them, their job must be heartbreaking.

Humans deserve shelter, clean food, sanitary facilities, and water. They are getting NONE of this and we all suffer because they are suffering so tremendously.

Property owners deserve their proportionate share of the City services that we pay for--this includes a governmental safety net for these poor people at such a desperate time. We can't pay our property taxes if we don't have income. We can't keep our building if we can't attract businesses. If we can't keep our building, you don't get paid.

Please be on notice that your efforts so far are failing and you have to change tactics--just as the surviving businesses are doing.

No businesses equals no jobs and more homeless families.

I want to hear back from each of you about something **new** that you are trying to help these people. Don't bother telling me that the shelter was closed down because of an outbreak. I know that and it is STILL NO EXCUSE. You should have had back up plans in the event that an outbreak occurred.

Regretfully,

Nancy Andrews  
Owner 3150 Industrial Drive

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 4, 2021 12:43 PM  
**To:** Carla Scimemi <[carla.scimemi@kidder.com](mailto:carla.scimemi@kidder.com)>  
**Subject:** Re: 3501 Industrial Way in santa Rosa- 9:00 Monday

**EXTERNAL**

Ok thank you Carla . I have decided to not go forward with the space at this time. I drove by this morning and the homeless people were sleeping in front argyle door of both spaces. The motor homes never moved . It got worse in the last few days. We have thousands of dollars in equipment and I also don't want my clients to have to look at this while getting worked on . You've been very helpful and I appreciate every thing you did . Good luck and I wish you and your clients the best .

**From:** [Jean Bashi](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Signed Petition to Remove & Relocate South Park Homeless Encampments  
**Date:** Saturday, February 6, 2021 4:16:28 PM

---

Jean Bashi  
[REDACTED]  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404

**From:** [Denise Hill](#)  
**To:** [CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Cc:** [CMOffice](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Agenda Item #3.2 Homeless Programs - Study Session  
**Date:** Sunday, February 7, 2021 11:15:13 AM

---

Mayor and City Council,

We are writing to provide input for the **February 9 study session on current and proposed homeless programs**. As residents of the St. Rose Neighborhood (one of the two neighborhoods most impacted in our city by the homeless and homeless services - the other being West End), we know first-hand that what the City has been doing has yet to translate into less folks living on our streets. Clearly, the Housing First model has not performed as expected and should not be the only model we use. For that reason, we look forward to the city attempting different approaches to address this issue. The Cahoots program is a good first step in trying something else. As we all struggle to determine what programs will result in getting more folks sheltered and off our streets, here are some suggestions and concerns I hope you will consider:

1. **Safe Parking:**

- It is encouraging to see the City propose implementing this program in each district. However, I'm concerned that the location staff is proposing for District 2 (the Burbank Medical Center) is on the border of the downtown area even though District 2 covers a large area east and south of downtown. Santa Rosa Fire Station #4 seems a more appropriate location than one so close to downtown. Also, with the majority of services already in our downtown District 5, even adding parking at City Hall is questionable. I would suggest the Bennett Valley Senior Center is a better location for District 5 since there is probably another year or more before that property is developed.
- The "Housing Focused" option would be our preference, otherwise, we aren't getting these folks out of their cars and off the streets. We are just warehousing them on City property. It also provides security services which would allay some of the concerns residents of other district may have to accepting this program near their neighborhoods. To take it one step further, I would hope the city would make it a requirement that in order to use the Safe Parking lots users must accept alternative forms of shelter or housing if offered.

2. **RV Parking Ordinance**

- Yes to every part of this ordinance! although we'd like the city to provide more detail on why it would cost \$100K annually. Has the possibility of using SRPD trained volunteers to help reduce cost been considered (similar to the abandoned car program)? Also, can some or all of the funds come out of the SRPD budget since I believe calls regarding illegal RV parking are currently handled by the SRPD?
- We would strongly suggest a contract with an additional towing company capable of towing RVs. Currently, it is our understanding the City currently only contracts with one company that can tow RV's which delays the response time.

### 3. Alternative Shelter

- Consider adding a daytime shelter so folks have a place to go once they leave the facilities that only provide night accommodations.
- Is there any data on the (County's?) Fairgrounds program? What about data outlining the results of the Los Guilicos Village? As a matter of fact, it still states on the County's web page that the Los Guilicos program was to end in April 30, 2020. <https://sonomacounty.ca.gov/Homeless-Emergency/Los-Guilicos-Village/>
- Even the City's web page on last year's Safe Social Distancing program at the Finley Center program doesn't list metrics for what is considered a success and detailed data on results beyond stating "154 people served". The quote below is from this City webpage: <https://srcity.org/3355/Safe-Social-Distancing-Program>

"The City is working closely with the program operator, Catholic Charities, to find shelter placements for all individuals currently residing at the SSDP prior to the closure of the site."

How many people were able to find shelter placement through Catholic Charities? If this was a goal, shouldn't those numbers be posted with a breakdown of permanent vs temporary shelter?
- Finally, the City's posted data needs to be kept current. This link to the Homeless Services Dashboard has no information for 2020: <https://santarosa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/opsdashboard/index.html#/4d1b5c1c64ef4d72b10d65cdb9114fbf>

Without data, we have no evidence how successful any programs have been in permanently moving people off our streets. If an RFQ is put out for a service provider to run a similar facility, it needs to include expectations using metrics and timelines for providing data (quarterly at a minimum). Not only is this to provide transparency for residents, but to be able to determine if the program is successful. For the amount of \$ that the City is spending to fund homeless programs, residents need to know that they are working.

