FILE NUMBER: MJP98-053
PROJECT NAME: Mission Arbors Rite-Aid/DSL;
ADDRESS:(s)
100 Mission Blvd SR
APPLICANT: Downey Savings \& Loan/Wendell Handley 3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower, \#500 Newport Beach, CA 92658-6030 (949) 509-4241

APPLICANT REP: Carlisle Macy 15 Third Street
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
(707) 542-6451

OWNER:

Downer Savings \& Loan
3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower, \#500
Newport Beach, CA 92658-6030
(949) 509-4241

FILE OPENED: December 21, 1998
ASSIGNED: Frank Kasimov Dave Furnanz

## REFERRALS SENT:

REFERRALS DUE:
DATE COMPLETE: 01/29/1999
ENV. STATUS: MND adopted 8/12/99
GENERAL PLAN: Retail \& Business Service/Office
ZONING: C-1-PD PD\#403
ACTIVITY TYPE: Major Project Control
STATUS: APPROVED - May 2, 2000

DESCRIPTION: Mission Arbors; rezone from "U" to "C-1-PD"; construct and operate prescription pharmacy retail store with drive-through facilities; construct and operate bank building with drive-through facilities.

APN(s):
032-190-015
032-190-016
032-190-017
032-190-018
032-190-019

## NOTICING

DATE
NOTICE OF APPLICATION ( 300 feet)
feet)
___ NOTICE OF NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING (if required)

DATE
$\qquad$ NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING (300
$\qquad$ NOTICE OF ACTION (300 feet)



## PROJECT DESCFIPTION

To rezone approximately 5 acres of property to fhe Nefghbofhuod Commerctal-Planned Development Digriter ( $C-1-P D$ ).


SUBMITTAL INFORMATION - See staif to determine which raquiliamants apply.
WITHIN 3 DO FELEFI.
N/A IF PD', 'PRD', 'RH', OA 'PC' GEF WORKSIIE:T FOR ADDITIONAL SUBMITTAL HEQLIREMENTS.
mailing ladels and 3od map requidej for puelic mearing.
PREI.IMINATY TITLE REPOAT ISSUED WITHIN I.AST THREE MONTHS INLLIUDING A COMMLETE YELSAL DESLEHIPIIUN
ENVITONMENTAL ASSESSMENT.
[.- VICINITY MAP WITH NOTIIH AHHITJW:

 The submilred information sis trus and correci to ine bu:i al my knowledge and beilisi, I uricursiturad



CITYOF SANTA ROSA

## Department of Cammunlity Davelopment

## APPLICATION CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

Please Type or Print

100 M1esion Boulevard


## NaME DF PARPDBED PROJECT

Misaion Arbors: DSL Building
APPLICANT NAME


Downey SAving \& Loan; Attn: Dave CAsty APPLICANT ADPRESS
3501 Jamborse Rd., North Tower, Suite 500, Newport Beach, CA 92658-6030
APPLICANT REPAESENTATIVE DOUSINEGG PHONE
Carlile-Macy; Aten: Deniae Peter
APPLICANTA ÄEPREGENTATVEADORESG

$\frac{15 \text { Third Street }}{\text { PROPERTV OWNER NAME (GIGNATUAE REOUIREP GELLOW) }}$
same as appl1cant:
PAOPERTV OWNEA ADDRESS
Santa Rosa CA
CA 95401

PROJECT/EUSIMESS DESCFIPTIGN
 To construct and operate a bank bullding with drive-chrough facilities.


## 

10 COPIES OF SITE PLANS SHOWING ALLIDIMENSIONS. PLANS MLIST. EIE EITHER REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OR FOLDED TO $1 / 2 \times 14$ MAX.
10 COPIES OF FLOQR PLAN SHOWING ALL DIMENSIONS. PLANS MLSI EE EITHER REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OR FOLDED TO $1 / 2 \times 14$ MAX.
MAILING LABELS AND SID' MAP REQUIAED FOR PUBLIC HEAAING.
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
AREA DEVELOPMENT MAP SHOWING AREA AT APPROPRIATE BCALE. (EXAMPLE AVALLABLEPI
VICINITY MAP WITH NORTH ARROW
PROPERTY OWNER'S CONSENT - I daclaro under penally of pariung that I Pm ine ownor of said praperty or have wrtion authority lfom property ownor to illa thls appllcalion. 1 corilly that all of
 that any misisaressentalion of aubmillad data may invalldate any upproval af inls application.


APPlICATION DESIGN REVIEW
IxXPRELIMINARY ITFINAL
Please Type or Print

LOCATION OF PAD, FFCT (ADUHESS)
I. 00 Misaion Blvd.

NAME OF PROPOSED PRONECT
Migeion Arbors - DSL Building APPLICANTNAMF
 APPLICANT ADDRGES
3501. Tamboree Rd, Noreh Tower, Sufte 500, Newport Beach, CA

9265B-6030 DESGCNLA/ARCIUTECT'FAM (EIGNATUHE MAY BE REQUIAED BELOW.)
AWS_Architecta: Attr: Bill,L.Kaight DESIGNEA/ARCFHTECTFIRM ADDAESS

Third Srreet
PROPERTY OWNEA NAME (SIGNATURE REOUIAED BELOW)
same as applicant
PROPERTY OWNERADORESS


## PROJECTIGUSINESS DESCFIPTION


'Jo congcruct and operate a hank building with drive-through facilicies. $\qquad$


10 COPIES OF SITE PLAN SHOWING ALL DIMENSIONS. PLANS MUST EE EI'lIER REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OA SMALLEH OA FOLDED TO B $1 / 2 \times 14$ MAX. 10 COIFES OF EXISTING AND PAOPOSED ELEVATIIONS \& LANDSCAPE FLAN SHOWING, ALL DIMMENSIONS. PLANS MLST BE EITHEA REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OR SMALLER OA FOL.OED TO B $1 / 2 \times 14$ MAX. PHOTOS MAY SUIFFICE FOR EXISTING FLEVATIONS.
KX MAILING LA日ELS AND 300' MAP AEQUIRED FDR PUBLIC HEARING.
KX. ATEA DEVELOPMENT MAP SHOWING AAEA AT APPROPRIATE SCALE. EXAMPLE AVAILABLE.C. VICINITY MAP WITH NORTH ARROW.
KX. ENVIRONMENTAI. ASSESSMENT $\quad \square$ ADPITIONAL REQUIPENENTS NOTE中 ONGUIDE.
DESIGNER-ARCHITECT SIGNATURE $\mathbf{X}$
PRQPERTY OWNEA'S CONSENT - I daclare under punally of pariva libil forn ha owner of anld prapurly ar have wrilury uuthoriyy from propdrty owner io flle inls upplicatlon. Tcartly inat all of the submitied informatlon la true and correct to the basi al my knowladge and Leliap. I underalund ifiol any miarepresentalion of astamitied deta may invalidate arly upproual of inls application.





## APPLICATIDN <br> CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

Please Type or Print


## PFOJECT/AUSINESS DESCHIPTION


To construct and operate a prescriprion pharmacy retail sture with driverthrought.
factlities.
GİE OF PARCEL GROSS SQ. FT. AF PROPDBĖD UAR

100 M1asion Blvd. NAME OF PADPOSED PAONECT

$|$| $032-190-012$ | (ptn.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $032-190-013$ | (ptn.) |

APPLICANT NAME
RAte-Aid; Atrm: Jeff BIrnbaum APPLCANT ADDREBS
Department af Community Development

CONINO
Proposed: C-1-PD

7203 Regional St., APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE APPIIICANT REPREBENTATIVE ADDEESS
15 Thyfa Street PRIOPERTY OWMER NAME IIGNATUURE REQUIREO BELOW)
DSL Service Co; Atrn: Dave Cabry PROPERTV OẄNEA ADDAESS
3501 Jamboree Rd., North Tower, Suite 500, Newporf Beach CA 92658

$$
17,000+/- \text { 日q. } f t \text {. }
$$

PRIOR UBE Vacant

Dexigting blilding/REOCCurancy ■newconstrucion \# UNITS TOTAL
In BEDRCOMS PEA UNIT
mpaAking Spaces
TYPE OF UNTT
$\square$ BINGLE FAMLYY DSTACHED

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

$\square$ geconaunit. $\square$ mLITIFAMLY $\square$ DUPLEX $\square$ MOBILE HIME. \%LOT COVERAGE

10 COPIES O SITE PLANS SHOWING ALL DIMENSIONS, PLANS MUST BE EIITER REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OR FOLDED TO a $1 / 2 \times 14$ MAX. 10 COPIES OF FLOOR PLAN SHOWING ALL DIMENEIONS. PLANS MUST BE EITHER REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OR FOLDED TO \& $1 / 2 \mathrm{~A} 14$ MAX. MAILING LAEELS AND 300' MAP REOUIRED FOR PUBLIC HEARING.
X ENVIRCINMENTAL ASSESSMENT
X AAEA DEVELOPMENT MAP EHOWING AREA ATAPPROPRIATE SCALE. (EXAMPLE AVAILRBLE
VICINITY MAP WITH NORTH ARRROW
PROPERTY OWNER'S CDNSENT - | daclara under panailly of par|ury lhal $\mid$ am lhe owner of
 the cubmitrad intormoilon is thue and correci to ine beal of my knowledge and bellae, I undaraland thut eny miurepresonlation of exbmilloa dala may invalisatu uny approvel of thlis appricallon.

| APPLICATION | RECEIVED ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | DATE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUBLIC HEARING | $\square$ REQUIRED $\square$ EXEMPT | DATE |
| ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW | $\square$ AEOUIRED $\square$ EXEMPTCLASS | DATE |

## $\cos \mathrm{OH}$

## SANTA ROSA

## Department of Communlty Development



## TOCATION DFFHOJECT (ADDRESES

100 Mission Blvd. NAME OF PAOPOSED PRONETT
Miseton Arboze Rite-Atd APPLICANT NAME

Downey Savings \& Loan: Attni Dave CAsty APPLICANT ADDRESS

TEL: 7075426451

Plasese Type or Print

3501 Jambofee Rd., North Tower, Su1te 500, Newport Beach, CA DESIONETUARCIHTTECT FIRM (AIGNATLAE MAY 甘E HLOUIRED OELOW.)
KWS Architects; Atth: DESIGNER/ARCFHTTECT PIRM ADDRESS
811 Third Streeet
BILI Knight
BUSINESS PHONTE

ASGEEEDA'G PARCEL NUMMCA(G) 032-190-012 (ptn.) 032-190-013 (ptn.)
GUBINEBE PHON

ZONing
Proposed: C-l-PD genemal plan designation Retail

PROPEATY OWNEA MAME (BGNATLAE REQUIRED BELOW)
DSL Service Ca; Artn: Dave CAnty PROPERTT OWNER ADDAESG

CITY
3501 Jamboree Rd.
North Tower, Sulite 500,
Newport Beach,
CA
92658

## PROJECT/BUSINESS DESCHIPTION

HIU,
To construst and operate a prescriprion pharmacy retail, store with driva-through
factiltres..


## SUBMITTAL-INFOAMATION- Eas etaif'to determine whlah vequirementa apply,

10 COPIES OF SI'HE PLAN SHOWING ALL DIMENSIONS, PLANS MUST EE EITHEH REDUCED TO $11 \times 17$ OR SMALIEFA OH FOLDED TO $1 / 2 \times 11 \mathrm{MAX}$.
 $11 \times 17$ OR SMALLER OR FOLDED TO $41 / 2 \times 14$ MAX. PHOTOS MAY SUFFICE FOTI EXISTING ELEVATIONS.
Malling labels and sod' map reoulred for public hearing.
$X$ area development map showing area at appropriate scai.e. example available. $X$ vicinity map with north arrow.
$X$ EZNVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT $\square$ ADDIGNAL AIEOUIREMENTS NOTEP ON GTUIDE.
designer-architect sianature X
PROPERTY OWNER'S CONSENT - I daclare Ludor penally of pariury it shatio ownor
 the submilted intormailon is rue and cortact to the betil al my kiowledge and beilof. I understend




## LOCATION OF PROJECT (ADDRESS)

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER(S)
032-190-011, 012,
013,014


100 Mission Boulevard NAME OF PROPOSED PROJECT
Mission Arbors
APPLICANT NAME
Downey Savings \& Loan; APPLICANT ADDRESS
3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower, Suite 500, Newport Beach, CA 92658

## DESCRIBE YOUR PROPOSED PROJECT IN DETAIL - Use separate sheet, if necessary

See attached Supplemental Information.

## ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS AS COMPLETELY AS POSSIBLE AS THEY RELATE TO YOUR PROJECT.

1. What natural features presently exist on site? Santa Rosa Creek, mature trees.

Describe the following features and project's potential impact on these features:
Creeks Santa Rosa Creek water quality impacts.
Vegetation Minimal impacts to riparian vegetation due to creek setback restrictions.
Trees Tree removal and replacement outside of creek setback as allowed per Tree Ordinar
Swales N/A
Wetlands/Vernal Pools N/A
Rare and endangered plants N/A
Rare and endangered animals N/A
What is the average slope? 0-5\%
What is the direction of the natural drainage? southwesterly
2. What natural features presently exist in immediately surrounding area? Santa Rosa Creek

Describe the following features and project's potential impact on these features:
Creeks Santa Rosa Creek water quality impacts.
Vegetation Minimal impacts riparian vegetation due to creek setback restrictions.
Trees N/A
Swales N/A
Natural drainage westerly
Wetlands/Vernal Pools N/A
Rare and endangered plants N/A
Rare and endangered animals N/A
What is the average slope? $0-5 \%$
Continued on reverse


## ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS AS COMPLETELY AS POSSIBLE AS THEY , RELATE TO YOUR PROJECT

3. What is existing use on site and man made features exist on site? (Identify str res, parking areas and open spaces).
__Site_is currently_vacant..-_Sewer_main_exists_on_site. $\qquad$
4. Is the site or immediately adjoining area subject to slides, liquefaction, slope instability or other related hazards? $\square$ Yes X . No If yes, describe in detail.
Is there a geologic/soils report available? $\Gamma \mathrm{X}$ Yes $\quad \square$ No
5. Is the property located in a special Study Zone (Alquist-Priolo)? $\square$ Yes $\quad$ XX No Flood Hazard Area? $X$ Yes $\square$ No
6. List School District Rincon Valley, Santa Rosa High
7. Is sewer currently available to site? [X Yes $\square$ No Is water currently available to site? X] Yes $\square$ No

Describe any known problems associated with sewer or water availability. none known
8. Is property in area of any known contaminants? Yes $\square$ No If yes, list See Santa Rosa Fire Dept. letter 4

- Are there any known sources of hazardous materials on site or on immediately adjoining properties? (Example: Underground gas tanks). $\square$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, list
- Will proposed project involve use of any hazardous materials? $\square$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, list:

10. What type of equipment is associated with the proposed project?
11. Will the project result in removal of any existing structures? $\square$ Yes $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ No

If yes, describe any possible historical significance and/or approximate year of construction.

List previous uses.
12. Are there any known archeological resources on site or in general vicinity? $\square$ Yes $[\mathrm{X}]$ No If yes, describe:
__ none known
is there an archeological report available? $\mid \overline{\mathrm{X}}]$ Yes $[\square$ No
13. How is access provided to property? From Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard
14. How much traffic will your project generate? See attached Traffic Report, 3/98, TJKM

Average daily trips Employees: $\qquad$ Customers: $\qquad$ Residential: $\qquad$
Peak times of traffic flow AM: $\qquad$ PM: $\qquad$
Number of trips during peak hours $A M$ : $\qquad$ PM:
15. Will your project involve trucking or shipping? $[\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, describe loading and truck movements on and off site, including times. Loading_zone for proposed Rite-Aid building located on east elevation as shown on CUP site plan.
16. Were the subject or surrounding properties assessed by a previous EIR or negative declaration? $\quad \mathrm{X}$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, please provide name and date of document and related file number.Mission_Arbors_GPA_Neg._Dec.
Raley's EIR

Please provide any other information you feel may have a bearing on the environmental assessment of your project. Please see attached Supplemental Information 12/98

- Describe rnitigation you propose for any environmental impacts associated with your project.

See attached Supplemental Information 12/98

February 1, 1999

Denise Peter
Carlile/Macy
15 Third Street
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
MISSION ARBORS - RITE AID- INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

## Dear Ms. Peter,

The application submittal for the Rite-Aid part of the Mission Arbors submittal did not contain a property owner's signature. Please have the property owner sign the attached Indemnification Agreement and return it to me at the earliest possible time.

Thank you. If you have any questions, please contact me at 543-3258.
Sincerely,


FRANK KASIMOV

## City Planner

attachment: Indemnification Agreement

February 2, 1999

Frank Kasimov
City of Santa Rosa
Department of Community Development
100 Santa Rosa Avenue
PO Box 1678
Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678
RE: Mission Arbors - Rite Aid - Indemnification Agreement - File No. MJP98-053
Dear Mr. Kasimov:
Please find enclosed the signed Indemnification Agreement per your request. If you have any questions, please contact me at (949) 509-4241.


Project Manager
:spu
Encl.

# CITY OF SANTA ROSA <br> Santa Rosa, CA 95402 

FEB 051999
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

R20398-039
C.D1048-352

DR 98-164
File No.

## $\frac{\text { Mission Alders - Ride Aid; } 100 \text { Mission Blat. }}{\text { Project name and address }}$

As part of this application, the applicant and property owner agree to defend, indemnify, and bold harmless the City of Santa Rosa, iss agents, añeens, councilmembers, employees, boards. commissions and Council from any claim, action or proceeding brought against any of the foregoing individuals or entities, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the application or related decision, or the adoption of any environmental document or negative declaration which relates to the approval. This indemnification shall include, but is not limited to, all damages, easts, expenses, ancrney fees or expert wines fees that may be awarded to the prevailing party arising out of or in connection with the approval of the application or related decision, whether or dot there is concurrent, passive or active negligence on the part of the City, its agents, officers, eouncimembers, employees, boards, commissions and Council. If, for any reason, any portion of this indemnification agreement is held to be void or unenforceable by a colt of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the agreement shall remain in full force and affect.

The City of Santa Rosa shall have the right to appear and defend its interests in any action through its City Atromey ar outside counsel. Neither the applicant nor the property owner shall be required to reimburse the City for attomeys fees incurred by the City Amomey or the City's outside counsel if the City chooses to appear and defond/irself in the titiganidn.

I have read and agree with all of the above,

$x$


Property Owner (if other than applicant) Please sign name)

Date

# INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT 



File No. DR $98-165$
Mission Arbors, Highway 12 Mission Boulevard 100 Mission Blvd.
Project name and address

As part of this application, the applicant and property owner agree to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the Clit of Santa Rosin, its agents, offietys, eouncihmembers, employees, boards, commissions and Council from any claim, action or proceeding brought against any of the foregoing individuals or entities, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the application or related decision, or the adoption of any epvisanmental document or negative declaration which relates to the approval. This indemnification shall include, bur is nat limited to, all damages, costs, expenses, attemey fees ar expert wines fees that may be awarded to the prevailing party arising out of or in correction with the approval of the application or related decision, whether or not there is conemrent, passive or active negligence on the part of the City, its agents, offers, cauncilmembers, employers, boards, cammissjons and Council. If, for any reason, any portion of his indemnification agreement is held to be void or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the agroortent shall remain in full force and effect.

The City of Santa Rosa shall have the right to appear and defend its interests in any action through its City Attorney or outside equnsel. Neither the applicant nor the property owner shall be required to reimburse the City for anromeys fees incurred by the City Atomy or the City's outside counsel if the City chooses to appear and defend itself in the litigation.


Same
Property Oliver (if ocher than applicant) (Please print name)

Propeny Owner (if other than applicant) (Please sign name)


Date

## INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

20398-039
C.UP98-352

DR 98-164
File No.

## Mission Aires - Rite Aid; 100 Mission Bud. <br> Project name and address

As part of this application, the applicant and property owner agree to defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the City of Santa Rosa, its agents, officers, councilmembers, employees, boards, commissions and Council from any claim, action or proceeding brought against any of the foregoing individuals or entities, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void or annul any approval of the application or related decision, or the adoption of any environmental document or negative declaration which relates to the approval. This indemnification shall include, but is not limited to, all damages, costs, expenses, attomey fees or expert wines fees that may be awarded to the prevailing party arising out of or in connection with the approval of the application or related decision, whether or not there is concurrent, passive or active negligence on the part of the City, its agents, officers, councilmembers, employees, boards, commissions and Council. If, for any reason, any portion of this indemnification agreement is held to be void or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the agreement shall remain in full force and effect.

The City of Santa Rosa shall have the right to appear and defend its interests in any action through its City Attomey or outside counsel. Neither the applicant nor the property owner shall be required to reimburse the City for attomeys fees incurred by the City Aftomey or the City's outside counsel if the City chooses to appear and defend itself in the litigation.

I have read and agree with all of the above.


Applicant (please print name)

$x$


Property Owner (if other than applicant) (Please print name)

Property Owner (if other than applicant)
(Please sign name)

Date

DIRECT ALL INQUIRIES TO:
LESLIE HUDSON, ESCROW OFFICER
Our No.: 62219395

Buyer(s): DSL SERVICE COMPANY
miY OF SANTA hUSA
P.O Bor 1678

Sar. .... - an an2
PROPERTY ADDRESS
DEC 211998
A.P. NO. 032-190.011 THRU 014

SANTA ROSA, CA
Utraxivixityi OF COAMMIIAITV NEVELOPMENT
IN RESPONSE TO THE ABOVE REFERENCED APPLICATION FOR A POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE,
North American Title Company, Inc.
hereby reports that it is prepared to issue, or cause to be issued, as of the date HEREOF, A POLICY OR POLICIES OF TITLE INSURANCE, DESCRIBING THE LAND AND THE ESTATE OR INTEREST HEREINAFTER SET FORTH, INSURING AGAINST LOSS WHICH MAY BE SUSTAINED BY REASON OF ANY DEFECT, LIEN, OR ENCUMBRANCE NOT SHOWN OR REFERRED TO AS AN EXCEPTION BELOW OR NOT EXCLUDED FROM COVERAGE PURSUANT TO THE PRINTED SCHEDULES, CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS OF SAID POLICY FORMS.

THE PRINTED EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS FROM THE COVERAGE OF SAID POLICY OR POLICIES ARE SET FORTH IN EXHIBIT A ATTACHED. COPIES OF THE POLICY FORMS SHOULD BE READ. THEY ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE OFFICE WHICH ISSUED THIS REPORT. THIS REPORT (AND ANY SUPPLEMENTS OR AMENDMENTS THERETO) IS ISSUED SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF FACILITATING THE ISSUANCE Of A POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE AND NO LIABILITY IS ASSUMED HEREBY. IF IT IS DESIRED THAT liability be assumed prior to the issuance of policy title insurance, a binder or COMMITMENT SHOULD BE REQUESTED.
please read the exceptions shown or referred to below and the exceptions and EXCLUSIONS SET FORTH IN EXHIBIT A OF THIS REPORT CAREFULLY. THE EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS ARE MEANT TO PROVIDE YOU WITH NOTICE OF MATTERS WHICH ARE NOT COVERED under the terms of the title insurance policy and should be carefully considered.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THIS PRELIMINARY REPORT IS NOT A WRITTEN REPRESENTATION as to the condition of title and may not list all liens, defects, and encumbrances AFFECTING TITLE TO THE LAND.

Dated as of NOVEMBER 18, 1998 AT 7:30 A.M.

THE ESTATE OR INTEREST IN THE LAND HEREINAFTER DESCRIBED OR REFERRED TO COVERED BY THIS REPORT IS:

A FEE
title to said estate or interest at the date hereof is vested in:

## DSL SERVICE COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION

The form af policy of title insurance contemplated by this report is:
CLTA Standard Coverage Policy - 1990. (Owner) (Lender) (Joint Protection)
At the date hereof exceptions to coverage in addition to the printed exceptions and exclusions contained in said policy form would be as follows:
1.A) General and special property taxes, and any assessments collected with taxes, including utility assessments, for the fiscal year 1998/1999.

Total Amount: $\quad \$ 1,446.92$
First Installment: $\quad \$ 723.46$ PAID
Second Installment: $\quad \$ 723.49$ PAYABLE, BUT NOT YET DUE
Code Area: 004-009
Parcel No.:
032-190-011
Said matter affects: PORTION OF PARCEL 4 AND OTHER PARCELS
B) General and special property taxes, and any assessments collected with taxes, including utility assessments, for the fiscal year 1998/1999.

| Total Amount: | $\$ 4,512.46$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| First Installment: | $\$ 2,256.23$ PAID |
| Second Installment: | $\$ 2,256.23$ PAYABLE, BUT NOT YET DUE |
| Code Area: | $004-009$ |
| Parcel No.: | $032-190-013$ |
|  |  |
| Said matter affects: | PORTION OF PARCELS ONE AND FOUR |

C) General and special property taxes, and any assessments collected with taxes, including utility assessments, for the fiscal year 1998/1999.

Total Amount:
First Instaliment:
Second Instaliment:
Code Area:
Parcel No.:
Said matter affects:
\$4,404.82
\$2,020.41 PAID
\$2,020.41 PAYABLE, BUT NOT YET DUE 004-009
032-190-013
PORTIONS OF PARCELS ONE THROUGH FIVE
D) General and special property taxes, and any assessments collected with taxes, including utility assessments, for the fiscal year 1998/1999.

Total Amount:
First Installment:
Second Installment:
Code Area:
Parcel No.:
Said matter affects:
2. The lien of supplemental taxes, if any, assessed arising out of the transfer of the Section 75) of the Revenue and Taxation Code of the State of California.
3. ANY EASEMENT for water course over that portion which lies within the creek as
it formerly existed or now exists.
\$2,433.02 \$1,216.51 PAID \$1,216.51 PAYABLE, BUT NOT YET DUE 004-009
032-190-014

## estate to be insured pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 3.5 (commencing with

Creek:
Said matter affects:

SANTA ROSA CREEK
PARCELS FOUR AND FIVE
4. The effect of any change heretofore or hereafter occurring in the location of the SOUTHERLY boundary line along the portion of the lands described herein due to a change in the course of SANTA ROSA CREEK and/or the location of the banks of said CREEK and any defect in or failure to title resulting therefrom.

Said matter affects: PARCELS FOUR AND FIVE
5. Any adverse claim to any portion of said land which has been created by artificial means or has accreted to such portion so created.

Said matter affects: PARCELS FOUR AND FIVE
6. A waiver of any claims for damages by reason of the location, construction, landscaping or maintenance of a highway or freeway contiguous thereto, as contained in an instrument:

In favor of:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
Book:
Page:
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FEBRUARY 29, 1922
10335
7
184
7. An easement affecting the portion of said land and for the purpose stated herein, and incidental purposes.

In favor of:
SONOMA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

No representation is made as to the present ownership of said easement.

For:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
Book:
Page:
Affects:

FLOOD CONTROL AND DRAINAGE WORKS
NOVEMBER 6, 1958
F-56856
1625
359
SOUTHERLY PORTION OF PARCELS FOUR AND FIVE
8. An easement affecting the portion of said land and for the purpose stated herein, and incidental purposes.

In favor of:
CITY OF SANTA ROSA
No representation is made as to the present ownership of said easement.

```
For:
TRUNK SEWER LINE
Recorded: MARCH 25, 1959
Instrument No.: F-68987
Book: }165
Page: 45
Affects: A PORTION OF PARCELS ONE AND THREE
```

9. An easement affecting the portion of said land and for the purpose stated herein, and incidental purposes.

In favor of: CITY OF SANTA ROSA

No representation is made as to the present ownership of said easement.

For:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
Book:
Page:
Affects:

PUBLIC UTILITIES AND SEWER
MARCH 25, 1959
F-68989
1657
47
A PORTION OF PARCEL FIVE AND OTHER PROPERTY
10. An easement affecting the portion of said land and for the purpose stated herein, and incidental purposes.

In favor of:
SONOMA COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

No representation is made as to the present ownership of said easement.
For:
FLOOD CONTROL AND DRAINAGE WORKS
Recorded:
OCTOBER 26, 1959
Instrument No.:
F-90629
Book:
1707
Page:
Affects:
421
SOUTHERLY PORTION OF PARCEL FIVE AND OTHER PROPERTY
11. A waiver of any claims for damages by reason of the location, construction, landscaping or maintenance of a highway or freeway contiguous thereto, as contained in an instrument:

In favor of:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SEPTEMBER 11, 1989
89-85892
12. A Notice of Substandard Building.

Executed by:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
Said matter affects:
13. Demand for payment pursuant to the terms of a mutual benefit agreement between the CITY OF SANTA ROSA Elementary and/or High School districts and DSL SERVICE COMPANY.

Dated:
OCTOBER 5, 1998
Recorded:
OCTOBER 14, 1998
Series/Instrument No.:
19980119389
Said document recites in part: The Developer, its successors and the Districts recognize it is to their mutual benefit that the Districts be provided with financial assistance in order that school facilities and related services be available to future inhabitants of the project.

Demand for payment should be requested from:
City School District Address:

SANTA ROSA HIGH SCHOOL DIST.
ATTN: ASST. SUPERINTENDENT, BUSINESS
211 RIDGWAY AVENUE
SANTA ROSA, CA 95402

## Informational Note:

No transfers or agreements to transfer the land described herein appear of record during the period of 6 months prior to the date of this report, other than as may be shown therein, except as follows:

Title of the vestee herein was acquired by deed:
GRANT DEED:
From: DSL SERVICE COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA

To:
Dated:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
GRANT DEED:

From:
To:

Dated:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
GRANT DEED:
From:

To:

Dated:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:

CORPORATION
DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, F.A., A FEDERAL ASSOCIATION
OCTOBER 8, 1998
OCTOBER 16, 1998
19980120243

DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, F.A., A FEDERAL ASSOCIATION DSL SERVICE COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION
OCTOBER 8, 1998
OCTOBER 16, 1998
19980120244

DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, F.A., A FEDERAL ASSOCIATION
DSL SERVICE COMPANY, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION
OCTOBER 8, 1998
OCTOBER 16, 1998
19980120245

```
GRANT DEED
From:
To:
Dated:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
GRANT DEED
From:
To:
Dated:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
GRANT DEED
From:
To:
Dated:
Recorded:
Instrument No.:
```

SHORT TERM RATE MAY APPLY.

END OF EXCEPTIONS

## Description:

The land referred to herein is situated in the State of California, County of SONOMA, CITY OF SANTA ROSA, described as follows:

PARCEL ONE:
LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND BEING A PORTION OF THE LANDS OF DSL SERVICE COMPANY, AS DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1997-070708, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY, SAID PORTION BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A WELL MONUMENT NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MISSION BOULEVARD AND STATE HIGHWAY 12, SAID MONUMENT SHOWN ON THAT RECORD OF SURVEY ON FILE IN BOOK 227 OF MAPS, PAGES 50-51, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS, AND SHOWN ON SAID MAPS AS "R" $9+30.98 \mathrm{BC}(S T A T E)=9+30.39 \mathrm{BC}$ (CITY), AND FROM SAID MONUMENT ANOTHER WELL MONUMENT BEARS SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.14 FEET (RECORD OF SURVEY SHOWS SOUTH $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.35 FEET), SAID MONUMENT LABELED ( $0+00$ CITY INTERSECTION OF CENTERLINES OF MISSION BLVD. AND MONTGOMERY DR.) ON SAID MAP; THENCE FROM THE POINT OF COMMENCEMENT SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 403.17; THENCE NORTH $30^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 55.23 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, SAID POINT LYING ON NORTHEASTERLY EDGE OF THAT PARCEL OF LAND DEEDED TO THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1981-057126, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY; THENCE FROM THE POINT OF BEGINNING AND ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE NORTH $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58{ }^{\circ}$ WEST 270.20 FEET; THENCE ON A NONTANGENT CURVE TO THE RIGHT FROM A TANGENT WHICH BEAR NORTH $41^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, WITH A RADIUS OF 362.11 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $4^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 44^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 29.89 FEET TO THE MOST SOUTHERLY CORNER OF THAT STRIP OF LAND DEEDED TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1989-085892, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP OF LAND ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT FROM A TANGENT WHICH BEARS NORTH $36^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, WITH A RADIUS OF 20.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $94^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 32.97 FEET TO A POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 740.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $9^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 56{ }^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 126.99 FEET; THENCE NORTH $48^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 138.00 FEET; THENCE LEAVING SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF THE LANDS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA SOUTH $41^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 79.38 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 200.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $14^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 49.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $55^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 150.69 FEET; THENCE ON A NONTANGENT CURVE TO THE LEFT FROM A TANGENT WHICH BEARS SOUTH $27^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, WITH A RADIUS OF 223.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $2^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 41^{\prime \prime}$,

FOR A LENGTH OF 11.07 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF 177.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $15^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 36^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 49.36 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $41^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 161.52 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $80^{\circ} 11^{\prime \prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 34.03 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

## A.P. NOS. 032-190-013 \& 013 PORTIONS

PARCEL TWO:
LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND BEING A PORTION OF THE LANDS OF DSL SERVICE COMPANY, AS DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1997-070708, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY, SAID PORTION BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A WELL MONUMENT NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MISSION BOULEVARD AND STATE HIGHWAY 12, SAID MONUMENT SHOWN ON THAT RECORD OF SURVEY ON FILE IN BOOK 227 OF MAPS, PAGES 50-51, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS, AND SHOWN ON SAID MAPS AS "R" $9+30.98$ BC (STATE) $=9+30.98$ BC (CITY), AND FROM SAID MONUMENT ANOTHER WELL MONUMENT BEARS SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.14 FEET (RECORD OF SURVEY SHOWS SOUTH $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.35 FEET), SAID MONUMENT LABELED $(0+00$ CITY INTERSECTION OF CENTERLINES OF MISSION BLVD. AND MONTGOMERY DR.) ON SAID MAP; THENCE FROM THE POINT OF COMMENCEMENT NORTH $59^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 387.58 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF THAT STRIP OF LAND DEEDED TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1989-085892, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY; SAID POINT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE FROM SAID POINT SOUTH $41^{\circ} 45^{\prime}$ 13" EAST 79.38 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 200.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $14^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 49.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH $48^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 198.39 FEET; THENCE NORTH $42^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 137.89 FEET TO THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF STATE HIGHWAY 12; THENCE ALONG SAID SOUTHEASTERLY LINE SOUTH $48^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 36.58 FEET TO THE NORTHERLY CORNER OF SAID STRIP OF LAND DEEDED TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA; THENCE ALONG THE SOUTHERLY LINE OF SAID STRIP SOUTH $41^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 10.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $48^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 166.42 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

PARCEL THREE:
LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND BEING A PORTION OF THE LANDS OF DSL SERVICE COMPANY, AS DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1997-070708, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY, SAID PORTION BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A WELL MONUMENT NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MISSION BOULEVARD AND STATE HIGHWAY 12, SAID MONUMENT SHOWN ON THAT RECORD OF SURVEY ON FILE IN BOOK 227 OF MAPS, PAGES 50-51, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS,


#### Abstract

AND SHOWN ON SAID MAPS AS "R" $9+30.98$ BC (STATE $=9+30.98$ BC (CITY), AND FROM SAID MONUMENT ANOTHER WELL MONUMENT BEARS SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.14 FEET (RECORD OF SURVEY SHOWS SOUTH $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.35 FEET), SAID MONUMENT LABELED $(0+00$ CITY INTERSECTION OF CENTERLINES OF MISSION BLVD. AND MONTGOMERY DR.) ON SAID MAP; THENCE FROM THE POINT OF COMMENCEMENT NORTH $59^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 387.58 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHEASTERLY LINE OF THAT STRIP OF LAND DEEDED TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1989-085892, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY; THENCE SOUTH $41^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 79.38 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 200.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $14^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 49.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE FROM SAID POINT SOUTH $55^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ 24" EAST 150.69 FEET; THENCE ON A NONTANGENT CURVE TO THE LEFT FROM A TANGENT WHICH BEARS NORTH $27^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ EAST, WITH A RADIUS OF 223.00 FEET, through a central angle of $42^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 167.25 FEET; THENCE NORTH $42^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 149.48 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $48^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 47^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 198.39 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.


## A.P. NOS. 032-190-013 \& 014 PORTIONS

PARCEL FOUR:
LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND BEING A PORTION OF THE LANDS OF DSL SERVICE COMPANY, AS DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1997-070708, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY, SAID PORTION BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

Commencing at a well monument near the intersection of mission BOULEVARD AND STATE HIGHWAY 12, SAID MONUMENT SHOWN ON THAT RECORD OF SURVEY ON FILE IN BOOK 227 OF MAPS, PAGES 50-51, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS, AND SHOWN ON SAID MAPS AS "R" $9+30.98$ BC (STATE $=9+30.98$ BC (CITY), AND FROM SAID MONUMENT ANOTHER WELL MONUMENT BEARS SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.14 FEET (RECORD OF SURVEY SHOWS SOUTH $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.35 FEET), SAID MONUMENT LABELED $10+00$ CITY INTERSECTION OF CENTERLINES OF MISSION BLVD. AND MONTGOMERY DR.) ON SAID MAP; THENCE FROM THE POINT OF COMMENCEMENT SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 403.17 FEET; NORTH $30^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 55.23 FEET TO A POINT LYING ON THE NORTHEASTERLY EDGE OF THAT PARCEL OF LAND DEEDED TO THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1981-057126, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY EDGE SOUTH $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 25.50 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $48^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 60.47 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 10.50 FEET, THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE NORTH $09^{\circ} 42^{\prime}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 36.96 FEET; THENCE NORTH $41^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 157.88 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 223.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $3^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 13.80 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 01 "$ EAST 180.18 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL 2 AS SHOWN ON PARCEL MAP NO. 328, ON FILE IN BOOK 307 OF MAPS, PAGES 35 AND 36, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS; THENCE ALONG SAID

NORTHERLY LINE SOUTH $36^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 7.50 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $44^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 171.29 FEET TO THE EASTERLY LINE OF MISSION BOULEVARD; THENCE ALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF MISSION BOULEVARD NORTH $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 143.97 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

## A.P. NOS. 032-190-011, 012 \& 013 PORTIONS

PARCEL FIVE:
LYING WITHIN THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, COUNTY OF SONOMA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND BEING A PORTION OF THE LANDS OF DSL SERVICE COMPANY, AS DESCRIBED BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1997-070708, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY, SAID PORTION BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT A WELL MONUMENT NEAR THE INTERSECTION OF MISSION BOULEVARD AND STATE HIGHWAY 12, SAID MONUMENT SHOWN ON THAT RECORD OF SURVEY ON FILE IN BOOK 227 OF MAPS, PAGES 50-51, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS, AND SHOWN ON SAID MAPS AS "R" $9+30.98$ BC (STATE $=9+30.98$ BC (CITY), AND FROM SAID MONUMENT ANOTHER WELL MONUMENT BEARS SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.14 FEET (RECORD OF SURVEY SHOWS SOUTH $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 998.35 FEET), SAID MONUMENT LABELED $(0+00$ CITY INTERSECTION OF CENTERLINES OF MISSION BLVD. AND MONTGOMERY DR.) ON SAID MAP; THENCE FROM THE POINT OF COMMENCEMENT SOUTH $59^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 403.17 FEET; NORTH $30^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 55.23 FEET TO A POINT LYING ON THE NORTHEASTERLY EDGE OF THAT PARCEL OF LAND DEEDED TO THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA BY DEED RECORDED UNDER DOCUMENT NUMBER 1981-057126, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SONOMA COUNTY; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHEASTERLY EDGE SOUTH $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 25.50 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $48^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 60.47 FEET; THENCE SOUTH $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 10.50 FEET; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTHEASTERLY LINE NORTH $09^{\circ} 42^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 36.96 FEET; THENCE NORTH $41^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 157.88 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 223.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $03^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 13.80 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH $52^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 180.18 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL 2 AS SHOWN ON PARCEL MAP NO. 328, ON FILE IN BOOK 307 OF MAPS, PAGES 35 AND 36, SONOMA COUNTY RECORDS; THENCE ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE NORTH $36^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ EAST 173.95 FEET; THENCE LEAVING SAID NORTHERLY LINE NORTH $42^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ WEST 146.24 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE LEFT FROM A TANGENT WHICH BEARS SOUTH $77^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 32^{\prime \prime}$ WEST, WITH A RADIUS OF 177.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $52^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 2^{\prime} 2^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 161.80 FEET; THENCE ON A CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF 223.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF $12^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$, FOR A LENGTH OF 48.38 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.
A.P. NOS. 032-190-013 \& 014 (PORTIONS)

NOTE
Pursuant to Section 12413.1 of the insurance code funds deposited in escrow must be held for the following time periods before they can be disbursed:
A. Cash or wired funds--available for immediate disbursal after deposit in bank or confirmation of receipt in account.
B. Cashier checks, certified checks, tellers checks-next day available funds.
C. All other checks must be held in accordance with regulation CC adopted by the Federal Reserve Board of Governors before they may be disbursed.
D. Drafts must be collected before they may be disbursed.

North American Title Company will not be responsible for accruals of interest or other charges resulting from compliance with the disbursement restrictions imposed by state law.

