

**Streeter, Patrick**

---

**From:** casita azul <ourcasitaazul@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, September 05, 2015 5:08 PM  
**To:** Streeter, Patrick  
**Subject:** OBJECTION: SPINSTER SISTERS / URBAN FOOD, LLC

**Follow Up Flag:** Flag for follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

We object to the proposed expansion of the Spinster Sisters project. There is now a restaurant and 3 unit hotel on the site with no parking. The additional 11 rooms will glut the neighborhood with cars. You may think that the guests will take the train but you'll be wrong. People will bring cars. There is no parking. When Evelyn opens the cookhouse property it will be even worse.

The ideology of the city -- the glorification of bikes-- is not the reality. If you want to condition the project on the requirement that a citizen check up every day to see that all on site have come on bikes or on foot, or will be evicted, then maybe it would be ok. But of course that is absurd. The A Street area is being ruined by cars and congestion. The Spinster Sisters project is too big, too aggressive, and wrongly sited. They can move to Santa Rosa Avenue if they think they want to make a project like this.

If you approve this does it mean that everyone who owns property in the 'station area' can expand on their lot with no parking? If not, why are these people so special? And if so, you are destroying the area. This is not San Francisco. There is literally nowhere to go to park now. There are old apartment buildings with no parking, art studios with no parking, you approved the music studio, no parking, the gallery---no parking. Why don't you build a small parking lot in Juilliard Park or open a section of the School to parking? The bocce ball players have taken all of the Park spaces. Do you see what you're doing to the area?

**NO TO THE SPINSTER SISTERS PROJECT.**

Property owners in the Juilliard Park / A Street area.