### 4. Other

- I urge the City to make sure the outgoing phone message for HOST is professional and provides the information that should be left by the caller to have an effective response. Both the HOST and HEAP contractors should be required to post a ticket for each call with details on when they interacted with a person or encampment and the results (as much as can be legally publicized) similar to the My Santa Rosa program: <https://santarosa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/CrowdsourceReporter/index.html?appid=1d9c9a4184c1437a8ac2dd5d41e3ce61>
- There needs to be an acknowledgement by the Department of Housing & Community Services that encampments next to neighborhoods cause quality of life issues for residents in those neighborhoods. These include needles, feces, and trash on sidewalks, theft of items from our front yards and porches, blocking pedestrian and bicycle routes, etc. Staff from that department should be reaching out to those neighborhoods near encampments on a regular

basis to see what they can do to mitigate these issues. Additionally, we should not have to report encampments in areas where they are chronic. If the City has swept an area, it should be checked regularly to insure encampments have not returned. (Example: the under crossings of Hwy 101 in downtown SR)

- Any facility that provides homeless services in our city should be required to have a Conditional Use Permit covering pertinent items such as hours of operation, parking guidelines, security measures, number of staff to be on site, 24-hour phone line, keeping area around premises clean and free of loitering, etc.
- It is imperative that any homeless services provided by the City require a commitment from the recipients to accept services to get help get them off the street. Otherwise, the City may see a “build it and they will come” situation that will increase the population living on our streets exponentially. Additionally, any groups or organizations the City partners with, CANNOT be enabling folks to live on the streets (by providing food and other supplies when shelter has been offered by the city and/or coaching them on how to refuse services).
- Lastly, our City should avoid having this discussion as if Santa Rosa is the *only* municipality able to provide homeless services. Other cities in Sonoma County and the County needs to step up and help carry the load. As long as the majority of services are in our city, we will have the majority of the homeless living on our streets.

Best,

*Denise Hill*

*Joe Lilienthal*

**From:** [Jennifer Herman](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#); [Fleming, Victoria](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Agenda item 3.2  
**Date:** Sunday, February 7, 2021 6:26:48 PM

---

Hello,

I support using public land in all districts to provide safe parking and housing for unhoused folks, including here in District 4.

Thank you,  
Jennifer Herman FNP  
she/her pronouns

--

Jennifer Nicole Herman, MSN, RN, FNP-BC



**From:** [Sharon Smith](#)  
**To:** [CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Alternative Shelter  
**Date:** Sunday, February 7, 2021 9:31:09 PM

---

Mayor & Council Members:

Please consider a Brookwood Health Center, E Street Lot 6 as a RV overnight parking.

This would allow residents access to services located within walking distance. Stores, medical & other services are a necessity.

Onsite services for laundry, showers, garbage service & RV holding tank disposal should be provided.

As a resident of the West Side, I feel we have accepted more than our share of homeless housing. Both legal & illegal sites.

A Place to Play does not offer convenient services within walking distance.

Finley Community Park neighbors have made their contribution.

Thank you for your time & consideration of my requests.

Sharon Ridley-Smith

[REDACTED]  
Santa Rosa, California 95401

707 [REDACTED] Home

707 [REDACTED] Cell



**From:** [Kathryn Jurik](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Item 3.2 Homeless Services Study  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 9:09:50 AM

---

Thank you for taking time to look at 100 properties for Safe Parking Santa Rosa. I appreciate that the map includes all 7 districts. I expect that you are considering the people already living in RVs in Santa Rosa in the writing of an ordinance to ban RV street parking. Just in case, it is imperative that you consider inviting and notifying these residents before fining/ticketing/impounding their homes. Will there be enough RV spaces in the Safe Parking areas to accommodate the current RV population? Will the timing of the opening of the RV Safe Parking come before the ordinance against street parking? If not, there is still a lot of moral work to be done here Also, if the expectation is that everyone in an RV will see signs posted at the edges of town, please rethink that and find a way to get the word to RV dwellers through street outreach.

Kathryn Jurik  
Santa Rosa Resident

**From:** [Jolynn J. Lauer](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Item 3.2 Homeless Services Study  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 10:50:53 AM


---

It's essential to provide space for our homeless and the safe parking is a great solution. However, NOT until people have been given ample warning and direction as to where to move their RVs before any towing is done. Posted signs aren't helpful for people who are already parked.

Thank you for moving ahead conscientiously on this issue.

Regards,

Jo Lauer



Santa Rosa, CA 95404

**From:** [Jorge DaCosta](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Fw: funding for Roseland library branch  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 11:16:29 AM

---

Jorge DaCosta  
Santa Rosa, CA  
[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Jorge DaCosta  
**Sent:** Monday, February 8, 2021 11:14 AM  
**To:** cc-comment@srcity.org  
**Subject:** funding for Roseland library branch

Esteemed City Council Members:

I am writing to support using \$10 million of the PG&E settlement to fund the permanent Roseland library. What a great way to welcome Roseland to Santa Rosa. I believe there is a need for a branch specific to the needs of this community and to extend the services of the often-overburdened central branch.

Thank you,

Jorge DaCosta  
Santa Rosa, CA  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [Asher](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Agenda Item 3.2 -- Homeless Services Update  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 11:43:09 AM

---

Re Agenda Item 3.2 Homeless Services Update:

Dear Councilmembers,

I will be paying attention to your deliberations starting this week on shelter and services for our homeless fellow residents.

I am pleased to see the well-crafted staff report from HCS Manager Kelli Kuykendall that can encourage you to move ahead with purpose in well-chosen directions.

As for all government projects affecting lives, I emphasize the critical importance of getting input directly from the targeted and affected population(s) and not just their advocates, research studies, and public comments like mine.

In your prioritization, I urge use of various forms of temporary shelter including tents and safe parking of autos and RVs that can be acceptable stopgaps when coupled to strong and consistent social services. Sites throughout the city should be chosen for safety, accessibility to community resources, food, and other necessities without bowing to politics.

Beyond stopgap measures, I look ahead to re-purposing existing properties with or without habitable structures for affordable housing including tiny houses and rehabbed existing structures. Overall, I support long-term solutions built on demonstrated models that are sustainable, and affordable.

Although perhaps not as simple as desired, I urge use of a cost-per-person metric that incorporates direct costs for shelter and services, avoided costs for medical care and law enforcement, and includes the benefits of productive employment, education and civic participation. The value to our image as a place where citizens and government create good outcomes may be harder to measure, but I want to be able to raise a glass to that.

Homelessness has a reputation as an insoluble problem. I disagree and look to your leadership for workable solutions consistent with our values and common sense. Make us proud!