FOR YOUR INFORMATION, OUR WIRE INSTRUCTIONS ARE:

WIRE TO:
IMPERIAL BANK
REDONDO BEACH OFFICE
2015 MANHATTAN BEACH BLVD
REDONDO BEACH, CA 90278
ABA \# 122201444
ATTN: SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

CREDIT THE ACCOUNT OF:
NORTH AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY
BANK ACCOUNT \# 16-130-761
ESCROW NO. 62219395
COUNTY \& BRANCH NO. 562-01
ATTN: LESLIE HUDSON, ESCROW OFFICER

## IMPORTANTNOTICE

this is a notice of a withholding requirement (California revenue and TAXATION CODE SECTIONS 18805 \& 26131) ON SALES OF REAL PROPERTY.

A RECENT CHANGE IN CALIFORNIA LAW REQUIRES STATE INCOME TAX WITHHOLDING OF $3-1 / 3$ \% ON ALL SALES OF REAL PROPERTY BY A SELLER WITH A LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARIES OF CALIFORNIA. THIS LAW APPLIES TO SALES OF REAL PROPERTY BY BOTH INDIVIDUALS AND CORPORATIONS. PARTNERSHIPS ARE EXEMPT.

THE ONLY REAL PROPERTY EXEMPT FROM REQUIREMENT IS :

1. THE SALES PRICE OF THE CALIFORNIA REAL PROPERTY conveyed does not exceed one hundred thousand DOLLARS $(\$ 100,000)$, OR
2. THE SELLER EXECUTES A WRITTEN CERTIFICATE, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, CERTIFYING THAT THE SELLER IS A RESIDENT OF CALIFORNIA, OR IF A CORPORATION, HAS A PERMANENT PLACE OF BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA, OR
3. THE SELLER, WHO IS AN INDIVIDUAL, EXECUTES A WRITTEN CERTIFICATE, UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY, THAT THE CALIFORNIA REAL PROPERTY BEING CONVEYED IS THE SELLER'S PRINCIPAL RESIDENCE (AS DEFINED IN SECTION 1034 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE).

SELLER MAY PROPERLY CLAIM CERTAIN EXEMPTIONS WHICH DO NOT RELATE TO THE PROPERTY ITSELF. IT IS THE BUYER WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE TAX WITHHOLDING OF SELLER'S PROCEEDS. PRINCIPALS AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES MAY REQUEST MORE INFORMATION BY CONTACTING THE address below. the seller may request a waiver of withiolding BY CONTACTING:

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD
WITHHOLDING AT SOURCE UNIT P.O. BOX 651

SACRAMENTO, CA 95812-0651
(916) 845-4900



HHJ-A PUBLIC INFO NETWORK - ROLL INFORMATION 11/25/98

| PARCEL NO. |  | STREET ADDRESS U |  | UNIT CITY | USE CODE | ODE TRA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 032-190-011 | 280 | MISSION | BLVD | SR | 0100 | 0 004-009 |
| CURRENT ASSESSEE (S) |  |  | LAND | 130554 |  | OR NO. |
| IMPROVEMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DSL SERVICE COMPANY |  |  | PERSONAL PROPERTY |  | CURR 97R070708 |  |
| C/O SCOTT S BROOKS |  |  | EXEMPTIONS |  | DT 08/14/97 |  |
| PO BOX 6030 |  |  | NET TAXABLE VALUE | E 130554 | PREV |  |
| NEWPORT BEACH | CA | 92658-6030 |  |  | DTTAXABLE |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





FEE ASMT: 032190012000 FEE OWNER: DSL SERVICE COMPANY DOCUMENT\#/ CREATING
 12/04/97 DOCUMENTARY TAX DECLARATION FILED






















# EXHIBIT "A" <br> LIST 0 REPRINTED POLICY EXCLUSIONS AI EXCEPTIONS CALIFORNIA LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION STANDARD COVERAGE POLICY -1990 EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE 

The following matters are expressly excluded from the coverage of this policy and the Company will not pay loss or damage, costs, attomeys' fees or expenses which arise by reason of :

1. (a) Any law, ordinance or govemmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning laws, ordinances, or regulations) restricting, regulating, prohibiting or relating to (i) the occupancy, use, or enjoyment of the land: (ii) the character, dimensions or location of any improvement now or hereafter erected on the land: (iii) a separation in ownership or a change in the dimensions or area of the land or any parcel of which the land is or was a part: or (iv) environmental protection, or the effect of any violation of these laws, ordinatces or governmental regulations, except to the extent that a notice of the enforcement thereof or a notice of a defect. lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy.
(b) Any governmental police power not excluded by (a) above, except to the extent that a notice of the exercise thereof or a notice of a defect. lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy.
2. Rights of eminent domain unless notice of the exercise thereof has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy. but not excluding from coverage any taking which has occurred prior to Date of Policy which would be binding on the rights of a purchaser for value without knowledge.
3. Defects. hiens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters:
(a) whether or not recorded in the public records at Date of Policy, but created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by the insured claimant:
(b) not known to the Company, not recorded in the public records at Date of Policy, but known to the insured claimant and not disclosed in writing to the Company by the insured claimant prior to the date the insured claimant became an insured under this policy:
(c) resulting in no loss or damage to the insured claimant:
(d) attaching or created subsequent to Date of Policy or:
(e) resulting in loss or damage which would not have been sustained if the insured claimant had paid value for the insured mortgage or for the estate or interest insured by this policy.
4. Unenforceability of the lien of the insured morigage because of the inability or failure of the insured at Date of Policy. or the inability or failure of any subsequent owner of the indebtedness. to comply with the applicable doing business laws of the state in which the land is situated.
5. Invalidity or unenforceability of the lien of the insured mortgage, or claim thereof, which arises out of the transaction evidenced by the insured mortgage and is based upon usury or any consumer credit protection or truth in lending law.
6. Any claim, which arises out of the transaction vesting in the insured the estate or interest insured by this policy or the transaction creating the interest of the insured lender. by reason of the operation of federal bankruptcy, state insolvency or similar creditors' rights laws.

## EXCEPTIONS FROM COVERAGE (SCHEDULE B - PART I)

This policy does not insure against loss or damage (and the Company will not pay costs, attomeys' fees or expenses) which arise by reason of :

1. Taxes or assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the public records.
Proceedings by a public agency which may result in taxes or assessments. or notices of such proceedings. whether or not shown by the records of such agency or by the public records.
2. Any facts. rights, interests or claims which are not shown by the public records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of the land or which may be asserted by persons in possession thereof.
3. Easements, liens or encumbrances, or claims thereof. which are not shown by the public records.
4. Discrepancies, conflicts in boundary lines, shortage in area, encroachments, or any other facts which a correct survey would disclose, and which are not shown by the public records.
5. (a) Unpatented mining claims; (b) reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof: (c) water rights, claims or title to water, whether or not the matters excepted under (a). (b) or (c) are shown by the public records.

## AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION OWNER'S POLICY (10-17-92) AND AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION LEASEHOLD OWNER'S POLICY (10-17-92) EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE

The following matters are expressly excluded from the coverage of this policy and the Company will not pay loss or damage, costs, attomeys' fees or expenses which arise by reason of :

1. (a) Any law, ordinance or governmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning laws, ordinances, or regulations) restricting, regulating, prohibiting or relating to (i) the occupancy, use, or enjoyment of the land; (ii) the character. dimensions or location of any improvement now or hereafter erected on the land; (iij) a separation in ownership or a change in the dimensions or area of the land or any parcel of which the land is or was a part; or (iv) environmental protection. or the effect of any violation of these laws, ordinasces or governmental regulations. except to the extent that a notice of the enforcement thereof or a notice of a defect. lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy.
(b)Any govemmental police power not excluded by (a) above, except to the extent that a notice of the exercise thereof or a notice of a defect, lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy.
2. Rights of eminent domain unless notice of the exercise thereof has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy, but not excluding from coverage any taking which has occurred prior to Date of Policy which would be binding on the rights of a purchaser for value without knowledge.
3. Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters:
(a) created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by the insured claimant:
(b) not known to the Company, not recorded in the public records at Date of Policy, but known to the insured claimant and not disclosed in writing to the Company by the insured claimant prior to the date the insured claimant became an insured under this policy.
(c) resulting in no loss or damage to the insured claimant;
(d) attaching or created subsequent to Date of Policy; or
(e) resulting in loss or damage which would not have been sustained if the insured claimant had paid value for the estate or interest insured by this policy.
4. Any claim which arises out of the transaction vesting in the lnsured the estate or interest insured by this policy, by reason of the operation of federal bankniptcy, state insolvency, or similar creditors' rights laws, that is based on:
(a) the transaction creating the estate or interest insured by this policy being deemed a fraudulent conveyance or fraudulent transfer; or
(b) the transaction creating the estate or interest insured by the policy being deemed a preferential transfer except where the preferential transfer results from the failure:
(i) to timely record the instrument of transfer: or
(ii) of such recordation to impart notice to a purchaser for value or a judgment or lien creditor.

# AMFDICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION LOA*-POLICY WITH .T.A. ENDORSEMENT FORM 1 COVER E (10-17-92) <br> AND A.L.T.A. LEASEHOLD LOAN POLICY (10-17-92) <br> <br> EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE 

 <br> <br> EXCLUSIONS FROM COVERAGE}

The following matters are expressly excluded from the coverage of this policy and the Company will not pay loss or damage. costs. attorneys' fees or expenses which arise by reason of :

1. (a) Any law, ordinance or govemmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning laws, ordinances, or regulations) restricting. regulating, prohibiting or relating to (i) the occupancy. use, or enjoyment of the land; (ii) the character, dimensions or location of any improvement now or hereafter erected on the land; (iii) a separation in ownership or a change in the dimensions or area of the land or any parcel of which the land is or was a part: or (iv) environmental protection, or the effect of any violation of these laws, ordinances or governmental regulations, except to the extent that a notice of the enforcement thereof or a notice of a defect, lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy.
(b) Any govemmental police power not excluded by (a) above. except to the extent that a notice of the exercise thereof or a notice of a defect. lien or encumbrance resulting from a violation or alleged violation affecting the land has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy.
2. Rights of eminent domain unless notice of the exercise thereof has been recorded in the public records at Date of Policy. but not excluding from coverage any taking which has occurred prior to Date of Policy which would be binding on the rights of a purchaser for value without knowledge.
3. Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims or other matters:
(a) created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by the insured claimant;
(b) not known to the Company, not recorded in the public records at Date of Policy, but known to the insured claimant and not disclosed in writing to the Company by the insured claimant prior to the date the insured claimant became an insured under this policy:
(c) resulting in no loss or damage to the insured claimant:
(d) attaching or created subsequent to Date of Policy (except to the extent that this policy insures the priority of the lien of the insured mortgage over any statutory lien for services, labor or material * (or to the extent insurance is afforded herein as to assessments for street improvements under construction or completed at Date of Policy]: or
(e) resulting in loss or damage which would not have been sustained if the insured claimant had paid value for the insured mongage.
4. Unenforceability of the lien of the insured mortgage because of the inability or failure of the insured at Date of Policy, or the inability or failure of any subsequent owner of the indebtedness, to comply with the applicable doing business laws of the state in which the land is situated.
5. Invalidity or unenforceability of the lien of the insured mongage, or claim thereof, which arises out of the transaction evidenced by the insured mongage and is based upon usury or any consumer credit protection or truth in lending law.
6. Any statutory lien for services, labor or materials (or the claim of priority of any statutory lien for services. labor or materials over the lien of the insured mortgage) arising from an improvement or work related to the land which is contracted for and commenced subsequent to Date of Policy and is not financed in whole or in part by proceeds of the indebtedness secured by the insured mortgage which at Date of Policy the insured has advanced or is obligated to advance.
7. Any claim which arises out of the transaction creating the interest of the morgagee insured by this policy, by reason of the operation of federal bankruptcy, state insolvency, or similar creditors' rights laws, that is based on:
(a) the transaction creating the interest of the insured mortgagee being deemed a fraudulent conveyance or fraudulent transfer: or
(b) the subordination of the interest of the insured mortgagee as a result of the application of the doctrine of equitable subordination: or
(c) the transaction creating the interest of the insured mortgagee being deemed a preferential transfer except where the preferential transfer results from the failure:
(i) to timely record the instrument of transfer; or
(ii) of such recordation to impant notice to a purchaser for value or a judgment or lien creditor.

* Inner Brackets denote coverage not contained in Leasehold Loan Policy.


## AMERICAN LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION RESIDENTIAL TITLE INSURANCE POLICY (6-1-87) PRINTED POLICY EXCEPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS

The Exclusions and the Exceptions of the ALTA Residential Policy Form recite that you are not insured against loss or damage. costs, attomeys' fees and expenses resulting from:

## Exclusions

1. Governmental police power, and the existance or violation of any law or government regulation. This includes building and zoning ordinances and also laws and regulations conceming:

- land use
- improvements on the land
- land division
- environmental protection

This exclusion does not apply to violations or the enforcement of these matters which appear in the public records at Policy Date
This exclusion does not limit the zoning coverage described in fems 12 and 13 of Covered Title Risks.
2. The right to take the land by condernning it. uniess:

- a notice of exercising the right appears in the public records on the Policy Date.
- the taking happened prior to the Policy Date and is binding on you if you bought the land without knowing of the taking.

3. Title Risks:

- that are created. allowed, or agreed to by you
- that are known to you, but not to us. on the Policy Date - uniess they appeared in the public records
- that result in no loss to you
- that first affect your title after the Policy Date - this does not limit the labor and material lien coverage in Item 8 of Covered Title Risks

4. Failure to pay value for your title.
5. Lack of a right:

- to any land outside the area specifically described and referred to in liem 3 of Schedule A
or
- in streets, alleys. or waterways that touch your land

This exclusion does not limit the access coverage in Item 5 of Covered Title Risks.

## Standard Exceptions

(a) Any rights. interests or claims of parties in possession of the land not shown by the public records.
(b) Any easements or liens not shown by the public records.

This does not limit the lien coverage in Item 8 of the Covered Title Risks.
(c) Any facts about the land which a correct survey would disclose and which are not shown by the public records. This does not limit the forced removal coverage in Item 12 of Covered Tisle Risks.
(d) Any water rights. claims or tifle to water on or under the land.

June 13, 2001

The Santa Rosa Department of Community Development has completed its review of your application. Please be advised that your Final Design Review application has been granted based on your project description and official approved exhibit dated June 13, 2001. The Santa Rosa Department of Community Development has based its action on the following findings:

- The project is consistent with the Retail \& Business Service/Office land use designation of the Santa Rosa General Plan in that the project would be retail use.
- The project has been reviewed in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act in that, based on an Initial Study dated May 6, 1999, potential significant effects have been mitigated to a level of insignificance. A Mitigated Negative Declaration was adopted on September 14, 1999.
- The project, as designed, is consistent with the polices set forth in the Santa Rosa Design Review Guidelines.
- The project and/or use, as designed and conditioned, will not constitute a nuisance or be injurious or detrimental to the public health, safety, or general welfare in that, as conditioned, no nuisance has been found to exist.

This entitlement would not be granted but for the applicability and validity of each and every one of the below conditions and that if any one or more of the below conditions is invalid, this entitlement would not have been granted without requiring other valid conditions for achieving the purposes and intents of such approval. The approval of the project is contingent upon compliance with all the conditions listed below:

1. A building permit is required.
2. Comply with all applicable federal, state, and local codes, including provisions for the disabled.
3. Compliance with the latest adopted ordinances, resolutions, policies and fees adopted by the City Council at the time of building permit review and approval.

## DSL Service Company

June 13, 2001
Page 2 of 2
4. Comply with all conditions of approval set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 9579 dated August 12, 1999 and Environmental Mitigation set forth in the Initial Study dated May 6, 1999.
5. The wall near the freestanding monument sign at the corner of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12 shall not intrude into the root zone of trees. Page A2.0 of the Final Design Review package shows the wall intruding into the canopy zone of the nearby oak. Page A-1.1 (submitted separately) shows the wall up to the edge of the canopy. The building plans shall show separation between the wall and the tree. Notes shall appear on the building plans clearly describing the separation between the wall and the tree and describing the means of protecting the tree (as set forth in the conditions of approval).
6. The mounting height of light fixtures in vehicle and storage areas shall not exceed 16 feet. Building permit plans shall reflect this condition.
7. On building permit plans, show detail of plastered masonry wall with color and finish to match building.
8. A separate permit is required for all signs.
9. Comply with the City Water Efficient Landscape Policy including a Certificate of Completion upon installation of project planting and irrigation.
10. Show irrigation plan on building permit plans.
11. This Design Review approval shall be valid for two years. If conditions have not been met or if work has not commenced within two years from date of approval, this approval shall automatically expire and shall be invalid unless an application for extension is filed prior to expiration.

This approval is subject to appeal within ten (10) working days from the date of approval. If a building permit is obtained within the appeal period and an appeal is also received, it is possible a delay could result.
If further information or clarification is needed, please contact me at (707) 543-3258.


[^0]ORDINANCE NO.
ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA AMENDING CHAPTER 20 OF THE SANTA ROSA CITY CODE - RECLASSIFICATION OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

## THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Council finds, based on the evidence and records presented, planned development ("PD") treatment is appropriate, and that the reclassification to the C-1-PD District is appropriate for the property identified in Section 2, due to subject property's physical configuration and its location adjacent to established development.

The Council further finds and determines that the reclassification of the subject property from the $U$ (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District is consistent with the Santa Rosa General Plan in that:

1. The Zoning authorizes Retail and Office Land Uses in conformance with the policy of the Land Use Element of the City's General Plan in that it designates the site for Retail and Business Service and Office Land Uses.
2. Adequate City services can be provided for the proposed development.

The Council has reviewed and considered the approved and adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project and determines that this reclassification will not have a significant effect on the environment as shown by the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Section 2. All conditions required by law having been satisfied and all findings with relation thereto having been made, Chapter 20 of the Santa Rosa City Code is amended by amending the "Zoning Map of the City of Santa Rosa," as described in Section 20-01.010, so as to change the classification of the hereinafter described property as follows:

1. Assessor's Parcels Numbered 032-190-015 through -019 are changed to the C-1-PD District.
2. The Development Plan dated December 8, 1998, and stamped received July 12, 1999, and the Policy Statement dated July 1999 and stamped received August 16, 1999, on file in the Department of Community Development, are approved and the same shall govern all development of the property. In addition to any other conditions that are deemed appropriate or necessary at the time a use permit or other development permit is applied for, any development approval for this property shall be expressly conditioned to require the applicant to fulfill all of the conditions set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577 adopted August 12, 1999.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect the 31st day following its adoption.
IN COUNCIL DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of September, 1999.
AYES: (5)Mayor Condron; Councilmembers Martini, Vas Dupre, Rabinowitsh, Runyan NOES: (0)

ABSENT: (0)
ABSTAIN: (2) founcilmembers Evans, Wright


ATTEST:


# AGREEMENT FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF <br> CERTAIN LANDSCAPING AND IRRIGATION FACILITIES <br> WITHIN THE <br> MISSION ARBORS CREEK PARCEL 

This Agreement is entered into this_18th day of July_, 2000, by and between DSL Service Co., a California corporation, (hereinafter "Developer") and the City of Santa Rosa, California, a chartered city, (hereinafter "City").

## RECITALS

A. Developer is the fee title owner and developer of the proposed Mission Arbors commercial retail shopping center ("Center"), to be situated on real property with a street address of 100 Mission Boulevard, Santa Rosa, and which is currently identified as Sonoma County Assessors Parcels numbered 032-190-018 and 032-190-019.
B. Developer has obtained a conditional use permit from City to construct and operate the Center. The conditional use permit requires that all land within the creek setback along Santa Rosa Creek, which is legally described on Exhibit "A" and depicted on Exhibit "B" attached hereto, shall be granted to, and accepted by, the City of Santa Rosa. Further, certain landscaping and irrigation improvements are required to be made on this parcel ("parcel") by Developer and that the Developer enter into an agreement with the City under which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility of the landscaping and irrigation facilities.
C. Developer wishes to pay a sum of money to City, which sum would, in City's estimation, pay all costs of maintaining the parcel by City in perpetuity, once the landscaping is properly installed, becomes established, and is maintained by Developer for a period of time, all as more particularly set forth in this Agreement.
D. City is willing to assume permanent maintenance responsibility of the landscaping and irrigation facilities on the parcel upon the terms and conditions set forth below. Developer is willing to fulfill such terms and conditions.
E. "Permanent maintenance responsibility" as used in this Agreement refers not only to periodic irrigation, trimming, spraying, and weeding of the subject landscaping but, also, to the maintenance and replacement of its irrigation system and the replacement of its plant materials as may be required, in City's sole judgment, from time to time.

## AGREEMENT

Based on the foregoing recitals, the parties agree as follows:

1. Developer, prior to the issuance of a building permit for the Center, shall, among other things, submit to City, for review and approval by the City's Recreation and Parks Department, detailed irrigation and landscaping plans and specifications for the parcel. Developer shall make all changes and corrections necessary to obtain the Department's approval of such plans and specifications. The landscaping plans and specifications, as submitted by Developer, shall be in accordance with the requirements and criteria of City's Recreation and Parks Department, whether oral or written, and shall include, in addition to all other requirements, a permanent irrigation system serviced through the existing water meter and with a backflow device as required by City. The plans and specifications for the landscaping shall be approved by City's Recreation and Parks Department when that Department has determined that compliance with its requirements and criteria has been achieved by Developer.
2. Developer, prior to the issuance of a building permit for the Center, shall pay to City the sum of $\$ 5,685.00$ for City's assumption of the obligations set forth in paragraph 5 .
3. Prior to the issuance of a building permit for the Center, Developer shall deposit with City the separate sum of $\$ 1,023.00$ to secure and guarantee to City the proper maintenance of the landscaping on the parcel by Developer for a period of two years after the date the landscaping and irrigation facilities have been accepted by City.
4. From the date City accepts the installed landscaping improvements and irrigation facilities, Developer shall actively maintain the landscaping in a clean, weed free, properly fertilized, properly watered, and healthy condition for a period of two years. If during the two-year period, any installed landscaping dies or becomes so diseased or otherwise afflicted that it, in the judgment of City's Director of Recreation and Parks, requires replacement, Developer shall, upon written notice from the Director, at Developer's sole cost, and within twenty (20) days or such longer period as may be specified by the Director in the notice, remove and replace the landscaping that is in such a condition. If ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) or more of the installed landscaping reaches such an afflicted or a dead condition at any time, or from time to time, during Developer's two-year maintenance period, then the maintenance period shall be automatically extended for an additional two-year period dating from the date the last of the replacement landscaping is satisfactorily installed and accepted by City. City's Director of Recreation and Parks shall give Developer written notice of any such extension.
5. If, upon the expiration of the two-year maintenance period and any extension(s) thereof as provided in Paragraph 4, the landscaping within the parcel is in a clean, weed free and healthy condition and the irrigation facilities are operating properly, City shall accept permanent maintenance responsibility therefor and City's Director of Recreation and Parks shall give Developer written notice of City's acceptance. Developer shall then be without further responsibility for the
maintenance of the landscaping. City's standard or degree of maintenance of the parcel landscaping, once it has accepted permanent maintenance responsibility, shall be solely as determined by City and no third party, including, but not limited to Developer or any owner or occupant of property within the Center, shall have any claim, right, power, or authority to require that City's maintenance be accomplished to meet any particular standard.
6. City shall return to Developer all sums remaining in the security deposit, referred to in Paragraph 3, upon City's acceptance of permanent maintenance responsibility for the parcel as provided in Paragraph 5. If during the two-year maintenance period and any extensions thereof, Developer fails to maintain the landscaping in the manner described in Paragraph 4, or to fulfill its other obligations as therein specified, City, after written notice of such failure has been give to Developer by City and Developer has failed to correct the identified problem(s), may use the said security deposit as needed to fulfill the obligations of Developer identified in the notice. Complete expenditure by City of the security sum so deposited by Developer shall not release Developer of its obligations under Paragraph 4.
7. Prior to the start of any work in the creek setback, Developer shall obtain an Encroachment Permit from City. Developer shall strictly comply with all City's requirements and rules when working within the creek setback.
8. Developer shall be solely liable for any and all loss or damage to property and injury to persons, including death, arising from its performance under this Agreement.

Developer shall provide all security and insurance for the installation of the said landscaping and other improvements as required by the Encroachment Permit. All security and insurance required of Developer to secure the installation of improvements to be installed under the Encroachment Permit shall apply fully to the installation of the subject landscaping required under that permit, as well as all other improvements therein specified.

Developer shall indemnify, defend, and hold City, its officers and employees, harmless from any and all liability, claims, or demands arising out of the Developer's performance under this Agreement; including, but not limited to, the design and installation of the irrigation facilities and landscaping, and any claims of negligent review, approval and inspection by City of such facilities and improvements.
9. Any notice required or permitted to be given under this Agreement shall be effective upon personal delivery to the offices of City or Developer as listed below, or shall be effective four (4) days after the notice is deposited in the United States Postal Service mail, by registered or certified mail, in a sealed envelope, postage fully prepaid and addressed to the respective parties as follows:

TO DEVELOPER: DSL Service Co.
Attn: Wendell E. Hindley, Sr. Vice President 3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower, $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor Newport Beach, CA 92660
Tel: (949) 509-4229
Fax: (949) 854-0676

With a copy to: DSL Service Company
Attn: Legal Department
3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower, $5^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Tel: (949) 509-4231
Fax: (949) 854-0676

TO CITY: Director of Recreation and Parks Department
City of Santa Rosa
415 Steele Lane
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Tel: (707) 543-3292
Fax: (707) 543-3288
10. The provisions of this Agreement may only be amended by further written agreement between the parties.
11. Developer, its officers, employees, agents and contractors act in an independent capacity under this Agreement and are not, and shall not represent themselves to be, officers, employees, agents or a partner of the City.
12. The provisions of this Agreement contain all of the agreements of the parties with respect to the matters contained herein and no prior agreement or understandings pertaining to any such matters shall be effective for any purpose.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day fully executed by the parties.

ISL SERVICE COMPANY a California Corporation


CITY OF SANTA ROSA
a Charter City

Date $\qquad$


APPROVED AS TO FORM City Attorney


C:IWPFILESIMission Arbors Creek Parcel.wpd

## EXHIBIT "A"

## CREEK AREA DEDICATION

All that real property lying within the City of Santa Rosa, County of Sonoma, State of California and being a portion of the lands of DSL Service Company L.P., as said lands are described by deed recorded under Document No. 1998-120247 \& 1998-120248, Official Records of Sonoma County, said portion being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at a point on the northeasterly right of way line of Mission Boulevard, being the most southerly comer of said lands; thence along said right of way line North $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ West 96.22 feet; thence leaving said right of way line on a nontangent curve to the right from a tangent which bears North $30^{\circ} 27^{\circ} 34^{\prime \prime}$ East, with a radius of 36.00 feet, through a central angle of $42^{\circ}$ $38^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$, for a length of 26.79 feet; thence on a curve to the left with a radius of 244.00 feet, through a central angle of $6^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 05^{\prime \prime}$, for a length of 29.25 feet; thence North $41^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East 182.73 feet; thence North $38^{\circ} 13^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ East 17.77 feet; thence North $27^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ East 34.57 feet; thence North $07^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 08^{\prime \prime}$ East 11.77 feet; thence North $39^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East 29.09 feet; thence North $23^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East 34.39 feet; thence North $31^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ East 25.11 feet to a point on the northeasterly line of said lands of DSL; thence along said northeasterly line South $42^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 12^{\prime \prime}$ East 100.57 feet; thence along the southeasterly line of said lands South $36^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ West 181.45 feet; thence South $44^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ West 171.29 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

Containing $31,217.51 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. more or less.

## BASIS OF BEARINGS:

Being South $60^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 58^{\prime \prime}$ East along the northeasterly line of Mission Blvd. as shown on that Record of Survey on file in Book 588 of Maps, Pages 49-50, Sonoma County Records.

Being a portion of APN's 032-190-018 \& 019.

## R-Sheet

$\qquad$
This description prepared by Carlile - Macy.




CITY OF SANTA ROSA
№. 0948 DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ENGINEERING DIVSION RECEIPT :
SANTA ROSA
DATE 16 JUN OO
name Downey Savings \& LOAN ASSN.
ADDRESS 3501 JAMBOREE ROD SURE 1200
NEWPORT BEACH. LA 92660
project name 100 Mission BLUD. (Mission Arbors)


PAID IN FULL $\qquad$ BALANCE DUE $\$$ $\qquad$

Aerial Photos
Engineering/inspection Fees
Street LightsInside City Limits
Deferred Landscape
Cash Participation
Deferred Street Improvement
Improvement Security
Monumentation Security
Street Lights/Outside City Limits



DSL SERVICE COMPANY

REFERENCE: 060900
3501 JAMBOREE ROAD • P.O. BOX 6030 - NEWPORT BEACH, CA $92858-6$ r30

No. $9688508 \frac{90.7035}{0322}$
CHECK NO. OE日S08

# ** FIVE THOUSAND SIX HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE DOLLARS ** 




RESOLUTION NO. 24495

## RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN LANDSCAPING AND IRRIGATION FACILITIES WITH THE MISSION ARBORS CREEK PARCEL, LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER MAJ95-0034

WHEREAS, the Council adopted Ordinance No. 3442 on September 21, 1999, approving a rezoning for Mission Arbors, a commercial development located at the southeast comer of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12; and

WHEREAS, the ordinance adopts conditions of approval set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577, adopted August 12, 1999; and

WHEREAS, Condition No. 111 of Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577 sets forth the developer's responsibility for development of the creek trail area and installation of improvements, including the hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation; and

WHEREAS, the condition also states that the developer shall enter into an agreement with the City for maintenance of the creek parcel, where the developer agrees to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility with funds provided by the developer, and sets forth the financial terms of the agreement; and

WHEREAS, the developer, DSL Service Company, has signed the agreement and paid the City $\$ 6,708.00$ per the agreement, and the funds were deposited into the Deferred Landscape account 0806-2101 on June 16, 2000; and

WHEREAS, the maintenance agreement is modeled after the back-on landscape agreements that the City has with developers as set forth in Council Policy 000-22, City Assumption of Permanent Maintenance of Landscaped Parcels.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council of the City of Santa Rosa approves the Agreement for Installation and Maintenance of Certain Landscaping and Irrigation Facilities within the Mission Arbors Creek Parcel and authorizes the mayor to execute said Agreement.

IN COUNCIL DULY PASSED this 18th day of July__, 2000.
AYES: (6) Vice Mayor Martini; Councilmembers Vas Dupre, Evans, Rabinowitsh, Runyan, Wright
NOES: (0)
ABSENT: (1) Mayor Condron
ABSTAIN: ( $\varnothing$ )
ATTEST:


## APPROVED AS TO FORM:



City Attorney

## RESOLUTION NO. 24137

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPROVING AND ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPOSED REZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NO. MJP98-053

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has conducted an Initial Study on the possible environmental consequences of the proposed rezoning of the area from the $U$ (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District, which study is dated May 6, 1999, and is on file in the Department of Community Development; and

WHEREAS, the study was completed and the same did not identify any significant effects on the environment which would result from the proposed rezoning, provided the mitigation measures therein identified and listed are implemented; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator, based upon the Initial Study, determined that the potential environmental effects of the proposed rezoning would be mitigated by the therein identified and listed mitigation measures to the point where no significant environmental effects would occur and the Environmental Coordinator, based on this determination, prepared a Mitigated Negative Declaration, subject to the implementation of the mitigation measures; and

WHEREAS, a notice of Mitigated Negative Declaration was posted and given and comments from the public and interested persons were invited; and

WHEREAS, a noticed public hearing on the project was held before the Planning Commission, which affirmed the determination of the Environmental Coordinator; and

WHEREAS, a noticed public hearing was held on September 14, 1999, before the Council, at which hearing all those wishing to be heard on the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration were allowed to speak and present evidence concerning the environmental effects of the proposed rezoning designation; and

WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed and considered the subject environmental study, the findings and determination of the Environmental Coordinator, the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, any written comments received during the public review period, the oral and written comments, statements, and other evidence presented by all persons, including members of the public and staff members, who appeared and addressed the Council at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Council has before it all of the necessary environmental information required by the California Environmental Quality Act to properly analyze and evaluate any and all of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed rezoning.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that based on the evidence presented and the records and files herein, the Council adopts and incorporates by this reference the findings of the Environmental Coordinator, dated May 6, 1999, which findings are on file in the Department of

Community Development and confirms the determination of the Environmental Coordinator with respect to the proposed rezoning of the territory situated therein to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that based on the evidence presented, the records and files herein, and the findings above made, the Council determines that the proposed rezoning will not have a significant effect on the environment if the mitigation measures listed and identified on the Mitigated Negative Declaration are implemented and the Council approves and adopts the subject Mitigated Negative Declaration for rezoning of the area included therein to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District.

IN COUNCIL DULY PASSED this 14 th day of September, 1999.
AYES: (5) Mayor Condron; Councilmembers Martini, Vas Dupe,
NOES: (0)
ABSENT: (1) Councilmember Wright
ABSTAIN: (1) Councilmember Evans


ATTEST:


APPROVED AS TO FORM
Que
City Attorney

Reso. No. 24137

North American Title Company
2755 Mendocino Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
ATTN: DEBRA LARGE
WALGREENS PHARMACY - 4610 SONOMA HIGHWAY, SANTA ROSA, CA
Dear Ms. Large,
The purpose of this letter is to confirm that Assessor's Parcel Number 032-190-025, 4610 Sonoma Highway, located at the southeast comer of Sonoma Highway and Mission Boulevard, is zoned C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development). Consistent with the zoning, the Planning Commission approved a conditional use permit by the adoption of Resolution No. 9579 on August 12, 1999, to allow a pharmacy with 24 -hour, 7 days per week operation and drive-through service.

Please contact me at 543-3258 if you have any questions.
Sincerely,


FRANK KASIMOV
City Planner

ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA AMENDING CHAPTER 20 OF THE SANTA ROSA CITY CODE - RECLASSIFICATION OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

## THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Council finds, based on the evidence and records presented, planned development ("PD") treatment is appropriate, and that the reclassification to the C-1-PD District is appropriate for the property identified in Section 2, due to subject property's physical configuration and its location adjacent to established development.

The Council further finds and determines that the reclassification of the subject property from the U (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District is consistent with the Santa Rosa General Plan in that:

1. The Zoning authorizes Retail and Office Land Uses in conformance with the policy of the Land Use Element of the City's General Plan in that it designates the site for Retail and Business Service and Office Land Uses.
2. Adequate City services can be provided for the proposed development.

The Council has reviewed and considered the approved and adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project and determines that this reclassification will not have a significant effect on the environment as shown by the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Section 2. All conditions required by law having been satisfied and all findings with relation thereto having been made, Chapter 20 of the Santa Rosa City Code is amended by amending the "Zoning Map of the City of Santa Rosa," as described in Section 20-01.010, so as to change the classification of the hereinafter described property as follows:

1. Assessor's Parcels Numbered 032-190-015 through -019 are changed to the C-1-PD District.
2. The Development Plan dated December 8, 1998, and stamped received July 12, 1999, and the Policy Statement dated July 1999 and stamped received August 16, 1999, on file in the Department of Community Development, are approved and the same shall govern all development of the property. In addition to any other conditions that are deemed appropriate or necessary at the time a use permit or other development permit is applied for, any development approval for this property shall be expressly conditioned to require the applicant to fulfill all of the conditions set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577 adopted August 12, 1999.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect the 31st day following its adoption.
IN COUNCIL DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of September, 1999.
AYES: (5)Mayor Condron; Councilmembers Martini, Vas Dupre, Rabinowitsh, Runyan
NOES: (0)
ABSENT: (0)
ABSTAIN: (2) Councilmembers Evans, Wright


ATTEST:


APPROVED AS TO FORM

RESOLUTION NO. 9577

## RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA RECOMMENDING TO CITY COUNCIL REZONING FROM THE "U" (UNCLASSIFIED) TO C-1-PD (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL - PLANNED DEVELOPMENT) OF PROPERTY SITUATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - MISSION ARBORS - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

WHEREAS, after public hearing, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa believes that the present classification of property situated at 100 Mission Boulevard in the "U" (Unclassified) District is no longer appropriate and that rezoning is required for public convenience, necessity and general welfare; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission hereby finds and determines:
A. The project is consistent with the Office and Retail Business Service Land Use designations of the General Plan and with General Plan Policies LUR-3b, UD-2f, and JUC-1a
B. . The project will not have a significant effect on the environment pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) if the mitigation measures contained in the Mitigated Negative Declaration are implemented.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Santa Rosa City Planning Commission recommends approval and adoption of the rezoning, and approves and adopts the Policy Statement stamped received July 28, 1999 and the Development Plan received July 12, 1999, on file in the Community Development Department, subject to the following conditions:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## GENERAL:

1. For site-specific improvements, Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) staff recommend that the drainage design for the project be in compliance with the SCWA's Flood Control Design Criteria.
2. The SCWA is concerned with maintaining access to the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek located adjacent to the subject property. Maintenance and access to the creek may be affected by the proposed walking path and storm drain outfalls into Santa Rosa Creek. Please provide civil design plans which show development within the SCWA Santa Rosa Creek easement that may obstruct access to the creek.
3. A Revocable License will be required for construction work within the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek. For questions on obtaining a Revocable License, please contact Bob Oller at 707/521-1865
4. A 5.0 foot wide Public Utility Easement (PUE) shall be offered for dedication by the property owner along the front of Parcels A, B, C, E and F bordering the Quigg Drive and the private drive.
5. Quigg Drive shall be dedicated as a public street and the private drive shall be dedicated as a PUE by the property owner.
6. CalTrans reserves the right to make specific comments on design and signal phasing requirements during the permit phase when plans will be submitted for review.
7. Compliance with the latest adopted ordinances, resolutions, policies, and fees adopted by the City Council at the time of building permit review and approval. All fees must be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
8. All work shall be done according to the final approved plans stamped received July 12, 1999. A Conditional Use Permit for each phase of the project is required and shall be subject to conditions contained therein.
9. Grading cross sections shall be as shown on preliminary Engineering plans stamped received July 23, 1999.
10. The improvement plans submittal shall include a sound wall along the east property line between the commercial and residential uses.

## BUILDING DIVISION:

11. Provide an estimate of cubic yard excavation and cubic yard fill, with provision for any import or export.
12. Clear hours of work and any necessary restrictions on construction access with City Planning, Traffic, and Engineering Divisions.
13. Dedicate any necessary public right-of-ways and easements.
14. Contaminated material assessment is required.
15. A soils report is required. The report must address: Existing fills, potential for liquification and contaminated soils.
16. State Department of Fish and Game agreement is required for work in the creek area. Army Corps of Engineer approval may also be required.
17. Comply with City creek setback requirements.
18. Building pads must be above the 100 -year water surface as approved by SCWA.
19. Abandonment or retention of any existing well must comply with City and County requirements.
20. Complete any pending lot line adjustment.
21. A building permit is required.
22. . Comply with all federal, state and local codes, disabled access included.
23. This building is located in seismic zone $X$ per City Building Ordinance. Designs of structures and portion of structures shall be those forces as determined in the 1994 Uniform Building Code (U.B.C.), multiplied by a factor of 1.24 . For all Building Permit Applications made on July 1, 1999 or after, the building must be designed per the 1997 U.B.C.
24. Roof drainage and parking lot drainage flows are not allowed over sidewalk areas; conduct to an approved storm drain system or through the face of curb per City Standard No. 407.
25. Drainage improvements must be reviewed and approved by Sonoma County Water Agency prior to issuance of the building permit.
26. Provide easements and maintenance agreements for cross-lot uses.
27. An erosion control plan is required prior to issuance of a building permit.
28. Construction projects disturbing five (5) or more acres are required to file a Notice of Intent to comply with the terms of the general permit to discharge storm water associated with construction activity with the State Water Resources Control Board prior to the issuance of any grading permit.

## ENGINEERING DIVISION:

29. Developer's engineer shall obtain the current City Design and Construction Standards and the Community Development Department's Standard Conditions of Approval dated December 8, 1998 and comply with all requirements therein unless specifically waived or altered by written variance by the City Engineer.
30. In addition, the following summary constitutes the specific conditions of approval on the subject application/development based on the plans stamped received July 12, 1999:

## PARCEL AND EASEMENT DEDICATION

31. All land within the creek setback area of Santa Rosa Creek shall be granted to, and accepted by, the City of Santa Rosa in fee title.
32. A Public Utility Easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the private drive. The easement shall be configured to encompass all publicly maintained appurtenances, such as water services, fire hydrants and sewer cleanouts.
33. A minimum 15 foot wide public storm drain easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the alignment of the proposed storm drain extending from Quigg Drive to Santa Rosa Creek.
34. Private access, drainage and utility easements shall be provided, as necessary, over the portions of the private drive on parcels A, B and C. Each easement shall be in favor of the other two parcels.
35. Minimum 10 foot wide private drainage easements shall be provided over all cross lot private drainage systems.

## PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

36. Highway 12 shall be dedicated and improved to major street standards along the frontage of the project. Pavement width shall be 32 feet face of median curb to face of curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262. Per CalTrans requirements, planter strip is not allowed along the State Highway frontage. Additional right-of-way shall be dedicated to the State of California, if and where necessary, such that the new property line is minimum $1 / 2$ foot behind the back of sidewalk. A 7.5 foot public utility easement shall be granted behind the property line to the City of Santa Rosa.
37. Sidewalk shall be installed along the Mission Boulevard frontage generally as shown on the plans. Where sidewalk is contiguous, width shall be 7 feet minimum.
38. 5.5 foot wide sidewalk easements and 10.5 foot wide public utility easements shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa, behind the property line along both sides of Quigg Drive.

