

From: Marsha Chevalier
To: [Trippel, Andrew](mailto:atrippel@srcity.org)
Subject: Re: Comments on File number CUP 18-006, 965 Piner Pl
Date: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 4:13:45 PM

Thank you, Andrew.

Volatile processing is important to medical cannabis users, but shouldn't happen in a densely populated area like this one.

On Wed, Mar 21, 2018 at 1:44 PM, Trippel, Andrew <atrippel@srcity.org> wrote:

Hi Ms. Chevalier,

Thanks for your email. I am including your email in the project file and will incorporate it into my project review. I will also share it with the Deputy Director, Planning, who staffs the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee.

Best Regards,

Andrew

Andrew Trippel | City Planner

Planning & Economic Development | [100 Santa Rosa Ave Rm 3 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404](http://100.SantaRosaAveRm3.SantaRosa.CA.95404)

Tel. [\(707\) 543-3223](tel:(707)543-3223) | Fax [\(707\) 543-3269](tel:(707)543-3269) | atrippel@srcity.org



From: Marsha Chevalier [mailto:mechevalier@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 2:01 PM
To: Trippel, Andrew <atrippel@srcity.org>
Subject: Comments on File number CUP 18-[006, 965 Piner Pl](#)

Dear Mr. Trippel,

I was surprised that the city is considering changing the designation of this property from Level 1 cannabis manufacturing to Level 2. The area is highly populated and a fire would have disastrous results. Other communities have said "no" to volatile manufacturing in highly populated areas. Please protect our area from this type of potentially dangerous operation.

Thank you,

Marsha