Thank you,

Asher R. Sheppard

[REDACTED]  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405-7438

H: 707 [REDACTED]

W: 707 [REDACTED]

Mobile: 909 [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

**From:** [Nick Goyhenetche](#)  
**To:** [\\_CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Cc:** [heatherleigh.jackson72](#); [Alicia Roman](#); [Michael Gause](#); [Kuykendall, Kelli](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Safe parking YES  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 12:09:27 PM

---

Dear Santa Rosa City Council members,

I would like to voice my strong support for Safe Parking sites for the homeless in Santa Rosa.

There is a pervasive homeless population in our city that is not being served by the current approach. We really are in need of a new strategy. Sweeping these struggling people from spot to spot makes it nearly impossible for them to catch their breath, to find some stability and security in order to even begin the complex process of reassembling their lives.

Add to this the financial cost of ongoing sweeps with no end in sight.

Quite simply we need to try a new approach and Safe Parking is a great start.

I would also like to strongly encourage the use of a variety of homeless advocacy groups in order to introduce fresh ideas and strategies into the mix. For example Acts of Kindness organizes passionate volunteers to feed hundreds of homeless in Santa Rosa at no cost to the city. This organizing approach gets things done at a minimal cost by empowering people. We love what we do!

Land, restrooms, water and trash pickup; providing in these fundamental resources is going to deliver the largest return on investment. Lets simplify things and address the most basic needs of our homeless population through the designation of Safe Parking locations with simple amenities in Santa Rosa.

A big thank you for your time and your service to our community.

Sincerely,

Nick Goyhenetche  
707 486 8734  
Acts Of Kindness

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

**From:** [Mary Goe](#)  
**To:** [\\_CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] SW Community Park and safe parking for homeless  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 1:05:25 PM

---

Hello

I am writing to express my concern over a proposed parking program at the SW Community Park. It seems to me that the South West area of Santa Rosa is already overburdened with homeless issues. We have the largest homeless shelter in the north bay here. It would seem more equitable to spread the parking programs evenly throughout the City. This park already has some issues. And the fact that it is used mostly by people of color lends the perception that the City is purposely concentrating a troubled group of individuals in a part of town they rarely if ever visit.

Please reconsider.

Thanks

Mary Balcerak

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Kathleen Finigan](#)  
**To:** [\\_CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION REAFFIRMS NEED TO RESPECT OF HOMELESS HUMAN RIGHTS  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 1:13:27 PM  
**Attachments:** [RESOLUTION CHR \(Homelessness - FINAL\).pdf](#)

---

Dear Councilmembers:

I'm greatly saddened to say that there has been little to no progress on this issue since the first CHR resolution in August 2018.

I suggest that if Santa Rosa wants to take advantage of tourist dollars this year having been named by the New York Times as one of the best places to visit in 2021, you will take immediate steps to create **stability** for our many homeless still sleeping on the city's public lands every night by creating the villages described by the Commission. As we know, tourists have named the homeless crisis here as one the main problems they have with coming to Santa Rosa.

I hasten to add that humanitarian considerations should always come first but in reality that doesn't seem to be the case.

I also want to be clear that I speak for Homeless Action! not the Commission.

I'm looking forward to seeing some positive outcomes from the Council this week.

Best,

Kathleen

## Sonoma County Commission on

### Human Rights

Jerry Threet, Chair

February 8, 2021

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

### **Sonoma County Commission on Human Rights Reaffirms Need to Respect Human Rights of People Experiencing Homelessness**

Pointing to an ongoing human rights crisis impacting unhoused residents of our county, the Sonoma County Commission on Human Rights on January 26, 2021 again called for authorities in the County to respect the rights of the homeless and to take specific steps to help resolve the crisis throughout the County. The Commission wrote its original resolution on this issue on August 28, 2018 based on its own findings as well as convincing evidence of systematic violations presented by the advocate group Homeless Action!

In December 2020, Homeless Action! presented new testimony to the Commission showing that such violations were still a regular occurrence. The 2021 Commission resolution cites the disbanding of encampments, frequently without advance written notice and without appropriate efforts to store and preserve the belongings of evicted campers. A recent example was the January 25, 2021 surprise eviction of campers at Olive Park when sub-zero temperatures were forecast for that night, followed by torrential rains and wind



from an atmospheric river due to hit the following day. One observer said that she stood in shock with campers as they watched their tents, blankets and warm clothing being destroyed by police, leaving them without essential belongings necessary to survive the weather.

When the Commission published its first resolution in 2018, then Chair Kevin Jones said that "It was clear that members of our community face threats on a daily basis due to lack of shelter, and that as a community we are not meeting our responsibility to provide sufficient resources to ensure that each person's right to housing is met." He added that "we have been witness to actions that we believe make individual situations worse among the shelterless, increasing risks to safety and health and reducing a sense of dignity and support of people for whom viable options for housing do not exist."

The new resolution also formally requests that the County of Sonoma and the City of Santa Rosa "immediately cease all actions that violate the fundamental human rights of the unhoused residents of our county, and further that they act to immediately fund and create in all county and city districts safe camping area for immediate use by refugees, with security, hygiene and sanitation facilities, trash collection, housing navigation and all other supporting health and life services as needed."

"Among the most basic human rights of all people is the right to shelter and respite from the elements, and a modicum of privacy," said Jerry Threet, current Chair of the Commission on Human Rights. "By focusing on disbanding encampments without providing housing, local governments deprive such residents of stability and their ability to secure these most basic human rights. It also exacerbates vulnerability to COVID infection during a public health pandemic. It is well past time that local governments stop hounding those who have no realistic alternative to camping in public, and instead provide basic facilities that will allow the unhoused to keep their campsites clean and healthy. 'First, do no harm' should guide all our actions toward the homeless."

# # #

**NOTE: THE FULL RESOLUTION IS ATTACHED BELOW**

**Media contacts:**

Katrina Phillips, Vice Chair, Commission on Human Rights  
[kiakaha@tutanota.com](mailto:kiakaha@tutanota.com)  
213 254 8870

Kathleen Finigan , Homeless Action!  
[gofinniego@gmail.com](mailto:gofinniego@gmail.com)  
707 480 6201

--

Be kind whenever possible.