Note: A 46 foot wide Quigg Drive minor street right-of-way has previously been granted to the City of Santa Rosa through this site.
39. Quigg Drive shall be improved to minor street standards. Pavement width shall be 36 feet curb to curb, with a 5 foot sidewalk behind a 5 foot planter strip on both sides..
40. The developer shall be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout in accordance with City Std. 220 or 221 on Mission Boulevard. The developer may also be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout on Highway 12. The bus stops shall be located near pedestrian entry walkways on both streets. The locations and configurations shall be subject to approval of the City Parking and Transit Department, the City Department of Community Development, and CalTrans.
41. $6^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ pads and adequate lighting shall be provided behind the sidewalk at each bus stop. The pads shall be contained within public right-of-way or public sidewalk easement.
42. Any broken curb, gutter and/or sidewalk shall be replaced per current City standards.
43. City Std.250C driveway approaches shall be installed where the private drive takes access off Highway 12 and Quigg Drive. This is in lieu of the current proposal for a 250A driveway approach on Highway 12 and a street type entrance on Quigg Drive.
44. The developer shall construct a meandering Class I bikeway/pedestrian path along the north side of Santa Rosa Creek in the general location shown on the plans. The path shall also be designed to serve as a maintenance access road. The path shall have a 10 foot paved asphalt width with 1 foot aggregate base shoulders on both sides. The same type of path, or alternate type as approved in conjunction with Design Review approval, shall
be constructed, extending from Quigg Drive to the creek path, through the proposed public storm drain easement / pedestrian link.
45. Public improvement plans shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. An improvement plan submittal shall be provided to Community Development Engineering for referral to appropriate City agencies and ultimate approval by the City Engineer. The public improvement plans shall include: all traffic signal improvements; Highway 12, Mission Blvd., and Quigg Drive improvements; the common private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ; the storm drain access road to Santa Rosa Creek between parcels E and F; and all improvements within the creek setback of Santa Rosa Creek including all publicly maintained landscape and irrigation improvements.
46. An Encroachment Permit must be obtained from the Department of Public Works prior to beginning any work within the public Right-of-Way or for any work on utilities located within public easements.
47. A CalTrans Encroachment Permit shall be obtained prior to beginning any work in the Highway 12 Right-of-Way.
48. A soils report is required and shall be provided with the improvement plans submitted for review.

## TRAFFIC

49. The developer shall be responsible for installation of a traffic signal at the Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. intersection.
50. A left turn lane shall be improved as necessary for the southbound Mission Boulevard to Quigg Drive movement.
51. Improvement plans shall include a complete signing, striping, and street lighting plan.
52. A reduced size CalTrans R-41 (Right Turn Only) sign shall be installed at the driveway exit on to Highway 12 and an R-10 (One Way) sign shall be installed in the Highway 12 median opposite the driveway exit.
53. Striping plans shall include a left turn lane for the westbound Quigg Drive to southbound Mission Boulevard movement.
54. Cable, conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard, as necessary to interconnect the new Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. traffic signal with the existing Mission Blvd. traffic signals at Highway 12 and Montgomery Drive.
55. Conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard for future traffic signal interconnect along Highway 12 frontage.
56. A traffic control plan for Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard is required for this project. The plan shall be in conformance with the State of California Department of Transportation Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work

Zones, 1996. The plan shall detail all methods, equipment and devices to be implemented for traffic control upon City streets within the work zone and other impacted areas. The plan shall be included as part of the Encroachment Permit application and is subject to that review and approval process.

## PUBLIC STORM DRAIN

57. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. Prior to approval of improvement plans, an approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
58. Proposed development must conform to 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) parts 122, 123 and 124, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit applications for storm water discharge. Project must also conform with any design and construction policies adopted by the City to conform with these regulations.
59. The proposed storm drain between parcels E and F and downstream of Quigg Drive shall be public. A structure shall be installed on the north side of Quigg Drive to delineate the point of connection between the public and private storm drain systems.
60. Access to all public storm drain systems and structures shall be over a minimum 12 foot wide all weather access road of compacted shale. The access road shall be contained within a 15 foot public storm drain easement.
61. The storm drain layout shown on the plans is not approved and is considered conceptual only. Public storm drain should not angle across sidewalk and private improvements, and off-site public storm drain should be centered within 15 foot easements. At the time of improvement plans, the storm drain system shall be redesigned to meet City standards.

## GRADING \& DRAINAGE

62. Soils reports are required and shall be submitted with the building permit applications and the improvement plan submittal..
63. Any soil/water contamination on the site shall be monitored and, where appropriate, remediated to the satisfaction of the City Fire Department and the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
64. Work within the driplines of trees to be saved shall be done under the supervision and approval of an arborist. A note shall be included in the grading plans stating that a construction fence shall be installed around the driplines of all trees to remain prior to commencing with any grading work. Driplines of trees overhanging the property line shall be afforded the same level of protection as trees on site that are to remain.
65. Grading shall occur only between April 15 and October 15 unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer or Chief Building Official in conjunction with an approved erosion and sedimentation control plan.
66. Disturbed slopes shall be stabilized and revegetated.
67. An erosion control plan shall be provided. Offsite properties and existing drainage systems shall be protected from siltation coming from the site.
68. Prior to approval of improvement plans and prior to issuance of a building permit, a Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be obtained from the State Department of Fish and Game for all proposed work in the creek setback area.

## OVERHEAD UTILITY LINES

69. All overhead utility lines along the project frontage shall be placed underground including electrical distribution lines, telephone and cable television in conformance with the City's undergrounding ordinance. Electrical main feeder lines may remain overhead but shall be relocated to behind the new curb location and spare conduits placed in the street for future undergrounding of the main feeder lines.
70. Any existing on-site overhead utilities shall be removed. New services to new structures shall be underground.
71. The developer shall coordinate, and where necessary, pay for the relocation of any power poles or other existing public utilities, as necessary.
72. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of street lights on the Highway 12 frontage. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610 or comparable CalTrans standard.
73. The developer shall be responsible for the installation and/or relocation of City standard street lights on the Mission Boulevard frontage, as necessary. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610.
74. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of City standard street lights on Quigg Drive. Street lights shall be per Std. 612.

## COMMON IMPROVEMENTS

75. The private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C shall be constructed in conjunction with the public improvements. Pavement width shall be 30 feet curb to curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk along the east side. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262.
76. The private drive shall be constructed under continuous inspection by the project soils engineer in compliance with City Design and Construction Standards. Progress and final reports shall be furnished to the City in compliance with U.B.C. special inspection requirements. All costs related to such inspection shall be borne by the owner/developer.
77. Private street lights shall be installed on the private drive and shall meet City standards for minimum average maintained foot-candle and the uniformity ratio for a minor street. All private lighting shall be privately owned and maintained. . Private lighting fixtures shall be subject to staff design review.
78. A Reciprocal Easement Agreement shall be entered into to provide for maintenance of the common private drive together with associated drainage improvements, street lighting,
etc. It may also be desirable to provide for common maintenance of other improvements on parcels A, B and C (driveways and parking areas, drainage facilities, private utilities, exterior lighting, irrigation and landscaping, etc.).

## PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS

79. On-site grading, drainage and site improvement plans, to be included as part of the building permit submittals, shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer.
80. Site drainage and private storm drain facilities shall meet the requirements of the Chief Building Official or his designated representative.
81. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. All storm water run-off shall be collected via an underground drainage system and discharged to the nearest public downstream facility possessing adequate capacity to accept the run-off.
82. An approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
83. Parking and access improvements shall comply with state and federal handicap access requirements.
84. Parking spaces shall be striped according to City standards and in compliance with the City Zoning Code.
85. Directional arrows shall be stenciled on the asphalt pavement at project entrances, at entrances and exits of drive-thru aisles, and as appropriate within internal driveways.
86. In conjunction with development of Parcel A (Rite Aid Pharmacy site), the following signs shall be installed:
a. On both sides of the driveway, at the driveway entrance to the drive-thru window: "DRIVE-THRU WINDOW ONLY. ALL OTHER TRAFFIC USE MAIN EXIT"
b. Reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on Quigg Drive on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit:
87. In conjunction with development of Parcel B (Downey Savings site), reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit.
88. Exterior site lighting plans shall be included with the building permit submittals.
89. Retaining wall systems on site shall be designed by a Registered Civil Engineer per the recommendations of the soils engineer.

## UTILITIES

90. The engineer shall provide a detailed utility plan showing on-site and off-site sewer, water and fire protection systems, and their connections to existing sewer and water facilities. The plan shall also show any wells existing or to be abandoned, and septic systems to be abandoned. Separate irrigation meters are required. An irrigation plan showing maximum GPM flow required at each control valve, maximum GPM flow at meter, peak monthly usage, and connections must be provided. Submit Improvement Plans for the City Engineer's signature.
91. Demand, processing and meter fees and meter sizes will be determined after review of building plans. Submit details of plumbing fixtures, including the amount of fixtures and the size of service lines ( $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ etc.) to Utilities Engineering for review. Provide estimated peak monthly water usage for both domestic and irrigation uses.
92. Water and sewer mains shall be installed per current City Standards. The minimum size of water mains serving commercial parcels is $12^{\prime \prime}$. All sewer mains must be a minimum of $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. All water and sewer mains must be installed a minimum of 10 apart horizontally. All public mains must be a minimum of $5^{\prime}$ from all structures, curbs, property lines or edge of easement. The water main is too close to the curb just east of the private drive. Manholes must have clear access at all times (i.e. not located within parking stalls, etc.). Sewer mains shall not be deeper than 14' or shallower than 3 ', depth from finished grade measured over pipe. The parallel collector main shown on the plans can be eliminated by making the sewer connection for the north east parcel to the private street main. A stub ending in a cleanout shall be installed from a new manhole on the trunk sewer. The sewer laterals for the two southerly lots can be off this stub. The alignment of the water and sewer mains on site will be reviewed during plan check and must be co-ordinated with the apartment project to the east. Water meters and sewer cleanouts shall be in the public right of way or in dedicated easements per current City standards.
93. A public easement shall be provided for public utility mains outside of the public right of way. The width of the easement shall be 15 feet wide for a single utility and 20 feet wide for a double utility, whichever is greater, and shall be centered over the facility. The easement shall be configured to include all publicly maintained appurtenances and structures. No surface structure including but not limited to roof eaves, decks or pools may encroach into the easement. Footings and foundations may encroach into the one to one line from the pipe depth to the top of grade if approved in writing by the Chief Building Official and the Director of Utilities. This information shall be added to the improvement plans. Trees may not be planted within $10^{\prime}$ of a public sewer main.
94. Separate (domestic and irrigation) water and sewer services shall be provided for each lot. Water and sewer services shall be installed per current City Standards. Water and sewer laterals must be a minimum of 5 ' apart. Water services shall be designed as combination services where applicable.
95. Utility Service Agreements shall be signed by the property owner for each property and all metered connections prior to occupancy. The Agreement will be prepared by the Utilities Department after the meter sizes have been determined. Contact Utilities Engineering to obtain agreement.
96. An Encroachment Permit from the City's Public Works Department is required for all work in the public right-of-way.
97. No reinforced concrete may be used in decorative street surfacing placed over publicly maintained water and/or sewer facilities. Water main valves must be located outside of the concrete area.
98. If wells exist on the property, one of the following conditions apply:
a. Retention of wells must comply with City and County codes. Retention of wells must be approved by the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department. An approved backflow prevention device must be installed on any connection to the City water system.
b. Abandonment of wells requires a permit from the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department.
99. Connection of the $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main to the existing main will require a shutdown for a cutin tee and valve. Call Utilities Engineering for fees and scheduling. Advance notice is required.
100. A pressure regulating valve is required for all domestic connections within this project and shall be noted on the improvement plans.
101. The engineer shall provide calculations to show fire flow requirements can be met.
102. Any septic systems within the project boundaries shall be abandoned per Sonoma County Environmental Health standards and City of Santa Rosa Building Division requirements.
103. Coordinate fire hydrant locations with the Fire and Utilities Departments during the plan check process. Contact the Fire Department for building sprinkler requirements.

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

104. Fire hydrant installation shall be as shown on the revised plans stamped received July 12, 1999. Fire hydrants as proposed shall be relocated/added as indicated below:
105. The dead end water main on the new private road shall be not less than 12 -inch unless hydraulic calculations are provided showing an 8 -inch main will deliver the required fire flows.
106. Water mains, fire hydrants and fire department access driveways shall be constructed and in service prior to bring combustible construction materials to the site.
107. Detailed plans for installation of automatic sprinklers shall be provided to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation.
108. The water main on Quigg Road shall be a 12 -inch to coordinate with the proposed apartment project to the east.
109. Installation of private fire mains/hydrants (if any) and automatic sprinklers require permits by the Fire Department.
110. The soil remediation plan appears acceptable in concept; an application for a permit for soil remediation is required.

## RECREATION AND PARKS DEPARTMENT

111. The developer is responsible for development of the creek trail area plan and installation of improvements, i.e., hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation. New landscaping within the creek trail area should be hardy/durable natives which require little maintenance or irrigation once established.

The developer shall enter into an agreement with the City relative to maintenance responsibility of the creek parcel, wherein the developer will agree to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility. The landscape plans, to be included with the public improvement plans, shall be subject to approval by the Department of Recreation and Parks, and the agreement shall be approved and authorized by the City Council prior to approval of the improvement plans. The terms of the agreement shall include the following:
a. The formula that will be used to determine the amount sufficient to provide annual maintenance from the interest generated is $\$ .05$ per square foot times the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is $100 / 9$ or 11.11 .

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the maintenance responsibility would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 100 / 9)=\$ 3,167.00$
b. The developer will deposit, with the City, a separate sum equal to $\$ 0.05$ per square foot times two years to secure and guarantee to the City proper maintenance of the landscaped parcel by the developer for a period of two years after the date the landscaping is accepted by the City per the agreement.

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the security deposit would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 2)=\$ 570.00$
112. Street trees will be required and planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Plan List and approved by the Tree Division Superintendent. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees". Tree planting locations shall be marked by the City Tree Division personnel, contact Dan Watts at 543-3422. Copies of the Master Street Tree Plan list and the standards are available at both the Community Development Department and Parks Division offices.
113. The heritage oak located in the northwest corner of the project shall be protected through all phases of construction as directed by the City's Tree Ordinance \#2858. Since the proposed development will encroach upon the protected perimeter of this tree, special measures shall be utilized and shown on the plans to allow roots to obtain oxygen and nutrients as needed.

## TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

114. Bus turnouts shall be provided on both Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard. /a bus turnout on Mission Boulevard should be located far-side Quigg Drive.
115. A concrete pad shall be constructed at both bus stops ( 6 feet by 11 feet). A bus shelter, approved by our department, shall be provided, installed and maintained at the Mission Boulevard bus stop. A fee of $\$ 5,000$ shall be paid to our department in-lieu of providing and installing the shelter. Maintenance of the bus shelter shall be defined in an agreement with our department. Maintenance is defined as routine cleaning (e.g., trash removal, panel cleaning).
116. Per the Bicycle Master Plan and Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, a standard 10 -foot wide asphalt multi-use path shall be constructed along Santa Rosa Creek. A soft path shall also be provided along the creek per the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan.
117. Until an undercrossing is constructed at Mission Boulevard, signage shall be installed at Mission Boulevard directing users of the multi-use path to cross Mission Boulevard at Quigg Drive.
118. The pedestrian linkage to Santa Rosa Creek shall have a public use easement.
119. Development adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek shall be oriented towards the creek.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission finds and determines this entitlement to use would not be granted but for the applicability and validity of each and every one of the above conditions and that if any one or more of the above said conditions are invalid, this entitlement to use would not have been granted without requiring other valid conditions for achieving the purposes and intent of such approval.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission recommends to the City Council the approval and adoption of the rezoning of property, and approval and adoption of the Development Plan and Policy Statement for property situated at 100 Mission Boulevard from the U (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD District (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development District), said property more precisely described as: Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-015, 032-190-016, 032-190-017, 032-190-018, and 032-190-019.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:

Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1)
(Carlile)
Absent
(0)


| Policy <br> Number | Effective <br> Date | Number <br> of Pages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $000-22$ | $4-5-88$ | 1 of 2 |

BACKGROUND
For a number of years prior to August of 1986 the subject of City assumption of maintenanc of landscaped parcels was the subject of much private developer
interest within the City of Santa Rosa. Establishing homeowner associations as part of the subdivision approval process in order to maintain landscaped parcels was cumbersome, time-consuming, and expensive. Many different alternatives were proposed and explored. Until August of 1986, however, the City was not open to any alternatives to the traditional solution of providing maintenance via a homeowners association. Since that time the City has, in a number of instances, made use of a landscape maintenance agreement as part of the final map approval process of a subdivision to effect a transfer of maintenance responsibility from the subdivider to the City. The agreements have been drawn so no cost was incurred to the City by the transfer.

Subsequent to August 1986, and leading up to the present, interest among private maintenance associations specifically, and the private development community in general, has grown in utilizing the maintenance agreement mechanism to transfer permanent maintenance responsibility for existing landscaped parcels (as opposed to parcels being newly created as a function of final map approvals) to the city.

## PURPOSE

To define the conditions under which the city will accept permanent maintenance responsibility for landscaped parcels.

POLICY
Applicability
The transferance of permanent maintenance responsibility of landscaped parcels from private entities to the City is limited to those situations wherein a homeowner's association would have to be created or already exists solely for the purpose of maintaining landscaping on landscaped parcels held in common and to the following locations:
A. Adjacent to major streets,
B. Adjacent to collector streets where the landscaping is a logical extension of landscaping along an intersecting major street and extends only far enough along the collector street so as to define an entry or provide a logical conclusion to the landscaping along the major street; "and
C. Those other locations deemed appropriate by the Director of the Recreation and Parks Department.

In all instances, the transfer of maintenance responsibility is not to be viewed as a right of the private developer, but an option available to the City.

## PROCEDURE

In order to assure that a consistent procedure is followed in the transfer of permanent maintenance responsibility, the following steps shall be followed:


CITY ASSUMPTION OF PERMANENT MAINTENANCE OF LANDSCAPED PARCELS

| Policy <br> Number | Effective <br> Date | Number <br> of Pages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $000-22$ | $4-5-88$ | 2 of 2 |

A. The private entity shall complete and submit to the Department of Recreation and Parks the appropriate agreement, as developed in standard format and as may periodically be revised by the City Attorneys Office, applicable to the circumstances attendant to the landscaped parcel or parcels in question, as well as any other relevant information deemed pertinent and necessary by the Department of Recreation and Parks.

Note: The agreements shall, at a minimum, provide for achieving the desired end of the City assuming maintenance of landscaped parcels while at the same time obligating the subdivider to (i) install the landscaping, (ii) pay a cash sum to act as a security deposit to ensure adequate upkeep for a two year maintenance period (during which the subdivider shall be required to provide maintenance), and (iii) pay a cash sum the City will invest and then, upon acceptance of full maintenance responsibility, utilize the interest earned from its investment to maintain the landscaping in perpetuity. (The principal will remain undiminished over time.) The specific amounts to be deposited or paid to the city shall be determined by multiplying the area (in square feet) of landscaping to be maintained by a dollar amount (dollars per square foot) sufficient to the purpose. The sufficiency of the amounts shall be determined by the application of formulas set forth and revised as necessary by the Department of Administrative Services (who shall coordinate its activities with the Department of Recreation and Parks).
B. The Director of the Department of Recreation and Parks (or designee) shall review the agreement and relevant required information and the Director may recommend to the City Council that the City assume permanent maintenance responsibility for the parcel or parcels in question, stipulate the dollar amounts to be included in the agreement and execute the agreement, after Council approval.
C. If a final subdivision map approval is necessary to create the parcel or parcels in question, the Department of Recreation and Parks shall forward the executed agreement to the Department of Community Development and the Department of Community Developmen shall then, as a function of a final subdivision map approval process, require paymen of all monies required by the agreement before the final map is submitted to the Coun for approval. Should the final map not be approved, the monies collected shall be returned.
D. If a final subdivision map approval is not necessary to create the parcel or parcels in question, the Department of Recreation and Parks shall, subsequent to the receipt of all monies as stipulated in the agreement, proceed with carrying the matter forward as a separate item to the City Council for review and approval. Should approval not be granted, the monies collected as set forth in the agreement shall be returned.

### 8.8 PUBLIC HEARING - RECLASSIFICATION - MISSION ARBORS

Frank Kasimov, City Planner, made the staff presentation. The proposal is a rezoning to the C-1-PD combining district on five acres located at 100 Mission Boulevard, the southeast corner of Sonoma Highway and Mission Boulevard. Mr. Kasimov pointed out that as a PD District, the zoning would be based on a development plan and a policy statement. He displayed the development plan and discussed the focus of the policy statement.

On August 12, 1999, the Planning Commission recommended approval of a Mitigated Negative Declaration and a rezoning of the property to the C-1-PD District.

In addition, contingent upon Council approval of the rezoning, the Commission approved two conditional use permits:

1) A Conditional Use Permit application for a 24 -hour, 16,637 square-foot Rite Aid Pharmacy on the largest parcel, Parcel A, 1.83 acres, which is located in the northwest corner of the property adjacent to Mission Boulevard and Sonoma Highway.
2) A Conditional Use Permit application for a 3,625 square foot Downey Savings and Loan building on Parcel $B$ ( 0.60 acre) which is located in the northeast part of the property adjacent to Sonoma Highway. The Savings and Loan would be open Mondays through Thursday 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Fridays 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and Saturdays 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. and would have a maximum of 6 employees on a single shift. The drive through ATM window would be operable 24 hours a day.

Mr. Kasimov displayed a map showing the location of the subject parcels. There are no development plans for three parcels, one of which would be zoned for office uses. The two parcels located adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek would be zoned specifically for restaurant or other food serving uses.

Mr. Kasimov said that a neighborhood meeting was held in January for this project and the apartment project to the east. Approximately 50 to 60 people attended. Their major concern related to traffic. Traffic issues were addressed in a traffic study dated March 1999 and in subsequent correspondence. The traffic analysis concludes that with mitigations there would not be any significant traffic impacts resulting from this project and the apartment project combined. The traffic analysis was reviewed and supported by the City's Traffic Engineer.

Mr. Kasimov said the Design Review Board reviewed the project; i.e., the site design and proposal for the Rite Aid and DSL Bank. Their comments were overall favorably related to the site plan and architect. He reviewed some of the Board's suggestions related to vegetation.

It is recommended by the Planning Commission and the Department of Community Development that the City Council, by resolution, adopt the Negative Declaration and introduce an ordinance to reclassify the subject property to the C-1-PD District.

Mr. Kasimov responded to questions raised by Councilmember Runyan. He said the Planning Commission approved a Conditional Use Permit, which will go into affect if the rezoning is approved, which will authorize the pharmacy to remain open 24 hours, as well as the drive-through portion of it. The bank would close at various hours, depending on the day of the week, but the ATM machine would be open 24 hours a day.

There was a brief discussion regarding the origin of Quig Drive; i.e., the name came from Jerry Quig who once worked in the City's Public Works Department.

In response to Cauncilmember Rabinowitsh, Mr. Kasimov confirmed that there is a planned undercrossing under Mission Boulevard which would link the creek to the proposed development. However, it is not part of this project. Councilmember Rabinowitsh referred to the residential project to the east which was approved and questioned whether the landscaping of this project and that one can be coordinated to ensure that there is continuity in terms of the look of the driveway. Mr. Kasimov said it makes sense to do that. Staff has spoken
with the project applicants and their landscape architect, and pointed out what the Council had mentioned for the other project. Staff suggested that they make the vegetation plan similar to the type and pattern of the other project. Staff will be able to review this again at the final design review stage.

Mayor Condron opened the public hearing.

Denise Peter, Carlile-Macy, representing Downey Savings \& Loan, said the site is a former location of a bowling alley and equipment rental yard, but is now vacant. She displayed the Area Development Plan which illustrated the project proposal in relationship to the surrounding, existing, and proposed uses to the east of the subject site. She displayed an illustration showing the relationship between the approved apartment project and the proposed shopping center. She said the project was designed per the City's zoning requirements, which require a sound wall between commercial and residential uses. Another consideration was that there are two separate applicants for these projects. The apartment project was approved without any requirement for connections to this site along the shared easterly property boundary.

Ms. Peter said the Planning Commission had asked that consideration be given to providing additional pedestrian connections with the apartment project. However, it was felt that the existing trails and the creek path are in visible public locations and trying to add another pedestrian connection would have opened up problems with security and surveillance. In addition, the developer did not have the permission of the apartment project owner to do that and so they stayed with the original proposal.

Ms. Peter reviewed the project landscape plan, which illustrates the two specific proposed uses as well as the three vacant parcels. She discussed the need for Use Permits, a drive-through ATM machine, and a drivethrough prescription pharmacy. She said the project was approved by the Planning Commission to have the retail portion of the store open 24 hours as well without the requirement for a pharmacy.

Ms. Peter explained that with the first use going in, the developer is required to install Quig Drive, the new private entrance between Highway 12 and Quig Drive, and the creek trail improvements, as well as storm drain improvements, and a temporary connection to the creek trail. She pointed out that in accordance with the regulations in the Policy Statement, this project will have to be brought back to the Planning Commission with all details to ensure the use will compliment the creek and encourage people to use it.

Jack Osborne, 5636 Del Monte Court, said that while he does not object to the development, he objects to the idea that they want to install a road accessing Highway 12. He questioned whether the additional 24-hour stores will create lighting problems and asked what consideration has been given to lighting. He said he doesn't want to see access off Highway 12. Quig Drive should be the only egress and ingress. Traffic should not be added/taken off Highway 12 that close to Mission Boulevard.

Walter Laubs, TJKM Transportation Consultant, said he prepared the Traffic Study for this project and the apartment project next door. Individual reports were prepared and then combined after the public meeting. He said TJKM has been working with Caltrans on the issue related the driveway off Highway 12. Caltrans is in complete accord with the driveway off Highway12, though it must be a right-in, right-out driveway. He said there will be widening on Highway 12 to allow the turns in. The spacing of the driveway is such that there won't be problems with the merge from Mission Boulevard to Highway 12.

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Condron closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Rabinowitsh indicated that he will support the proposal. He expressed appreciation to Mr. Sass and Mr. Knight for attending the Creek Implementation Committee meetings during which discussions were held related to how the creek and project could interrelate.

Councilmember Runyan briefly noted the history of this site, pointing out that the proposed project will be an improvement.

RESOLUTION NO. 24137 ENTITLED: RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPROVING AND ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPOSED REZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NO. MJP98-053 (Item 8.8).

MOVED by Councilmember Rabinowitsh, seconded by Vice Mayor Martin, CARRIED BY A 5-0-1 VOTE (Councilmember Evans abstaining) TO INTRODUCE AND WAIVE THE READING OF THE TEXT OF:

AN ORDINANCE ENTITLED: ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA AMENDING CHAPTER 20 OF THE SANTA ROSA CITY CODE - RECLASSIFICATION OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053 (Item 8.8).

## 7. CONSENT ITEMS

City Attorney Chouteau announced that it is necessary to add Item 7.6 to the Agenda because it is necessary to appoint the Assistant City Manager to serve until the recently-appointed City Manager assumes his duties the third week of August in order to ensure that City services can be provided in a timely manner during the interim.

MOVED by Councilmember Vas Dupre, seconded by Councilmember Evans, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY ( $6-0$ vote) TO ADD ITEM 7.6 TO THE AGENDA.

It was confirmed that the contract with the Chamber of Commerce (Item 7.5) was discussed and approved in the recently-adopted budget.

Jack Osborne, 5636 Del Monte Court, suggested that before Council act on Item 7.1, they take into consideration that in response to his letter to the District Attorney, the District Attorney has sent a letter to the City Attorney questioning the validity of Mr. Osborne's complaint. He said he doesn't know if this matter has been resolved. He asked for additional information about Items 7.4 and 7.5. He referred to Item 7.6 and asked for clarification as to whether former City Manager Blackman will work as a consultant in conjunction with the Interim City Manager.

Duane De Witt, Roseland resident, referred to Item 7.1 and said that prior to one of the Closed Sessions, he had asked Council not to increase the salary for the City Manager position. He read section 54957 of the Brown Act, noting that Council did not have an agency-designated representative at the Closed Session during which the salary was discussed. He said he has discussed the section with representatives from the First Amendment Coalition, who do not believe this matter was handled appropriately. He acknowledged, however, that they may not interpret this section of the Brown Act in the same way as the City Attorney. He demanded that Council rescind the action on the contract dated June 28, 2000.

City Attorney Chouteau referred to Item 7.1 and clarified that Council acted in an open session on June 27, 2000, to adopt the City Manager's contract. The following week Council introduced the ordinance setting the City Manager's salary. The ordinance is before Council tonight for adoption. He stated that both of the prior actions were taken during open session.

Councilmember Vas Dupre referred to Mr. Osborne's comments, noting that the Agenda does not give the reader as much information as would be helpful. She then expressed her continuing concern about Item 7.5, the contact with the Chamber of Commerce for convention and visitors bureau services. While she acknowledged the admirable job done by the Chamber, she said she would vote against this item because this contract was part of the budget package and was "pitted against" other concerns.

MOVED by Councilmember Runyan, seconded by Councilmember Vas Dupre, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY ( $6-0$ vote) TO ADOPT AND WAIVE THE READING OF THE TEXTS OF THE ORDINANCES IDENTIFIED AS ITEMS 7.1 AND 7.2.

### 7.1 ORDINANCE ADOPTION - ESTABLISHING THE CITY MANAGER'S SALARY

ORDINANCE NO. 3486 ENTITLED: ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA ESTABLISHING WAGES, HOURS AND OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT. FOR CITY MANAGER.

### 7.2 ORDINANCE ADOPTION - ANNEXATION/PREZONING NESR 2-00 (951, 965, 977, AND 987 MIDDLE RINCON ROAD)

AT 951, 965, 977, AND 987 MIDDLE RINCON ROAD - FILE NUMBER ANX99-004.

MOVED by Councilmember Runyan, seconded by Councilmember Evans, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY (6-0 vote) TO ADOPT AND WAIVE THE READING OF THE TEXTS OF THE RESOLUTIONS IDENTIFIED AS ITEMS 7.3, 7.4 AND 7.6.

### 7.3 RESOLUTION - ELDER ABUSE PREVENTION GRANT RENEWAL

RESOLUTION NO. 24494 ENTITLED: RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF THE SECOND YEAR OF THE ELDER ABUSE GRANT FROM THE OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING.
7.4 RESOLUTION - MISSION ARBORS LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

RESOLUTION NO. 24495 ENTITLED: RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPROVING AN AGREEMENT FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF CERTAIN LANDSCAPING AND IRRIGATION FACILITIES WITH THE MISSION ARBORS CREEK PARCEL, LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER MAJ95-0034.
*7.6 RESOLUTION - APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM CITY MANAGER

RESOLUTION NO. 24497 ENTITLED: RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPOINTING INTERIM CITY MANAGER.

MOVED by Councilmember Runyan, seconded by Councilmember Evans, CARRIED BY A 4-1-1 VOTE (Councilmember Vas Dupre opposing, Councilmember Wright abstaining) TO ADOPT AND WAIVE THE READING OF THE TEXT OF THE RESOLUTION IDENTIFIED IN ITEM 7.6.

### 7.5 RESOLUTION - CONTRACT WITH THE SANTA ROSA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TO PROVIDE CONVENTION AND VISITOR'S BUREAU SERVICES

RESOLUTION NO. 24496 ENTITLED: RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPROVING THE 2000-2001 CONTRACT WITH THE SANTA ROSA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE FOR SANTA ROSA CONVENTION AND VISITOR SERVICES.
(1) TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM: $\qquad$ Mission Arbors Landscape Maintenance Agreement
(2) REQUESTED AGENDA DATE: July 18, 2000 CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE CLEARANCE:
(3) REQUESTED BY: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Frank Kasimov
(Staff Member)
(4) RECOMMENDED ACTION: $X \quad$ CONSENT ITEM $\qquad$ SCHEDULED ITEM $\qquad$ PUBLIC HEARING
(5) AGENDA SUMMARY: SEE ATTACHED
(6) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS:
$\qquad$ NOT A PROJECT $\qquad$ EXEMPT PROJECT (Classification) NEGATIVE DECLARATION APPROVED BY CC $9 / 14 / 99$ EIR CERTIFIED BY P.C. OR C.C. $\qquad$ ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE: $\frac{\text { ghanqe }}{\text { (Entironmental Coddinator }} \frac{6 / 30 / 00}{\text { (Date) }}$
(7) FINANCIAL CERTIFICATION:

DOES ITEM REQUIRE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS: $\qquad$ YES $\qquad$ NO

FUNDS BUDGETED $\qquad$ ESTIMATED DOLLAR AMOUNT \$ $\qquad$
RECOMMENDED SOURCE OF FUNDS IF FUNDS NOT APPROPRIATED $\qquad$
CERTIFICATION BY FINANCE DEPARTMENT IF ITEM REQUIRES EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS: $\qquad$
(Finance Director)
(8) REVIEWED BY CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE:

RECOMMENDED ACTION: $\qquad$ ORDINANCE $\qquad$ 1 RESOLUTION $\qquad$ OTHER $\qquad$
(Attorney's Office)
(9) NOTIFICATION BY CITY CLERK REQUESTED:
A. DSL Service Co., Attn: Wendell E. Hindley, Sr. Vice President, 3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower, $55^{\text {th }}$ Floor, Newport Beach, CA 92660
B. Phil Dodd, Director of Design and Construction at the above address
C. Legal Department at the above adress
D. Mike Sass, 8215 Starr Road, Windsor, CA 95492
(10) CERTIFICATION OF ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT HEA
(11) EMERGENCY SCHEDULING CLEARANCE $\qquad$
(City Manager)
(Date)

## AGENDA SUMMARY ATTACHMENT MISSION ARBORS LANDSCAPE AGREEMENT

The Council approved a rezoning for Mission Arbors with a condition that the developer enter into an agreement with the City wherein the developer agrees to maintain the landscaping and irrigation on the creekside parcel for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility with funds provided by the developer under an agreement with the City.

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended by the Department of Community Development that the Council authorize the mayor to execute the landscape maintenance agreement for Mission Arbors with DSL Service Company.
$\qquad$
For Council Meeting of _July 18, 2000

## CITY OF SANTA ROSA

 CITY COUNCILTO: MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL<br>SUBJECT: MISSION ARBORS LANDSCAPE AGREEMENT<br>INITIATED BY: FRANK KASIMOV<br>DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT<br>APPLICANT: DSL SERVICE COMPANY<br>OWNER: DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN<br>AGENDA ACTION: RESOLUTION

## ISSUE(S)

1. Should the Council approve a landscape agreement with DSL Service Company to maintain the creekside parcel for the Mission Arbors development?

## BACKGROUND

1. The Council adopted Ordinance No. 3442 on September 21, 1999, approving a rezoning for Mission Arbors, a commercial development, located at the southeast corner of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12.
2. The ordinance refers to conditions set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577, adopted August 12, 1999.

## ANALYSIS

1. Condition 111 of Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577 sets forth the developer's responsibility for development of the creek trail area and installation of improvements, including the hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation.
2. The condition also states that the developer shall enter into an agreement with the City for maintenance of the creek parcel, where the developer agrees to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility with funds provided by the developer. The condition sets forth the financial terms of the agreement.
3. The developer, DSL Service Company, has signed the agreement and paid the City $\$ 6,708.00$ per the agreement. The funds were deposited into the Deferred Landscape account 0806-2101 on June 16, 2000.
4. The maintenance agreement is modeled after the back-on landscape agreements that the City has with developers as set forth in Council Policy 000-22, City Assumption of Permanent Maintenance of Landscaped Parcels.

City Council Staff Report
Mission Arbors Landscape...greement
Page 2

## Attachments:

- Council Ordinance No. 3442
- Planning Commission Resolution No. 9577
- Council Policy 000-22
- Agreement for Installation and Maintenance of Certain Landscaping and Irrigation Facilities within the Mission Arbors Creek Parcel
- Copy of checks totaling $\$ 6,708$
- Copy of City of Santa Rosa Department of Community Development, Engineering Division Receipt No. 0948


## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended by the Department of Community Development that the Council authorize the mayor to execute the landscape maintenance agreement for Mission Arbors with DSL Service Company.
(1) TITLE OF AGENDA ITEM:_ MISSION ARBORS REZONING
(2) REQUESTED AGENDA DATE: 9/14/99 CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE CLEARANCE: $\qquad$
(3) REQUESTED BY: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

FRANK KASIMOV
(Staff Member)
(4) RECOMMENDED ACTION: $\qquad$ CONSENT ITEM $\qquad$ SCHEDULED ITEM XX_PUBLIC HEARING
(5) AGENDA SUMMARY: SEE ATTACHED
(6) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS:
$\qquad$
NEGATIVE DECLARATION_XX__ EIR CERTIFIED BY P.C. OR C.C. $\qquad$

(7) FINANCIAL CERTIFICATION:

DOES ITEM REQUIRE EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS: $\qquad$ YES $X X$ NO FUNDS BUDGETED (Account Number) ESTIMATED DOLLAR AMOUNT \$ $\qquad$

RECOMMENDED SOURCE OF FUNDS IF FUNDS NOT APPROPRIATED $\qquad$ CERTIFICATION BY FINANCE DEPARTMENT IF ITEM REQUIRES EXPENDITURE OF FUNDS: $\qquad$
(Date)
(8) REVIEWED BY CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE:

RECOMMENDED ACTION: $X X$ ORDINANCE $\qquad$ RESOLUTION $\qquad$ OTHER $\qquad$
(Attorney's Office)
(Date)
(9) NOTIFICATION BY CITY CLERK REQUESTED:
A. Downey Savings \& Loan, Attn: Dave Casty, 3501 Jamboree Road, North Tower \#500, Newport Beach. CA 92658
B. Cartile-Macy, Atten: Denise Peter, 15 Third Street, Santa Rosa, CA 95401
C.

(11) EMERGENCY SCHEDULING CLEARANCE $\qquad$
(City Manager)

## AGENDA SUMMARY ATTACHMENT <br> MISSION ARBORS REZONING

The proposal is a rezoning to the C-1-PD combining district on five acres located at 100 Mission Boulevard, the southeast corner of Sonoma Highway and Mission Boulevard.

On August 12, 1999, the Planning Commission recommended approval of a mitigated negative declaration and a rezoning of the property to the C-1-PD district.

In addition, contingent upon Council approval of the rezoning, the Commission approved two conditional use permits:

1) A conditional use permit application for a 24 -hour, 16,637 square foot Rite Aid Pharmacy on the largest parcel, Parcel A, 1.83 acres, which is located in the northwest corner of the property adjacent to Mission Boulevard and Sonoma Highway.
2) A conditional use permit application for a 3,625 square foot Downey Savings and Loan building on Parcel B ( 0.60 acres) which is located in the northeast part of the property adjacent to Sonoma Highway. The savings and loan would be open Monday -Thursday 9.a.m. to 4 p.m., Fridays 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. and Saturday 9 a.m. to 2 p.m. and would have a maximum of 6 employees on a single shift. The drive through ATM window would be operable 24 hours a day.

There are no development plans for three parcels, one of which would be zoned for office uses, and the two parcels located adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek would be zoned specifically for restaurant or other food serving uses.

## RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended by the Planning Commission and the Department of Community Development that the City Council, by resolution, adopt the Negative Declaration and introduce an ordinance to reclassify the subject property to the C-1-PD District.

## RESOLUTION NO.

## RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA APPROVING AND ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROPOSED REZONING OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NO. MJP98-053

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has conducted an Initial Study on the possible environmental consequences of the proposed rezoning of the area from the $U$ (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District, which study is dated May 6, 1999 and is on file in the Department of Community Development; and

WHEREAS, the study was completed and the same did not identify any significant effects on the environment which would result from the proposed rezoning, provided the mitigation measures therein identified and listed are implemented; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator, based upon the Initial Study, determined that the potential environmental effects of the proposed rezoning would be mitigated by the therein identified and listed mitigation measures to the point where no significant environmental effects would occur and the Environmental Coordinator, based on this determination, prepared a Negative Declaration, subject to the implementation of the mitigation measures; and

WHEREAS, a notice of Negative Declaration was posted and given and comments from the public and interested persons were invited; and

WHEREAS, a noticed public hearing on the project was held before the Planning Commission, which affirmed the determination of the Environmental Coordinator; and

WHEREAS, a noticed public hearing was held on September 14, 1999 before the Council, at which hearing all those wishing to be heard on the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration were allowed to speak and present evidence concerning the environmental effects of the proposed rezoning designation; and

WHEREAS, the Council has reviewed and considered the subject environmental study, the findings and determination of the Environmental Coordinator, the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, any written comments received during the public review period, the oral and written comments, statements, and other evidence presented by all persons, including members of the public and staff members, who appeared and addressed the Council at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Council has before it all of the necessary environmental information required by the California Environmental Quality Act to properly analyze and evaluate any and all of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed rezoning.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Council, based on the evidence presented and the records and files herein, adopts and incorporates by this reference the findings of the Environmental Coordinator, dated May 6, 1999 which findings are on file in the Department of Community Development and confirms the determination of the Environmental Coordinator with respect to the proposed rezoning of the territory situated therein to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Council, based on the evidence presented and the records and files herein and the findings above made, determines that the proposed rezoning will not have a significant effect on the environment if the mitigation measures listed and identified on the Negative Declaration are implemented and the Council approves and adopts the subject Mitigated Negative Declaration for rezoning of the area included therein to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District.