It is always possible.


*HH Dalai Lama*

--

Be kind whenever possible.

It is always possible.

*HH Dalai Lama*



**RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE CONTINUING NEED TO RESPECT THE  
HUMAN RIGHTS OF PEOPLE IN SONOMA COUNTY EXPERIENCING  
HOMELESSNESS**

WHEREAS, the fundamental human right to housing is recognized in Article 25 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and affirmed by Article 11(1) of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights; and

WHEREAS, U.N. Article 9 guarantees that persons with disabilities have equal access to the physical environment, transportation, information and communications, and the Fourth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution prohibits unreasonable searches and seizures, and the Eighth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution prohibits cruel and unusual punishment; and

WHEREAS, homelessness has become a crisis of historic proportions and options to address the needs of all those without shelter in Sonoma County are woefully inadequate, including the needs of a significant portion of persons experiencing homelessness who require special accommodations due to their physical and/or mental disabilities; and

WHEREAS, local governments have consistently fallen short of the community's obligation to honor the human rights of all residents, and homeless encampments on public lands have been largely forced to exist without local government providing the most basic resources needed to maintain health, such

as essential hygiene comprising access to clean water, restrooms, or other necessities such as security and wraparound services; and

WHEREAS, the Sonoma County Commission on Human Rights on August 28, 2018, resolved and formally requested that the County Board of Supervisors and the Santa Rosa City Council designate an appropriate number of "safe camping areas for immediate use by refugees, with security, hygiene and sanitation facilities, trash collection, housing navigation and all other supporting health and life services as needed," and further resolved and requested that officials in all jurisdictions work to designate such authorized areas in all county and city districts, with adequate funding to preserve Constitutional and ADA rights, yet such solutions have yet to be initiated; and

WHEREAS, the Commission also resolved that the Board of Supervisors and Santa Rosa City Council "with all due haste create and fund sanctioned transitional villages with small living structures with windows and lockable doors" with all services described above as part of the "logical continuum of the Housing First model designed to provide permanent housing solutions for all homeless individuals who seek housing," yet such solutions have yet to be initiated; and

WHEREAS, the County of Sonoma and the City of Santa Rosa are currently subject to the terms of a Preliminary Stipulated Injunction *Vannucci et al. v. County of Sonoma et al.*, which includes requirements for notice and offer of adequate shelter before enforcement action is taken against certain people living outdoors on public property, as well as protections for the personal property of those people; and the terms of the Injunction will remain in effect through July 2021, and

WHEREAS, the Supreme Court of the United States in 2019 upheld the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision in *Martin v. Boise*, which guaranteed the rights of people camping on public property when adequate shelter is not available, and

WHEREAS, temporary shelter options still remain severely inadequate to meet the needs of 2,000+ unsheltered people in Sonoma County, and local governments have failed to fulfill the requests of this Commission's previous resolutions for relief, and the human rights of people experiencing homelessness still are not respected, and

WHEREAS, in the most recent example of disregard for the human rights of unsheltered residents of Sonoma County, the City of Santa Rosa recently has begun summarily disbanding encampments, without notice and without any effort to store or preserve the belongings of homeless campers, thereby destroying key possessions necessary to survive outdoors (such as tents, blankets, and coats), at a time when government officials know a severe rain storm with below freezing temperatures is imminently approaching;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT, on Tuesday, January 26, 2021, the Sonoma County Commission on Human Rights again formally requests that the County of Sonoma and the City of Santa Rosa immediately cease all actions that violate the fundamental human rights of the unhoused residents of our county, and further, that they act to immediately fund and create safe camping havens and transitional villages as described above in all geographic areas of the county, and further, that they provide Covid-19 safe winter shelter, warming tents, sanitation and Porto-potties, trash receptacles and removal, and safe parking/sanctioned encampment if permanent housing is unavailable, as well as vaccine priority.

.

## PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

We, the neighbors in the South Park district of Santa Rosa, are extremely concerned about the rapid growth of people moving their mobile homes and building makeshift tents in our residential neighborhood.

We respectfully request authorities to remove the encampments that are impacting our ability to enjoy a peaceful, healthy and safe home. As reported to the City on numerous occasions, this situation is resulting in piles of debris, bags of garbage, drug dealing, drug paraphernalia, mail and property theft, fire hazards, and food and human waste littering our streets and sidewalks. This is more than a blight on our neighborhood. This situation is unsafe, unhealthy and scary for residents.

The City, County and homeless programs have received millions of dollars to address these issues. We want the City of Santa Rosa to create and execute a plan for each district that is aimed at safety for all. For the people living on streets, or in RVs, cars or tents, managed sites providing transitional shelter are needed to replace encampments in undesignated public spaces. Encampments on public property such as the Joe Rodota Trail and under City overpasses have been deemed a health hazard. South Park is a residential neighborhood where kids play in the streets and neighbors walk their dogs. We are not aware of any other residential neighborhood with extent of this situation we are experiencing in South Park. We are an under-resourced neighborhood, and it is shockingly inequitable to have this situation go unaddressed by County and City officials.

Our security, safety and health are at risk. The amount of garbage and crime have increased to the point children cannot play outdoors safely since they may encounter used syringes or a bag of human waste. Neighbors cannot go for their daily walks for fear of being intimidated, accosted, or witnessing illegal activities.

We are proud to live in one of the most ethnically and culturally diverse areas of the City. Neighbors have been actively working together to make South Park a better place to live. We have sponsored events for the local kids, expanded our community garden, and coordinated several cleanups to bring neighbors together and help improve our neighborhood. After all our efforts, it is devastating to have non-residents move in, trash our streets, engage in illegal activities, and show no respect for our neighborhood.

We, the undersigned South Park residents, want the City to address this situation without delay so we can once again enjoy our neighborhood without fear or safety concerns. We look forward to your timely response.

**From:** [Melissa Salini](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Cc:** [Annette Arnold](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] South Park Petition to Relocate Homeless Encampment  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 1:38:38 PM

---

Dear Civic Leaders,

Please review the attached signed petition that I, Melissa Salini, worked on last week - visiting both residents and small business owners in the area.

Thank you in advance for reviewing this important material,



Melissa Salini, Associate Clinical Social Worker and South Park Resident

PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature

Print Name	Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404	Signature
Nathan Boemler		
TRISFA CHRISTENSEN		
Sarah Cole		
Corey Morandin		
Jerame Daper		
William Ripley		
Chris VanDerzee		
Roberto Gonzalez		
RICHARD CONDER		
<del>JEFF MAYO</del>		
RON LARSEN		
YORK SACCOMANNO		
Zak Murphy		
Al. Blair Hartman		
Allyn Lechwick		
Robert Fuller		
Jackie Cano		
Jorge Reyes		
Cheryl Hennessey		






PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Imprimir nombre

Dirección postal en Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Firma

Lazaro Aguilera  
maria Santana  
John T. Woods  
Melissa Marshall  
Craguellyn Palmer  
Kathleen Lennon  
Jonathan Heysek  
Melissa Salini  
Chelsie Winder  
Jose Coevas



1

**From:** [Trish Tatarian](#)  
**To:** [CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] SW Community Park and safe parking for homeless  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 1:39:16 PM

---

Good Afternoon,

I am writing to say no against the proposed parking program at the SW Community Park. There are several other areas in Santa Rosa that could be used for this designation, particularly those areas that have burned and are not being developed, such as the old K-Mart shopping center and the mobile home park on the east side of HWY 101. Our neighborhood is already having issues with homelessness in our parks. The proposed parking program at the SW Community Park is not an appropriate venue for homeless parking.

Thank you,

Trish Tatarian

**From:** [katie moore](#)  
**To:** [CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Safe homeless parking study session comments  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 1:56:14 PM

---

Dear Santa Rosa City Council,

I am writing regarding Tuesday's study session on safe parking for homeless.

As a resident who is impacted by nearby homeless encampments, thank you for considering this measure. Here are my questions:

1. Is this a night only parking situation? If so, how will that help address the many non-running RVs parked around Santa Rosa if they cannot move their vehicles daily?
2. Will there be a curfew at the safe parking sites? Will there be a drug and alcohol use policy?
3. Will the parks designated as safe parking sites be closed to the public? If not, how will you ensure public safety at these park sites?
4. How will you address all of the RV and tent camp dwellers who refuse services? They are in the majority.
5. Will non-running RVs be towed? If so, do you plan to add another RV towing service? The current one is overwhelmed with requests.
6. How do you envision proposed overnight street parking changes (permits for RVs, etc) will limit long-term parking and help with the problems of people living on the streets?

While I want to support this program, there are many questions that are unanswered about whether this will help. Northwest Community park is near my home, as well as a growing encampment next to Raley's shopping center at Fulton and Guerneville Roads. I have spoken with SRPD as well as HOST on numerous occasions about this encampment. Both are very frustrated, as 'residents' have been coached by groups such as Acts of Kindness on refusing services and shelter. I have been told by HOST and SRPD that only one or two people from that site (which houses easily 20) have accepted services. SRPD characterized that site as a "drug den" and said that residents just want to party and get high all day. SRPD also stated that the Raley's shopping center has a problem with theft and shoplifting since that encampment grew. So how will a move to safe parking sites help clear an encampment such as this where its residents are service resistant and not interested in getting a hand up, and where most of their RVs appear to be non-functioning and are never moved?

Additionally, I would like to see hard data about previous city cites such as Finley. How many people from this site moved into into permanent supportive housing? Of those, how many stayed in that housing, and for how long?

Thank you for your time.

**Katie Moore**  
**Principal**  
**The Energy Alliance Association, Inc. (TEAA)**  
**1415 Fulton Road #476**

**Santa Rosa, CA 95403**  
**Cell: 707-322-0171**

**From:** [Janet powers Wolfe](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Item 3.2 homeless service study  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 2:21:38 PM

---

I support a tier one priority on designating multiple sites for safe parking for our homeless citizens. I cook for the homeless at st Vincent's earlier and now at Sebastopol grange and delivered food to joe rhodora trail and los gillicos and volunteered at SSU last summer. I know and care about This population and needs our compassion and help.  
Thanks janet powers wolfe

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [susan@bernalbeach.com](mailto:susan@bernalbeach.com)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Agenda item 3.2 Homeless Study session  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 2:23:09 PM

---

Dear City Council: I have only lived in lovely Santa Rosa one year (Coffey Park) but my feeling, as a migrant from San Francisco, has been that Santa Rosa's problems -- including homelessness -- seem less intractable than those of San Francisco.

I applaud you for trying to improve the lives of the homeless in Santa Rosa, and I hope to become involved in trying to help. A couple of things stand out:

1. Is there any way your staff could produce readable, narrative reports on what Santa Rosa is doing and considering doing? The "update presentation" was so full of acronyms and jargon that it's hard for new residents who want to get involved to understand.
2. The RV Parking ordinance seems like a waste of money and time. It seems to just regulate, but not create more shelter.
3. Is it time to admit that we never seem to be able to reach the long-term solutions to homelessness, and should shift our resources to lower-cost solutions like really good safe parking, RVs and tiny homes?
4. It seems like Santa Rosa could really harness the new Covid money for the homeless!

Regards,

Susan Stern  
[REDACTED]  
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

**From:** [gailsimons@aol.com](mailto:gailsimons@aol.com)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Item 3.2 Homeless Services Study  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 2:37:39 PM

---

I propose a step-approach on public land, with small groups of people living in tents (Finley), cars and RVs (Safe Parking), and temporary structures (Los Guilicos). We need to do all of these if we're ever going to have tourists here again.

**Safe Parking** lots on small properties, scattered throughout the City could bring a sense of order to the community, and freedom from harassment for those residing in them. I have experience monitoring a Safe Parking lot on Dave Berto's property near Coddington for several years. **We did well without the expense of security.** When there was a problem that the residents could not resolve, the police were called—the same as most all of us do in our neighborhoods. I was surprised to find three security guards in the tiny parking lot at Hotel Azura at 2:30p several days ago. This seems an excessive expense of our limited funds. A pair of guards, rotating around to several locations could be more than enough.