IN COUNCIL DULY PASSED

## AYES:

NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:
APPROVED: $\qquad$
Mayor
ATTEST:
Assistant City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM

City Attorney

## ORDINANCE NO.

## ORDINANCE OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA AMENDING CHAPTER 20 OF THE SANTA ROSA CITY CODE - RECLASSIFICATION OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

## THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The Council finds, based on the evidence and records presented, planned development ("PD") treatment is appropriate, and that the reclassification to the C-1-PD District is appropriate for the property identified in Section 2, due to subject property's physical configuration and its location adjacent to established development.

The Council further finds and determines that the reclassification of the subject property from the U (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development) District is consistent with the Santa Rosa General Plan in that:

1. The Zoning authorizes Retail and Office Land Uses in conformance with the policy of the Land Use Element of the City's General Plan in that it designates the site for Retail and Business Service and Office Land Uses.
2. Adequate City services can be provided for the proposed development.
3. The Council has read, reviewed and considered the approved and adopted Mitigated Negative Declaration for this project and determines that this reclassification will not have a significant effect on the environment as shown by the Mitigated Negative Declaration.

Section 2. All conditions required by law having been satisfied and all findings with relation thereto having been made, Chapter 20 of the Santa Rosa City Code is amended by amending the "Zoning Map of the City of Santa Rosa," as described in Section 20-01.010, so as to change the classification of the hereinafter described property as follows:

1. Assessor's Parcel(s) Number(ed) 032-190-015 through -019 are changed to the C-1-PD District.
2. The Development Plan dated December 8, 1998 and stamped received July 12, 1999, and the Policy Statement dated July 1999 and stamped received August 16, 1999, on file in the Department of Community Development, are approved and the same shall govern all development of the property.

In addition to any other conditions that are deemed appropriate or necessary at the time a use permit or other development permit is applied for, any development approval for this property shall be expressly conditioned to require the applicant to fulfill all of the following conditions:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## GENERAL:

1. For site-specific improvements, Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) staff recommend that the drainage design for the project be in compliance with the SCWA's Flood Control Design Criteria.
2. The SCWA is concerned with maintaining access to the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek located adjacent to the subject property. Maintenance and access to the creek may be affected by the proposed walking path and storm drain outfalls into Santa Rosa Creek.

Please provide civil design plans which show development within the SCWA Santa Rosa Creek easement that may obstruct access to the creek.
3. A Revocable License will be required for construction work within the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek. For questions on obtaining a Revocable License, please contact Bob Oller at 707/521-1865
4. A 5.0 foot wide Public Utility Easement (PUE) shall be offered for dedication by the property owner along the front of Parcels A, B, C, E and F bordering the Quigg Drive and the private drive.
5. Quigg Drive shall be dedicated as a public street and the private drive shall be dedicated as a PUE by the property owner.
6. CalTrans reserves the right to make specific comments on design and signal phasing requirements during the permit phase when plans will be submitted for review.
7. Compliance with the latest adopted ordinances, resolutions, policies, and fees adopted by the City Council at the time of building permit review and approval. All fees must be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
8. All work shall be done according to the final approved plans stamped received July 12, 1999. A Conditional Use Permit for each phase of the project is required and shall be subject to conditions contained therein.
9. Grading cross sections shall be as shown on preliminary Engineering plans stamped received July 23, 1999.
10. The improvement plans submittal shall include a sound wall along the east property line between the commercial and residential uses.

## BUILDING DIVISION:

11. Provide an estimate of cubic yard excavation and cubic yard fill, with provision for any import or export.
12. Clear hours of work and any necessary restrictions on construction access with City Planning, Traffic, and Engineering Divisions.
13. Dedicate any necessary public right-of-ways and easements.
14. Contaminated material assessment is required.
15. A soils report is required. The report must address: Existing fills, potential for liquification and contaminated soils.
16. State Department of Fish and Game agreement is required for work in the creek area. Army Corps of Engineer approval may also be required.
17. Comply with City creek setback requirements.
18. Building pads must be above the 100 -year water surface as approved by SCWA.
19. Abandonment or retention of any existing well must comply with City and County requirements.
20. Complete any pending lot line adjustment.
21. A building permit is required.
22. Comply with all federal, state and local codes, disabled access included.
23. This building is located in seismic zone $X$ per City Building Ordinance. Designs of structures and portion of structures shall be those forces as determined in the 1994 Uniform Building Code (U.B.C.), multiplied by a factor of 1.24. For all Building Permit Applications made on July 1, 1999 or after, the building must be designed per the 1997 U.B.C.
24. Roof drainage and parking lot drainage flows are not allowed over sidewalk areas; conduct to an approved storm drain system or through the face of curb per City Standard No. 407.
25. Drainage improvements must be reviewed and approved by Sonoma County Water Agency prior to issuance of the building permit.
26. Provide easements and maintenance agreements for cross-lot uses.
27. An erosion control plan is required prior to issuance of a building permit.
28. Construction projects disturbing five (5) or more acres are required to file a Notice of Intent to comply with the terms of the general permit to discharge storm water associated with construction activity with the State Water Resources Control Board prior to the issuance of any grading permit.

## ENGINEERING DIVISION:

29. Developer's engineer shall obtain the current City Design and Construction Standards and the Community Development Department's Standard Conditions of Approval dated December 8,1998 and comply with all requirements therein unless specifically waived or altered by written variance by the City Engineer.
30. In addition, the following summary constitutes the specific conditions of approval on the subject application/development based on the plans stamped received July 12, 1999:

PARCEL AND EASEMENT DEDICATION
31. All land within the creek setback area of Santa Rosa Creek shall be granted to, and accepted by, the City of Santa Rosa in fee title.
32. A Public Utility Easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the private drive. The easement shall be configured to encompass all publicly maintained appurtenances, such as water services, fire hydrants and sewer cleanouts.
33. A minimum 15 foot wide public storm drain easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the alignment of the proposed storm drain extending from Quigg Drive to Santa Rosa Creek.
34. Private access, drainage and utility easements shall be provided, as necessary, over the portions of the private drive on parcels A, B and C. Each easement shall be in favor of the other two parcels.
35. Minimum 10 foot wide private drainage easements shall be provided over all cross lot private drainage systems.

## PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

36. Highway 12 shall be dedicated and improved to major street standards along the frontage of the project. Pavement width shall be 32 feet face of median curb to face of curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262. Per CalTrans requirements, planter strip is not allowed along the State Highway frontage. Additional right-of-way shall be dedicated to the State of California, if and where necessary, such that the new property line is minimum $1 / 2$ foot behind the back of sidewalk. A 7.5 foot public utility easement shall be granted behind the property line to the City of Santa Rosa.
37. Sidewalk shall be installed along the Mission Boulevard frontage generally as shown on the plans. Where sidewalk is contiguous, width shall be 7 feet minimum.
38. 5.5 foot wide sidewalk easements and 10.5 foot wide public utility easements shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa, behind the property line along both sides of Quigg Drive.

Note: A 46 foot wide Quigg Drive minor street right-of-way has previously been granted to the City of Santa Rosa through this site.
39. Quigg Drive shall be improved to minor street standards. Pavement width shall be 36 feet curb to curb, with a 5 foot sidewalk behind a 5 foot planter strip on both sides.
40. The developer shall be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout in accordance with City Std. 220 or 221 on Mission Boulevard. The developer may also be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout on Highway 12. The bus stops shall be located near pedestrian entry walkways on both streets. The locations and configurations shall be subject to approval of the City Parking and Transit Department, the City Department of Community Development, and CalTrans.
41. $6^{\prime} \times 1 l^{\prime}$ pads and adequate lighting shall be provided behind the sidewalk at each bus stop. The pads shall be contained within public right-of-way or public sidewalk easement.
42. Any broken curb, gutter and/or sidewalk shall be replaced per current City standards.
43. City Std. 250 C driveway approaches shall be installed where the private drive takes access off Highway 12 and Quigg Drive. This is in lieu of the current proposal for a 250 A driveway approach on Highway 12 and a street type entrance on Quigg Drive.
44. The developer shall construct a meandering Class I bikeway/pedestrian path along the north side of Santa Rosa Creek in the general location shown on the plans. The path shall also be
designed to serve as a maintenance access road. The path shall have a 10 foot paved asphalt width with 1 foot aggregate base shoulders on both sides. The same type of path, or alternate type as approved in conjunction with Design Review approval, shall be constructed, extending from Quigg Drive to the creek path, through the proposed public storm drain easement / pedestrian link.
45. Public improvement plans shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. An improvement plan submittal shall be provided to Community Development Engineering for referral to appropriate City agencies and ultimate approval by the City Engineer. The public improvement plans shall include: all traffic signal improvements; Highway 12, Mission Blvd., and Quigg Drive improvements; the common private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ; the storm drain access road to Santa Rosa Creek between parcels E and F ; and all improvements within the creek setback of Santa Rosa Creek including all publicly maintained landscape and irrigation improvements.
46. An Encroachment Permit must be obtained from the Department of Public Works prior to beginning any work within the public Right-of-Way or for any work on utilities located within public easements.
47. A CalTrans Encroachment Permit shall be obtained prior to beginning any work in the Highway 12 Right-of-Way.
48. A soils report is required and shall be provided with the improvement plans submitted for review.

## TRAFFIC

49. The developer shall be responsible for installation of a traffic signal at the Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. intersection.
50. A left turn lane shall be improved as necessary for the southbound Mission Boulevard to Quigg Drive movement.
51. Improvement plans shall include a complete signing, striping, and street lighting plan.
52. A reduced size CalTrans R-41 (Right Turn Only) sign shall be installed at the driveway exit on to Highway 12 and an R-10 (One Way) sign shall be installed in the Highway 12 median opposite the driveway exit.
53. Striping plans shall include a left turn lane for the westbound Quigg Drive to southbound Mission Boulevard movement.
54. Cable, conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard, as necessary to interconnect the new Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. traffic signal with the existing Mission Blvd. traffic signals at Highway 12 and Montgomery Drive.
55. Conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard for future traffic signal interconnect along Highway 12 frontage.
56. A traffic control plan for Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard is required for this project. The plan shall be in conformance with the State of California Department of Transportation Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work Zones, 1996. The
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plan shall detail all methods, equipment and devices to be implemented for traffic control upon City streets within the work zone and other impacted areas. The plan shall be included as part of the Encroachment Permit application and is subject to that review and approval process.

## PUBLIC STORM DRAIN

57. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. Prior to approval of improvement plans, an approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
58. Proposed development must conform to 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) parts 122, 123 and 124, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit applications for storm water discharge. Project must also conform with any design and construction policies adopted by the City to conform with these regulations.
59. The proposed storm drain between parcels E and F and downstream of Quigg Drive shall be public. A structure shall be installed on the north side of Quigg Drive to delineate the point of connection between the public and private storm drain systems.
60. Access to all public storm drain systems and structures shall be over a minimum 12 foot wide all weather access road of compacted shale. The access road shall be contained within a 15 foot public storm drain easement.
61. The storm drain layout shown on the plans is not approved and is considered conceptual only. Public storm drain should not angle across sidewalk and private improvements, and off-site public storm drain should be centered within 15 foot easements. At the time of improvement plans, the storm drain system shall be redesigned to meet City standards.

## GRADING \& DRAINAGE

62. Soils reports are required and shall be submitted with the building permit applications and the improvement plan submittal..
63. Any soil/water contamination on the site shall be monitored and, where appropriate, remediated to the satisfaction of the City Fire Department and the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
64. Work within the driplines of trees to be saved shall be done under the supervision and approval of an arborist. A note shall be included in the grading plans stating that a construction fence shall be installed around the driplines of all trees to remain prior to commencing with any grading work. Driplines of trees overhanging the property line shall be afforded the same level of protection as trees on site that are to remain.
65. Grading shall occur only between April 15 and October 15 unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer or Chief Building Official in conjunction with an approved erosion and sedimentation control plan.
66. Disturbed slopes shall be stabilized and revegetated.
67. An erosion control plan shall be provided. Offsite properties and existing drainage systems shall be protected from siltation coming from the site.
68. Prior to approval of improvement plans and prior to issuance of a building permit, a Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be obtained from the State Department of Fish and Game for all proposed work in the creek setback area.

## OVERHEAD UTILITY LINES

69. All overhead utility lines along the project frontage shall be placed underground including electrical distribution lines, telephone and cable television in conformance with the City's undergrounding ordinance. Electrical main feeder lines may remain overhead but shall be relocated to behind the new curb location and spare conduits placed in the street for future undergrounding of the main feeder lines.
70. Any existing on-site overhead utilities shall be removed. New services to new structures shall be underground.
71. The developer shall coordinate, and where necessary, pay for the relocation of any power poles or other existing public utilities, as necessary.
72. The developer shall be responsible for the instaliation of street lights on the Highway 12 frontage. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610 or comparable CalTrans standard.
73. The developer shall be responsible for the installation and/or relocation of City standard street lights on the Mission Boulevard frontage, as necessary. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610.
74. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of City standard street lights on Quigg Drive. Street lights shall be per Std. 612.

## COMMON IMPROVEMENTS

75. The private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C shall be constructed in conjunction with the public improvements. Pavement width shall be 30 feet curb to curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk along the east side. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262.
76. The private drive shall be constructed under continuous inspection by the project soils engineer in compliance with City Design and Construction Standards. Progress and final reports shall be furnished to the City in compliance with U.B.C. special inspection requirements. All costs related to such inspection shall be borne by the owner/developer.
77. Private street lights shall be installed on the private drive and shall meet City standards for minimum average maintained foot-candle and the uniformity ratio for a minor street. All private lighting shall be privately owned and maintained. . Private lighting fixtures shall be subject to staff design review.
78. A Reciprocal Easement Agreement shall be entered into to provide for maintenance of the common private drive together with associated drainage improvements, street lighting, etc. It may also be desirable to provide for common maintenance of other improvements on parcels A, B and C (driveways and parking areas, drainage facilities, private utilities, exterior lighting, irrigation and landscaping, etc.).

## PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS

79. On-site grading, drainage and site improvement plans, to be included as part of the building permit submittals, shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer.
80. Site drainage and private storm drain facilities shall meet the requirements of the Chief Building Official or his designated representative.
81. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. All storm water run-off shall be collected via an underground drainage system and discharged to the nearest public downstream facility possessing adequate capacity to accept the run-off.
82. An approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
83. Parking and access improvements shall comply with state and federal handicap access requirements.
84. Parking spaces shall be striped according to City standards and in compliance with the City Zoning Code.
85. Directional arrows shall be stenciled on the asphalt pavement at project entrances, at entrances and exits of drive-thru aisles, and as appropriate within internal driveways.
86. In conjunction with development of Parcel A (Rite Aid Pharmacy site), the following signs shall be installed:
a. On both sides of the driveway, at the driveway entrance to the drive-thru window: "DRIVE-THRU WINDOW ONLY. ALL OTHER TRAFFIC USE MAIN EXIT"
b. Reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on Quigg Drive on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit:
87. In conjunction with development of Parcel B (Downey Savings site), reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit.
88. Exterior site lighting plans shall be included with the building permit submittals.
89. Retaining wall systems on site shall be designed by a Registered Civil Engineer per the recommendations of the soils engineer.

## UTILITIES

90. The engineer shall provide a detailed utility plan showing on-site and off-site sewer, water and fire protection systems, and their connections to existing sewer and water facilities. The plan shall also show any wells existing or to be abandoned, and septic systems to be abandoned. Separate irrigation meters are required. An irrigation plan showing maximum GPM flow required at each control valve, maximum GPM flow at meter, peak monthly usage, and connections must be provided. Submit Improvement Plans for the City Engineer's signature.
91. 'Demand, processing and meter fees and meter sizes will be determined after review of building plans. Submit details of plumbing fixtures, including the amount of fixtures and the
size of service lines ( $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ etc.) to Utilities Engineering for review. Provide estimated peak monthly water usage for both domestic and irrigation uses.
92. Water and sewer mains shall be installed per current City Standards. The minimum size of water mains serving commercial parcels is $12^{\prime \prime}$. All sewer mains must be a minimum of $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. All water and sewer mains must be installed a minimum of $10^{\prime}$ apart horizontally. All public mains must be a minimum of 5 ' from all structures, curbs, property lines or edge of easement. The water main is too close to the curb just east of the private drive. Manholes must have clear access at all times (i.e not located within parking stalls, etc.). Sewer mains shall not be deeper than $14^{\prime}$ or shallower than $3^{\prime}$, depth from finished grade measured over pipe. The parallel collector main shown on the plans can be eliminated by making the sewer connection for the north east parcel to the private street main. A stub ending in a cleanout shall be installed from a new manhole on the trunk sewer. The sewer laterals for the two southerly lots can be off this stub. The alignment of the water and sewer mains on site will be reviewed during plan check and must be co-ordinated with the apartment project to the east. Water meters and sewer cleanouts shall be in the public right of way or in dedicated easements per current City standards.
93. A public easement shall be provided for public utility mains outside of the public right of way. The width of the easement shall be 15 feet wide for a single utility and 20 feet wide for a double utility, whichever is greater, and shall be centered over the facility. The easement shall be configured to include all publicly maintained appurtenances and structures. No surface structure including but not limited to roof eaves, decks or pools may encroach into the easement. Footings and foundations may encroach into the one to one line from the pipe depth to the top of grade if approved in writing by the Chief Building Official and the Director of Utilities. This information shall be added to the improvement plans. Trees may not be planted within $10^{\prime}$ of a public sewer main.
94. Separate (domestic and irrigation) water and sewer services shall be provided for each lot. Water and sewer services shall be installed per current City Standards. Water and sewer laterals must be a minimum of 5 ' apart. Water services shall be designed as combination services where applicable.
95. Utility Service Agreements shall be signed by the property owner for each property and all metered connections prior to occupancy. The Agreement will be prepared by the Utilities Department after the meter sizes have been determined. Contact Utilities Engineering to obtain agreement.
96. An Encroachment Permit from the City's Public Works Department is required for all work in the public right-of-way.
97. No reinforced concrete may be used in decorative street surfacing placed over publicly maintained water and/or sewer facilities. Water main valves must be located outside of the concrete area.
98. If wells exist on the property, one of the following conditions apply:
a. Retention of wells must comply with City and County codes. Retention of wells must be approved by the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department. An approved backflow prevention device must be installed on any connection to the City water system.
b. Abandonment of wells requires a permit from the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department.
99. Connection of the $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main to the existing main will require a shutdown for a cut-in tee and valve. Call Utilities Engineering for fees and scheduling. Advance notice is required.
100. A pressure regulating valve is required for all domestic connections within this project and shall be noted on the improvement plans.
101. The engineer shall provide calculations to show fire flow requirements can be met.
102. Any septic systems within the project boundaries shall be abandoned per Sonoma County Environmental Health standards and City of Santa Rosa Building Division requirements.
103. Coordinate fire hydrant locations with the Fire and Utilities Departments during the plan check process. Contact the Fire Department for building sprinkler requirements.

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

104. Fire hydrant installation shall be as shown on the revised plans stamped received July 12, 1999. Fire hydrants as proposed shall be relocated/added as indicated below:
105. The dead end water main on the new private road shall be not less than 12 -inch unless hydraulic calculations are provided showing an 8 -inch main will deliver the required fire flows.
106. Water mains, fire hydrants and fire department access driveways shall be constructed and in service prior to bring combustible construction materials to the site.
107. Detailed plans for installation of automatic sprinklers shall be provided to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation.
108. The water main on Quigg Road shall be a 12 -inch to coordinate with the proposed apartment project to the east.
109. Installation of private fire mains/hydrants (if any) and automatic sprinklers require permits by the Fire Department.
110. The soil remediation plan appears acceptable in concept; an application for a permit for soil remediation is required.

## RECREATION AND PARKS DEPARTMENT

111. The developer is responsible for development of the creek trail area plan and installation of improvements, i.e., hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation. New landscaping within the creek trail area should be hardy/durable natives which require little maintenance or irrigation once established.

The developer shall enter into an agreement with the City relative to maintenance responsibility of the creek parcel, wherein the developer will agree to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility. The landscape plans, to be included with the public
improvement plans, shall be subject to approval by the Department of Recreation and Parks, and the agreement shall be approved and authorized by the City Council prior to approval of the improvement plans. The terms of the agreement shall include the following:
a. The formula that will be used to determine the amount sufficient to provide annual maintenance from the interest generated is $\$ .05$ per square foot times the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is $100 / 9$ or 11.11 .

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the maintenance responsibility would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 100 / 9)=\$ 3,167.00$
b. The developer will deposit, with the City, a separate sum equal to $\$ 0.05$ per square foot times two years to secure and guarantee to the City proper maintenance of the landscaped parcel by the developer for a period of two years after the date the landscaping is accepted by the City per the agreement.

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the security deposit would be 5,700 s.f. $x(\$ 0.05 \times 2)=\$ 570.00$
112. Street trees will be required and planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Plan List and approved by the Tree Division Superintendent. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees". Tree planting locations shall be marked by the City Tree Division personnel, contact Dan Watts at 543-3422. Copies of the Master Street Tree Plan list and the standards are available at both the Community Development Department and Parks Division offices.
113. The heritage oak located in the northwest corner of the project shall be protected through all phases of construction as directed by the City's Tree Ordinance \#2858. Since the proposed development will encroach upon the protected perimeter of this tree, special measures shall be utilized and shown on the plans to allow roots to obtain oxygen and nutrients as needed.

## TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

114. Bus turnouts shall be provided on both Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard. /a bus turnout on Mission Boulevard should be located far-side Quigg Drive.
115. A concrete pad shall be constructed at both bus stops ( 6 feet by 11 feet). A bus shelter, approved by our department, shall be provided, installed and maintained at the Mission Boulevard bus stop. A fee of $\$ 5,000$ shall be paid to our department in-lieu of providing and installing the shelter. Maintenance of the bus shelter shall be defined in an agreement with our department. Maintenance is defined as routine cleaning (e.g., trash removal, panel cleaning).
116. Per the Bicycle Master Plan and Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, a standard 10 -foot wide asphalt multi-use path shall be constructed along Santa Rosa Creek. A soft path shall also be provided along the creek per the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan.
117. Until an undercrossing is constructed at Mission Boulevard, signage shall be installed at Mission Boulevard directing users of the multi-use path to cross Mission Boulevard at Quigg Drive.
118. The pedestrian linkage to Santa Rosa Creek shall have a public use easement.
119. Development adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek shall be oriented towards the creek.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect the 31 st day following its adoption.
IN COUNCIL DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED
AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN

## APPROVED:

## Mayor

## ATTEST: <br> Assistant City Clerk <br> APPROVED AS TO FORM

City Attorney


Carlile • Macy

Civil Engineers
Urban Planners
Land Surveyors
Landscape Architects

CITY Or SANTA ROSA
P.O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa, CA 95402
fiUa 16939
DEPAPTIRENT OF
COMYUHT - THLOPMENT

POLICY STATEMENT
Mission Arbors
100 Mission Boulevard
APN: 032-190-011, 012, 013, 014 (portion)
July, 1999

PURPOSE
The purpose of the Mission Arbors Neighborhood Commercial, Planned Development zoning designation ( $\mathrm{C}-1-\mathrm{PD}$ ) is to:

- Limit the variety of uses allowed at this location, and encourage land uses which complement the site's creekside setting.
- Establish a shared vehicular and pedestrian circulation system.
- Provide linkages to the Santa Rosa Creek trail and undeveloped land to the east.
- Allow for shared parking opportunities to minimize the amount of paved surfaces.
- Establish design criteria for development adjacent to the creek.

The five (5) acre vacant project site is located at the southeast corner of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12. Existing site features include:

- A sewer main which is parallel and approximately $200^{\prime}$ to the north of Santa Rosa Creek.
- Public road right-of-way (Quigg Drive) parallel to Santa Rosa Creek.
- Santa Rosa Creek along the project's southerly boundary.
- One heritage oak tree, located near the northwest property corner in Cal-Trans right-of-way.

Site terrain ranges in elevation from 215' at Santa Rosa Creek to 247 ' at the northeast project corner. The central portion of the site, where most development would occur, is $230^{\prime}$ to 235 ' in elevation. Highway 12 rises easterly from 240 ' to $250^{\prime}$ along the project's frontage.

The site contains five (5) legal lots (Parcels A, B, C, E, F).

## PROJECT STANDARDS

## Principle Permitted Uses.

| Parcel A | Parcels B, C | Parcels E, F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Retail stores and shops conducted wholly within a building not to exceed 20,000 square feet, including drug stores; but not including secondhand stores, convenience markets, liquor stores, or taverns | (1) Professional offices and services of all types <br> (2) Banks and financial institutions | (1) None. |

Conditionally Permitted Uses.

| Parcel A | Parcels B, C | Parcels E, F(See Note 1.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) Drive-through facilities accessory to permitted uses <br> (2) Outdoor sales accessory to permitted uses | (1) Drive-through facilities accessory to permitted uses <br> (2) Day care centers <br> (3) Medical offices, clinics <br> (4) Office/residential mixed use buildings <br> (5) Parking Lots | (1) Restaurants, including <br> walk-away, excluding <br> drive-thru and fast food <br>  facilities <br> (2) Cafes and coffee shops <br> (3) Retail bakeries <br> (4) Other similar food <br>  serving uses as <br>  determined by the <br>  Planning Commission |

Note 1.
Any use established on Parcels E/F shall require a Conditional Use Permit approved by the Planning Commission. Subsequent re-occupancy of the building with the same or less intense use may be approved by the Director of Community Development.Minimum Lot Area \& Dimensions: None
Building Height: ..... 45 feet
Maximum Lot Coverage: ..... 85\%

## Setbacks:

Parcels A \& B: As shown on Development Plan.
Parcels C, E, \& F : As approved with future Conditional Use Permit and/or Design Review approval, with the exception that a 10 ' setback to any adjacent " $R$ " district shall be maintained.

## Parking:

On-site parking shall be in accordance with Chapter 20-04 of the Santa Rosa Zoning Code, with the following variations:

1. The minimum parking ratio for the entire five (5) acre site shall be the shopping center standard of one (1) space per 250 square feet.
2. Reductions for shared parking between complementary uses (ex: restaurant/office, commercial/office/residential) may be considered through a Conditional Use Permit process; per the parking provisions of the zoning code.
3. Easements for shared parking are required.

## CREEKSIDE PARCEL DESIGN CRITERIA (FROM SANTA ROSA CREEK DESIGN GUIDELINES):

## Site planning.

1. Development of plans along the creck corridor shall be designed to preserve and incorporate the creek as an asset into the development.
2. Orient development, views, and outdoor spaces to the creek corridor.
3. Buildings shall be laid out to maintain and promote views to the creek from adjacent properties.
4. Outdoor spaces shall be designed with landscaped pedestrian links and bike path to creek trails.
5. Provide site furnishings such as bike racks, signage, benches, public areas, and lighting to accentuate the trail, the business and public access.
6. Consolidate trash, recyclables, utility and loading area on the site and incorporate into architecture. Avoid visibility from the creek of such accessory structures.

## Parking/Vehicular Circulation.

1. Parking should be consolidated to the sides of the parcel and screened from off-site views.
2. Promote the opportunity to consolidate side parking areas between multiple parcels through the use of easements.

## Pedestrian Circulation.

1. Develop strong pedestrian links to commercial entrances from parking areas and creek trails for people of all abilities.
2. Use architectural features, plantings, and special paving materials to aid in defining entrances.
3. Enhance pedestrian activity along the creek and at business entry points by widening trail width and providing additional site furnishings or extension of building architecture (trellis, waterproof awnings, etc., )
4. Use canopy trees to shade outdoor spaces and paths of travel. In hardscape areas use 4' minimum tree wells with grates or granite square cobbles with root aeration tubes and irrigation systems to promote healthy growth and longevity.

## Architecture.

1. Buildings shall be oriented toward the creek with pedestrian connections provided from creekside paths to individual buildings located along the creek.
2. Buildings shall be sited and designed to take advantage of creek views.
3. Multi-story buildings must be stepped back from the creek. Single stories only are permitted directly adjacent to the creek.
4. Permanent screening integrated into the architecture of the building must be constructed for trash, storage and service/loading areas visible from the creek corridor.
5. Building architecture should enhance the pedestrian use of the creek with pedestrianscaled architectural elements on the side facing the creek. These elements could include recessed entries, arbors, display windows, awnings and signage as appropriate to the use of the building. Large areas of blank exterior walls are undesirable.
6. Outdoor spaces between the creek and buildings facing the creek are required Outdoor space must be equivalent to a minimum of $5 \%$ of the building's street level square footage. Outdoor space may be in the form of a terrace, patio, yard, balcony or other usable space. Views to the creek must be provided from the outdoor space.
7. Landscaping between buildings and the creek should complement plant materials used in the creek greenway. Use of native riparian species is recommended. Trellises, arbors, hanging gardens, rooftop gardens and other landscape elements are encouraged.
8. Architectural colors and materials must complement the creek environment. Strong or dark colors are prohibited, as well as reflective finishes. The use of subdued, natural materials and colors in encouraged.

## Outdoor seating.

1. A minimum of three outdoor tables or $15 \%$ of the total number of seats (whichever is greater) shall be provided adjacent to the creek trail.
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RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE RECLASSIFICATION FROM THE ' $U$ ' (UNCLASSIFIED) TO C-1-PD (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL - PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT) OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER(S) 032-190-015, 032-190-016, 032-190-017, 032-190-018 and 032-190-019. FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has conducted an initial study on the possible environmental consequences of the proposed reclassification from the ' $U$ ' (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD District, (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development District) which study was initially completed May 6, 1999; and

WHEREAS, the study; in its final form, did not identify any significant effects on the environment which would result from the proposed use permit provided certain mitigation measures therein identified and listed were adopted and implemented; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator, based on the Initial Study, determined that any ${ }^{-}$ potential environmental effects of the proposed reclassification from the ' $U$ ' (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD District (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development District) have been clearly mitigated by the identified mitigation measure to the point where no significant environmental effects would occur and the Environmental Coordinator, based upon this determination, prepared a Negative Declaration, subject to mitigating requirements, with respect to the environmental consequences of the subject project; and

WHEREAS, a notice of Mitigated Negative Declaration was thereafter duly posted and an opportunity for comments from the public was given; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa has reviewed and considered the environmental study, the findings and determinations of the Environmental Coordinator, the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, the staff reports, oral and written, and the comments, statements, and other evidence presented by all persons, including members of the public, who appeared and addressed the Planning Commission at the public hearing held on June 24, 1999, and all comments and materials submitted prior thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has before it all of the necessary environmental information required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to properly analyze and evaluate any and all of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa, based upon the findings and the records and files herein, and the findings above made, hereby determines that the proposed reclassification from the ' $U$ ' (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD District (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development District) will not have a significant effect upon the environment if the mitigation measures listed and identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration are implemented prior to development of the subject property, and hereby approves and adopts the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the reclassification from the ' $U$ ' (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD District (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development District) project.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {d }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:
Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1)
Absent (0)

## RESOLUTION NO. 9577

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA RECOMMENDING TO CITY COUNCIL REZONING FROM THE "U" (UNCLASSIFIED) TO C-1-PD (NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL - PLANNED DEVELOPMENT) OF PROPERTY SITUATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - MISSION ARBORS - FILE NUMBER MJP98-053

WHEREAS, after public hearing, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa believes that the present classification of property situated at 100 Mission Boulevard in the "U" (Unclassified) District is no longer appropriate and that rezoning is required for public convenience, necessity and general welfare; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission hereby finds and determines:
A. The project is consistent with the Office and Retail Business Service Land Use designations of the General Plan and with General Plan Policies LUR-3b, UD-2f, and JUC-1a
B. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) if the mitigation measures contained in the Mitigated Negative Declaration are implemented.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Santa Rosa City Planning Commission recommends approval and adoption of the rezoning, and approves and adopts the Policy Statement stamped received July 28, 1999 and the Development Plan received July 12, 1999, on file in the Community Development Department, subject to the following conditions:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

GENERAL:

1. For site-specific improvements, Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) staff recommend that the drainage design for the project be in compliance with the SCWA's Flood Control Design Criteria.
2. The SCWA is concerned with maintaining access to the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek located adjacent to the subject property. Maintenance and access to the creek may be affected by the proposed walking path and storm drain outfalls into Santa Rosa Creek. Please provide civil design plans which show development within the SCWA Santa Rosa Creek easement that may obstruct access to the creek.
3. A Revocable License will be required for construction work within the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek. For questions on obtaining a Revocable License, please contact Bob Oller at 707/521-1865
4. A 5.0 foot wide Public Utility Easement (PUE) shall be offered for dedication by the property owner along the front of Parcels A, B, C, E and F bordering the Quigg Drive and the private drive.
5. Quigg Drive shall be dedicated as a public street and the private drive shall be dedicated as a PUE by the property owner.
6. CalTrans reserves the right to make specific comments on design and signal phasing requirements during the permit phase when plans will be submitted for review.
7. Compliance with the latest adopted ordinances, resolutions, policies, and fees adopted by the City Council at the time of building permit review and approval. All fees must be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
8. All work shall be done according to the final approved plans stamped received July 12, 1999. A Conditional Use Permit for each phase of the project is required and shall be subject to conditions contained therein.
9. Grading cross sections shall be as shown on preliminary Engineering plans stamped received July 23, 1999.
10. The improvement plans submittal shall include a sound wall along the east property line between the commercial and residential uses.

## BUILDING DIVISION:

11. Provide an estimate of cubic yard excavation and cubic yard fill, with provision for any import or export.
12. Clear hours of work and any necessary restrictions on construction access with City Planning, Traffic, and Engineering Divisions.
13. Dedicate any necessary public right-of-ways and easements.
14. Contaminated material assessment is required.
15. A soils report is required. The report must address: Existing fills, potential for liquification and contaminated soils.
16. State Department of Fish and Game agreement is required for work in the creek area. Army Corps of Engineer approval may also be required.
17. Comply with City creek setback requirements.
18. Building pads must be above the 100-year water surface as approved by SCWA:
19. Abandonment or retention of any existing well must comply with City and County requirements.
20. Complete any pending lot line adjustment.
21. A building permit is required:
22. Comply with all federal, state and local codes, disabled access included.
23. This building is located in seismic zone $X$ per City Building Ordinance. Designs of structures and portion of structures shall be those forces as determined in the 1994 Uniform Building Code (U.B.C.), multiplied by a factor of 1.24. For all Building Permit Applications made on July 1, 1999 or after, the building must be designed per the 1997 U.B.C.
24. Roof drainage and parking lot drainage flows are not allowed over sidewalk areas; conduct to an approved storm drain system or through the face of curb per City Standard No. 407.
25. Drainage improvements must be reviewed and approved by Sonoma County Water Agency prior to issuance of the building permit.
26. Provide easements and maintenance agreements for cross-lot uses.
27. An erosion control plan is required prior to issuance of a building permit.
28. Construction projects disturbing five (5) or more acres are required to file a Notice of Intent to comply with the terms of the general permit to discharge storm water associated with construction activity with the State Water Resources Control Board prior to the issuance of any grading permit.

## ENGINEERING DIVISION:

29. Developer's engineer shall obtain the current City Design and Construction Standards and the Community Development Department's Standard Conditions of Approval dated December 8, 1998 and comply with all requirements therein unless specifically waived or altered by written variance by the City Engineer.
30. In addition, the following summary constitutes the specific conditions of approval on the subject application/development based on the plans stamped received July 12, 1999:

## PARCEL AND EASEMENT DEDICATION

31. All land within the creek setback area of Santa Rosa Creek shall be granted to, and accepted by, the City of Santa Rosa in fee title.
32. A Public Utility Easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the private drive. The easement shall be configured to encompass all publicly maintained appurtenances, such as water services, fire hydrants and sewer cleanouts.
33. A minimum 15 foot wide public storm drain easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the alignment of the proposed storm drain extending from Quigg Drive to Santa Rosa Creek.
34. Private access, drainage and utility easements shall be provided, as necessary, over the portions of the private drive on parcels A, B and C. Each easement shall be in favor of the other two parcels.
35. Minimum 10 foot wide private drainage easements shall be provided over all cross lot private drainage systems.

## PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

36. Highway 12 shall be dedicated and improved to major street standards along the frontage of the project. Pavement width shall be 32 feet face of median curb to face of curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262. Per CalTrans requirements, planter strip is not allowed along the State Highway frontage. Additional right-of-way shall be dedicated to the State of California, if and where necessary, such that the new property line is minimum $1 / 2$ foot behind the back of sidewalk. A 7.5 foot public utility easement shall be granted behind the property line to the City of Santa Rosa:
37. Sidewalk shall be installed along the Mission Boulevard frontage generally as shown on the plans. Where sidewalk is contiguous, width shall be 7 feet minimum.
38. 5.5 foot wide sidewalk easements and 10.5 foot wide public utility easements shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa, behind the property line along both sides of Quigg Drive.

Note: A 46 foot wide Quigg Drive minor street right-of-way has previously been granted to the City of Santa Rosa through this site.
39. Quigg Drive shall be improved to minor street standards. Pavement width shall be 36 feet curb to curb, with a 5 foot sidewalk behind a 5 foot planter strip on both sides..
40. The developer shall be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout in accordance with City Std. 220 or 221 on Mission Boulevard. The developer may also be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout on Highway 12. The bus stops shall be located near pedestrian entry walkways on both streets. The locations and configurations shall be subject to approval of the City Parking and Transit Department, the City Department of Community Development, and CalTrans.
41. $6^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ pads and adequate lighting shall be provided behind the sidewalk at each bus stop. The pads shall be contained within public right-of-way or public sidewalk easement.
42. Any broken curb; gutter and/or sidewalk shall be replaced per current City standards.
43. City Std.250C driveway approaches shall be installed where the private drive takes access off Highway 12 and Quigg Drive. This is in lieu of the current proposal for a 250A driveway approach on Highway 12 and a street type entrance on Quigg Drive.
44. The developer shall construct a meandering Class I bikeway/pedestrian path along the north side of Santa Rosa Creek in the general location shown on the plans. The path shall also be designed to serve as a maintenance access road. The path shall have a 10 foot paved asphalt width with 1 foot aggregate base shoulders on both sides. The same type of path, or alternate type as approved in conjunction with Design Review approval, shall
be constructed, extending from Quigg Drive to the creek path, through the proposed public storm drain easement / pedestrian link.
45. Public improvement plans shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. An improvement plan submittal shall be provided to Community Development Engineering for referral to appropriate City agencies and ultimate approval by the City Engineer. The public improvement plans shall include: all traffic signal improvements; Highway 12, Mission Blvd., and Quigg Drive improvements; the common private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ; the storm drain access road to Santa Rosa Creek between parcels E and F; and all improvements within the creek setback of Santa Rosa Creek including all publicly maintained landscape and irrigation improvements.
46. An Encroachment Permit must be obtained from the Department of Public Works prior to beginning any work within the public Right-of-Way or for any work on utilities located within public easements.
47. A CalTrans Encroachment Permit shall be obtained prior to beginning any work in the Highway 12 Right-of-Way.
48. A soils report is required and shall be provided with the improvement plans submitted for review.

## TRAFFIC

49. The developer shall be responsible for installation of a traffic signal at the Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. intersection.
50. A left turn lane shall be improved as necessary for the southbound Mission Boulevard to Quigg Drive movement.
51. Improvement plans shall include a complete signing, striping, and street lighting plan.
52. A reduced size CalTrans R-41 (Right Turn Only) sign shall be installed at the driveway exit on to Highway 12 and an R-10 (One Way) sign shall be installed in the Highway 12 median opposite the driveway exit.
53. Striping plans shall include a left turn lane for the westbound Quigg Drive to southbound Mission Boulevard movement.
54. Cable, conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard, as necessary to interconnect the new Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. traffic signal with the existing Mission Blvd. traffic signals at Highway 12 and Montgomery Drive.
55. Conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard for future traffic signal interconnect along Highway 12 frontage.
56. A traffic control plan for Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard is required for this project. The plan shall be in conformance with the State of California Department of Transportation Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work

Zones, 1996. The plan shall detail all methods, equipment and devices to be implemented for traffic control upon City streets within the work zone and other impacted areas. The plan shall be included as part of the Encroachment Permit. application and is subject to that review and approval process.

## PUBLIC STORM DRAIN

57. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. Prior to approval of improvement plans, an approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
58. Proposed development must conform to 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) parts 122, 123 and 124, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit applications for storm water discharge. Project must also conform with any design and construction policies adopted by the City to conform with these regulations.
59. The proposed storm drain between parcels $E$ and $F$ and downstream of Quigg Drive shall be public. A structure shall be installed on the north side of Quigg Drive to delineate the point of connection between the public and private storm drain systems.
60. Access to all public storm drain systems and structures shall be over a minimum 12 foot wide all weather access road of compacted shale. The access road shall be contained within a 15 foot public storm drain easement.
61. The storm drain layout shown on the plans is not approved and is considered conceptual only. Public storm drain should not angle across sidewalk and private improvements, and off-site public storm drain should be centered within 15 foot easements. At the time of improvement plans, the storm drain system shall be redesigned to meet City standards.

## GRADING \& DRAINAGE

62. Soils reports are required and shall be submitted with the building permit applications and the improvement plan submittal..
63. Any soil/water contamination on the site shall be monitored and, where appropriate, remediated to the satisfaction of the City Fire Department and the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
64. Work within the driplines of trees to be saved shall be done under the supervision and approval of an arborist. A note shall be included in the grading plans stating that a construction fence shall be installed around the driplines of all trees to remain prior to commencing with any grading work. Driplines of trees overhanging the property line shall be afforded the same level of protection as trees on site that are to remain.
65. Grading shall occur only between April 15 and October 15 unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer or Chief Building Official in conjunction with an approved erosion and sedimentation control plan.
66. Disturbed slopes shall be stabilized and revegetated.
67. An erosion control plan shall be provided. Offsite properties and existing drainage systems shall be protected from siltation coming from the site.
68. Prior to approval of improvement plans and prior to issuance of a building permit, a Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be obtained from the State Department of Fish and Game for all proposed work in the creek setback area.

## OVERHEAD UTILITY LINES

69. All overhead utility lines along the project frontage shall be placed underground including electrical distribution lines, telephone and cable television in conformance with the City's undergrounding ordinance. Electrical main feeder lines may remain overhead but shall be relocated to behind the new curb location and spare conduits placed in the street for future undergrounding of the main feeder lines.
70. Any existing on-site overhead utilities shall be removed. New services to new structures shall be underground.
71. The developer shall coordinate, and where necessary, pay for the relocation of any power poles or other existing public utilities, as necessary.
72. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of street lights on the Highway 12 frontage. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610 or comparable CalTrans standard.
73. The developer shall be responsible for the installation and/or relocation of City standard street lights on the Mission Boulevard frontage, as necessary. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610.
74. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of City standard street lights on Quigg Drive. Street lights shall be per Std. 612.

## COMMON IMPROVEMENTS

75. The private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C shall be constructed in conjunction with the public improvements. Pavement width shall be 30 feet curb to curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk along the east side. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262.
76. The private drive shall be constructed under continuous inspection by the project soils engineer in compliance with City Design and Construction Standards. Progress and final reports shall be furnished to the City in compliance with U.B.C. special inspection requirements. All costs related to such inspection shall be borne by the owner/developer.
77. Private street lights shall be installed on the private drive and shall meet City standards for minimum average maintained foot-candle and the uniformity ratio for a minor street. All private lighting shall be privately owned and maintained. . Private lighting fixtures shall be subject to staff design review.
78. A Reciprocal Easement Agreement shall be entered into to provide for maintenance of the common private drive together with associated drainage improvements, street lighting,
etc. It may also be desirable to provide for common maintenance of other improvements on parcels A, B and C (driveways and parking areas, drainage facilities, private utilities, exterior lighting, irrigation and landscaping, etc.).

## PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS

79. On-site grading, drainage and site improvement plans, to be included as part of the building permit submittals, shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer.
80. Site drainage and private storm drain facilities shall meet the requirements of the Chief Building Official or his designated representative.
81. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. All storm water run-off shall be collected via an underground drainage system and discharged to the nearest public downstream facility possessing adequate capacity to accept the run-off.
82. An approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
83. Parking and access improvements shall comply with state and federal handicap access requirements.
84. Parking spaces shall be striped according to City standards and in compliance with the City Zoning Code.
85. Directional arrows shall be stenciled on the asphalt pavement at project entrances, at entrances and exits of drive-thru aisles, and as appropriate within internal driveways.
86. In conjunction with development of Parcel A (Rite Aid Pharmacy site), the following signs shall be installed:
a. On both sides of the driveway, at the driveway entrance to the drive-thru window: "DRIVE-THRU WINDOW ONLY. ALL OTHER TRAFFIC USE MAIN EXIT"
b. Reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on Quigg Drive on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit:
87. In conjunction with development of Parcel B (Downey Savings site), reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit.
88. Exterior site lighting plans shall be included with the building permit submittals.
89. Retaining wall systems on site shall be designed by a Registered Civil Engineer per the recommendations of the soils engineer.

## UTILITIES

90. The engineer shall provide a detailed utility plan showing on-site and off-site sewer, water and fire protection systems, and their connections to existing sewer and water facilities. The plan shall also show any wells existing or to be abandoned, and septic systems to be abandoned. Separate irrigation meters are required. An irrigation plan showing maximum GPM flow required at each control valve, maximum GPM flow at meter, peak monthly usage, and connections must be provided. Submit Improvement Plans for the City Engineer's signature.
91. Demand, processing and meter fees and meter sizes will be determined after review of building plans. Submit details of plumbing fixtures, including the amount of fixtures and the size of service lines ( $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ etc.) to Utilities Engineering for review. Provide estimated peak monthly water usage for both domestic and irrigation uses.
92. Water and sewer mains shall be installed per current City Standards. The minimum size of water mains serving commercial parcels is $12^{\prime \prime}$. All sewer mains must be a minimum of $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. All water and sewer mains must be installed a minimum of $10^{\prime}$ apart horizontally. All public mains must be a minimum of $5^{\prime}$ from all structures, curbs, property lines or edge of easement. The water main is too close to the curb just east of the private drive. Manholes must have clear access at all times (i.e. not located within parking stalls, etc.). Sewer mains shall not be deeper than $14^{\prime}$ or shallower than 3 ', depth from finished grade measured over pipe. The parallel collector main shown on the plans can be eliminated by making the sewer connection for the north east parcel to the private street main. A stub ending in a cleanout shall be installed from a new manhole on the trunk sewer. The sewer laterals for the two southerly lots can be off this stub. The alignment of the water and sewer mains on site will be reviewed during plan check and must be co-ordinated with the apartment project to the east. Water meters and sewer cleanouts shall be in the public right of way or in dedicated easements per current City standards.
93. A public easement shall be provided for public utility mains outside of the public right of way. The width of the easement shall be 15 feet wide for a single utility and 20 feet wide for a double utility, whichever is greater, and shall be centered over the facility. The easement shall be configured to include all publicly maintained appurtenances and structures. No surface structure including but not limited to roof eaves, decks or pools may encroach into the easement. Footings and foundations may encroach into the one to one line from the pipe depth to the top of grade if approved in writing by the Chief Building Official and the Director of Utilities. This information shall be added to the improvement plans. Trees may not be planted within $10^{\prime}$ of a public sewer main.
94. Separate (domestic and irrigation) water and sewer services shall be provided for each lot. Water and sewer services shall be installed per current City Standards. Water and sewer laterals must be a minimum of $5^{\prime}$ apart. Water services shall be designed as combination services where applicable.
95. Utility Service Agreements shall be signed by the property owner for each property and all metered connections prior to occupancy. The Agreement will be prepared by the Utilities Department after the meter sizes have been determined. Contact Utilities Engineering to obtain agreement.
96. An Encroachment Permit from the City's Public Works Department is required for all work in the public right-of-way.
97. No reinforced concrete may be used in decorative street surfacing placed over publicly maintained water and/or sewer facilities. Water main valves must be located outside of the concrete area.
98. If wells exist on the property, one of the following conditions apply:
a. Retention of wells must comply with City and County codes. Retention of wells must be approved by the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department. An approved backflow prevention device must be installed on any connection to the City water system.
b. Abandonment of wells requires a permit from the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department.
99. Connection of the $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main to the existing main will require a shutdown for a cutin tee and valve. Call Utilities Engineering for fees and scheduling. Advance notice is required.
100. A pressure regulating valve is required for all domestic connections within this project and shall be noted on the improvement plans.
101. The engineer shall provide calculations to show fire flow requirements can be met.
102. Any septic systems within the project boundaries shall be abandoned per Sonoma County Environmental Health standards and City of Santa Rosa Building Division requirements.
103. Coordinate fire hydrant locations with the Fire and Utilities Departments during the plan check process. Contact the Fire Department for building sprinkler requirements.

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

104. Fire hydrant installation shall be as shown on the revised plans stamped received July 12, 1999. Fire hydrants as proposed shall be relocated/added as indicated below:
105. The dead end water main on the new private road shall be not less than 12 -inch unless hydraulic calculations are provided showing an 8 -inch main will deliver the required fire flows.
106. Water mains, fire hydrants and fire department access driveways shall be constructed and in service prior to bring combustible construction materials to the site:
107. Detailed plans for installation of automatic sprinklers shall be provided to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation.
108. The water main on Quigg Road shall be a 12 -inch to coordinate with the proposed apartment project to the east.
109. Installation of private fire mains/hydrants (if any) and automatic sprinklers require permits by the Fire Department.
110. The soil remediation plan appears acceptable in concept; an application for a permit for soil remediation is required.

## RECREATION AND PARKS DEPARTMENT

111. The developer is responsible for development of the creek trail area plan and installation of improvements, i.e., hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation. New landscaping within the creek trail area should be hardy/durable natives which require little maintenance or irrigation once established.

The developer shall enter into an agreement with the City relative to maintenance responsibility of the creek parcel, wherein the developer will agree to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility. The landscape plans, to be included with the public improvement plans, shall be subject to approval by the Department of Recreation and Parks, and the agreement shall be approved and authorized by the City Council prior to approval of the improvement plans. The terms of the agreement shall include the following:
a. The formula that will be used to determine the amount sufficient to provide annual maintenance from the interest generated is $\$ .05$ per square foot times the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is $100 / 9$ or 11.11 .

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the maintenance responsibility would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 100 / 9)=\$ 3,167.00$
b. The developer will deposit, with the City, a separate sum equal to $\$ 0.05$ per square foot times two years to secure and guarantee to the City proper maintenance of the landscaped parcel by the developer for a period of two years after the date the landscaping is accepted by the City per the agreement.

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the security deposit would be 5,700 s.f. $\mathrm{x}(\$ 0.05 \times 2)=\$ 570.00$
112. Street trees will be required and planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Plan List and approved by the Tree Division Superintendent. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees". Tree planting locations shall be marked by the City Tree Division personnel, contact Dan Watts at 543-3422. Copies of the Master Street Tree Plan list and the standards are available at both the Community Development Department and Parks Division offices.
113. The heritage oak located in the northwest corner of the project shall be protected through all phases of construction as directed by the City's Tree Ordinance \#2858. Since the proposed development will encroach upon the protected perimeter of this tree, special measures shall be utilized and shown on the plans to allow roots to obtain oxygen and nutrients as needed.

## TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

114. Bus turnouts shall be provided on both Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard. /a bus turnout on Mission Boulevard should be located far-side Quigg Drive.
115. A concrete pad shall be constructed at both bus stops ( 6 feet by 11 feet). A bus shelter, approved by our department, shall be provided, installed and maintained at the Mission Boulevard bus stop. A fee of $\$ 5,000$ shall be paid to our department in-lieu of providing and installing the shelter. Maintenance of the bus shelter shall be defined in an agreement with our department. Maintenance is defined as routine cleaning (e.g., trash removal, panel cleaning).
116. Per the Bicycle Master Plan and Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, a standard 10 -foot wide asphalt multi-use path shall be constructed along Santa Rosa Creek. A soft path shall also be provided along the creek per the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan.
117. Until an undercrossing is constructed at Mission Boulevard, signage shall be installed at Mission Boulevard directing users of the multi-use path to cross Mission Boulevard at Quigg Drive.
118. The pedestrian linkage to Santa Rosa Creek shall have a public use easement.
119. Development adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek shall be oriented towards the creek.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission finds and determines this entitlement to use would not be granted but for the applicability and validity of each and every one of the above conditions and that if any one or more of the above said conditions are invalid, this entitlement to use would not have been granted without requiring other valid conditions for achieving the purposes and intent of such approval.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission recommends to the City Council the approval and adoption of the rezoning of property, and approval and adoption of the Development Plan and Policy Statement for property situated at. 100 Mission Boulevard from the U (Unclassified) District to the C-1-PD District (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development District), said property more precisely described as: Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-015, 032-190-016, 032-190-017, 032-190-018, and 032-190-019.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:

Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
(0)

Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent
(0)
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## RESOLUTION NO. 9578

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE RITE-AID PHARMACY LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER(S) 032-190-019 FILE NUMBER CUP98-352/MJP98-053

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has conducted an initial study on the possible environmental consequences of the proposed Rite-Aid Pharmacy project, which study was initially completed May 6, 1999; and

WHEREAS, the study, in its final form, did not identify any significant effects on the environment which would result from the proposed use permit provided certain mitigation measures therein identified and listed were adopted and implemented; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator, based on the Initial Study, determined that any potential environmental effects of the proposed Conditional Use Permit have been clearly mitigated by the identified mitigation measure to the point where no significant environmental effects would occur and the Environmental Coordinator, based upon this determination, prepared a Negative Declaration, subject to mitigating requirements, with respect to the environmental consequences of the subject project; and

WHEREAS, a notice of Mitigated Negative Declaration was thereafter duly posted and an opportunity for comments from the public was given; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa has reviewed and considered the environmental study, the findings and determinations of the Environmental Coordinator, the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, the staff reports, oral and written, and the comments, statements, and other evidence presented by all persons, including members of the public, who appeared and addressed the Planning Commission at the public hearing held on June 24, 1999, and all comments and materials submitted prior thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has before it all of the necessary environmental information required by the Califormia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to properly analyze and evaluate any and all of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa, based upon the findings and the records and files herein, and the findings above made, hereby determines that the proposed Rite-Aid Pharmacy will not have a significant effect upon the environment if the mitigation measures listed and identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration are implemented prior to development of the subject property, and hereby approves and adopts the Mítigated Negative Declaration for the Rite-Aid Pharmacy project.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:

| Ayes: | $(5)$ | (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Noes: | (0) |  |
| Abstentions: | (1) | (Carlile) |
| Absent | $(0)$ |  |

Absent (0)

RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA MAKING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR RITE-AID PHARMACY AND DRIVE-THROUGH FACILITY-LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD - FILE NUMBER CUP98-352/MJP98-053

WHEREAS, an application was filed with the Department of Community Development requesting the approval of a Conditional Use Permit for a Rite-Aid Pharmacy 24-hour operation including a drive-through facility, to be located at 100 Mission Boulevard, also identified as Sonoma County Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-015, 032-190-016, 032-190-017, 032-190-018 and 032-190-019; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on the application at which all those wishing to be heard were allowed to speak or present written comments and other materials; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has considered the application, the staff reports, oral and written, the General Plan and zoning on the subject property, the testimony, written comments, and other materials presented at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has considered the approved and adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration for this use and project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that after consideration of the reports, documents; testimony, and other materials presented, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa finds and determines:
A. That the proposed use is consistent with the General Plan in that it is located on property designated Retail and Business Service.
B. That the proposed use, as conditioned, is in conformity with the requirements and intent of the Zoning Code in that The proposed land use, as conditioned, is contingent upon City Council rezoning of the property. Once rezoned to the proposed C-1-PD District, the proposed use will be in conformance with the Zoning Code.
C. That the proposed use and project, as conditioned, will not constitute a nuisance or be injurious or detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare, or to persons or property in the vicinity of the proposed use and project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission approves and adopts the mitigation measures set forth in the Mitigation Monitoring Program dated May 6, 1999, which is attached hereto, and the Mitigation Monitoring Program and directs staff, as therein identified, to implement and complete the program

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Conditional Use Permit is subject to all applicable provisions of the Zoning Code, including Section 20-02.259 (Revocation).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a Conditional Use Permit for Rite-Aid Pharmacy 24hour operation including a drive-through facility, located at 100 Mission Boulevard, is approved subject to each of the following conditions:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## GENERAL:

1. For site-specific improvements, Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) staff recommend that the drainage design for the project be in compliance with the SCWA's Flood Control Design Criteria.
2. The SCWA is concerned with maintaining access to the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek located adjacent to the subject property. Maintenance and access to the creek may be affected by the proposed walking path and storm drain outfalls into Santa Rosa Creek. Please provide civil design plans which show development within the SCWA Santa Rosa Creek easement that may obstruct access to the creek.
3. A Revocable License will be required for construction work within the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek. For questions on obtaining a Revocable License, please contact Bob Oller at 707/521-1865
4. A 5.0 foot wide Public Utility Easement (PUE) shall be offered for dedication by the property owner along the front of Parcels A, B, C, E and F bordering the Quigg Drive and the private drive.
5. Quigg Drive shall be dedicated as a public street and the private drive shall be dedicated as a PUE by the property owner.
6. CalTrans reserves the right to make specific comments on design and signal phasing requirements during the permit phase when plans will be submitted for review.
7. Compliance with the latest adopted ordinances, resolutions, policies, and fees adopted by the City Council at the time of building permit review and approval. All fees must be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
8. All work shall be done according to the final approved plans stamped received July 12, 1999. Grading cross sections shall be as shown on preliminary Engineering plans stamped received July 23, 1999.
9. Construction hours shall be limited to 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on non-holiday weekdays and Saturdays.

## EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION:

10. This Conditional Use Permit shall be valid for duration of use. If construction has not begun or if an approved use has not commenced within two (2) years from date of approval, this approval shall automatically expire and shall be invalid unless an application for extension is filed prior to expiration.
11. This Conditional Use Permit is contingent upon approval of the rezoning to $\mathrm{C}-1-\mathrm{PD}$ including the adoption of a Policy Statement stamped received July 28, 1999 and Development Plan stamped received July 12, 1999.

## PLANNING DIVISION:

12. That prior to the initiation of the use, the application shall have obtained a rezoning of the subject property.
13. The building materials, elevations, and appearance of this project, as presented for issuance of a building permit, shall be the same as that approved by the Planning Commission. Any future additions, expansions, remodeling, etc., will be subject to review and approval of the Planning Division.
14. The Rite-Aid store may operate 24 hours per day.

## 15. PROJECT DETAILS:

a. All project details shall be in accordance with the restrictions and limitations of the City Zoning and Uniform Building Codes, as well as the City's Design Review Guidelines.
b. The design of all fencing, sound walls, carports, trash enclosures, and similar accessory site elements shall be compatible with the architecture of main buildings and shall use similar materials. The design must be approved by the Planning Division prior to issuance of a building permit.
c. All roof appurtenances, accessory equipment, and meters must be totally screened from public view by an architecturally design element approved by the Design Review Board or Planning Division.
d. All outdoor storage of materials or refuse bins/cans shall be maintained within a completely screened structure or area. The design of the screened structure or area shall be approved by the Planning Division prior to issuance of a building permit.

## 16. LANDSCAPING:

a. All required landscaping and irrigation must be installed prior to occupancy per the approved final plans.
b. Construction drawings submitted for issuance of a building permit shall include final landscape and irrigation plans, except where not required.
c. All landscaping must be continuously maintained in a healthy and attractive condition, free of weeds and debris, in accordance with the approved plans. Dead and dying plant materials shall be replaced with healthy specimens as necessary.
d. Street trees will be required and shall be planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Street Tree Plant List in coordination with the City Parks Division. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees." Copies of the Street Tree List and the Planting Standards are available at the Parks Division office.

## 17. LIGHTING:

a. All exterior lighting shall be shown and specified on the plans submitted for issuance of a building permit in accordance with the Design Review approval.
b. Light sources shall be concealed from public view.
c. All lighting shall be directed toward the subject property and away from adjacent properties.
d. The mounting height of lighting fixtures in parking and storage areas shall not exceed 16 -feet in height. Lower mounting heights are encouraged.
18. PARKING LOT AREA:
a. The parking lot shall be paved to City standards.
b. The parking lot shall be provided with concrete curbing around all planter areas unless specifically approved by the Department of Community Development in some other fashion.
c. The parking lot shall be striped according to City standards and all handicapped and compact spaces shall be identified and marked accordingly.
d. Bicycle parking shall be provided in accordance with Zoning Code requirements. The location and number of spaces shall be shown on the site plan submitted for issuance of a building permit.
19. SIGNING:
a. No exterior signs, banners, or the like are approved with this permit.
b. A planning sign permit application is required for all signs.
c. Building permits for sign installations shall be separate permits from other building permits issued for construction.
d. Signs shall be durably constructed and continually maintained in accordance with the approved plans.

## BUILDING DIVISION:

20. Provide an estimate of cubic yard excavation and cubic yard fill, with provision for any import or export.
21. Clear hours of work and any necessary restrictions on construction access with City Planning, Traffic, and Engineering Divisions.
22. Dedicate any necessary public right-of-ways and easements.
23. Contaminated material assessment is required.
24. A soils report is required. The report must address: Existing fills, potential for liquification and contaminated soils.
25. State Department of Fish and Game agreement is required for work in the creek area. Army Corps of Engineer approval may also be required.
26. Comply with City creek setback requirements.
27. Building pads must be above the 100 -year water surface as approved by SCWA.
28. Abandonment or retention of any existing well must comply with City and County requirements.
29. Complete any pending lot line adjustment.
30. A building permit is required.
31. Comply with all federal, state and local codes, disabled access included.
32. This building is located in seismic zone $X$ per City Building Ordinance. Designs of structures and portion of structures shall be those forces as determined in the 1994 Uniform Building Code (U.B.C.), multiplied by a factor of 1.24. For all Building Permit Applications made on July 1, 1999 or after, the building must be designed per the 1997 U.B.C.
33. Roof drainage and parking lot drainage flows are not allowed over sidewalk areas; conduct to an approved storm drain system or through the face of curb per City Standard No. 407.
34. Drainage improvements must be reviewed and approved by Sonoma County Water Agency prior to issuance of the building permit.
35. Provide easements and maintenance agreements for cross-lot uses.
36. An erosion control plan is required prior to issuance of a building permit.
37. Construction projects disturbing five (5) or more acres are required to file a Notice of Intent to comply with the terms of the general permit to discharge storm water associated with construction activity with the State Water Resources Control Board prior to the issuance of any grading permit.

## ENGINEERING DIVISION:

38. Developer's engineer shall obtain the current City Design and Construction Standards and the Community Development Department's Standard Conditions of Approval dated December 8, 1998 and comply with all requirements therein unless specifically waived or altered by written variance by the City Engineer.
39. In addition, the following summary constitutes the specific conditions of approval on the subject application/development based on the plans stamped received July 12, 1999.

## PARCEL AND EASEMENT DEDICATION

40. All land within the creek setback area of Santa Rosa Creek shall be granted to, and accepted by, the City of Santa Rosa in fee title.
41. A Public Utility Easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the private drive. The easement shall be configured to encompass all publicly maintained appurtenances, such as water services, fire hydrants and sewer cleanouts.
42. A minimum 15 foot wide public storm drain easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the alignment of the proposed storm drain extending from Quigg Drive to Santa Rosa Creek.
43. Private access, drainage and utility easements shall be provided, as necessary, over the portions of the private drive on parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C . Each easement shall be in favor of the other two parcels.
44. Minimum 10 foot wide private drainage easements shall be provided over all cross lot private drainage systems.

## PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

45. Highway 12 shall be dedicated and improved to major street standards along the frontage of the project. Pavement width shall be 32 feet face of median curb to face of curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262. Per CalTrans requirements, planter strip is not allowed along the State Highway frontage. Additional right-of-way shall be dedicated to the State of California, if and where necessary, such that the new property line is minimum $1 / 2$ foot behind the back of sidewalk. A 7.5 foot public utility easement shall be granted behind the property line to the City of Santa Rosa.
46. Sidewalk shall be installed along the Mission Boulevard frontage generally as shown on the plans. Where sidewalk is contiguous, width shall be 7 feet minimum.
47. 5.5 foot wide sidewalk easements and 10.5 foot wide public utility easements shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa, behind the property line along both sides of Quigg Drive.

Note: A 46 foot wide Quigg Drive minor street right-of-way has previously been granted to the City of Santa Rosa through this site.
48. Quigg Drive shall be improved to minor street standards: Pavement width shall be 36 feet curb to curb, with a 5 foot sidewalk behind a 5 foot planter strip on both sides..
49. The developer shall be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout in accordance with City Std. 220 or 221 on Mission Boulevard. The developer may also be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout on Highway 12. The bus stops shall be located near pedestrian entry walkways on both streets. The locations and configurations shall be subject to approval of the City Parking and Transit Department, the City Department of Community Development, and CalTrans.
50. $\quad 6 \times 11$ ' pads and adequate lighting shall be provided behind the sidewalk at each bus stop. The pads shall be contained within public right-of-way or public sidewalk easement.
51. Any broken curb, gutter and/or sidewalk shall be replaced per current City standards.
52. City Std. 250 C driveway approaches shall be installed where the private drive takes access off Highway 12 and Quigg Drive. This is in lieu of the current proposal for a 250 A driveway approach on Highway 12 and a street type entrance on Quigg Drive.
53. The developer shall construct a meandering Class I bikeway/pedestrian path along the north side of Santa Rosa Creek in the general location shown on the plans. The path shall also be designed to serve as a maintenance access road. The path shall have a 10 foot paved asphalt width with 1 foot aggregate base shoulders on both sides. The same type of path, or alternate type as approved in conjunction with the Design Review approval, shall be constructed,
extending from Quigg Drive to the creek path, through the proposed public storm drain easement / pedestrian link.
54. Public improvement plans shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. An improvement plan submittal shall be provided to Community Development Engineering for referral to appropriate City agencies and ultimate approval by the City Engineer. The public improvement plans shall include: all traffic signal improvements; Highway 12, Mission Blvd., and Quigg Drive improvements; the common private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ; the storm drain access road to Santa Rosa Creek between parcels E and F ; and all improvements within the creek setback of Santa Rosa Creek including all publicly maintained landscape and irrigation improvements.
55. An Encroachment Permit must be obtained from the Department of Public Works prior to beginning any work within the public Right-of-Way or for any work on utilities located within public easements.
56. A CalTrans Encroachment Permit shall be obtained prior to beginning any work in the Highway 12 Right-of-Way.
57. A soils report is required and shall be provided with the improvement plans submitted for review.

## TRAFFIC

58. The developer shall be responsible for installation of a traffic signal at the Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd: intersection.
59. A left tum lane shall be improved as necessary for the southbound Mission Boulevard to Quigg Drive movement.
60. Improvement plans shall include a complete signing, striping, and street lighting plan.
61. A reduced size CalTrans R-41 (Right Turn Only) sign shall be installed at the driveway exit on to Highway 12 and an R-10 (One Way) sign shall be installed in the Highway 12 median opposite the driveway exit.
62. Striping plans shall include a left turn lane for the westbound Quigg Drive to southbound Mission Boulevard movement.
63. Cable, conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard, as necessary to interconnect the new Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. traffic signal with the existing Mission Blvd. traffic signals at Highway 12 and Montgomery Drive.
64. Conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard for future traffic signal interconnect along Highway 12 frontage.
65. A traffic control plan for Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard is required for this project. The plan shall be in conformance with the State of California Department of Transportation Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work Zones, 1996. The plan shall detail all methods, equipment and devices to be implemented for traffic control upon City streets within the work zone and other impacted areas. The plan shall be included as part of the Encroachment Permit application and is subject to that review and approval process.

## PUBLIC STORM DRAN

66. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria, Prior to approval of improvement plans, an approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
67. Proposed development must conform to 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) parts 122, 123 and 124, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit applications for storm water discharge. Project must also conform with any design and construction policies adopted by the City to conform with these regulations.
68. The proposed storm drain between parcels E and F and downstream of Quigg Drive shall be public. A structure shall be installed on the north side of Quigg Drive to delineate the point of connection between the public and private storm drain systems.
69. Access to all public storm drain systems and strictures shall be over a minimum of 12 foot wide all weather access road of compacted shale. The access road shall be contained within a 15 foot public storm drain easement.
70. The storm drain layout shown on the plans is not approved and is considered conceptual only. Public storm drain should not angle across sidewalk and private improvements, and off-site public storm drain should be centered within 15 foot easements. At the time of improvement plans, the storm drain system shall be redesigned to meet City standards.

## GRADING \& DRAINAGE

71. Soils reports are required and shall be submitted with the building permit applications and the improvement plan submittal..
72. Any soil/water contamination on the site shall be monitored and, where appropriate, remediated to the satisfaction of the City Fire Department and the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
73. Work within the driplines of trees to be saved shall be done under the supervision and approval of an arborist. A note shall be included in the grading plans stating that a construction fence shall be installed around the driplines of all trees to remain prior to commencing with any grading work. Driplines of trees overhanging the property line shall be afforded the same level of protection as trees on site that are to remain.
74. Grading shall occur only between April 15 and October 15 unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer or Chief Building Official in conjunction with an approved erosion and sedimentation control plan.
75. Disturbed slopes shall be stabilized and revegetated.
76. An erosion control plan shall be provided. Offsite properties and existing drainage systems shall be protected from siltation coming from the site.
77. Prior to approval of improvement plans and prior to issuance of a building permit, a Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be obtained from the State Department of Fish and Game for all proposed work in the creek setback area.

## OVERHEAD UTILITY LINES

78. All overhead utility lines along the project frontage shall be placed underground including electrical distribution lines, telephone and cable television in conformance with the City's undergrounding ordinance. Electrical main feeder lines may remain overhead but shall be relocated to behind the new curb location and spare conduits placed in the street for future undergrounding of the main feeder lines.
79. Any existing on-site overhead utilities shall be removed. New services to new structures shall be underground.
80. The developer shall coordinate, and where necessary, pay for the relocation of any power poles or other existing public utilities, as necessary.
81. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of street lights on the Highway 12 frontage. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610 or comparable CalTrans standard.
82. The developer shall be responsible for the installation and/or relocation of City standard street lights on the Mission Boulevard frontage, as necessary. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610.
83. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of City standard street lights on Quigg Drive. Street lights shall be per Std. 612.

## COMMON IMPROVEMENTS

84. The private drive serving parcels $A, B$ and $C$ shall be constructed in conjunction with the public improvements. Pavement width shall be 30 feet curb to curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk along the east side. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262 .
85. The private drive shall be constructed under continuous inspection by the project soils engineer in compliance with City Design and Construction Standards. Progress and final reports shall be furnished to the City in compliance with U.B.C. special inspection requirements. All costs related to such inspection shall be borre by the owner/developer.
86. Private street lights shall be installed on the private drive and shall meet City standards for minimum average maintained foot-candle and the uniformity ratio for a minor street. All private lighting shall be privately owned and maintained. . Private lighting fixtures shall be subject to staff design review.
87. A Reciprocal Easement Agreement shall be entered into to provide for maintenance of the common private drive together with associated drainage improvements, street lighting, etc. It may also be desirable to provide for common maintenance of other improvements on parcels A, B and C (driveways and parking areas, drainage facilities, private utilities, exterior lighting, irrigation and landscaping, etc.).

## PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS

88. On-site grading, drainage and site improvement plans, to be included as part of the building permit submittals, shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer.
89. Site drainage and private storm drain facilities shall meet the requirements of the Chief Building Official or his designated representative.
90. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. All storm water run-off shall be collected via an underground drainage system and discharged to the nearest public downstream facility possessing adequate capacity to accept the run-off.
91. An approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
92. Parking and access improvements shall comply with state and federal handicap access requirements.
93. Parking spaces shall be striped according to City standards and in compliance with the City Zoning Code.
94. Directional arrows shall be stenciled on the asphalt pavement at project entrances, at entrances and exits of drive-thru aisles, and as appropriate within internal driveways.
95. In conjunction with development of Parcel A (Rite Aid Pharmacy site), the following signs shall be installed:
a. On both sides of the driveway, at the driveway entrance to the drive-thru window: "DRIVE-THRU WINDOW ONLY. ALL OTHER TRAFFIC USE MAIN EXIT"
b. Reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on Quigg Drive on both sides of the drive-thru driveway exit:
96. Exterior site lighting plans shall be included with the building permit submittals.
97. Retaining wall systems on site shall be designed by a Registered Civil Engineer per the recommendations of the soils engineer.

## UTILITIES

98. The engineer shall provide a detailed utility plan showing on-site and off-site sewer, water and fire protection systems, and their connections to existing sewer and water facilities. The plan shall also show any wells existing or to be abandoned, and septic systems to be abandoned. Separate irrigation meters are required. An irrigation plan showing maximum GPM flow required at each control valve, maximum GPM flow at meter, peak monthly usage, and connections must be provided. Submit Improvement Plans for the City Engineer's signature.
99. Demand, processing and meter fees and meter sizes will be determined after review of building plans. Submit details of plumbing fixtures, including the amount of fixtures and the size of service lines ( $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ etc.) to Utilities Engineering for review. Provide estimated peak monthly water usage for both domestic and irrigation uses.
100. Water and sewer mains shall be installed per current City Standards. The minimum size of water mains serving commercial parcels is $12^{\prime \prime}$. All sewer mains must be a minimum of $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. All water and sewer mains must be installed a minimum of $10^{\prime}$ apart horizontally. All public mains must be a minimum of 5 ' from all structures, curbs, property lines or edge of easement. The water main is too close to the curb just east of the private drive. Manholes must have clear access at all times (i.e. not located within parking stalls, etc.). Sewer mains shall not be deeper than $14^{\prime}$ or shallower than $3^{\prime}$, depth from finished grade measured over pipe. The parallel collector main shown on the plans can be eliminated by making the sewer connection for the north east parcel to the private street main. A stub ending in a cleanout shall be installed from a new manhole on the trunk sewer. The sewer laterals for the two
southerly lots can be off this stub. The alignment of the water and sewer mains on site will be reviewed during plan check and must be co-ordinated with the apartment project to the east. Water meters and sewer cleanouts shall be in the public right of way or in dedicated easements per current City standards.
101. A public easement shall be provided for public utility mains outside of the public right of way. The width of the easement shall be 15 feet wide for a single utility and 20 feet wide for a double utility, whichever is greater, and shall be centered over the facility. The easement shall be configured to include all publicly maintained appurtenances and structures. No surface structure including but not limited to roof eaves, decks or pools may encroach into the easement. Footings and foundations may encroach into the one to one line from the pipe depth to the top of grade if approved in writing by the Chief Building Official and the Director of Utilities. This information shall be added to the improvement plans. Trees may not be planted within 10 ' of a public sewer main.
102. Separate (domestic and irrigation) water and sewer services shall be provided for each lot. Water and sewer services shall be installed per current City Standards. Water and sewer laterals must be a minimum of $5^{\prime}$ apart. Water services shall be designed as combination services where applicable.
103. Utility Service Agreements shall be signed by the property owner for each property and all metered connections prior to occupancy. The Agreement will be prepared by the Utilities Department after the meter sizes have been determined. Contact Utilities Engineering to obtain agreement.
104. An Encroachment Permit from the City's Public Works Department is required for all work in the public right-of-way.
105. No reinforced concrete may be used in decorative street surfacing placed over publicly maintained water and/or sewer facilities. Water main valves must be located outside of the concrete area.
106. If wells exist on the property, one of the following conditions apply:
a. Retention of wells must comply with City and County codes. Retention of wells must be approved by the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department. An approved backflow prevention device must be installed on any connection to the City water system.
b. Abandonment of wells requires a permit from the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department.
107. Connection of the $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main to the existing main will require a shutdown for a cut-in tee and valve. Call Utilities Engineering for fees and scheduling. Advance notice is required.
108. A pressure regulating valve is required for all domestic connections within this project and shall be noted on the improvement plans.
109. The engineer shall provide calculations to show fire flow requirements can be met.
110. Any septic systems within the project boundaries shall be abandoned per Sonoma County Environmental Health standards and City of Santa Rosa Building Division requirements.
111. Coordinate fire hydrant locations with the Fire and Utilities Departments during the plan check process. Contact the Fire Department for building sprinkler requirements.

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

112. Fire hydrant installation shall be as shown on the revised plans stamped received July 12 , 1999..
113. The dead end water main on the new private road shall be not less than 12 -inch unless hydraulic calculations are provided showing an 8 -inch main will deliver the required fire flows.
114. Water mains, fire hydrants and fire department access driveways shall be constructed and in service prior to bring combustible construction materials to the site.
115. Detailed plans for installation of automatic sprinklers shall be provided to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation.
116. The water main on Quigg Road shall be a 12 -inch to coordinate with the proposed apartment project to the east.
117. Installation of private fire mains/hydrants (if any) and automatic sprinklers require permits by the Fire Department.
118. The soil remediation plan appears acceptable in concept; an application for a permit for soil remediation is required.

## RECREATION AND PARKS DẸPARTMENT

119. The developer is responsible for development of the creek trail area plan and installation of improvements, i.e., hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation. New landscaping within the creek trail area should be hardy/durable natives which require little maintenance or irrigation once established.

The developer shall enter into an agreement with the City relative to maintenance responsibility of the creek parcel, wherein the developer will agree to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility. The landscape plans, to be included with the public improvement plans, shall be subject to approval by the Department of Recreation and Parks, and the agreement shall be approved and authorized by the City Council prior to approval of the improvement plans. The terms of the agreement shall include the following:
a. The formula that will be used to determine the amount sufficient to provide annual maintenance from the interest generated is $\$ .05$ per square foot times the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is $100 / 9$ or 11.11 .

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the maintenance responsibility would be $5,700 \mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{f}$. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 100 / 9)=\$ 3,167.00$.
b. The developer will deposit, with the City, a separate sum equal to $\$ 0.05$ per square foot times two years to secure and guarantee to the City proper maintenance of the landscaped parcel by the developer for a period of two years after the date the landscaping is accepted by the City per the agreement.

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the security deposit would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 2)=\$ 570.00$.
120. Street trees will be required and planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Plan List and approved by the Tree Division Superintendent. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees". Tree planting locations shall be marked by the City Tree-Division personnel, contact Dan Watts at 543-3422. Copies of the Master Street Tree Plan list and the standards are available at both the Community Development Department and Parks Division offices.
121. The heritage oak located in the northwest corner of the project shall be protected through all phases of construction as directed by the City's Tree Ordinance \#2858. Since the proposed development will encroach upon the protected perimeter of this tree, special measures shall be utilized and shown on the plans to allow roots to obtain oxygen and nutrients as needed.

## TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

122. Bus turnouts shall be provided on both Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard. The bus turnout on Mission Boulevard should be located far-side Quigg Drive.
123. A concrete pad shall be constructed at both bus stops ( 6 feet by 11 feet). A bus shelter, approved by our department, shall be provided, installed and maintained at the Mission Boulevard bus stop. A fee of $\$ 5,000$ shall be paid to our department in-lieu of providing and installing the shelters. Maintenance of the bus shelters shall be defined in an agreement with our department. Maintenance is defined as routine cleaning (e.g., trash removal, panel cleaning).
124. Per the Bicycle Master Plan and Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, a standard 10 -foot wide asphalt multi-use path shall be constructed along Santa Rosa Creek. A soft path shall also be provided along the creek per the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan.
125. Until an undercrossing is constructed at Mission Boulevard, signage shall be installed at Mission Boulevard directing users of the multi-use path to cross Mission Boulevard at Quigg Drive.
126. The pedestrian linkage to Santa Rosa Creek shall have a public use easement.
127. Development adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek shall be oriented towards the creek.

## POLICE DEPARTMENT

128. The parking lot, and access thereto, shall be provided with a minimum maintained one footcandle of light on the parking surfaces from dusk until the termination of business every operating day. At all other hours of darkness, a minimum maintained . 25 foot-candle of light shall be provided at ground level. Lighting devices shall be protected by weather and vandal resistant covers.
129. All lighting shall be directed toward the subject property and away from adjacent properties. Cut off lenses shall be utilized as needed to prevent trespass light on adjacent properties.
130. All exterior doors shall be equipped with a lighting device which shall provide a minimum maintained one-foot candle of light at ground level during hours of darkness. Lighting devices shall be protected by vandal resistant covers.
131. Premise identification shall be in compliance with City Code Section 18-16.034 and the Fire Department Address Bulletin.
132. Roof access to the buildings shall be internal only, with locking devices. Air conditioning and heating ducts to the buildings should be barred to prevent unauthorized access to the interior.
133. Exterior architectural features to the buildings shall not be designed in a manner which allows access to the roof areas.
134. Trees and shrubs shall not block the view of entrances, exits, and windows. Landscaping shall be well maintained to allow good visibility.
135. Trees shall not be planted in areas where their growth, mature height or canopies will affect the disbursement of lighting from the light fixtures.
136. The operator shall comply with the Financial Code as it relates to automated teller machine safety.
137. The project developer, owner, or general contractor shall provide the Police Department Records and Communications Manager a list of at least two persons who will be able to respond to this construction site after normal business or construction hours in the event of an emergency.
138. The construction site and all open storage of material, supplies, and equipment should be secured by use of fencing and a lockable gate. Any building or trailer used as storage or as an office should be within the fenced portion of the construction site. Or, the developer should provide on-site security during the hours construction personnel are not present. This would help eliminate potential thefts of building materials and vandalism of the site.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission finds and determines this entitlement to use would not be granted but for the applicability and validity of each and every one of the above conditions and that if any one or more of the above said conditions are invalid, this entitlement to use would not have been granted without requiring other valid conditions for achieving the purposes and intent of such approval.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:
Ayes:
(5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)

Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
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## RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD/4606 SONOMA HIGHWAY - ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER(S) 032-190-016 - FILE NUMBER CUP98-353/MJP98-053

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator has conducted an initial study on the possible environmental consequences of the proposed Downey Savings and Loan project, which study was initially completed May 6, 1999; and

WHEREAS, the study, in its final form, did not identify any significant effects on the environment which would result from the proposed use permit provided certain mitigation measures therein identified and listed were adopted and implemented; and

WHEREAS, the Environmental Coordinator, based on the Initial Study, determined that any potential environmental effects of the proposed Conditional Use Permit have been clearly mitigated by the identified mitigation measure to the point where no significant environmental effects would occur and the Environmental Coordinator, based upon this determination, prepared a Negative Declaration, subject to mitigating requirements, with respect to the environmental consequences of the subject project; and

WHEREAS, a notice of Mitigated Negative Declaration was thereafter duly posted and an opportunity for comments from the public was given; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa has reviewed and considered the environmental study, the findings and determinations of the Environmental Coordinator, the proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration, the staff reports, oral and written, and the comments, statements, and other evidence presented by all persons, including members of the public, who appeared and addressed the Planning Commission at the public hearing held on June 24, 1999, and all comments and materials submitted prior thereto; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has before it all of the necessary environmental information required by the Califormia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to properly analyze and evaluate any and all of the potential environmental impacts of the proposed project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa, based upon the findings and the records and files herein, and the findings above made, hereby determines that the proposed Downey Savings and Loan will not have a significant effect upon the environment if the mitigation measures listed and identified in the Mitigated Negative Declaration are implemented prior to development of the subject property, and hereby approves and adopts the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Downey Savings and Loan project.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {d }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:
Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent:


## RESOLUTION NO. 9581

## RESOLUTION OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA MAKING FINDINGS AND DETERMINATIONS AND APPROVING A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT FOR DOWNEY SAVINGS AND LOAN AND DRIVE-THROUGH ATM WINDOW - LOCATED AT 100 MISSION BOULEVARD/4606 SONOMA HIGHWAY - FILE NUMBER CUP98-353/MJP98-053

WHEREAS, an application was filed with the Department of Community Development requesting the approval of a Conditional Use Permit for a Downey Savings and Loan and drivethrough ATM window, to be located at 100 Mission Boulevard/4606 Sonoma Highway, also identified as Sonoma County Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-016; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on the application at which all those wishing to be heard were allowed to speak or present written comments and other materials; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has considered the application, the staff reports, oral and written, the General Plan and zoning on the subject property, the testimony, written comments, and other materials presented at the public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has considered the approved and adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration for this use and project.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that after consideration of the reports, documents, testimony, and other materials presented, the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa finds and determines:
A. That the proposed use is consistent with the General Plan in that the property is designated Office in the General Plan Land Use Diagram.
B. That the proposed use, as conditioned, is in conformity with the requirements and intent of the Zoning Code in that The proposed land use, as conditioned, is contingent upon City Council rezoning of the property. Once rezoned to the proposed C-I-PD District, the proposed use will be in conformance with the Zoning Code.
C. That the proposed use and project, as conditioned, will not constitute a nuisance or be injurious or detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare, or to persons or property in the vicinity of the proposed use and project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Commission approves and adopts the mitigation measures set forth in the Mitigation Monitoring Program dated May 6, 1999, which is attached hereto, and the Mitigation Monitoring Program and directs staff, as therein identified, to implement and complete the program

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Conditional Use Permit is subject to all applicable provisions of the Zoning Code, including Section 20-02.259 (Revocation).