Thank you,  
Gail Simons

 Santa Rosa 95405

TO: City of Santa Rosa City Council

FROM: Asya Sorokurs, Homelessness Prevention Attorney, Legal Aid of Sonoma County

**RE: Public Comment on Agenda Item 3.2, Homeless Services Programming Update**

Dear Council Members,

I work closely with the homeless population in the City of Santa Rosa to remove legal barriers to housing. One of the questions I hear most often is “Where can I safely park my RV?” A significant part of our homeless population lives in their vehicles or RVs and recently this has risen with loss of housing due to fires, higher cost of living, and health risks related to the pandemic.

I urge you to support low-barrier safe parking throughout the city for as many households as possible. As identified in the staff report, there are good choices for sites located in every part of Santa Rosa. Removing vehicles and RVs that people live in from our streets costs the city money and deprives our neighbors of their homes. Risk of contracting and spreading COVID-19 remains a danger and congregant living is not a viable option for many of our residents due to age or disability. It is especially vital to provide Safe parking is an important and concrete step towards reducing homelessness in our city.

An RV ordinance, such as the one outlined in the staff report, is a step backward in the fight against homelessness. This would further criminalize homelessness since many of our neighbors have no choice but to live in their RVs. Requiring permits would be a further burden on the poorest in our community. If more people are made homeless by being unable to park and/or pay permit fees, the city would have to spend even more on homeless services. I hope that you will reject this proposal as unjust and unfair to our most vulnerable residents.

Sincerely,

Asya Sorokurs

Homelessness Prevention Attorney,

Legal Aid of Sonoma County



**From:** [gailsimons@aol.com](mailto:gailsimons@aol.com)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Item 3.2 Homeless Services Study  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 3:13:59 PM

---

City Council Study Group,

Please, please, please don't let the City spend \$100K, **or one penny**, on an RV Parking Ordinance for our city. This will add a level of confusion, bureaucracy for the community and people already homeless that would be cruel.

We can't put an orange net fence around our town, as we have at Fremont Park, to cure our problems. It is too simple an answer...and no answer.

Our focus needs to be on finding safe locations for homeless residents, where we can meet them to bring services and create some order for all. Scattering people who need services is counterproductive.

Let's start with Safe Parking lots, small ones, throughout our city, until we can build adequate housing/shelter for all. Then we can work on jobs programs, mental health, and drug rehab programs.

Thank you for your work.

Gail Simons

 Santa Rosa, 95405

**From:** [Eileen Bill](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Cc:** [eileen Bill](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Item 3.2 Homeless Services study  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 4:01:51 PM

---

Thank you for designating areas for Safe Parking! These projects have proven to be very successful and a tremendous support for those who use them. The participants do not have to worry about finding a secure place to spend the night, not being hassled by the police or neighbors to move and are in the secure company of others. The Safe Parkers can monitor themselves and protect the others and may only call for assistance when needed.

Even more ideal would be to have 24/7 spots instead of just nighttime. This would help the participants to save money so they could move on to more permanent housing and it protects the environment from excess pollution.

But, at least set aside the spaces for nighttime use right now. This is such a valuable program - ask us at Homeless Action! and the participants.

Thank you!  
Eileen Bill  
Santa Rosa



**From:** [Ramona Crinella](#)  
**To:** [\\_CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Safe parking for Homeless  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 4:05:51 PM

---

Dear Council members,

Many of the homeless in Santa Rosa have addiction problems, according to a survey I have seen more than 70%.

The “safe parking” should not be located near parks or libraries or where kids or the elderly are. There are many bare acres at the Sonoma County Administration Center, the former Sonoma County Honor Farm and the Sonoma County Fairgrounds.

Ramona Crinella

**From:** [Eva Quiroz](#)  
**To:** [CityCouncilListPublic](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] RV Parking sites  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 4:38:23 PM

---

Hello City Council,

I am writing you in regards to the RV parking sites being discussed.

Before I go on, I would like to thank you for allowing the clearing of the recent encampments. I know and understand that this is not the solution to our homeless problem, but it does provide the folks who live, and work in the surrounding areas a reprieve from all that goes on in those encampments, especially at night.

One would ask how would I know what goes on at night in those encampments...

I do. I know it all too well. 20 plus years of Meth use, and the revolving jail door, I know. None of what happens in those places is good, or safe for anyone.

I was blessed to get clean when Judge Dale was running drug court, back when everything was so much smoother. I guess this is why I have always supported the blue. It was through the judicial system that my life was spared, and I was able to get clean.


I understand things are different today, and approaches to solving this problem is going to have to be different as well.

Today I work for the Orenda Center detox, where many of those from the encampments have come in for some of our services, thankfully, we have rules that are enforced by staff. With that being said, I ask just one thing in hopes that I will be heard, as someone who knows the ins and outs of addiction, and recovery.

I ask that where ever those parking RV's end up at to please please please, let there be some good strong rules. A curfew is a big one that helps keep things calmer and safer for everyone there. I wish we could enforce no drug use, but I feel as though that would be asking too much, and how disheartening is that.

I would like to thank you for your time in reading my email. Stay safe.

Eva Quiroz

  
Santa Rosa CA. 95401

**From:** [Lindsay Goldenberg](#)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Safe Parking  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 4:27:21 PM

---

Dear City Council,

I am writing to advocate for safe parking for our homeless population. In addition, it would be helpful to provide services for those who find themselves in this situation. I've experienced living near homeless who are in Rvs or vehicles parking. Many of these people had unfortunate situations that put them in this place and just some basic needs met would pull them out of this situation. Reliable water sources for showers can give people the leg up they need for employment. Sonoma county residents are concerned and want to come to good solutions.

Thank you,  
Lindsay Goldenberg

**From:** [southparksantarosacbi@gmail.com](mailto:southparksantarosacbi@gmail.com)  
**To:** [City Council Public Comments](#); [Alvarez, Eddie](#); [Rogers, Chris](#)  
**Cc:** [Daniel Weinzveg](#)  
**Subject:** [EXTERNAL] Petition to have homeless camp relocated from South Park residential neighborhood  
**Date:** Monday, February 8, 2021 4:39:40 PM  
**Attachments:** [South Park Homeless Petition.pdf](#)

---

Good afternoon,

Attached is a petition signed by more than 125 South Park neighbors to see if we can have the homeless camp relocated out of our residential neighborhood. This is more than a blight on our neighborhood. This situation is unsafe, unhealthy and scary for residents.