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a Conditional Use Permit for Downey Savings and Loan, located at 100 Mission Boulevard/4606 Sonoma Highway, is approved subject to each of the following conditions:

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

## GENERAL:

1. For site-specific improvements, Sonoma County Water Agency (SCWA) staff recommend that the drainage design for the project be in compliance with the SCWA's Flood Control Design Criteria.
2. The SCWA is concerned with maintaining access to the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek located adjacent to the subject property. Maintenance and access to the creek may be affected by the proposed walking path and storm drain outfalls into Santa Rosa Creek. Please provide civil design plans which show development within the SCWA Santa Rosa Creek easement that may obstruct access to the creek.
3. A Revocable License will be required for construction work within the SCWA easement along Santa Rosa Creek. For questions on obtaining a Revocable License, please contact Bob Oller at 707/521-1865
4. A 5.0 foot wide Public Utility Easement (PUE) shall be offered for dedication by the property owner along the front of Parcels A, B, C, E and F bordering the Quigg Drive and the private drive.
5. Quigg Drive shall be dedicated as a public street and the private drive shall be dedicated as a PUE by the property owner.
6. CalTrans reserves the right to make specific comments on design and signal phasing requirements during the permit phase when plans will be submitted for review.
7. Compliance with the latest adopted ordinances, resolutions, policies, and fees adopted by the City Council at the time of building permit review and approval. All fees must be paid prior to issuance of a building permit.
8. All work shall be done according to the final approved plans stamped received July 12, 1999. Grading cross sections shall be as shown on preliminary Engineering plans stamped received July 23, 1999.
9. Construction hours shall be limited to 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on non-holiday weekdays and Saturdays.
10. This Conditional Use Permit is contingent upon approval of the rezoning to C-1-PD including the adoption of a Policy Statement stamped received July 28, 1999 and Development Plan stamped received July 12, 1999.

## EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION:

11. This Conditional Use Permit shall be valid for duration of use. If construction has not begun or if an approved use has not commenced within two (2) years from date of approval, this approval shall automatically expire and shall be invalid unless an application for extension is filed prior to expiration.

## PLANNING DIVISION:

12. That prior to the initiation of the use, the application shall have obtained a rezoning of the subject property.
13. The building materials, elevations, and appearance of this project, as presented for issuance of a building permit, shall be the same as that approved by the Planning Commission. Any future additions, expansions, remodeling, etc., will be subject to review and approval of the Planning Division.
14. The improvement plans submittal shall include a sound wall along the east property line between the commercial and residential uses.
15. PROJECT DETAILS:
a. All project details shall be in accordance with the restrictions and limitations of the City Zoning and Uniform Building Codes, as well as the City's Design Review Guidelines.
b. The design of all fencing, sound walls, carports, trash enclosures, and similar accessory site elements shall be compatible with the architecture of main buildings and shall use similar materials. The design must be approved by the Planning Division prior to issuance of a building permit.
c. All roof appurtenances, accessory equipment, and meters must be totally screened from public view by an architecturally design element approved by the Design Review Board or Planning Division.
d. All outdoor storage of materials or refuse bins/cans shall be maintained within a completely screened structure or area. The design of the screened structure or area shall be approved by the Planning Division prior to issuance of a building permit.

## 16. LANDSCAPING:

a. All required landscaping and irrigation must be installed prior to occupancy per the approved final plans.
b. Construction drawings submitted for issuance of a building permit shall include final landscape and irrigation plans, except where not required.
c. All landscaping must be continuously maintained in a healthy and attractive condition, free of weeds and debris, in accordance with the approved plans. Dead and dying plant materials shall be replaced with healthy specimens as necessary.
d. Street trees will be required and shall be planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Street Tree Plant List in coordination with the City Parks Division. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees." Copies of the Street Tree List and the Planting Standards are available at the Parks Division office.

## 17. LIGHTING:

a. All exterior lighting shall be shown and specified on the plans submitted for issuance of a building permit in accordance with the Design Review approval.
b. Light sources shall be concealed from public view.
c. All lighting shall be directed toward the subject property and away from adjacent properties.
d. The mounting height of lighting fixtures in parking and storage areas shall not exceed 16 -feet in height. Lower mounting heights are encouraged.
18. PARKING LOT AREA:
a. The parking lot shall be paved to City standards.
b. The parking lot shall be provided with concrete curbing around all planter areas unless specifically approved by the Department of Community Development in some other fashion.
c. The parking lot shall be striped according to City standards and all handicapped and compact spaces shall be identified and marked accordingly.
d. Bicycle parking shall be provided in accordance with Zoning Code requirements. The location and number of spaces shall be shown on the site plan submitted for issuance of a building permit.:
19. SIGNING:
a. No exterior signs, banners, or the like are approved with this permit.
b. A planning sign permit application is required for all signs.
c. Building permits for sign installations shall be separate permits from other building permits issued for construction.
d. Signs shall be durably constructed and continually maintained in accordance with the approved plans.

## BUILDING DIVISION:

20. Provide an estimate of cubic yard excavation and cubic yard fill, with provision for any import or export.
21. Clear hours of work and any necessary restrictions on construction access with City Planning, Traffic, and Engineering Divisions.
22. Dedicate any necessary public right-of-ways and easements.
23. Contaminated material assessment is required.
24. A soils report is required. The report must address: Existing fills, potential for liquification and contaminated soils.
25. State Department of Fish and Game agreement is required for work in the creek area. Army Corps of Engineer approval may also be required.
26. Comply with City creek setback requirements.
27. Building pads must be above the 100 -year water surface as approved by SCWA.
28. Abandonment or retention of any existing well must comply with City and County requirements.
29. Complete any pending lot line adjustment.
30. A building permit is required.
31. Comply with all federal, state and local codes, disabled access included.
32. This building is located in seismic zone $X$ per City Building Ordinance. Designs of structures and portion of structures shall be those forces as determined in the 1994 Uniform Building Code (U.B.C.), multiplied by a factor of 1.24. For all Building Permit Applications made on July 1, 1999 or after, the building must be designed per the 1997 U.B.C.
33. Roof drainage and parking lot drainage flows are not allowed over sidewalk areas; conduct to an approved storm drain system or through the face of curb per City Standard No. 407.
34. Drainage improvements must be reviewed and approved by Sonoma County Water Agency prior to issuance of the building permit.
35. Provide easements and maintenance agreements for cross-lot uses.
36. An erosion control plan is required prior to issuance of a building permit.
37. Construction projects disturbing five (5) or more acres are required to file a Notice of Intent to comply with the terms of the general permit to discharge storm water associated with construction activity with the State Water Resources Control Board prior to the issuance of any grading permit.

## ENGINEERING DIVISION:

38. Developer's engineer shall obtain the current City Design and Construction Standards and the Community Development Department's Standard Conditions of Approval dated December 8, 1998 and comply with all requirements therein unless specifically waived or altered by written variance by the City Engineer.
39. In addition, the following summary constitutes the specific conditions of approval on the subject application/development based on the plans stamped received July 12, 1999:

## PARCEL AND EASEMENT DEDICATION

40. All land within the creek setback area of Santa Rosa Creek shall be granted to, and accepted by, the City of Santa Rosa in fee title.
41. A Public Utility Easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the private drive. The easement shall be configured to encompass all publicly maintained appurtenances, such as water services, fire hydrants and sewer cleanouts.
42. A minimum 15 foot wide public storm drain easement shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa over the alignment of the proposed storm drain extending from Quigg Drive to Santa Rosa Creek.
43. Private access, drainage and utility easements shall be provided, as necessary, over the portions of the private drive on parcels A, B and C. Each easement shall be in favor of the other two parcels.
44. Minimum 10 foot wide private drainage easements shall be provided over all cross lot private drainage systems.

## PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS

45. Highway 12 shall be dedicated and improved to major street standards along the frontage of the project. Pavement width shall be 32 feet face of median curb to face of curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262. Per CalTrans requirements, planter strip is not allowed along the State Highway frontage. Additional right-of-way shall be dedicated to the State of California, if and where necessary, such that the new property line is minimum $1 / 2$ foot behind the back of sidewalk. A 7.5 foot public utility easement shall be granted behind the property line to the City of Santa Rosa.
46. Sidewalk shall be installed along the Mission Boulevard frontage generally as shown on the plans. Where sidewalk is contiguous, width shall be 7 feet minimum.
47. $\quad 5.5$ foot wide sidewalk easements and 10.5 foot wide public utility easements shall be granted to the City of Santa Rosa, behind the property line along both sides of Quigg Drive.

Note: A 46 foot wide Quigg Drive minor street right-of-way has previously been granted to the City of Santa Rosa through this site.
48. Quigg Drive shall be improved to minor street standards. Pavement width shall be 36 feet curb to curb, with a 5 foot sidewalk behind a 5 foot planter strip on both sides..
49. The developer shall be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout in accordance with City Std. 220 or 221 on Mission Boulevard. The developer may also be responsible for the construction of a bus turnout on Highway 12 The bus stops shall be located near pedestrian entry walkways on both streets. The locations and configurations shall be subject to approval of the City Parking and Transit Department, the City Department of Community Development, and CalTrans.
50. $6^{\prime} \times 11^{\prime}$ pads and adequate lighting shall be provided behind the sidewalk at each bus stop. The pads shall be contained within public right-of-way or public sidewalk easement.
51. Any broken curb, gutter and/or sidewalk shall be replaced per current City standards.
52. City Std. 250 C driveway approaches shall be installed where the private drive takes access off Highway 12 and Quigg Drive. This is in lieu of the current proposal for a 250A driveway approach on Highway 12 and a street type entrance on Quigg Drive.
53. The developer shall construct a meandering Class I bikeway/pedestrian path along the north side of Santa Rosa Creek in the general location shown on the plans. The path shall also be designed to serve as a maintenance access road. The path shall have a 10 foot paved asphalt width with 1 foot aggregate base shoulders on both sides. The same type of path, or alternate type as approved in conjunction with Design Review approval, shall be constructed, extending from Quigg Drive to the creek path, through the proposed public storm drain easement / pedestrian link.
54. Public improvement plans shall be prepared by a Registered Civil Engineer licensed to practice in the State of California. An improvement plan submittal shall be provided to Community Development Engineering for referral to appropriate City agencies and ultimate approval by the City Engineer. The public improvement plans shall include: all traffic signal improvements; Highway 12, Mission Blvd., and Quigg Drive improvements; the common private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C ; the storm drain access road to Santa Rosa Creek between parcels E and F; and all improvements within the creek setback of Santa Rosa Creek including all publicly maintained landscape and irrigation improvements.
55. An Encroachment Permit must be obtained from the Department of Public Works prior to beginning any work within the public Right-of-Way or for any work on utilities located within public easements.
56. A CalTrans Encroachment Permit shall be obtained prior to beginning any work in the Highway 12 Right-of-Way.
57. A soils report is required and shall be provided with the improvement plans submitted for review.

## TRAFFIC

58. The developer shall be responsible for installation of a traffic signal at the Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. intersection.
59. A left turn lane shall be improved as necessary for the southbound Mission Boulevard to Quigg Drive movement.
60. Improvement plans shall include a complete signing, striping, and street lighting plan.
61. A reduced size CalTrans R-41 (Right Turn Only) sign shall be installed at the driveway exit on to Highway 12 and an R-10 (One Way) sign shall be installed in the Highway 12 median opposite the driveway exit.
62. Striping plans shall include a left turn lane for the westbound Quigg Drive to southbound Mission Boulevard movement.
63. Cable, conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard, as necessary to interconnect the new Quigg Drive/Mission Blvd. traffic signal with the existing Mission Blvd. traffic signals at Highway 12 and Montgomery Drive.
64. Conduit and pull boxes shall be installed per City standard for future traffic signal interconnect along Highway 12 frontage.
65. A traffic control plan for Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard is required for this project. The plan shall be in conformance with the State of California Department of Transportation Manual of Traffic Controls for Construction and Maintenance Work Zones, 1996. The plan shall detail all methods, equipment and devices to be implemented for traffic control upon City streets within the work zone and other impacted areas. The plan shall be included as part of the Encroachment Permit application and is subject to that review and approval process.

## PUBLIC STORM DRAIN

66. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria. Prior to approval of improvement plans, an approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
67. Proposed development must conform to 40 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) parts 122, 123 and 124; National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit applications for storm water discharge. Project must also conform with any design and construction policies adopted by the City to conform with these regulations.
68. The proposed storm drain between parcels E and F and downstream of Quigg Drive shall be public. A structure shall be installed on the north side of Quigg. Drive to delineate the point of connection between the public and private storm drain systems.
69. Access to all public storm drain systems and structures shall be over a minimum 12 foot wide all weather access road of compacted shale. The access road shall be contained within a 15 foot public storm drain easement.
70. The storm drain layout shown on the plans is not approved and is considered conceptual only. Public storm drain should not angle across sidewalk and private improvements, and off-site public storm drain should be centered within 15 foot easements. At the time of improvement plans, the storm drain system shall be redesigned to meet City standards.

## GRADING \& DRAINAGE

71. Soils reports are required and shall be submitted with the building permit applications and the improvement plan submittal..
72. Any soil/water contamination on the site shall be monitored and, where appropriate, remediated to the satisfaction of the City Fire Department and the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
73. Work within the driplines of trees to be saved shall be done under the supervision and approval of an arborist. A note shall be included in the grading plans stating that a construction fence shall be installed around the driplines of all trees to remain prior to commencing with any grading work. Driplines of trees overhanging the property line shall be afforded the same level of protection as trees on site that are to remain.
74. Grading shall occur only between April 15 and October 15 unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer or Chief Building Official in conjunction with an approved erosion and sedimentation control plan.
75. Disturbed slopes shall be stabilized and revegetated.
76. An erosion control plan shall be provided. Offsite properties and existing drainage systems shall be protected from siltation coming from the site.
77. Prior to approval of improvement plans and prior to issuance of a building permit, a Streambed Alteration Agreement shall be obtained from the State Department of Fish and Game for all proposed work in the creek setback area.

## OVERHEAD UTILITY LINES

78. All overhead utility lines along the project frontage shall be placed underground including electrical distribution lines, telephone and cable television in conformance with the City's undergrounding ordinance. Electrical main feeder lines may remain overhead but shall be relocated to behind the new curb location and spare conduits placed in the street for future undergrounding of the main feeder lines.
79. Any existing on-site overhead utilities shall be removed. New services to new structures shall be underground.
80. The developer shall coordinate, and where necessary, pay for the relocation of any power poles or other existing public utilities, as necessary.
81. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of street lights on the Highway 12 frontage. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610 or comparable CalTrans standard.
82. The developer shall be responsible for the installation and/or relocation of City standard street lights on the Mission Boulevard frontage, as necessary. Street lights shall be per City Std. 610.
83. The developer shall be responsible for the installation of City standard street lights on Quigg Drive. Street lights shall be per Std. 612.

## COMMON IMPROVEMENTS

84. The private drive serving parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C shall be constructed in conjunction with the public improvements. Pavement width shall be 30 feet curb to curb with a minimum 7 foot wide contiguous sidewalk along the east side. If tree wells are desired, contiguous sidewalk shall be widened to 9 foot width and tree wells shall be installed per City Std. 262.
85. The private drive shall be constructed under continuous inspection by the project soils engineer in compliance with City Design and Construction Standards.. Progress and final reports shall be furnished to the City in compliance with U.B.C. special inspection requirements. All costs related to such inspection shall be borne by the owner/developer.
86. Private street lights shall be installed on the private drive and shall meet City standards for minimum average maintained foot-candle and the uniformity ratio for a minor street. All private lighting shall be privately owned and maintained. . Private lighting fixtures shall be subject to staff design review.
87. A Reciprocal Easement Agreement shall be entered into to provide for maintenance of the common private drive together with associated drainage improvements, street lighting, etc. It may also be desirable to provide for common maintenance of other improvements on parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C (driveways and parking areas, drainage facilities, private utilities, exterior lighting, irrigation and landscaping, etc.).

## PRIVATE IMPROVEMENTS

88. On-site grading, drainage and site improvement plans, to be included as part of the building permit submittals, shall be prepared by a registered civil engineer.
89. Site drainage and private storm drain facilities shall meet the requirements of the Chief Building Official or his designated representative.
90. Hydraulic design shall conform to Sonoma County Water Agency criteria: All storm water run-off shall be collected via an underground drainage system and discharged to the nearest public downstream facility possessing adequate capacity to accept the run-off.
91. An approval letter shall be obtained from the Sonoma County Water Agency for storm drainage review.
92. Parking and access improvements shall comply with state and federal handicap access requirements.
93. Parking spaces shall be striped according to City standards and in compliance with the City Zoning Code.
94. Directional arrows shall be stenciled on the asphalt pavement at project entrances, at entrances and exits of drive-thru aisles, and as appropriate within internal driveways.
95. In conjunction with development of Parcel B (Downey Savings site), reduced size CalTrans R-11 (Do Not Enter) signs shall be installed on both sides of both segments of the drive-thru driveway exit.
96. Exterior site lighting plans shall be included with the building permit submittals.
97. Retaining wall systems on site shall be designed by a Registered Civil Engineer per the recommendations of the soils engineer.

## UTILITIES

98. The engineer shall provide a detailed utility plan showing on-site and off-site sewer, water and fire protection systems, and their connections to existing sewer and water facilities. The plan shall also show any wells existing or to be abandoned, and septic systems to be abandoned. Separate irrigation meters are required. An irrigation plan showing maximum GPM flow required at each control valve, maximum GPM flow at meter, peak monthly usage, and connections must be provided. Submit Improvement Plans for the City Engineer's signature.
99. Demand, processing and meter fees and meter sizes will be determined after review of building plans. Submit details of plumbing fixtures, including the amount of fixtures and the size of service lines ( $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}, 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ etc.) to Utilities Engineering for review. Provide estimated peak monthly water usage for both domestic and irrigation uses.
100. Water and sewer mains shall be installed per current City Standards. The minimum size of water mains serving commercial parcels is $12^{\prime \prime}$. All sewer mains must be a minimum of $8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter. All water and sewer mains must be installed a minimum of $10^{\prime}$ apart horizontally. All public mains must be a minimum of $5^{\prime}$ from all structures, curbs, property lines or edge of easement. The water main is too close to the curb just east of the private drive. Manholes must have clear access at all times (i.e. not located within parking stalls, etc.). Sewer mains shall not be deeper than $14^{\prime}$ or shallower than $3^{\prime}$, depth from finished grade measured over pipe. The parallel collector main shown on the plans can be eliminated by making the sewer connection for the north east parcel to the private street main. A stub ending in a cleanout shall be installed from a new manhole on the trunk sewer. The sewer laterals for the two southerly lots can be off this stub. The alignment of the water and sewer mains on site will be reviewed during plan check and must be co-ordinated with the apartment project to the east. Water meters and sewer cleanouts shall be in the public right of way or in dedicated easements per current City standards.
101. A public easement shall be provided for public utility mains outside of the public right of way. The width of the easement shall be 15 feet wide for a single utility and 20 feet wide for a double utility, whichever is greater, and shall be centered over the facility. The easement shall be configured to include all publicly maintained appurtenances and structures. No surface structure including but not limited to roof eaves, decks or pools may encroach into the easement. Footings and foundations may encroach into the one to one line from the pipe depth to the top of grade if approved in writing by the Chief Building Official and the Director of Utilities. This information shall be added to the improvement plans. Trees may not be planted within $10^{\prime}$ of a public sewer main.
102. Separate (domestic and irrigation) water and sewer services shall be provided for each lot. Water and sewer services shall be installed per current City Standards. Water and sewer laterals must be a minimum of 5 ' apart. Water services shall be designed as combination services where applicable.
103. Utility Service Agreements shall be signed by the property owner for each property and all metered connections prior to occupancy. The Agreement will be prepared by the Utilities Department after the meter sizes have been determined. Contact Utilities Engineering to obtain agreement.
104. An Encroachment Permit from the City's Public Works Department is required for all work in the public right-of-way.
105. No reinforced concrete may be used in decorative street surfacing placed over publicly maintained water and/or sewer facilities. Water main valves must be located outside of the concrete area.
106. If wells exist on the property, one of the following conditions apply:
a. Retention of wells must comply with City and County codes. Retention of wells must be approved by the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department. An approved backflow prevention device must be installed on any connection to the City water system.
b. Abandonment of wells requires a permit from the Sonoma County Permit and Resource Management Department.
107. Connection of the $12^{\prime \prime}$ water main to the existing main will require a shutdown for a cutin tee and valve. Call Utilities Engineering for fees and scheduling. Advance notice is required.
108. A pressure regulating valve is required for all domestic connections within this project and shall be noted on the improvement plans.
109. The engineer shall provide calculations to show fire flow requirements can be met.
110. Any septic systems within the project boundaries shall be abandoned per Sonoma County Environmental Health standards and City of Santa Rosa Building Division requirements.
111. Coordinate fire hydrant locations with the Fire and Utilities Departments during the plan check process. Contact the Fire Department for building sprinkler requirements.

## FIRE DEPARTMENT

112. Fire hydrant installation shall be as shown on the revised plans stamped received July 12, 1999.
113. The dead end water main on the new private road shall be not less than 12 -inch unless hydraulic calculations are provided showing an 8 -inch main will deliver the required fire flows.
114. Water mains, fire hydrants and fire department access driveways shall be constructed and in service prior to bring combustible construction materials to the site.
115. Detailed plans for installation of automatic sprinklers shall be provided to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation.
116. The water main on Quigg Road shall be a 12 -inch to coordinate with the proposed apartment project to the east.
117. Installation of private fire mains/hydrants (if any) and automatic sprinklers require permits by the Fire Department.
118. The soil remediation plan appears acceptable in concept; an application for a permit for soil remediation is required.

## RECREATION AND PARKS DEPARTMENT

119. The developer is responsible for development of the creek trail area plan and installation of improvements, i.e., hard path, soft path, landscaping and irrigation. New landscaping within the creek trail area should be hardy/durable natives which require little maintenance or irrigation once established.

The developer shall enter into an agreement with the City relative to maintenance responsibility of the creek parcel, wherein the developer will agree to maintain the landscaping and irrigation for a period of two years after which the City will assume permanent maintenance responsibility. The landscape plans, to be included with the public improvement plans, shall be subject to approval by the Department of Recreation and Parks, and the agreement shall be approved and authorized by the City Council prior to approval of the improvement plans. The terms of the agreement shall include the following:
a. The formula that will be used to determine the amount sufficient to provide annual maintenance from the interest generated is $\$ .05$ per square foot times the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate is $100 / 9$ or 11.11 .

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the maintenance responsibility would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 100 / 9)=\$ 3,167.00$.
b. The developer will deposit, with the City, a separate sum equal to $\$ 0.05$ per square foot times two years to secure and guarantee to the City proper maintenance of the landscaped parcel by the developer for a period of two years after the date the landscaping is accepted by the City per the agreement.

Based on an estimated area of 5,700 square feet, the security deposit would be 5,700 s.f. $\times(\$ 0.05 \times 2)=\$ 570.00$.
120. Street trees will be required and planted by the developer. Selection will be made from the City's approved Master Plan List and approved by the Tree Division Superintendent. Planting shall be done in accordance with the City "Standards and Specifications for Planting Parkway Trees". Tree planting locations shall be marked by the City Tree Division personnel, contact Dan Watts at 543-3422. Copies of the Master Street Tree Plan list and the standards are available at both the Community Development Department and Parks Division offices.
121. The heritage oak located in the northwest comer of the project shall be protected through all phases of construction as directed by the City's Tree Ordinance \#2858. Since the proposed development will encroach upon the protected perimeter of this tree, special measures shall be utilized and shown on the plans to allow roots to obtain oxygen and nutrients as needed.

## TRANSIT DEPARTMENT

122. Bus turnouts shall be provided on both Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard. The bus turnout on Mission Boulevard should be located far-side Quigg Drive.
123. A concrete pad shall be constructed at both bus stops ( 6 feet by 11 feet). A bus shelter, approved by our department, shall be provided, installed and maintained at the Mission Boulevard bus stop. A fee of $\$ 5,000$ shall be paid to our department in-lieu of providing and installing the shelters. Maintenance of the bus shelter shall be defined in an agreement with our department. Maintenance is defined as routine cleaning (e.g., trash removal, panel cleaning).
124. Per the Bicycle Master Plan and Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, a standard 10 -foot wide asphalt multi-use path shall be constructed along Santa Rosa Creek. A soft path shall also be provided along the creek per the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan.
125. Until an undercrossing is constructed at Mission Boulevard, signage shall be installed at Mission Boulevard directing users of the multi-use path to cross Mission Boulevard at Quigg Drive.
126. The pedestrian linkage to Santa Rosa Creek shall have a public use easement.
127. Development adjacent to Santa Rosa Creek shall be oriented towards the creek.

## POLICE DEPARTMENT

128. The parking lot, and access thereto, shall be provided with a minimum maintained one foot-candle of light on the parking surfaces from dusk until the termination of business every operating day. At all other hours of darkness, a minimum maintained .25 footcandle of light shall be provided at ground level. Lighting devices shall be protected by weather and vandal resistant covers.
129. All lighting shall be directed toward the subject property and away from adjacent properties. Cut off lenses shall be utilized as needed to prevent trespass light on adjacent properties.
130. All exterior doors shall be equipped with a lighting device which shall provide a minimum maintained one-foot candle of light at ground level during hours of darkness. Lighting devices shall be protected by vandal resistant covers.
131. Premise identification shall be in compliance with City Code Section 18-16.034 and the Fire Department Address Bulletin.
132. Roof access to the buildings shall be internal only, with locking devices. Air conditioning and heating ducts to the buildings should be barred to prevent unauthorized access to the interior.
133. Exterior architectural features to the buildings shall not be designed in a manner which allows access to the roof areas.
134. Trees and shrubs shall not block the view of entrances, exits, and windows. Landscaping
shall be well maintained to allow good visibility.
135. Trees shall not be planted in areas where their growth, mature height or canopies will affect the disbursement of lighting from the light fixtures.
136. The operator shall comply with the Financial Code as it relates to automated teller machine safety.
137. Highway 12 motorists shall have a clear line of sight to the ATM window located on the north side of the Downey Savings and Loan building. Landscaping shall include a low growing ground cover that will not obstruct visibility of the ATM. If trees are planted, visibility of the ATM from Highway 12 shall not be obstructed or compromised.
138. The project developer, owner, or general contractor shall provide the Police Department Records and Communications Manager a list of at least two persons who will be able to respond to this construction site after normal business or construction hours in the event of an emergency.
139. The construction site and all open storage of material, supplies, and equipment should be secured by use of fencing and a lockable gate. Any building or trailer used as storage or as an office should be within the fenced portion of the construction site. Or, the developer should provide on-site security during the hours construction personnel are not present. This would help eliminate potential thefts of building materials and vandalism of the site.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Planning Commission finds and determines this entitlement to use would not be granted but for the applicability and validity of each and every one of the above conditions and that if any one or more of the above said conditions are invalid, this entitlement to use would not have been granted without requiring other valid conditions for achieving the purposes and intent of such approval.

REGULARLY PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Planning Commission of the City of Santa Rosa on this $12^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 1999, by the following vote:
Ayes:
(5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)

Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent

ATTEST
 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - RITE AID AND DOWNEY SAVINGS \& LOAN BUILDING - 100 Mission Boulevard - File Number MJP98-053

City Planner Frank Kasimov stated that this is a request for the rezoning of a five acre parcel to be consistent with the General Plan; and two Conditional Use Permits, one for a 16,367 square foot Rite Aid Pharmacy including a drive-through window and one for a 3,625 square foot Downey Savings and Loan including a drive-through ATM window. There are five developable parcels on this property, three of which are designated Retail and Business Service (comprising 3.8 acres) and two of which are designated Office (comprising 1.2 acres) on the General Plan Land Use Diagram.
The Zoning applies to all five parcels. The Conditional Use Permit applications apply to two of the parcels. All five parcels will be subject to the regulations of the zoning.

The Rite Aid Pharmacy is requesting 24-hour operation for both the store and drivethrough window. The Downey Savings and Loan is requesting hours as follows: Monday-Thursday 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Friday 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. and Saturday 9 a.m. to 2 p.m.. The drive through ATM window would operate 24 hours per day.
On June 24, 1999, the Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on the proposal and continued the item to August 12 to give the applicants an opportunity to address several issues raised by the Commission.

Commissioners Dias and Blanchard noted that the Mayor has asked for a postponement of the Department of Community Development's recently announced submittal requirement to include visual simulations for certain applications.

Mr. Regalia confirmed that the Planning Commission can still require visual simulations from an applicant as the Commission deems necessary to make decisions.

Denise Peter of Carlile-Macy, representing the applicant, pointed out the applicant's responses to the outstanding issues:

- Cal-Trans has approved the location of the driveway. They must still review the detailed improvement plans and approve an encroachment permit for work within the highway right-of-way.
- Regarding the private driveway slope, a level area of more than one car length is provided at the top of the $10-15 \%$ driveway at Highway 12. The 10$15 \%$ portion is approximately $2-3$ car lengths. Two access point for the site are desired to avoid parking lot congestion and improve the operation of the Quigg Drive signalized intersection.
lages have been prepard showing three dimensional perspectives of the site. Section drawings of the private drive and Highway 12 have been submitted showing the relationship of Mission Arbors Redtree (Santa Rosa) Apartments and the surface road elevations. The apartment buildings behind the bank building will be taller than the proposed bank building.
- The project will be conditioned to deflect light away from motorists on Highway 12 and the proposed apartment project.
- A pedestrian circulation plan has been prepared showing three pedestrian routes to the shopping center: Highway 12, Quigg Drive and the creek path.
- Delivery trucks will back down to the loading ramp for the private parking lot driveway. Semi-trucks will exit onto Highway 12 in an east-bound direction only. Less than six semi-truck deliveries are anticipated per week. The majority of deliveries to Rite-Aid would be by van or small truck, which would be able to loop through the parking lot to exit at the Quigg Drive signalized intersection.
- Any development of the creek parcels must receive Planning Commission review. All uses on the creek parcels are subject to a Conditional Use Permit. Only food serving uses are allowed and outdoor seating is mandatory. The Policy Statement includes design criteria (from the Creek Design Guidelines) to guide the preparation of future development applications adjacent to the creek.
- Rite-Aid is requesting the ability to operate the drive-through prescription pharmacy and the retail store 24 hours per day. No negative community impacts have been identified related to the requested 24 -hour operation.
- The Engineering Division has found the proposed grading, drainage, and utility plan acceptable.
Ms. Peter announced that other representatives were in the audience and available for questions.
Commissioner Blanchard stated that the visual simulations submitted for this meeting were unacceptable; they did not show this project in relation to the neighborhood.

Commissioner Johnson indicated agreement with Commissioner Blanchard regarding the visuals. He confirmed that he was satisfied regarding the driveway slope.

Commissioner Edwards stated that the visual simulations the applicant submitted for the June 12, 1999 Planning Commission meeting were well done.

Commissioner Blanchard noted that it is unfortunate that this project and the Santa Rosa (Redtree) Apartments project could not better blend residential access to retail uses.

Discussion ensued regarding the outlined issues. The Planning Commission concurred that the requested 24-hour pharmacy and retail store operation (without requiring a pharmacist to be on duty) was acceptable. Regarding re-occupancy of the creekside restaurant site, the Commissioners agreed to add a condition specifically precluding drive-through and fast food restaurants.

Resolution Number 9576: Commissioner Blanchard moved and Commissioner Edwards seconded a Resolution Adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration For The Reclassification From The 'U' (Unclassified) to C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development District) of Property Located at 100 Mission Boulevard Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-015, 032-190-016, 032-190-017, 032-190018 and 032-190-019. File Number MJP98-053 and waived the reading of the text. The motion carried with the following vote:

| Ayes: | $(5)$ | (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Noes: | $(0)$ |  |

Noes:
(0)

Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent (0)
Resolution Number 9577: Commissioner Blanchard moved and Commissioner Edwards seconded a Resolution Recommending to City Council Rezoning From The "U" (Unclassified) to C-1-PD (Neighborhood Commercial - Planned Development) of Property Situated at 100 Mission Boulevard - Mission Arbors - File Number MJP98-053 and waived the reading of the text. The motion carried with the following vote:
Ayes: . (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent (0)

Resolution Number 9578: Commissioner Blanchard moved and Commissioner Edwards seconded a Resolution Adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration For The Rite-aid Pharmacy Located at 100 Mission Boulevard - Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-019 - File Number(s) CUP98-352/MJP98-053 and waived the reading of the text. The motion carried with the following vote:

Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent
(0) Approving a Conditional Use Permit For Rite-Aid Pharmacy And Drive-through Facility-Located at 100 Mission Boulevard - File Number(s)CUP98-352/MJP98-053 with the condition 14 to be amended as follows:

A pharmacistshallbeondutywheneverthestoreisopenbetween the hours of $10: 00$ pom. and $7: 00$ am. The Riteaildistore mayoperater 24 hours per day:
and waived the reading of balance of the text. The motion carried with the following vote:

```
Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent (0)
```

Resolution Number 9580: Commissioner Blanchard moved and Commissioner Edwards seconded a Resolution Adopting a Mitigated Negative Declaration For The Downey Savings And Loan Located at 100 Mission Boulevard/4606 Sonoma Highway - Assessor's Parcel Number(s) 032-190-016 - File Numbers) CUP98-353/MJP98-053 and waived the reading of the text. The motion carried with the following vote:
Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
(0)

Abstentions: (1)
Absent (0)
(Carlisle)

Resolution Number 9581: Commissioner Blanchard moved and Commissioner Edwards seconded a Resolution Making Findings and Determinations and Approving a Conditional Use Permit for Downey Savings and Loan and Drivethrough Atm Window - Located at 100 Mission Boulevard/4606 Sonoma Highway File Numbers) CUP98-353/MJP98-053 and waived the reading of the text. The motion carried with the following vote:
Ayes:
(5) (Blanchard, Dias, Edwards, Johnson, Denietolis)

Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent (0)
Commissioner Carlile resumed participation in the meeting.

This item was pulled from the agemert olue to an error in the environmental review process, and will scheduled for a new public hearing at a future Planning Comran-antoneding
commissioner Carlile abstained from discussion and vote on the following item (Mission Arbors).
12. FUBLIC HEARING - REZONINGIDEVELOPMENT PLAN - MISSION ARBORS CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT - RITE AID AND DOWNEY SAVINGS \& LOAN BUILDING - 100 Mission Boulevard - File Number MJP98-053
City Planner Frank Kasimov stated that this is a request to rezone a five acre parcel to be consistent with the General Plan; and for two Conditional Use Permits, one for a 16,367 square foot Rite Aid Pharmacy including a drive-through window and one for a 3,625 square foot Downey Savings and Loan including a drive-through ATM window.
There are five developable parcels on this property, three of which are designated Retail and Business Service (comprising 3.8 acres) and two of which are designated Office (comprising 1.2 acres) on the General Plan Land Use Diagram.
The Zoning applies to all five parcels. The Conditional Use Permit applications apply to two of the parcels. All five parcels will be subject to the regulations of the zoning.
The Rite Aid Pharmacy is requesting 24-hour operation for both the store and drivethrough window. The Downey Savings and Loan is requesting hours as follows: Monday -Thursday 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Friday 9 a.m. to 6 p.m. and Saturday 9 a.m. to 2 p.m. The drive through ATM window would operate 24 hours per day.

Denise Peter of Carlile Macy, the project engineering firm, reviewed the proposed development as follows:

- Quigg Drive - which will also go through the Santa Rosa Apartments project located to the immediate east and recently approved by the Planning Commission-- parallels the creek and will extend into and through the Mission Arbors site.
- The project developer is required to install a signal at Mission Boulevard and Quigg Drive, while the Santa Rosa Apartments project has been conditioned to install a signal at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane.
- In response to recently voiced Police Department concerns about the visibility of the ATM machine from Highway 12, the applicant has revised the site plan to raise the Downey Savings \& Loan building high enough to allow clear vision to the ATM machine and to provide for more landscaping near the ATM. The City's Engineering Division has asked the applicant to withhold the request for
approval of the site plan pending Engineering Division review of the revised engineering, grading and drainage proposal.
- The applicant would like to modify condition number 14 in the Rite-Aid Conditional Use Permit resolution which reads, "A Pharmacist shall be on duty whenever the store is open" to, "A Pharmacist shall be on duty whenever the store is open after 10:00 p.m."
Ms. Peter listed the following conditions in the rezoning resolution and noted the applicants response to each:
- Condition number 8 ("All work shall be done according to the final approved plans dated April 26, 1999. A Conditional Use Permit for each phase of the project is required and shall be subject to conditions contained therein."):
The applicant would like the Planning Commission to consider the application and revised site plan and if appropriate, approve the application pending Engineering Division review of the revised grading and drainage plan.
- Condition number 38 (requires bus turnout on Highway 12):

CalTrans has indicated that the bus turnout on Highway 12 along the Mission Arbors site frontage is too close to the project's driveway and must be moved to the east toward the Santa Rosa Apartments project. The applicant accepts the location of the off-site bus turnout if it is in the right-of-way and no off-site acquisitions need to be acquired.

- Condition number 42 (class I bike/pedestrian path/connection between Quigg Drive and Santa Rosa Creek:

The applicant asks that this condition be deleted and construction of the connection between Quigg Drive and Santa Rosa Creek be deferred to a future Design Review application in order to allow the applicant flexibility in designing the connection with the building that will eventually be built there.

- Condition number 53 (installation of conduit and pull boxes):

The applicant wishes to modify this condition to state that conduits and pull boxes shall be installed on Highway 12 only along the project frontage.
Ms. Peter concluded her presentation by stating that Walt Laabs, the project traffic Engineer, and Bill Knight, the project architect, are available for questions.

Bill Knight, the project architect, pointed out that the complex has been designed to accommodate vehicular traffic while maintaining a pedestrian friendly Atmosphere. The project's arbor design is also proposed to be carried along the creek path.
The site as viewed from Highway 12 from the east will be over the top of the parked cars as the parking lot is below the Highway. The setback area along Mission Boulevard, where the parking is elevation is above the street, will be banked and
landscaped to make the transitional view from the street to the parking lot more aesthetically pleasing.
Chairman Denietolis opened the public hearing.
Mr. Rady of 188 Blue Jay Drive spoke in favor of the proposal, noting that a 24 -hour pharmacy would be a convenience to area residents.
Bill Henry, representing Rite-Aid, addressed the issues of hours of operation and having a Pharmacist on duty when the store is open.
Mr. Henry noted that the pharmacy is a separate section within the main store. When the pharmacy is open, state law requires that a pharmacist be on duty. When the pharmacy is closed the rest of the store can stay open and serve non-pharmacy customers. The purpose of requesting 24 -hour operation is to provide prescription drug service during late evening and early morning hours in addition to during daytime hours. The applicant asks that the condition requiring a pharmacist to be on duty whenever the store is open be modified to require a pharmacist to be on duty when the store is open between 11:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m.
Mr. Henry concluded his remarks by stating that rather than maintain the bus shelter as noted in the resolution, Rite-Aid would prefer to put the maintenance money in a fund and let the local transportation agency maintain the shelter.
Keith Kaulum of 5972 Yerba Buena Road commended the developer for providing computerized visual renderings of the proposed project. Mr. Kaulum indicated his concern about traffic impacts of the project and questioned whether the applicant's suggestion of a health club \& parking lot on the parcels near the creek is appropriate.
Michael Eisen commented that the traffic flow on Highway 12 will be interrupted by the traffic signals to be installed by this project and by Santa Rosa Apartments. Rite-Aid has a mature, functioning store 5 miles away and should increase the use of that store rather than build new one in this scenic parcel. Downey Savings \& Loan could locate in the vacant building on $4^{\text {th }}$ and $E$ streets in downtown Santa Rosa.
There being no one wishing to speak, Chairman Denietolis closed the public hearing.
Staff responded to questions and comments from the Commissioners as follows:

- CalTrans has evaluated the access to the project from Highway 12 but will not give its final approval until the final development plans have been submitted and reviewed.
- Requiring a pharmacist to be on duty when the store is open during late evening and early morning hours is consistent with previous City Council and Planning Commission action.
- A right-of way exists for the bus turnout, whether it is located along the project frontage or the Santa Rosa (Redtree) Apartments frontage, so no dedication of land will be required.