Here are a few comments from South Park residents:

Sharon Neal:

The friend drove down Rutledge Avenue today and just called me. She lives in Bennett Valley area and she was appalled by what she saw. She said you could see pride of ownership in the way many of the houses were maintained and then to have that mess was just disgusting.

Chelsie Winder:

I live on Ware ave, right next to the biggest camper/tent problem on Rutledge and I'm close to having to move if it doesn't get better. I've had multiple cars broken into and have had thousands of dollars worth of tools stolen in the last few months and it's such a horrible feeling. Things have gotten much worse the past 3 weeks and I don't feel safe anymore. I love the neighborhood itself and hope things improve so I can stick around!

Laura Larque:

This morning I took a walk and found this outside my house. <Picture of grocery cart with several big plastic bags containing of filthy garbage and human waste>. A homeless person abandoned his/her garbage outside my house and when I tried to move it, one bag fell out of the cart and it smells very bad. The cart is lacking a wheel and now I am stuck with a pile of debris I did not cause. My question is: who will help me remove it, who will make sure it does not happen again?

As I took my walk, a homeless woman screamed at me because I was walking on "her side of the street and how dare I walk with my mask on"! Intolerable, is all I can say right now.

Dorothy R:

Harriet said when she did her drive by she had to stop in the middle of the street because some guy on a bicycle carrying a bike was hogging the road. He glared at her when he went by her car. She found it very intimidating.

Jonathan Heysek:

Laura, my partner and I have experienced much of what you are expressing frustration about. We live right near the Ware/Rutledge intersection and view/experience this challenge daily.

You might think that offering weekly/bi-weekly dumpster service for the displaced in our area would be a basic start to helping us manage our beloved neighborhood, though I imagine that may also encourage more dumping . I want to express my heartfelt gratitude to you all for your continued efforts to ensure we are all safe while also communicating how painful it is (emotionally/mentally) to see so many humans suffering just outside of our doorsteps.

Sendy Castillo:

I'm a parent and am afraid for my kids to walk to far from or ride their bikes because of issues with homeless. They get mad if we walk passed their mobile homes or camps.

We would like to have the homeless camp relocated. We understand homelessness is an issue that affects the entire county, but we are a residential neighborhood and we are not equipped to deal with this issue. It is not fair that this is being allowed to continue in South Park, a neighborhood that has been historically neglected. We are confident in saying the City would not allow this to continue in a nicer neighborhood like Fountaingrove or McDonald Avenue. It is not acceptable to have it go unmanaged here in South Park. This is not a matter that should be dumped on residential neighbors who have no resources to deal with it.

We are standing by to help in any way we can, but we need the City to step up and do their part.

Thank you very much,

Annette Arnold, Project Lead  
South Park Community Building Initiative  
926 Pressley Street  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404  
(707) 703-9218  
SouthParkSantaRosaCBI@gmail.com

February 8, 2021

We, the neighbors in the South Park district of Santa Rosa, are extremely concerned about the rapid growth of people moving their mobile homes and building makeshift tents in our residential neighborhood.

We respectfully request authorities to remove the encampments that are impacting our ability to enjoy a peaceful, healthy and safe home. As reported to the City on numerous occasions, this situation is resulting in piles of debris, bags of garbage, drug dealing, drug paraphernalia, mail and property theft, fire hazards, and food and human waste littering our streets and sidewalks. This is more than a blight on our neighborhood. This situation is unsafe, unhealthy and scary for residents.

The City, County and homeless programs have received millions of dollars to address these issues. We want the City of Santa Rosa to create and execute a plan for each district that is aimed at safety for all. For the people living on streets, or in RVs, cars or tents, managed sites providing transitional shelter are needed to replace encampments in undesignated public spaces. Encampments on public property such as the Joe Rodota Trail and under City overpasses have been deemed a health hazard. South Park is a residential neighborhood where kids play in the streets and neighbors walk their dogs. We are not aware of any other residential neighborhood with extent of this situation we are experiencing in South Park. We are an under-resourced neighborhood, and it is shockingly inequitable to have this situation go unaddressed by County and City officials.

Our security, safety and health are at risk. The amount of garbage and crime have increased to the point children cannot play outdoors safely since they may encounter used syringes or a bag of human waste. Neighbors cannot go for their daily walks for fear of being intimidated, accosted, or witnessing illegal activities.

We are proud to live in one of the most ethnically and culturally diverse areas of the City. Neighbors have been actively working together to make South Park a better place to live. We have sponsored events for the local kids, expanded our community garden, and coordinated several cleanups to bring neighbors together and help improve our neighborhood. After all our efforts, it is devastating to have non-residents move in, trash our streets, engage in illegal activities, and show no respect for our neighborhood.

We, the undersigned South Park residents, want the City to address this situation without delay so we can once again enjoy our neighborhood without fear or safety concerns. We look forward to your timely response.

Annette Arnold  
South Park Community Building Initiative  
926 Pressley Street  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404  
(707) 703-9218 | SouthParkSantaRosaCBI@gmail.com



## PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Nosotros, los vecinos del distrito de South Park de Santa Rosa, estamos extremadamente preocupados por el rápido crecimiento de personas que mueven sus casas móviles y construyen tiendas de campaña improvisadas en nuestro vecindario residencial.

Solicitamos respetuosamente a las autoridades que retiren los campamentos que están afectando nuestra capacidad para disfrutar de un hogar tranquilo, saludable y seguro. Como se informó a la Ciudad en numerosas ocasiones, esta situación está resultando en montones de escombros, bolsas de basura, tráfico de drogas, parafernalia de drogas, robo de correo y propiedad, peligros de incendio y alimentos y desechos humanos que ensucian nuestras calles y aceras. Esto es más que una plaga en nuestro vecindario. Esta situación es insegura, insalubre y aterradora para los residentes.