Commissioner Johnson concurred with some of the public speakers in their concern that Quigg Drive will be steep heading up to Highway 12, making it difficult to negotiate turns in and out of the site. No access is needed to the site from Highway 12. Commissioner Johnson also expressed concern that the illumination of ATM machines at Downey Savings \& Loan will district drivers on the highway, and requested visual simulations of the site from Highway 12.

Commissioner Cummings noted that it is not clear how this project will correlate and fit in with the eventual development of the parcels next to the creek.

Chairman Denietolis indicated the need for final CalTrans approval on this project and noted that the service entrance and truck delivery routes need to be clarified.

Commissioner Blanchard indicated that there is no connection (other than Quigg Drive) or sense of community with the adjacent Santa Rosa Apartments project.

Commissioner Dias indicated her agreement with Chairman Denietolis regarding delivery truck routes, and noted that while she favors the project, there remains some concerns regarding traffic and development of the parcels next to the creek.

The majority of Commissioners concurred that any use of the creek parcel would require a Conditional Use Permit and specifically exclude some uses.

The Commissioners concurred that this item needed further discussion and agreed to continue the item to allow the applicant and staff to address the following issues:

- Visual simulations of the project from Highway 12.
- CalTrans review of the private driveway access.
- Define a relationship between this project and the adjacent Santa Rosa Apartments (Redtree) project.
- Clarify Rite-Aid hours of operation.
- Engineering Division review of revised site plan.
- Use of the creek parcel would require a Conditional Use Permit and exclude some uses, specifically health clubs and parking lots. Use of this parcel must take into consideration its location near the creek and the creek bike and pedestrian pathways.

MOTION: Commissioner Johnson moved and Commissioner Cummings seconded a motion to continue this item to August 12, 1999. The motion carried by the following vote:
Ayes: (5) (Blanchard, Cummings, Dias, Johnson, Denietolis)
Noes:
Abstentions: (1) (Carlile)
Absent (1) (Edwards)
Commissioner Carlile resumed participation in the meeting.
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## INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

## Introduction

The Traffic Impact Study for General Plan Amendment Highway 12/Mission Boulevard was prepared by TJKM Transportation Consultants in January 1998 to anaiyze the proposed rezoning of 15.99 acres of low density residential land use to medium density land use on Highway 12 east of Mission Boulevard. A development plan for the area had not been completed at that time. Therefore, the study analyzed the maximum development that would be acceptable under the General Plan Amendment without a connection to the commercial project to the west. A traffic signal at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane was recommended to mitigate impacts of traffic generated by the project.

Subsequent to the completion of the General Plan Amendment traffic impact study, the Traffic Impact Study for Mission Arbors was completed in March 1998. This study analyzed a defined project for the commercial site studied in the above traffic impact study. The Mission Arbors project includes a Rite Aid Pharmacy, Downey Savings Bank, an office building, and a commercial building that is expected to be a high turnover restaurant.

The proposed development plan for the residential site has now been developed. The proposed residential project is smaller in scale than the maximum development that was analyzed in the General Plan Amendment study and the Mission Arbors study. The current proposal is for 267 apartment units. In addition to having a development plan for the residential project, the access conditions for the entire site have now been defined. This study has been prepared to combine information contained in previous analyses and to present a look at the cumulative traffic impacts of the Santa Rosa Apartments and the Mission Arbors projects.

## Summary

An access to Santa Rosa Apartments will be provided on Highway 12 opposite Acacia Lane. A raffic signal that will be interconnected with other signals on Highway 12 will be a part of the project.

A traffic signal at Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle was recommended to mitigate impacts of traffic generated by Mission Arbors. Quigg Drive, which will intersect Mission Boulevard opposite Mission Circle, will extend through Mission Arbors and provide a connection to Santa Rosa Apartments. Modification to the islands on Mission Boulevard will be required to provide adequate stacking distance for northbound left-tum queues at Highway 12 and for southbound left-turns at Mission Circle.

Service levels at the study intersections will not fall below the City's level of service standards when traffic generated by the project is added to existing plus approved development traffic. Service levels will continue to meet level of service standards when project traffic is added to existing plus approved development traffic.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Project Location

The project site is located on the southeast corner of Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard in the City of Santa Rosa. It is bordered by State Highway 12 (Sonoma Highway) on the north, Santa Rosa Creek on the south, Mission Boulevard on the west, and rural residential dwellings on the east.

The site consists predominantly of grasses, shrubs, trees, and the remnants of a walnut orchard. The site was once occupied by a bowling alley located in the southwest portion of the site and later an equipment rental business. Both uses have been removed. There are a few residences fronting Highway 12 on the site. The site slopes gently from Highway 12 toward Santa Rosa Creek, except for a steep portion directly adjacent to Highway 12. The location of the site is shown in Figure 1.

## Circulation System

Highway 12. East-west traffic flows in the vicinity of the project site are served by Highway 12, which also provides access to the site. Highway 12 is classified as an expressway in the City of Santa Rosa General Plan. In the vicinity of the project site, Highway 12 is a four-lane, divided highway. The intersection of Highway 12 at Mission Boulevard is controlled by a traffic signal.

There are median breaks on Highway 12 at Redwing Drive and Acacia Lane. Redwing Drive is a private access street for Rincon Valley Mobile Estates, located north of the project site across Highway 12. Acacia Lane is a private street that provides access to residential parcels and the Masonic Temple, also located across Highway 12.

Mission Boulevard. North-west traffic flows in the project vicinity are served by Mission Boulevard. Mission Boulevard is classified as an arterial street in the City of Santa Rosa General Plan. In the vicinity of the project site, Mission Boulevard is a four-lane, divided fully developed urban street with curbs and gutters on both sides of the street. There is a median break on Mission Boulevard at Mission Circle. Leftturn channelization is provided in both directions. Mission Circle provides access to McDonald's Mission Plaza and other parcels that have frontage on the street.

## Study Area

The study area includes those intersections and corridors that will be affected by traffic generated by the project. The project will have access onto State Route 12. Therefore, the Califormia Department of Transportation will be involved in the review process. The limits of the study area were defined after consultation with the City of Santa Rosa Department of Community Development.

The following corridors are included in the study area.
Highway 12, Farmers Lane to Oakmont Drive
Mission Boulevard, Montgomery Drive to Montecito Drive
Montgomery Drive, Farmers Lane to Mission Boulevard


Figure.


The following intersections are included in the study area.
Mission Boulevard at Montgomery Drive Mission Boulevard at Mission Circle
Highway 12 at Mission Boulevard
Highway 12 at Acacia Lane

## Transit Service

Transit service in the project vicinity is provided by the City of Santa Rosa and the County of Sonoma. City of Santa Rosa Route 4, Rincon Valley, provides one-way eastbound service along Montgomery Drive, . one-way northbound service along Mission Boulevard, and one-way westbound service along Highway 12. Sonoma County Route 30 provides service along Montgomery Drive, while Route 30 Express provides service along Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard.

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Access

Mission Boulevard is a Class III Bike Route, and Highway 12 is proposed to be a Class II Bike Lane, according to the Santa Rosa General Plan. The Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan provides for a future hardsurfaced path and a soft-surfaced path along the northerly side of the creek. The hard-surfaced path would be a multi-use path available to bicycles and pedestrians. The soft-surfaced path is intended for pedestrian use only. Both paths would go under the existing bridge at Mission Boulevard.

## Traffic Volumes

Turning movement counts were conducted at the three study intersections during the weekday morning and evening peak traffic hours in January 1998. The existing traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 2.

## Historical Traffic Volumes

Research was conducted on historical traffic volumes in the study area. Traffic volumes on Highway 12, Mission Boulevard, and Montgomery Drive in the study area were reviewed. Traffic volumes were obtained from reports published by the City of Santa Rosa and the California Department of Transportation. The traffic volumes counted since 1986 are summarized in Table 1.


## Table I

Historical Traffic Volumes

| Year | Highway 12 |  | Mission Blvd. |  | Montgomery Dr. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West of <br> Mission <br> Blvd. | East of <br> Mission <br> Blvd. | South of <br> Hwy.12 | North of <br> Hwy.12 | West of <br> Mission <br> Blvd. | East of <br> Mission <br> Blvd. |
| 1998 |  |  |  |  | 28,124 | 6,427 |
| 1997 | 35,500 | 34,500 | 21,914 | 12,959 |  |  |
| 1996 | 35,500 | 34,500 |  |  | 27,354 | 5,907 |
| 1995 | 34,500 | 33,500 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 34,500 | 33,500 | 20,133 | 12,495 |  |  |
| 1993 | 34,500 | 33,500 |  |  | 25,681 | 5,463 |
| 1992 | 34,000 | 33,500 | 20,272 | 20,058 |  |  |
| 1991 | 33,500 | 33,000 | 20,077 |  | 21,873 | 4,501 |
| 1990 | 33,500 | 33,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 1989 | 34,500 | 32,500 |  | - | 12,513 | 25,038 |
| 1988 | 34,000 | 32,000 |  |  |  | - |
| 1987 | 33,500 | 31,500 |  |  | 23,508 | 5,824 |
| 1986 | 33,500 | 31,500 | 18,475 | 9,007 | 22,216 | 3,950 |

Source: City of Santa Rosa and California Department of Transportation

## Level of Service

Level of service is a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream and their perception by motorists and passengers. The level of service generally describes these conditions in terms of such factors as speed and travel time, delay, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience, and safety. Six levels of service are defined for each type of facility (i.e., roadway or intersection) that is analyzed. They are given letter designations from A to F, with Level of Service (LOS) A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst. Procedures for analyzing each type of facility are found in Highway Capacity Manual, Special Report 209 (Transportation Research Board, 1994). A more detailed explanation of levels of service is located in Appendix A.

## Level of Service Standards

Vision 2020. City of Santa Rosa General Plan states that a level of service D is to be maintained along all major corridors. The level of service is to be calculated for evening peak hour using a one-hour average. Although no specific requirements are established for intersection service levels, LOS D is typically considered to be an acceptable service level for signalized intersection operations and is consistent with the General Plan.

## Arterial Level of Service, Existing Conditions

The measure of effectiveness for arterials is average travel speed along the corridor. The methodology to determine level of service divides arterials into three classifications reiated to the functional and design category of the arterial. The function and design categories are summarized in Appendix A.

Travel times in both directions on the study arterials were obtained from the City of Santa Rosa, and the level of service for the average travel speed was calculated. The arterial levels of service are summarized
in Table II. The study arterials operate at LOS C, or better. The use of a corridor analysis is consistent with the General Plan.

Table II
Arterial Level of Service, Existing Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway 12 |  |  |  |
| Farmers Ln-Brush Creek Rd eastbound | 1 | 38.2 |  |
| Brush Creek Rd-Farmers Ln westbound | I | 27.3 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 32.8 | B |
| Brush Creek Rd-Calistoga Rd eastbound. | II | 30.2 |  |
| Calistoga Rd-Brush Creek Rd westbound | II | 25.8 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 28.0 | B |
| Calistoga Rd-Oakmont Dr eastbound | I | 44.2 |  |
| Oaknont Dr-Calistoga Rd westhound | 1 | 44.8 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 44.5 | A |
| Mission Boulevard |  |  |  |
| Montgomery Dr-Monticito Dr northbound | II | 18.1 |  |
| Monticito Dr-Montgomery Dr southbound | II | 26.4 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 22.3 | C |
| Montgomery Drive |  |  |  |
| Farmers Ln-Mission Blvd eastbound | II | 25.9 |  |
| Mission Blvd-Farmers Ln westbound | II | 27.6 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 26.8 | B |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1998)

## Intersection Level of Service, Existing Conditions

The measure of effectiveness for intersections with traffic controls is delay, or the average length of time a car waits to pass through the intersection. For signalized intersections, the measure of effectiveness is average stopped delay for all vehicles approaching the intersection. For one-way or two-way stopcontrolled intersections, the measure of effectiveness is expressed as the average delay for each movement subject to delay..

The signalized intersections in the study area currently operate at acceptable service levels during both the moming and evening peak traffic hours. The levels of service for the study intersections are shown in Table III. Calculations are included in Appendix D.

The left-tum movements at Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle and at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane operate at LOS F under existing traffic conditions. This is due to the lack of acceptable gaps in the traffic stream to accommodate left-turn movements out of the minor streets.

Table III
Intersection Level of Service, Existing Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |
| Highway 12/Mission Blvd | 15.5 | C | 19.9 | C |
| Mission Blvd/Montgomery Dr | 5.7 | B | 4.4 | A |
| Stop-Controlled Intersections |  |  |  |  |
| Mission Blvd/Mission Circle |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Eastbound Left | 63.5 | F | 705.5 | F |
| Eastbound Right | 4.4 | A | 4.7 | A |
| Highway 12/Acacia Ln |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Southbound Left | N/A | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 843.8 | F |
| Southbound Right | 6.2 | B | 5.6 | B |

N/A = Not Applicable
Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1998)

## EXISTING PLUS APPROVED DEVELOPMENT (BASE CASE) TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Base Case Traffic Conditions

Existing traffic conditions are revised to add traffic from development that has been approved but not yet completed. The revised traffic volumes and operating speeds represent traffic conditions that will occur when the approved development is completed and occupied. The traffic condition of existing traffic pius traffic from approved development is called the base case traffic condition.

## Santa Rosa Traffic Model

The City of Santa Rosa Traffic Model was used to obtain traffic forecasts of future traffic conditions. The traffic model was updated to include development that has been completed or approved since the model was calibrated. Land use information on completed development and approved development was obtained from the City of Santa Rosa Department of Community Development. The lists are current as of September 1997.

A detailed description of the Santa Rosa Traffic Model, including the modeling process, TRANPLAN software, and the structure of the modeling process is provided in Appendix B.

## Completed Development

Several projects have been completed in the City of Santa Rosa since the Santa Rosa Traffic Model was calibrated. Appendix $C$ contains a listing of the completed projects. The traffic model was updated with land uses on the completed development list to simulate existing conditions.

## Approved Development

Many projects have been approved in the City of Santa Rosa since the Santa Rosa Traffic Model was calibrated. For a listing of the approved projects, refer to Appendix C.

## Arterial Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development

The Santa Rosa Traffic Model was executed, and travel times on the arterials were noted for the existing traffic conditions. The model was then executed with land use data updated to reflect approved development. The revised travel times along the arterial segments were noted. Actual speed along these corridors were then reduced in proportion to the increase in travel times between the existing traffic and existing plus approved development traffic model results.

The service levels were determined from the travel speeds and are shown in Table IV. The service levels will change on Mission Boulevard and Montgomery Drive. However, the LOS D standard will be maintained on all arterials.

Table IV
Arterial Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Existing |  | Existing Plus Approved Development |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AverageTravel Speed <br> (mph) | LOS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS |
| Highway 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers Ln-Brush Creek Rd eastbound | I | 38.2 |  | 38.2 |  |
| Brush Creek Rd-Farmers Ln westbound | 1 | 27.3 |  | 27.3 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 32.8 | B | 32.8 | B |
| Brush Creek Rd-Calistoga Rd eastbound | II | 30.2 |  | 29.3 |  |
| Calistoga Rd-Brush Creek Rd westbound | II | 25.8 |  | 24.8 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 28.0 | B | 27.1 | B |
| Calistoga Rd-Oakmont Dr eastbound | I | 44.2 |  | 44.3 |  |
| Oakmont Dr-Calistoga Rd westbound | 1 | 44.8 |  | 44.9 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 44.5 | A | 44.6 | A |
| Mission Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montgomery $\mathrm{Dr}_{\text {r-Monticito }} \mathrm{Dr}$ northbound | $\Pi$ | 18.1 |  | 17.1 |  |
| Monticito Dr-Montgomery Dr southbound | II | 26.4 |  | 17.7 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 22.3 | C | 17.4 | D |
| Montgomery Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers Ln-Mission Blvd eastbound | II | 25.9 |  | 22.0 |  |
| Mission Blvd-Farmers Ln westbound | II | 27.6 |  | 21.2 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 26.8 | B | 22.0 | C |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1998)

## Intersection Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development

Traffic volumes were noted for existing plus approved development traffic conditions. The volumes were compared to existing traffic volumes, and factors were caiculated. These factors were applied to existing weekday morning and evening peak hour volumes to obtain traffic volumes for the existing pius approved development scenario. Traffic volumes for the existing plus approved development project conditions are illustrated in Figure 3.

The intersection levels of service were calculated. and the results are summarized in Table V. Calculations are included in Appendix E.
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Table V
Intersection Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | Existing |  |  |  | Existing Plus Approved Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highway 12/Mission Blvd | 15.5 | C | 19.9 | C | 15.5 | C | 17.2 | C |
| Mission Blvd/Montgomery Dr | 5.7 | B | 4.4 | A | 5.1 | B | 4.6 | A |
| Stop-Controlled Intersections Mission Blvd/Mission Circle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eastbound Left | 63.5 | F | 705.5 | F | 74.5 | F | 861.3 | F |
| Eastbound Right | 4.4 | A | 4.7 | A | 4.5 | A | 4.9 | A |
| Southbound Left | N/A | N/A | 843.8 | F | N/A | N/A | 995.4 | F |
| Southbound Right | 6.2 | B | 5.6 | B | 6.5 | B | 6.0 | B |

N/A = Not Applicable
Source: TJKM Transportarion Consultants (1998)

## PROJECT IMPACTS

## Project Description

The project is actually two separate development proposals. Santa Rosa Apartments is a 267 -unit apartment complex. Mission Arbors is a commercial retail site, which includes a 16,555 -square foot Rite Aid Pharmacy with a drive-through pharmacy pick-up window, a 3,600-square foot Downey Savings Bank, and two parcels that could be developed as a 5,000-square foot office and a 5,000-square foot high turnover sit-down restaurant.

Access to Mission Arbors will be provided via Quigg Drive, a new public street that will be constructed to connect to Mission Boulevard at Mission Circle. The Mission Arbors project includes the installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle.

Access to Santa Rosa Apartments will be provided via an extension of Acacia Lane from Highway 12 to the south and by an extension of Quigg Drive to the east. The Santa Rosa Apartment project includes the installation of a traffic signal at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane.

## Trip Generation

In the context of traffic analyses, a trip is defined as a single or one-direction vehicle movement with either the origin or destination inside the study area. A trip end is the origin or destination of a trip. Each trip has two trip ends, one trip end within the study area and one trip end outside the study area. A round trip is considered, in traffic terminology, as two trips. A round trip, therefore, has four trip ends. Two trip ends are in the study area and two trip ends are outside the study area.

Trip generation for Santa Rosa Apartments is calculated using the rate for multi-family units that was presented in Traffic Impact Study for General Plan Amendment Highway 12/Mission Boulevard. These rates are based on data published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). The trip generation rate for multi-family residential units is 6.47 trip ends per dwelling unit. The trip generation rate during the moming peak hour of adjacent street traffic is 0.51 trip ends per dwelling unit. The directional split is $17 \%$ inbound and $83 \%$ outbound. The trip generation rate during the evening peak hour of adjacent street traffic is 0.63 trip ends per dwelling unit. The directional split is $68 \%$ inbound and $32 \%$ outbound.

Trip generation for Mission Arbors was calculated in Traffic Impact Study for Mission Arbors and is also based on data published by ITE. The trip generation for each component of the Mission Arbors project has been determined, and the summation is the total number of trips forecast for the commercial development. Trip generation is summarized in Table VI.

## Table VI

Project Trip Generation

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Land Use } \\ & \text { Type } \end{aligned}$ | Units | No. | Daily |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Rate | Trips | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Santa Rosa | du ${ }^{\text { }}$ | 267 | 6.47 | 1,727 | 23 | 113 | 136 | 114 | 54 | 168 |
| Apartments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mission Arbors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rite Aid | ksf | 16.55 | 88.16 | 1,428 | 25 | 19 | 44 | 84 | 88 | 172 |
| Downey Bank | ksf | 3.60 | 143.14 | 515 |  |  | 14 | 55 | 54 | 109 |
| Office | ksf | 5.00 | 11.01 | 55 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Restaurant | ksf ${ }^{2}$ | 5.00 | 130.34 | 652 | 24 | 22 | 46 | 33 | 22 | 55 |
| Total |  |  |  | 4,408 | 87 | 161 | 248 | 286 | 224 | 510 |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{du}=$ dweiling units
${ }^{2} \mathrm{ksf}=1,000$ square feet of floor area
Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1998)

## Previous Traffic Studies

An environmental report was prepared for a general plan amendment for the site. The Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment Environmental Impact Report investigated the traffic impacts of two land use scenarios. The EIR analyzed the impacts of the maximum development that could be constructed if the general plan amendment were approved. Given the constraints of the site, a 127,300 -square foot shopping center and 200 apartments could be built upon the site. The EIR also analyzed a conceptual site plan that included a Raley's Market, retail and commercial uses, and a 164 -unit apartment complex.

Traffic Impact Study for General Plan Amendment Highway 12/Mission Boulevard analyzed the traffic impacts of the maximum number of apartments that couid be developed on the site, i.e., 348 units. In addition, the cumulative development included traffic impacts of a 34,000 -square foot shopping center on 5.69 acres.

Traffic Impact Study for Mission Arbors analyzed the traffic impacts of the Mission Arbors shopping center. This included a Rite Aid Pharmacy, a Downey Savings Bank, and other commercial development. The cumulative impacts included traffic generated by 348 aparment units.

A summary of trip generation for each of the proposals studied previously is found in Table VII. In every case trip generation decreased from the previous studies.

## Table VII

Trip Generation from Previous Studies

| Land Use | Daily |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | No. | Rate | Trips | Trips | Source |
| General Plan Amendment |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Shopping Center | ksf | 127.3 | 64.56 | 8,218 |  |  |
| Apartments | DU | 200 | 6.47 | 1,294 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 9,512 |  |
| Conceptual Site Plan |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Shopping Center | ksf | 98.965 | 70.95 | 7,022 |  |  |
| Apartments | DU | 164 | 6.47 | 1,061 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 8,083 |  |
| General Plan Amendment |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Shopping Center | ksf | 34 | 105.91 | 3,601 |  |  |
| Apartments | DU | 348 | 6.47 | 2,252 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 5,853 |  |
| Mission Arbors |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Rite Aid | ksf | 16.55 | 88.16 | 1,459 |  |  |
| Downey Bank | ksf | 3.60 | 143.14 | 515 |  |  |
| Office | ksf | 5.00 | 11.01 | 55 |  |  |
| Restaurant | ksf | 5.00 | 130.34 | 652 |  |  |
| Aparments | DU | 348 | 6.47 | 2,252 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 4,933 |  |
| This Project |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Rite Aid | ksf | 16.55 | 88.16 | 1,459 |  |  |
| Downey Bank | ksf | 3.60 | 143.14 | 515 |  |  |
| Office | ksf | 5.00 | 11.01 | 55 |  |  |
| Restaurant | ksf | 5.00 | 130.34 | 652 |  |  |
| Apartments | DU | 267 | 6.47 | 1,727 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 4,408 |  |

$\mathrm{ksf}=1,000$ square feet
$\mathrm{DU}=\mathrm{dwelling}$ unit
Source: 1 - Environmental Impact Report, Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment (1995)
2-Trafic Impact Study for General Plan Amendment, Highway I2/Mission Boulevard (1998)
3 - Traffic Impact Study for Mission Arbors (1998)
4 - TJKM Transporation Consultants (1999)

## Trip Distribution

The distribution of trips was developed for Traffic Impact Study for General Plan Amendment Highway 13/Mission Boulevard. The Santa Rosa Traffic Model was executed for base case conditions. The model was then executed once with a residential project and once with a commercial project. The difference in forecast traffic volumes was analyzed to obtain trip distribution for the residential and commercial elements of the total project. The trip distribution is illustrated in Figure 4 and summarized in Table VIII.
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Tabie VIII
Project Trip Distribution

| Direction | Percent of Trips |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residential | Commercial |
| To/from the north | 4 | 12 |
| To/from the west via Highway 12 | 51 | 37 |
| To/from the west via Montgomery Drive | 20 | 23 |
| To/from the east via Highway 12 | 23 | 26 |
| To/from the east via Montgomery Drive | 2 | 2 |
|  | 100 | 100 |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1995)

## Project Site Access

Access to Mission Arbors will be provided by a new street opposite Mission Circle on Mission Boulevard. Access to Santa Rosa Apartments will be provided by a new street opposite Acacia Lane on Highway 12. The Quigg Drive Corridor Policy, which is described in the following section, requires that the access points to Highway 12 be limited.

The access at Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle will be a signalized intersection. A traffic signal at this location was a mitigation measure of the Environmental Impact Report for the Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment

The access opposite Acacia Lane is recommended to be full access with left turns in and out permitted on the north and south legs. Traffic signal warrants will be met with the completion of the project, and traffic signal installation is recommended. A new traffic signal should be interconnected to Mission Boulevard to the west and to Middle Rincon Road to the east.

## Quigg Drive Corridor Policy

The City Council has developed the Quigg Drive Corridor Policy, which clarifies circulation requirements of development applications between Santa Rosa Creek and Highway 12 and between Mission Boulevard and Calistoga Road. The policy states that there be no circulation tie-in to Mission Boulevard; that the area circulation planning provide a minimum number of access points to Highway 12; that any development application must include the necessary circulation planning for the aggregate undeveloped area adjacent to the development application; and any area circulation planning consider the deletion of street extension through developed areas unless the street extension is absolutely necessary.

The development pian for the project satisfies all of the criteria for the Quigg Drive Corridor except the first, i.e., no direct tie-in with Mission Boulevard: however, the Environmental Impact Report for the Wission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment found that left-turn access to Highway 12 from the potential residential development to the east would create adverse impacts along Highway 12. The EIR concluded that in order to avoid new access points onto Highway 12, future development plans may include a street paralleling Highway 12 with direct access to Highway 12, in nonconformance with the Quigg Drive Corridor Policy.

## Pass-By Trips

Commercial developments attract traffic from the passing stream of traffic. Trips generated by traffic that is already on the highway system and uses the driveway of a new commercial site are called pass-by trips. These are not new trips because they already pass by the commercial site. Pass-by trips are distinguished from primary trips, which have the sole purpose as a shopping trip and are new trips that are attracted by the development. The City of Santa Rosa allows a pass-by rate of $14 \%$ for banks and $16 \%$ for other commercial uses. An average pass-by rate of $15 \%$ is used in this analysis.

## Traffic Assignment

## Santa_Rosa Apartments

Traffic to and from the north, east via Highway 12, and west via Highway 12 was assigned to the access opposite Acacia Lane. Traffic to and from the east and west via Montgomery Drive was assigned to Quigg Drive, which will be located opposite Mission Circle on Mission Boulevard.

## Mission Arbors

Traffic to Mission Arbors from Highway 12 west of Mission Boulevard and traffic from Mission Arbors to Highway 12 east of Mission Boulevard was assigned to the Mission Arbors driveway on Highway 12. This driveway is limited to right turns in and right turns out. All other traffic to and from Mission Arbors is assigned to Quigg Drive, which will be located opposite Mission Circle on Mission Boulevard. Existing plus approved development plus project traffic volumes are shown in Figure 5.

## Traffic Signal Warrants

Traffic signals are electrically powered traffic control devices that alternatively direct traffic to stop and proceed at highway and street intersections. Their purpose is the orderly assignment of right-of-way to various traffic movements.

When justified and properly designed, a traffic signal may reduce the frequency of right angle accidents. A traffic signal will permit pedestrians and vehicles to cross a heavy traffic stream. With proper coordination a traffic signal will allow the continuous flow of a platoon of traffic at a definite speed along a given route. Finally, a traffic signal will permit the orderly movement of traffic and will control traffic more economically than by manual methods.

Unjustified, ill-designed, improperly operated, or poorly maintained traffic signals may cause increased accident frequency, can cause excessive delay, may lead to the disregard of signal indications, and may result in the increase of circuitous travel by use of alternate routes.

A comprehensive investigation of traffic conditions and physical characteristics of a proposed traffic signal location is required to determine the necessity for a signal installation and to furmish necessary data for the proper design and operation of a signal that is found to be warranted.

Eleven traffic signal warrants have been established by the United States Department of Transportation. The numerical values used in the warrants are based on research relating traffic volumes to delay and accident experience. Traffic signals should not be installed unless one or more of the established warrants are met.
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The intersection of Highway 12 and Acacia Lane is within the City of Santa Rosa. However, the approach speeds on Highway 12 are 45 miles per hour. By definition, a street with an approach speed of over 40 miles per hour is a high-speed approach. For purposes of traffic signal warrants, a high-speed approach is considered rural and rural warrants apply.

In order to satisfy the peak hour volume warrant in rural areas, the volume for a minor street approaching within one lane must exceed 75 vehicles per hour. During the morning peak hour, the approach volume is expected to be 92 vehicles per hour. The peak hour volume warrant for rural areas will be satisfied at the intersection of Highway 12 and Acacia Lane, and the installation of a traffic signal at this location is recommended.

## Traffic Signal Coordination

Traffic signal coordination optimizes progression of traffic along a corridor. Traffic signals create platoons of vehicles. The coordination of adjacent signals allows the platoons to travel along a corridor with a minimal number of stops at red signal indications. A dense platoon that contains the majority of the approach volume arriving at or throughout the green phase of a signal will improve traffic flows by reducing the delay experienced at an intersection. The arrival of a high proportion of vehicles during the green phase is characteristic of favorable signal progression.

The traffic signal at Highway 12 at Acacia Lane will be coordinated with adjacent signals on Highway 12. The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) requires that the signal at Acacia Lane be coordinated with the signals at Mission Boulevard, Streamside Drive, and Brush Creek Road. The traffic signal should also be coordinated with the signal at Middle Rincon Road. The analysis for the project condition incorporates interconnection of the traffic signals.

## Closely Spaced Intersections

A traffic signal at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane will be located 2,000 feet from the signal at Mission Boulevard and 1,300 feet from the signal at Middle Rincon Road. The intersections of Highway 12 at Mission Boulevard and Montgomery Drive at Mission Boulevard are 1,000 feet apart. A new traffic signal at Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle will be mid-way between the two intersections, or about 500 feet from each intersection.

Other streets in the city that have closely spaced intersections include Santa Rosa Avenue, Third Street, College Avenue, and Steele Lane.

Santa Rosa Avenue has traffic signals at Yolanda Avenue. Hearn Avenue overcrossing, and Kawana Springs Road. The distance between Yolanda Avenue and Hearn Avenue overcrossing is 700 feet, and the distance between Hearn Avenue overcrossing and Kawana Springs Road is 500 feet. Average daily traffic on Santa Rosa Avenue is 36,200 vehicles per day south of Hearn Avenue overcrossing and 29,000 vehicles per day north of Hearn Avenue overcrossing.

There are traffic signals along Santa Rosa Avenue at Sonoma Avenue, First Street, Second Street (Transit Mall), Third Street, and Fourth Street and along Mendocino Avenue at Fifth Street, Ross Street, and Seventh Street. The spacing between Sonoma Avenue and First Street is 600 feet; however, the remaining blocks are 250 feet to 450 feet apart. First Street to Second Street is 300 feet, Second Street to Third Street is 250 feet, Third Street to Fourth Street is 250 feet. Fifh Street to Ross Street is 450 feet, and Ross Street to Seventh Street is 250 feet.

Traffic volumes along Santa Rosa Avenue are 21,000 vehicles per day between Sonoma Avenue and First Street decreasing to 15,500 vehicles per day between First Street and Third Street and to 14,900 vehicles per day between Third Street and Fourth Street. On Mendocino Avenue the volume is 15,500 vehicles per day between Fourth Street and Seventh Street.

Traffic signals at the U.S. 101 Freeway ramps are closely spaced. The Third Street on and off-ramps are 400 feet apart. The traffic signal at Third Street and Wilson Street is 400 feet from Davis StreetSouthbound on-ramps. Third Street volumes are 26,100 vehicles per day under the freeway. The College Avenue on and off-ramps are 400 feet apart. The traffic signal at College Avenue and Morgan Street is 250 feet from the northbound on and off-ramp. College Avenue carries 28,500 vehicles per day under the freeway. Finally, the Steele Lane on and off-ramps are 300 feet apart. Illinois Avenue-County Center Drive is 300 feet east of the northbound ramps, and Cleveland Avenue is 450 feet west of the southbound ramps. The traffic volumes on Steele Lane under the freeway are 49,300 vehicles per day.

## Level of Service, Project

Service levels were calculated for the arterials and intersections in the study area. The analysis assumes that traffic signals will be installed at the intersections of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle and at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane. Levels of service for the project are listed in Table IX for arterials and Table X for intersections. Calculations are included in Appendix F.

The service level of the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle will improve as a result of the traffic signal that will be installed as part of the project. Mission Circle, which has a left-turn movement that currently operates at LOS F, will operate at LOS B. The intersection of Highway 12 and Acacia Lane will also operate at LOS B.

There will be no change in arterial levels of service for arterials when project traffic is added to existing plus approved development traffic. There will be an increase in delay to traffic on Mission Boulevard when the new traffic signal is installed at Mission Circle. The northbound movement will experience an average of 16.3 seconds of stopped delay, while the southbound movement will experience an average of 11.9 seconds of stopped delay.

Table IX
Arterial Ievel of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project Traffic Conditions

| Arlerial | Class | Existing |  | Existing Plus <br> Approved Development |  | Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\qquad$ | $1.0 S$ | Average <br> Travel Speed <br> (mph) | I.OS | Average Travel Speed (mpli) | LOS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers I.n-Brush Creek Rd eastbound | 1 | 38.2 |  | 38.2 |  | 38.2 |  |
| Brush Creek Rd-Farmers In westbound | 1 | 27.3 |  | 27.3 |  | 27.3 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 32.8 | 13 | 32.8 | 13 | 32.8 | B |
| 13rush Creek Rd-Calistoga Rd eastbound | II | 30.2 |  | 29.3 |  | 26.7 |  |
| Calistoga Rd-Brush Creek Rd westbound | 11 | 25.8 |  | 24.8 |  | 23.6 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 28.0 | 13 | 27.1 | 13 | 25.2 | 13 |
| Calistoga Rd-Oakmont Dr eastbound | 1 | 44.2 |  | 44.3 |  | 46.0 |  |
| Oakmont Dr-Calistoga Rd westbound | I | 44.8 |  | 44.9 |  | 46.8 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 44.5 | ^ | 44.6 | $\wedge$ | 46.4 | $\wedge$ |
| Mission Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montgomery Dr-Monticito Dr northbound | 11 | 18.1 |  | 17.1 |  | 16.6 |  |
| Montecito Dr-Montgomery Dr southbound | 11 | 26.4 |  | 17.7 |  | 18.4 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 22.3 | C | 17.4 | D | 17.5 | D |
| Montgomery Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers Ln-Mission Blvd eastbound | II | 25.9 |  | 22.0 |  | 18.8 |  |
| Mission Blvd-Farmers Ln westbound | II | 27.6 |  | $\underline{21.9}$ |  | 17.5 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 26.8 | B | 22.0 | C | 18.2 | C |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)

Table X
Intersection Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | Existing |  |  |  | Existing Plus Approved Development |  |  |  | Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (sec) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (sec) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { (sec) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ (\mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ (\mathrm{sec}) \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
| Signalized Intersections Highway 12/Mission Blvd | 15.5 | C | 19.9 | C | 15.5 | C | 17.2 | C | 16.0 | C | 19.8 | C |
| Mission Blvd/Montgomery Dr | 5.7 | B | 4.4 | A | 5.1 | B | 4.6 | A | 6.5 | B | 9.2 | B |
| Mission Blvd/Mission Circle | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 11.6 | B | 14.8 | B |
| Highway 12/Acacia Lane | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7.7 | B | 6.4 | B |

N/A $=$ Not Applicable
Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1998)

## Traffic Collision Analysis, Mission Boulevard

Traffic collision history along Mission Boulevard was reviewed. The data was provided by the Santa Rosa Department of Public Works. The data covers the three-year period from January 1, 1996 to December 31, 1998. The intersection analysis calculated the intersection accident rate of accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year. The analysis includes only those accidents that occur within 100 feet of the intersection. The rates were compared with the expected accident rate for similar facilities. The expected accident rates were obtained from the latest issue of Accident Data on California State Highways, published by the California Department of Transportation. The collision data is summarized in Table XI.

For each of the intersections analyzed the accident rate exceeds the accident rate for similar facilities. The intersection of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12 has an accident rate of 1.20 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year. This is over two and one-half times the expected accident rate of 0.45 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year for a signalized four-leg intersection.

The intersection of Mission Boulevard and Montgomery Drive has an accident rate of 0.42 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year. This is $40 \%$ greater than the expected accident rate of 0.30 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year for a signalized, tee intersection.

The intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle has an accident rate of 0.19 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year. This is $36 \%$ greater than the expected accident rate of 0.14 accidents per million vehicles entering the intersection per year for a one-way stop-controlled intersection.

Table XI
Accident Rates, Mission Boulevard

| Intersection | Collisions | Years | Volume | Accident <br> Rate | Expected <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mission Blvd/Montgomery Dr | 13 | 3 | 28,233 | 0.42 | 0.30 |
| Mission Blvd/Mission Circle | 5 | 3 | 23,894 | 0.19 | 0.14 |
| Mission Blvd/Highway 12 | 69 | 3 | 52,437 | 1.20 | 0.45 |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)

## Median Modification

With development of the project, the traffic volumes and turning movements at the intersections of Highway 12 at Mission Boulevard and Mission Boulevard at Mission Circle will increase. If the left-turn lane is not sufficiently long, vehicles desiring to turn left must wait in travel lanes intended for through traffic. As a result, vehicles desiring to travel straight through the intersection are delayed.

In order to estimate how turning movements might interfere with traffic flow desiring to travel straight through an intersection, a queue length analysis was performed for the signalized intersection of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12 and for the proposed signalized intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle. The queue for the first intersection was calculated using a 90 -second cycle length; for the second intersection, a 65 -second cycle length.

## Highway 12 and Mission Bouleyard

During the evening peak hour the northbound left-turn queue along Mission Boulevard will extend 200 feet, the northbound through traffic queue will extend 200 feet, and the northbound right-turn queue will extend 100 feet.

The queue from the northbound through and right-turn movements would not block the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle; however, the evening peak hour northbound left-tum queue exceeds the length of the left-tum lane and would interfere with other vehicles traveling in the northbound direction.

## Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle

During the evening peak hour the southbound left-turn queue will extend 50 feet. The northbound left-turn queue (into McDonald's Mission Plaza) will not exceed 50 feet during the evening peak hour. The northbound through traffic queue will be 275 feet long during the evening peak hour.

In order to maintain acceptable traffic flow, the developer of Mission Arbors should reconstruct the median on Mission Boulevard between Mission Circle and Highway 12 to permit a 200 -foot long northbound leftturn lane at Fighway 12, a 50-foot long southbound left-turn lane at Mission Circle, and an appropriate taper.

## Cumulative Impacts

Cumulative traffic impacts were evaluated as part of the Environmental Impact Report for the Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment (1995). The impacts were determined by executing the Santa Rosa Traffic Model with land use at buildout of the General Plan. Transportation improvements required for General Plan buildout included the extension of Fountaingrove Parkway to Montecito Boulevard and the construction of the Farmers Lane extension between Bennett Valley Road and Highway 101 as an arterial street. The extension of Fountaingrove Parkway has been completed.

Two projects that were proposed to improve traffic flow along Highway 12 were also included in the General Plan update traffic model. These include the addition of an eastbound through lane at the intersection of Farmers Lane and Fourth Street and the addition of a second eastbound left-tum lane at the intersection of Highway 12 and Calistoga Road. These projects have been completed.

Traffic volumes decrease on Highway 12 with General Plan buildout. The General Plan circulation network results in a redistribution of traffic off of Highway 12. As a result of this redistribution of traffic, speeds on Highway 12 will increase slightly.

The General Plan update was executed a second time with the 1995 proposed General Plan Amendment added to the land use file. The 1995 proposed General Plan Amendment had $54 \%$ greater traffic generation ( 9,512 daily trip ends as compared to,+ 408 daily trip ends) than the current project. The 1995 proposed General Plan Amendment included 127.300 square feet of shopping center land use and 200 apartments. This resulted in a total of 9,512 daily trips. The current project includes Santa Rosa Apartments, a 267 -unit apartment complex, and Mission Arbors, a commercial retail site. The current project is forecast to generate 4,408 daily trips.
The arterial service levels for the cumulative condition are summarized in Table XII.