Los programas de la ciudad, el condado y las personas sin hogar han recibido millones de dólares para abordar estos problemas. Queremos que la Ciudad de Santa Rosa cree y ejecute un plan para cada distrito que tenga como objetivo la seguridad para todos. Para las personas que viven en las calles, o en vehículos recreativos, automóviles o tiendas de campaña, se necesitan sitios administrados que brinden refugio de transición para reemplazar los campamentos en espacios públicos no designados. Los campamentos en propiedad pública como Joe Rodota Trail y debajo de los pasos elevados de la ciudad se han considerado un peligro para la salud. South Park es un barrio residencial donde los niños juegan en las calles y los vecinos pasean a sus perros. No tenemos conocimiento de ningún otro barrio residencial con el alcance de esta situación que estamos viviendo en South Park. Somos un vecindario de escasos recursos y es sorprendentemente injusto que los funcionarios del condado y la ciudad no aborden esta situación.

Nuestra seguridad, protección y salud están en riesgo. La cantidad de basura y el crimen han aumentado hasta el punto en que los niños no pueden jugar al aire libre de manera segura ya que pueden encontrar jeringas usadas o una bolsa de desechos humanos. Los vecinos no pueden realizar sus caminatas diarias por temor a ser intimidados, abordados o presenciar actividades ilegales.

Estamos orgullosos de vivir en una de las áreas con mayor diversidad étnica y cultural de la ciudad. Los vecinos han estado trabajando juntos activamente para hacer de South Park un mejor lugar para vivir. Hemos patrocinado eventos para los niños locales, hemos ampliado nuestro jardín comunitario y hemos coordinado varias limpiezas para unir a los vecinos y ayudar a mejorar nuestro vecindario. Después de todos nuestros esfuerzos, es devastador que los no residentes se muden, destruyan nuestras calles, participen en actividades ilegales y no muestren respeto por nuestro vecindario.

Nosotros, los residentes de South Park abajo firmantes, queremos que la Ciudad aborde esta situación sin demora para que podamos disfrutar nuevamente de nuestro vecindario sin temor o preocupaciones de seguridad. Esperamos su pronta respuesta.



Conditions in 900 block of Rutledge Ave, South Park, Santa Rosa





Conditions in 900 block of Rutledge Ave, South Park, Santa Rosa








PETITION TO REMOVE AND RELOCATE THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT IN SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature

Annette Arnold
Rachael James
Rusty James
MARZIANO DE CARLO
SHARON NEAL
Jorge Ramirez
Ruth Norman
John S JONES
<del>ATE</del> Tanya Cabren
Jorge Chavez
Esmeralda Palomares
Monica Palomares
Sergio Pina
Yasmin Palomares
SAM MOSE
Jocelyn M
Victor H S
Gustavo P
Jeremy Ferrick
Brian Ferrick



PETITION TO REMOVE AND RELOCATE THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT IN SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature

Ramiro Ramirez

David Winston

Kristoc Camacho

Antonio Andrade

Jesús Aguilar

Juan M Estrada

Rogert Doran

Hortencia Garcia

Ramon M. Ortega

Fidelia Reyes

Magalli Larqué

Alejandro Rodriguez

Samuel Evariste

Marceos Garros

Antonio Moya

José A. V. C.

Amalivalli Herrera

Angel Palomares

Miguel Garcia



PETITION TO REMOVE AND RELOCATE THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT IN SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature

Dolice Hernandez

Doreen [unclear]

Yadira Martinez

José Steven

Cristian Guadalupe

Guadalupe H

Mauricio Aguilera

Salud Valle

ESTHER CERVANTES

Noam Phoung

ESTELA

Silvia Fonseca

Yen Son

Dinh Hoa

Karen M

Miguel G.

Maximino


Lucia Molina

PETITION TO REMOVE AND RELOCATE THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT IN SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD


Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature



Reynaldo Zamora  
Irma Flores  
Blanca Gomez  
Jeremy Buchhammer  
Amy Theodore  
Clandis Rincon  
Maricela Lazaro  
Catalina Hernandez  
Linda Mendez  
Flor Mendez  
Maria Vizcaino  
Sandra F.  
Mario G.  
Maria A.  
Christopher Mendez  
Luis Garcia  
Fanny Rodriguez  
Jennifer Hill  
Jessica Balzer






PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature

Nathan Boemler
TRISFA CHRISTENSEN
Sarah Cole
Corey Morande
Jerame Draper
William Oriles
Chris VanDerzee
Abraham Gonzalez
Richard Cooper
<del>JEFF MAYO</del>
RON LARSEN
YORK SACCOMANNO
Jack Murphy
Al. Blair Hartman
Alyn Lechick
Robert Fuller
Jackie Camo
Jorge Reyes
Cheryl Hennes



PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Imprimir nombre

Dirección postal en Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Firma


Luzardo Aguilera	
Maria Santana	
John T. Woods	
Melissa Marshall	
Chaquelllyn Palmer	
Kathleen Lennon	
JONATHAN Heysek	
Melissa Salini	
Chelsie Winder	
Jose Cuevas	
Marie Baldetti	
Roberta Stone	
Nelson Cronk	
Sandy Castillo	
Guadalupe Aguilera	
Lupe Aguilera Ojeda	
Anna Alforria	
Seth Montes	
Weizen Krias	

PETITION TO REMOVE AND RELOCATE THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT IN SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature


Print Name	Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404	Signature
Alma Magallon		
<del>Antonia Magallon</del>		
Pablo Magallon		
DAVID MAGALLON		
Rene Diaz D.		
Jody Soboleski		

PETITION TO REMOVE AND RELOCATE THE HOMELESS ENCAMPMENT IN SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Print Name

Street Address in Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Signature

JENNIE ORVINO		
Catherine Rooney		
Lily KOSIER		
Rachel Stephani		
Camille Shaffer		

PETITION TO HAVE HOMELESS CAMP REMOVED FROM SOUTH PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Imprimir nombre

Dirección postal en Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Firma

R Irene Flock		
Jeime E		