Table XII
Artcrial Level of Service, General Plan Buildout Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | General Plan Buildout |  | General Plan Buildout Plus Amendment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Travel Speed | LOS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS |
| Highway 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers La-Brush Creek Rd eastbound | 1 | 45.9 |  | 45.9 |  |
| Brush Creek Rd-Farmers La westbound | 1 | 32.2 |  | 32.8 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 39.1 | A | 39.4 | A |
| Brush Creek Rd-Calistoga Rd eastbound | II | 33.9 |  | 33.9 |  |
| Calistoga Rd-Brush Creek Rd westbound | II | 28.7 |  | 28.8 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 31.3 | A | 31.4 | A |
| Calistoga Rd-Oakmont Dr eastbound | I | 44.6 |  | 44.3 |  |
| Oakmont Dr-Calistoga Rd westbound | 1 | 45.1 |  | 45.1 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 44.9 | A | 44.7 | A |
| Mission Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montgomery Dr-Montecito Blvd northbound | H | 18.4 |  | 18.4 |  |
| Montecito Blvd-Montgomery Dr southbound | II | 26.4 |  | 26.4 |  |
| . AVERAGE |  | 22.4 | C | 22.4 | C |
| Montgomery Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmers Ln-Mission Blvd eastbound | II | 27.9 |  | 27.6 |  |
| Mission Blvd-Farmers Ln westbound | II | 22.9 |  | 29.3 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 28.9 | B | 28.5 | B |

Source: TJKM Transporation Consultants (1998)

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## Conclusions

Traffic signals will be warranted at the intersections of Highway 12 at Acacia Lane and Mission Boulevard at Mission Circle with the development of the Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors. Caltrans will require that the traffic signal at Highway 12 and Acacia Lane be coordinated with intersections to the west. The traffic signal should also be coordinated with Middle Rincon Road to the east.

Service levels at the study intersections will not fall below unacceptable levels when traffic generated by the project is added to existing plus approved development traffic. Service levels are projected to improve at the intersections of Highway 12 at Acacia Lane and Mission Boulevard at Mission Circle with the installation of traffic signals at these locations.

Service levels on all study arterials but one will continue to operate at LOS D, or better when project traffic is added to existing plus approved development traffic.

The queue from projected traffic making a northbound left-turn at Mission Boulevard and Highway 12 will exceed the left-turn lane at the intersection.

## Recommendations

The Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment Environmental Impact Report recommends a traffic signal at the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle as part of the development of the commercial site. This improvement has been incorporated into Mission Arbors.

A traffic signal is warranted and recommended at the intersection of Highway 12 and Acacia Lane and will be completed as part of the Santa Rosa Apartments project. The signal will be interconnected with adjacent signals to the west and east.

The median on Mission Boulevard between Mission Circle and Highway 12 should be redesigned to provide a 200 -foot long northbound left-turn lane at Highway 12, a 50 -foot long southbound left-turn lane at Mission Circle, and an appropriate taper.

The access point on Highway 12 will be located at Acacia Lane where a traffic signal will be installed, and full access will be provided. Access to the new street, Quigg Drive, opposite Mission Circle will also be provided for use by Santa Rosa Apartments.
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## Level of Service Methodology

## APPENDIX A

## LEVEL OF SERVICE

The description and procedures for calculating capacity and level of service are found in Transportation Research Board Special Report 209, Highway Capacity Manual, Third Edition, updated October 1994. The Highway Capacity Manual represents the latest research on capacity and quality of service for transportation facilities.

The concept of level of service is defined as a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream and their perception by motorists and passengers. A level of service definition generally describes these conditions in terms of such factors as speed and travel time, delay, freedom to maneuver, traffic interruptions, comfort and convenience and safety.

Six levels of service are defined for each type of facility that is analyzed. They are given letter designations from $A$ to $F$, with level-of-service $A$ representing the best operating condition and level-ofservice $F$ the worst.

A general description of service levels for various types of facilities is shown in Table A-I
Table A-I
Level of Service Description

| FACILITY TYPE | Uninterrupled Flow | Interrupted Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freeways <br> Muli-lane Highways <br> Two-lane Highways Urban/Suburban Arterials | Signalized Intersections Unsignalized Intersections Two-way Stop Control All-way Stop Control |
| LOS |  |  |
| A | Free-flow | Very low delay. |
| B | Stable flow. Presence of other users noticeable. | Low delay. |
| C | Stable flow. Comfort and convenience starts to decline. | Acceptable delay. |
| D | High density stable flow. | Tolerable delay. |
| E | Unstable flow. | Limit of acceptable delay. |
| F | Forced or breakdown llow. | Unacceptable delay |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, Third Edition. updated October 1994

## Uninterrupted Flow Definitions

Level-of-Service A represents free flow. Individual users are virtually unaffected by the presence of others in the traffic stream. The general level of comfort and convenience provided to the motorist or passenger is excellent.

Level-of-Service B is in the range of stable flow, but the presence of other users in the traffic stream begins to be noticeable. There is a slight decline in the freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream. The level of comfort and convenience provided is somewhat less than at Level-of-Service A, because the presence of others in the traffic stream begins to affect individual behavior.

Level-of-Service C is in the range of stable flow, but marks the beginning of the range of flow in which the operation of individual users becomes affected by interactions with others in the traffic stream. Maneuvering within the traffic stream requires vigilance on the part of the user. The general level of comfort and convenience declines at this level.

Level-of-Service D represents high-density, but stable, flow. Freedom to maneuver is severely restricted, and the driver experiences a generally poor level of comfort and convenience. Small increases in traffic flow will generally cause operational problems at this level.

Level-of-Service E represents operating conditions at or near the capacity level. Freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is extremely difficult, and it is generally accomplished by forcing a vehicle to "give way" to accommodate such maneuvers. Comfort and convenience levels are extremely poor, and driver frustration is generally high. Operations at this level are usually unstable, because small increases in flow or minor perturbations within the traffic stream will cause breakdowns.

Level-of-Service $F$ is used to define forced or breakdown flow. This condition exists wherever the amount of traffic approaching a point exceed the amount which can traverse the point. Queues form behind such locations. Operations within the queue are characterized by stop-and-go waves, and they are extremely unstable. Vehicles may progress at reasonable speeds for several hundred feet or more, then be required to stop in a cyclic fashion.

## Traffic Flow Measures, Uninterrupted Flow

The operational state of any given traffic stream is defined by three primary measures: speed, volume or rate of flow and density.

Speed is defined as a rate of motion expressed as distance per unit time, generally as miles per hour. Volume is the total number of vehicles that pass over a given point or section of a lane or roadway during a given time interval; volumes are generally expressed in terms of daily or hourly periods. Rate of flow is the equivalent hourly rate at which vehicles pass over a given point or section of a lane of roadway during a given time interval less than one hour usually 15 minutes. Peak rates of flow are related to hourly volumes through the use of a peak hour factor which is defined as the ratio of the total hourly volume to the maximum 15 -minute rate of now within the hour. Density is defined as the number of vehicles occupying a given length of a lane or roadway, averaged over time, usually expressed as vehicles per mile.

## Urban and Suburban Arterials

Urban and suburban arterials are defined as surface highways having signal spacings of less than two miles. Such facilities are characterized by platoon flow. Operational quality is controlled primarily by the quality of signal coordination, and is affected by how individual signaled intersections operate along the arterial.

Arterial streets do not have a capacity per se. Mid-block segments and characteristics do not have a measurable effect on capacity, which is controlled by the capacity of signalized intersections along the arterial. Arterials cover a wide range of facility types serving an equally wide range of functions. Service quality expectations also vary over this range of facilities. The methodology to determine level of service stratifies arterials into three classifications. The classifications are complex, and are related to functional and design categories. Table A-II describes the functional and design categories, while Table A-III relates these to the arterial classification.

Once classified, the arterial is divided into segments for analysis. An arterial segment is a one-way section of arterial encompassing a series of blocks or links terminating a signalized intersection. Adjacent segments of arterials may be combined to form larger arterial sections, provided that the segments have similar demand flows and characteristics.

Levels of service are related to the average travel speed of vehicles along the arterial segment or section.
Travel times for existing conditions are obtained by field measurements. The maximum-car technique is used. The vehicle is driven at the posted speed limit unless impeded by actual traffic conditions. In the maximum-car technique, a safe level of vehicular operation is maintained by observing proper following distances and by changing speeds at reasonable rates of acceleration and deceleration. The maximum-car technique provides the best base for measuring traffic perfornance.

An observer records the travel time and locations and duration of delay. The beginning and ending points are the centers of intersections. Delays include times waiting in queues at stop-controlled intersections. The travel speed is determined by dividing the length of the segment by the travel time. Once the travel speed on the arterial is determined, the level of service is found by comparing the speed to the criteria in Table A-IV. Level of service criteria vary for the different classifications of arterial, reflecting differences in driver expectation.

Table A-II
Functional and Design Categories for Arterials

| CRITERION | FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRINCIPAL ARTERIALS |  | MINOR ARTERIALS |  |
| Mobility function | Very important |  | Imporiant |  |
| Access function | Very minor |  | Substancial |  |
| Points connected | Freeways, important activity centers, major traffic generalors |  | Principal arterials |  |
| Predominant trips served | Relatively long trips between above points and through trips entering, leaving, and going through the city |  | Trips of moderate lengths within relatively small geographical areas |  |
| CRITERION | DESIGN CATEGORY |  |  |  |
|  | SUBURBAN | INTER | Ediate | URBAN |
| Driveway access density | Low density | Moderate | nsity | Highway density |
| Arterial type | Multi-lane divided; undivided or two-lane with shoulders | Multi-lane undivided; two lanes | ivided or ne-way; | Undivided one-way; two-way, two or more lanes |
| Parking | No | Some |  | Much |
| Separate left-tum lanes | Yes | Usually |  | Some |
| Signals per mile | 1 to 5 | 41010 |  | 6 to 12 |
| Speed limits | 40 to 45 mph | 30 to 40 m |  | 25 to 35 mph |
| Pedestrian activity | Litue | Some |  | Usually |
| Roadside development | Low to medium density | Medium/m density | derate | High density |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, Third Edition, updated October 1994

Table A-III
Definition of Arterial Classification

|  | FUNCTIONAL CATEGORY |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DESIGN CATEGORY | PRINCIPAL ARTERIAL | MINOR ARTERIAL |
| Typical Suburban Design and Control | I | II |
| Intermediate Design | II | II |
| Typical Urban Design | II | III |

[^1]Table A-IV
Levels of Service for Arterials

| ARTERIAL CLASS | I | II | III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Range of Free Flow Speeds (mph) | 45 to 35 | 35 to 30 | 35 to 25 |
| Typical Free Flow Speed (mph) | 40 | 33 | 27 |
| LEVEL OF SERVICE | AVERAGE TRAVEL SPEED (MPH) |  |  |
| A | $\geq 35$ | $\geq 30$ | $\geq 25$ |
| B | $\geq 28$ | $\geq 24$ | $\geq 19$ |
| C | $\geq 22$ | $\geq 18$ | $\geq 13$ |
| D | $\geq 17$ | $\geq 14$ | $\geq 9$ |
| E | $\geq 13$ | $\geq 10$ | $\geq 7$ |
| F | $<13$ | $<10$ | $<7$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual. Third Edition. updated October 1994

## Interrupted Flow

One of the more important elements limiting, and often internupting the flow of traffic on a highway is the intersection. Flow on an interrupted facility is usually dominated by points of fixed operation such as traffic signals, stop and yield signs. These all operate quite differently and have differing impacts on overall flow.

## Signalized Intersections

The capacity of a highway is related primarily to the geometric characteristics of the facility, as well as to the composition of the traffic stream on the facility. Geometrics are a fixed, or non-varying, characteristic of a facility.

At the signalized intersection, an additional element is introduced into the concept of capacity: time allocation. A traffic signal essentially allocates time among conflicting traffic movements seeking use of the same physical space. The way in which time is allocated has a significant impact on the operation of the intersection and on the capacity of the intersection and its approaches.

The measure of effectiveness used to measure the level of service of a signalized intersection is average individual stopped delay expressed in seconds per vehicle. Delay is a measure of driver discomfort, frustration, fuel consumption, and lost travel time. Delay is a complex measure and is dependent upon a number of variables including the quality of progression, the cycle length, the ratio of green time to cycle length and the volume to capacity ratio forthe approach in question.

For each intersection analyzed the average stopped delay per vehicle per approach is determined for the peak hour. A weighted average of stopped delay per vehicle is then determined for the intersection. A level of service designation is given to the average delay to better describe the level of operation. A description of levels of service for signalized intersections can be found in Table A-V.

Table A.V
Description of Level of Service for Signalized Intersections

| Level of Service | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | Very low delay, less than 5.0 second per vehicle. Progression is extremely favorable, and most vehicles arrive during the green phase. Most vehictes do not stop at all. Short cycle lengths contribute to low delay. |
| B | Delay in the range of 5.1 to 15.0 seconds per vehicle. Good progression and/or short cycle lengths. More vehicles stop causing higher levels of average deiay. |
| C | Delay in the range of 15.1 to 25.0 seconds per vehicle. Fair progression and/or longer cycie lengths. Individual cycle failures, resulting in the drivers having to wait through more than one red signal indication, begin to appear. The number of vehicles stopping is significant, allough many still pass through the intersection without stopping. |
| D | Delay in the range of 25.1 to 40.0 seconds per vehicle. The influence of congestions becomes more noticeable. Unfavorable progression, long cycle lengths, or high volumes. Many vehicles stop, the proporion of vehicles not stopping declines. lodividual cycle failures noticcable. |
| E | Delay in the range of 40.1 to 60.0 second per vehicle. The limit of acceptable delay. Poor progression, long cycle lengths, and high volumes. Individual cycle failures are frequent. |
| F | Delay in excess of 60.0 second per vehicle. Unacceptable to most drivers. Oversaturation, arrival now rates exceed the capacity of the intersection. Many individual cycle failures. Poor progression and long cycle lenghs. |

Source: Highway Capaciry Maumal. Third Edition. updated October 1994

## Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections

The 1994 update of the Highway Capacity Manual contains new procedures for calculating service levels for two-way stop-controlled intersections. The revised procedures use delay as a measure of effectiveness to determine level of service.

The capacity of movements subject to delay are determined using the "critical gap" method of capacity analysis. Expected average stopped delay based on movement volume and movement capacity is calculated. A level of service designation is given to the expected stopped delay for each movement. A description of levels of service for two-way stop-controlled intersections is found in Table A-VI.

## Table A-VI

Description of Level of Service for Two-Way Stop Controlled Intersections

| LEVEL OF SERVICE | DESCRIPTION |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Very low delay less than 5.0 seconds per vehicle for <br> each movement subject to delay. |
| B | Delay in the range of 5.1 to 10.0 seconds per vehicle for <br> each movement subject to delay. |
| C | Delay in the range of 10.1 to 20.0 seconds per vehicle <br> for each movement subject to delay. |
| D | Delay in the range of 20.1 to 30.0 seconds per vehicle <br> for each movement subject to delay. |
| E | Delay in the range of 30.1 to 45.0 seconds per vehicle <br> for each movement subject to delay. |
| F | Delays in excess of 45.1 seconds per vehicle for each <br> movement subject to delay. |

Source: Highway Capaciry Manual, Third Edition, updated October 1994
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## Santa Rosa Traffic Model

## APPENDIX B

## SANTA ROSA TRAFFIC MODEL

## Transportation Modeling Process

There is a distinct and measurable relationship between travel demand, land use and transportation systems. Techniques have been developed to forecast future travel demand created by proposed future land use and evaluate how effectively alternative roadway systems can satisfy the demand. The forecasting techniques are referred to as the "transportation modeling process."

The transportation modeling process is essentially a series of mathematical relationships which simulate travel behavior from socioeconomic and transportation system data. Because of the many calculations involved, the processes are computerized for ease of use, and this results in the physical form of the model being a set of computer programs which carry out the modeling functions.

Modeling involves many detailed tasks which evolve into a description of travel patterns in and around the study area. Before forecasting future travel, an inventory of data is performed to establish relationships among travel choices and other variables in the existing transportation system. This modeling process is conducted in the following way. First, a model type is chosen and the variables are specified. Second, the model is calibrated to reproduce the current observed travel behavior as accurately as possible. Finally, the projected travel demand is forecasted.

The types of input data needed for modeling include present and future projections of such variables as number of dwelling units and land use floor-area totals. Also included are present and future projections of transportation system performance variables such a average vehicle speeds, roadway length, roadway capacity, and travel time. Information is collected about the present level of activity and the present transportation network to predict travel patterns on the future transportation network.

## TRANPLAN Software

The travel demand model used by the City of Santa Rosa is run on TRANPLAN software. TRANPLAN is a comprehensive suite of TRANsportation PLANning computer programs encompassing forecasting capabilities for highway systems.

The entire set of programs is separated into more than forty modules, referred to as "functions," each of which has specific capabilities.

TRANPLAN was initially developed by DeLeuw, Cather \& Company with the support of Mr. Raif Kulunk for use on a main frame computer. Since the initial development, Mr. James Fennessy of The Urban Analysis Group has significantly enhanced the software and converted TRANPLAN to several microcomputers, minicomputers and work stations. The Urban Analysis Group acquired TRANPLAN from DeLeuw, Cather \& Company in 1990.

The TRANPLAN software is owned by The Urban Analysis Group and furnished to TJKM Transportation Consultants under a license agreement.

The network description and land use data for the City of Santa Rosa Traffic Model was furnished by the City to TJKM.

Transportation Network. The transportation system consists of networks that represent available modes of travel. The networks are defined by numbering key intersections, called nodes, and identifying the segments between them, called links. The result is a geometric interpretation of the transportation system identifying travel routes in the study area. The City of Santa Rosa model is a highway model. Mass transit networks were not included in the modeling effort.

Traffic Analysis Zones. The Santa Rosa Traffic Model is separated into smaller areas called traffic analysis zones. The zones vary in size depending on the intensity of activity, the nature of the land use within the zones, and the level of detail required in the analysis. Generally, the zonal borders are defined by homogeneous urban activities and follow natural boundaries, e.g., ridges, creeks or man-made boundaries, i.e., streets, railroad tracks.

The City of Santa Rosa Traffic Model was derived from the Sonoma County Travel Model. The Sonoma County Travel Model contains all of the County plus important areas outside the County. The City of Santa Rosa Traffic Model added 237 traffic analysis zones to the Sonoma County Travel Model for a total of 462 traffic analysis zones.

Nodes and Links. The transportation system is described in terms of nodes and links. Intersections are called nodes and connect the links that represent actual street segments. The type of data used to describe each link is node idenufication, average vehicle speed, link capacity, travel direction, link length, directional volume counts, number of lanes, and other information depending on the intended use. Not every street segment or intersection is represented in the model. Traffic zone centroids represent the centers of activity rather than the geometric center of the zone and are connected to nodes by imaginary links called centroid connectors. These connectors may represent entry streets into subdivisions and major driveways into office, industrial and commercial sites. In the network, zone centroids are points where vehicle trips either begin or end. The model loads the vehicle trips onto the network from these zone centroids via the centroid connector.

Modeling Steps. Link data and land use data are used as inputs in the modeling process. The modeling steps include network building, trip generation, path building, trip distribution, trip matrix adjustments, trip matrix balancing, and trip assignment. An iterative loop between the end of the trip assignment phase and the beginning of the path building phase is included in the modeling process to provide more stable and accurate trip tables.

When the highway trips are loaded on the highway network during the trip assignment phase the network parameter, time, is adjusted link by link using the Bureau of Public Roads capacity restraint formula. The . link time is increased resulting in a reduction of link speed when the assigned volume approaches the capacity of the link.


## APPENDIX C

## Completed and Approved Development

## APPENDIX C

# COMPLETED AND APPROVED DEVELOPMENT 

## DEVELOPMENT BY QUADRANT (9/97) COMPLETED DEVELOPMENT

| QUAD | NAME | LOCATION | DESCRIPTION | TAZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NESR | Rosenberg Apartments | Mendocino Avenue | 77 MF | 387 |
| NESR | Vintage Woods | Stagecoach Road | 49 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | Second Fairway | Thomas Lake Harris | 26 SFD | 277 |
| NESR | Deer Meadow | Thomas Lake Harris | 62 SFD | 277 |
| NESR | Fairway Knoll | Thomas Lake Harris | 24 SFD | 277 |
| NESR | Alta Vista Heights | Alta Vista Avenue | 50 SFD | 307 |
| NESR | 2marzly Subdivision | Montecito Boulevard | 4 SFD | 92 |
| NESR | Creekside Place | Badger Road | 16 SFD | 321 |
| NESR | The Maples | Sonoma Highway | 20 MF | 314 |
| NESR | Prospect Court | Prospect Avenue | 7 MF | 318 |
| NESR | Arundel Subdivision | Badger Road | 4 SFD | 321 |
| NESR | Brittany Meadows | Nielson Road | 22 SFD | 271 |
| NESR | Benjamins Road Parcel Map | Benjamins Road | 4 SFD | 92 |
| NESR | Rolling Hills | Ramon Way | 36 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | Nielson Estates Sub | Chanate Road | 11 MF | 271 |
| NESR | Ilene Way Estates II | Benjamins Road | 4 SFD | 92 |
| NESR | Oaks at Fountaingrove I | Thomas Lake Harris | 46 SFD | 274 |
| NESR | Viewpoint North | Altruria Drive | 32 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | Downtown Apartments | Beaver Street | 35 MF | 387 |
| NESR | Mission Court Apartments | Mission Boulevard | 8 MF | 318 |
| NESR | Winding Creek | Middle Rincon Road | 70 SFD, 12 MF | 323 |
| NESR | Craftsman Court | Middle Rincon Road | 4 SFD | 323 |
| NESR | Franklin Park Place | Franklin Avenue | 14 SFD, 6 MF | 348 |
| NESR | Hall Estate | Sonoma Highway | 2 SFD | 241 |
| NESR | Hampton Woods | Sonoma Highway | 50 SFD | 102 |
| NESR | Mendocino Ave Apartments | Tenth Street | 12 MF | 386 |
| NESR | NESR 1-93 Annexation | Sonoma Highway | 8 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | Maria Carrillo High School | Calistoga Road | 1600 students | 333 |
| NESR | Nielson Ranch | Nielson Road | 133 SFD, 63 MF | 270 |
| NESR | Los Indios Subdivision | Sonoma Highway | 12 SFD | 327 |
| NESR | Altruria Heights | Altruria Drive | 37 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | North Associates Sub | North Street | 12 SFD | 354 |
| NESR | Sonoma Hwy Homes (South) | Sonoma Highway | 14 SFD | 327 |
| NESR | Parkside Collection | Great Heron Drive | 75 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | Skyhawk Ranch | Sonoma Highway | 515 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | Fountain Square | Stagecoach Road | 34 MF , <br> 13.5 ksf Retail | 276 |
| NESR | Skyview Subdivision | Sonoma Highway | 10 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | Callahan Subdivision | Parker Hill Road | 9 SFD | 276 |
| NWSR | Willow Grove No. 2 | Crestview Drive | 13 SFD | 257 |
| NWSR | Pinecreek Park | Coffey Lane | 131 ksf Lt Ind | 259 |
| NWSR | Pine View Estates | Piner Road | 44 SFD | 253 |
| NWSR | Marlow Subdivision | Marlow Road | 11 SFD | 94 |
| NWSR | Fulton Acres | Fulton Road | 9 SFD | 69 |
| NWSR | Shaffer Subdivision | Coffey Lane | 51 SFD | 259 |
| NWSR | Parkview Townhomes | Earldley Avenue | 42 MF | 341 |
| NWSR | The Villages | Piner Road | 224 MF | 87 |
| NWSR | Orchard West | Pinercrest Drive | 72 MF | 254 |
| NWSR | San Miguel Estates No. 1 | San Miguel Avenue | 27 SFD | 255 |
| NWSR | San Miguel Estates No. 2 | Francisco Avenue | 61 SFD | 255 |
| NWSR | Rancho Miguel Unit \#2 | San Miguel Avenue | 29 SFD | 254 |
| NWSR | Meadow Wood Estates | Waltzer Road | 23 SFD | 254 |
| NWSR | Rancho Miguel Estates | San Miguel Avenue | 41 SFO | 254 |
| NWSR | San Miguel Rancho | Waltzer Road | 254 SFD | 254 |
| NWSR | Bradbury Place | Waltzer Road | 21 SFD | 254 |
| NWSR | NWSR 4-88 Annexation | Piner Road | 6 SFD | 286 |



| SWSR | Villa Royale | Stony Point Road | 87 SFD | 214 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SWSR | Stonypoint Subdivision | Stony Point Road | 62 SFD | 214 |
| SWSR | Valley West No. 6 | Donahue Avenue | 64 SFD | 371 |
| SWSR | Greenwich Estates | Oecidental Road | 72 SFD | 371 |
| SWSR | Cimarron | Donahue Avenue | 37 SFD | 371 |
| SWSR | Brittain Manor | Brittain Lane | 68 SFD | 371 |
| SWSR | Santa Rosa Townhomes | Sebastopol Road | 268 MF | 106 |
| SWSR | Thistle Lane Subdivision | Moorland Avenue | 10 SFD | 74 |
| SWSR | Newmark Subdivision | Moorland Avenue | 19 SFD | 74 |
| SWSR | Newmark Subdivision 2 | Moorland Avenue | 10 SFD | 74 |
| SWSR | Stony Point Manor 2 | Stony Point Road | 14 SFD | 214 |
| SWSR | Donahue Subdivision | Donahue Avenue | 13 SFD | 371 |
| SWSR | Donahue Gardens | Donahue Avenue | 1 SFD, 8 MF | 371 |
| SWSR | Magnoliaa Terrace | West Third Street | 9 SFD | 412 |
| SWSR | Elsie Allen High School | Bellevue Avenue | 1600 Students | 225 |

```
QUAD=Quadrant
NESR=Northeast Santa Rosa
NWSR=Northwest Santa Rosa
SESR=Southeast Santa Rosa
SWSR=Southwest Santa Rosa
TAZ=Traffic Analysis.Zone
SFD=Single Family Detached Residential
MF=Multi-family Residential
Sen Hsg=Senior Housing
ksf=1,000 square feet
Shop Ctr=Shopping Center
Source: TJKM Transportation Consultancs (1997)
```


## DEVELOPMENT BY QUADRANT (9ભ97) <br> APPROVED DEVELOPMENT

| QUAD |  | NAME | LOCATION | DESCRIPTION | TAZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NESR | 1 | Downtown Cinemas | Santa Rosa Ave | 14 Screens | 387 |
| NESR | 2 | Walgreen's | Mendocino Avenue | 13.6 ksf Retail | 352 |
| NESR | 3 | Austin Creek Village | Highway 12 | 45 MFD | 330 |
| NESR | 4 | Skycrest II | Sonoma Highway | 5 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | 5 | Occidental Gardens | 14th Street | 24 Sen Hsg | 352 |
| NESR | 7 | Victoria Park | Badger Road | 145 SFD. 4 MF | 66 |
| NESR | 10 | St Francis Knolls | San Ramon Way | 21 SFD | 244 |
| NESR | 11 | Ordway Subdivision | Brush Creek Road | 3 SFD | 313 |
| NESR | 12 | Yardbirds Center | Mendocino Avenue | 195 ksf Shop Ctr | 267 |
| NESR | 13 | The Village at Calloways | Sonoma Highway | ```34 SFD, 81 MF, 80 Sen Hsg``` | 315 |
| NESR | 14 | Skycrest | Sonoma Highway | 26 SFD | 245 |
| NESR | 15 | St. Francis Place | Sonoma Highway | 77 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | 16 | Buena Vista | Altruria Drive | 67 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | 17 | Fountainview | Altruria Drive | 30 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | 18 | Redwood Meadows | Brush Creek Road | 4 SFD | 313. |
| NESR | 19 | Summertop Community Care | Fountaingrove Pkwy | 126 Sen HSg | 273. |
| NESR | 20 | Streutker Subdivision | Melita Road | 7 SFD | 79 |
| NESR | 21 | Woolsey Subdivision | Badger Road | 13 SFD | 66 |
| NESR | 22 | Shelton Subdivision | Brush Creek Road | 8 SFD | 313 |
| NESR | 23 | Marsh Hawk Meadows | Sonoma Highway | 8 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | 25 | Imrie Minor Subdivision | Brush Creek Road | 4 SFD | 91 |
| NESR | 26 | Creekside Oaks Townhomes | Mendocino Avenue | 38 MF | 268 |
| NESR | 27 | Bicentennial Estates | Bicentennial Way | 12 SFD | 270 |
| NESR | 28 | Sonoma Hwy Homes (North) | Sonoma Highway | 28 SFD | 330 |
| NESR | 29 | Sleepy Hollow Heights | Sleepy Hollow Drive | 12 SFD | 309 |
| NESR | 30 | Parker Hill Heights | Parker Hill Road | 27 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | 31 | Summit at Fountaingrove | Parkerhill Road | 43 SFD | 276 |
| NESR | 32 | Chanate Island | Chanate Road | 7 SFD | 309 |
| NESR | 33 | St. Francis Park | St. Francis Road | 15 SFD | 243 |
| NESR | 34 | Skyfarm at Fountaingrove | Cross Creek Road | 55 SFD | 278 |
| NESR | 35 | Oaks at fountaingrove IV | Thomas Lake Harris | 47 SFD | 274 |
| NESR | 36 | Oaks at Fountaingrove III | Thomas Lake Harris | 65 SFD | 274 |
| NESR | 37 | Oaks at Fountaingrove V | Thomas Lake Harris | 17 SFD | 274 |
| NESR | 38 | Fountaingrove II East | Fountaingrove Pkwy | 344 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | 39 | Fountaingrove II West | Parker Hill Road | 205 SFD | 273 |
| NESR | 40 | Heimbucher Glen | Brush Creek Road | 39 SFD | 309 |
| NESR | 41 | Lyric Lane Subdivision | Brush Creek Road | 5 SFD | 91 |
| NESR | 42 | Oaks at Fountaingrove II | Thomas Lake Harris | 40 SFD | 274 |
| NESR | 43 | Fountaingrove Exec Center | Round Barn Blvd | 244 ksf office | 85 |
| NESR | 44 | Pine Creek | Melita Road | 58 SFD | 328 |
| NESR | 45 | Skyfarm Unit 1 | Thomas Lake Harris | 99 SFD | 278 |
| NESR |  | Valley of the Moon Plaza | Sonoma Highway | 10 ksE Retail | 110 |
| NWSR | 3 | Greenway Gardens | Guerneville Road | 4 SFD, 4MF | 93 |
| NWSR | 4 | Guerneville Homes | Guerneville Road | $6 \mathrm{SFD}, 2 \mathrm{MF}$ | 93 |
| NWSR | 5 | Weatherby Place | Francisco Avenue | 12 SFD | 255 |
| NWSR | 6 | Waltzer Meadow Court | Waltzer Road | 42 SFD | 255 |
| NWSR | 7 | Nackord Subdivision | Fulton Road | 8 SFD | 93 |
| NWSR | 8 | DeTurk Commons | Donahue street | 32 SFD | 379 |
| NWSR | 9 | Rockwell Subdivision | Francisco Avenue | 24 SFD | 255 |
| NWSR | 10 | Firenze Subdivision | Hewett Street | 7 SFD | 379 |
| NWSR | 11 | Mayflower Place | Guerneville Road | 2 SFD | 93 |
| NWSR | 12 | Walter Minor Subdivision | Steele Lane | 4 SFD | 292 |
| NWSR | 13 | Kane Parcel Map | Terry Road | 5 SFD | 290 |
| NWSR | 14 | Ridley Meadow | Ridley Avenue | 6 SFD | 334 |
| NWSR | 15 | Thorson Minor Subdivision | Arden Way | 2 SFD | 285 |
| NWSR | 16 | The Garden | Link Lane . $\quad$. | 23 SFD | 385 |
| NWSR | 17 | Williams Subdivision | Lance Drive | 5 SFD | 341 |
| NWSR | 18 | Peters Subdivision | Marlow Road | 4 SFD | 284 |
| NWSR | 19 | Haydn Village | Eardley Avenue | 65 SFD | 341 |
| NWSR | 20 | Meadowlark Village | Marlow Road | 28 SFD | 284 |
| NWSR | 21 | Aldridge Subdivision | Lance Drive | $3 \mathrm{SFD}, 6 \mathrm{MF}$ | 341 |


| NWSR | 22 | Halvorsen Minor Sub | Barnes Road | 4 SFD |  | 255 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NWSR | 23 | Koch's Corner Subdivision | West College Avenue | 9 SFD |  | 289 |
| NWSR | 24 | Evelyn Manor | Marsh Road | 6 SFD |  | 285 |
| NWSR | 25 | Mae Way | Lance Drive | 5 SFD |  | 341 |
| NWSR | 26 | Piner Court | Piner Road | 12 SFD |  | 86 |
| NWSR | 27 | Steele Lane Cottages | West Steele Lane | 5 SFD |  | 288 |
| NWSR | 28 | Applewood Court II | Piner Road | 7 SFD |  | 284 |
| NWSR | 30 | Pinebrook Townhomes | Guerneville Road | 58 MF |  | 93 |
| NWSR | 31 | Applewood Court | Piner Road | 12 SFD |  | 284 |
| NWSR | 32 | Albanese Subdivision | Gamey Street | 5 SFD |  | 334 |
| SESR | 2 | Melita Grove II | Melita Road | 8 SFD |  | 328 |
| SESR | 4 | Vintage Park Senior Apts | Colgan Avenue | 120 Sen | Hsg | 426 |
| SESR | 5 | Meadow Vista | Santa Rosa Avenue | 317 SFD |  | 440 |
| SESR | 6 | Terrace Springs | Gordon Lane | 28 SFD |  | 431 |
| SESR | 7 | Melita Grove | Melita Road | 10 SFD |  | 328 |
| SESR | 8 | Lurline Place | Lurline Way | 12 SFD |  | 405 |
| SESR | 9 | Bennett Valley Creek | Bennett Valley Road | 26 SFD |  | 234 |
| SESR | 10 | Oakmont 21 | Oakmont Drive | 12 SFD |  | 239 |
| SESR | 11 | Oakmont 19 | Oakmont Drive | 46 SFD |  | 239 |
| SESR | 12 | Maes Subdivision | Hoen Avenue | 6 SFD |  | 77 |
| SESR | 13 | Fable's Country Estates | Bennett Valley Road | 11 SFD |  | 234 |
| SESR | 14 | Hornsby Development | Bennett Valley Road | 4 SFD |  | 234 |
| SESR | 15 | Miles Development | Bennett Valley Road | 4 SFD |  | 234 |
| SESR | 16 | Castlerock II | Slate Drive | 18 SFD. | 2 MF | 407 |
| SESR | 17 | Scott Development | Bennett Valley Road | 4 SFD |  | 234 |
| SESR | 19 | Oakmont 18 | Oakmont | 42 Sen | Hsg | 239 |
| SESR | 20 | Howarth Heights | Summerfield Road | 8 SFD |  | 404 |
| SESR | 21 | Meadowcreek | Hoen Avenue | 24 SFD |  | 250 |
| SESR | 22 | Honor Oak Subdivision | Newanga Avenue | 7 SFD |  | 404 |
| SWSR | 1 | Stonypoint Apartments | Old Stony Point Rd | 66 MF |  | 214 |
| SWSR | 2 | Giffen Manor | Giffen Avenue | 74 SFD |  | 214 |
| SWSR | 3 | Evelyn's Ranch | Stony Point Road | 154 SFD |  | 225 |
| SWSR | 5 | Varnadore Subdivision | Giffen Avenue | 13 SFD |  | 214 |
| SWSR | 6 | Hayman/Stony Point Homes | Stony Point Road | 99 SFD |  | 216 |
| SWSR | 7 | Courtside Village | Sebastopol Ave | 371 SFD |  | 73 |
| SWSR | 8. | Youthbuild | Sebastopol Road | 12 SFD |  | 107 |
| SWSR | 9 | Lands of Levine/Scovell | Stony Point Road | 17 SFD |  | 214 |
| SWSR | 10 | Bellevue Ranch | Stony Point Road | 452 SFD |  | 225 |
| SWSR | 11 | Northpoint Village | Stony Point Road | 302 SFD |  | 216 |
| SWSR | 12 | Boyd Street Redevelopment | Boyd Street | 8 SFD |  | 424 |
| SWSR | 13 | Buss Court Subdivision | Giffen Avenue | 4 SFD |  | 214 |
| SWSR | 15 | Stony Point Estates No. 4 | Lazzini Avenue | 12 SFD |  | 214 |
| SWP | 21 | Parkview | Moorland Avenue | 40 SFD, | 8 MF | 74 |
| SWP |  | Gardner/Veale | Moorland Avenue | 20 SFD |  | 74 |
| SWP |  | Moorland Park | Moorland Avenue | 73 SFD |  | 74 |

QUAD=Quadrant
NESR=Northeast Santa Rosa
NWSR=Northwest Santa Rosa
SESR=Southeast Santa Rosa
SWSR=Southwest Santa Rosa
SWP $=$ Southwest Santa Rosa Planning Area
TAZ=Traffic Analysis Zone
SFD=Single Family Detached Residential

Sen Hsg=Senior Housing
$k s f=1,000$ square feet
Shop Ctr=Shopping Center
Source: TJKM Transportation Consulcancs (1997)

## APPENDIX D

## Level of Service <br> Existing Conditions

1CM: GICIIALIZED IUTERSECTION SUNQARY VEESION TJKH Traneportation Contulta

Analyot: dwl
Area Type: Othir
Crment: Existing Tratific (1/5/9s)

|  | Eantbourd |  |  |  | boun |  | Nortinbound |  |  | Sourebound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | T | R |  | T | R | $\pm$ | T | R |
| No. Linet | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | < 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | : | 2 | 1 |
| Volumea | 218 | 583 | 481 | 438 | 2141 | 73 | 82 | 321 | 2821 | 67 | 360 | 297 |
| -unc id 'It) | 15.0 | 13.0 | 11.01 | 14.0 | 13.0 |  | 12.0 | 15.0 | 15.01 |  | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| RTOR Vols |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1801 |  |  | 120 |
| -ome time | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.001 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.001 | 3.30 | 3.00 | 1.00 |



|  | inne Mvmts | Group: Cap | Intersect Ady Sat Fiou | on Pert <br> v/c <br> Ratio | rmince <br> 9/C <br> Ratio | Delay | 105 | Appro <br> Delay | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB | 4 | 173 | 1093 | 0.705 | 0.044 | 35.6 | 0 | 15.8 | $c$ |
|  | T | 1540 | 3850 | 0.397 | 0.400 | 12.5 | B |  |  |
|  | R | 816 | 1531 | 0.059 | 0.533 | 6.5 | 9 |  |  |
| w ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $\dot{4}$ | 8.1 | 3775 | 0.512 | 0.233 | 19.8 | $c$ | 10.8 | 9 |
|  | TR | 2247 | 3815 | 0.568 | 0.589 | 7.6 | B |  |  |
| :18 | $\stackrel{L}{4}$ | 177 | 1770 | 0.463 | 0.100 | 26.1 | 0 | 19.7 | $c$ |
|  | T | 729 | 4098 | 0.463 | 0.178 | 21.8 | C |  |  |
|  | 9 | 871 | 1742 | 0.117 | 0.500 | 7.7 | B |  |  |
| sp | L | 95 | 2711 | 0.705 | 0.056 | 40.6 | E | 24.9 | c |
|  | T | 530 | 1974 | 0.713 | 0.133 | 27.2 | D |  |  |
|  | R | 600 | 1742 | 0.295 | 0.344 | 14.0 | 8 |  |  |
| Intersection Delay = 15.5 eeciveh Intarmecter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :3ッ6 | Time/C | 10. 4 | - 12.0 | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | acal v/ |  | 0.5 |  |  |

:wnt Time/Cvele. L 12.0 aec Critical $v / c(x)$ - 0.545
 TJFM Transportacion Consultants $\qquad$


|  |  |  | Westbound |  | Norchbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | L | $T \quad \mathrm{R}$ | L |  | R | 2 | T | R |
| No. Lanes | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Volumes | 670189 |  |  | 29781 |  |  |  | 44 |  | 896 |
| Lana $W$ (Er) | 13.015 .0 |  |  | 13.025 .0 |  |  |  | 12.0 |  | 13.0 |
| RTOR Vole |  | 0. |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Loet Time | 3.001 .00 |  |  | 3.003 .00 |  |  |  | 13.00 |  | 3.00 |


Gytio lengry: 60 seca Phase combinacion order: 11 in us

|  | Lant Munta | Group: Cap | Intaranct <br> Adj sat Flow |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { mance } \\ g / C \end{gathered}$ Raltio | Dalay | Los | Appro Delay | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB | 1 | 2438 | 3657 | 0.283 | 0.667 | 2.7 | A | 2.3 | A |
|  | $T$ | 1673 | 2049 | 0.113 | 0.817 | 0.7 | A |  |  |
| WB | T | 315 | 3850 | 0.810 | 0.100 | 25.5 | D | 24.0 | c |
|  | R | 174 | 1742 | 0.465 | 0.200 | 27.9 | $c$ |  |  |
| SB | L | 148 | 1770 | 0.290 | 0.083 | 17.0 | c | 1.8 | A |
|  | R | 2610 | 3272 | 0.387 | 0.800 | 1.2 | A |  |  |
| Intersaction Delay = 5.7 eec/veh Interaection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

ICM: SIGIALIAED SEC:EOR JUMMARY Vermion 2.19 03-15-ij99 KM Transporcacion Conaultanca

## - $15-1099$



| Straote: (EW-W) Highway arsiyst; Wwl | Plle Name: 12HIEXPM. HC9 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Area Type: Other | 1-9-98 PM Feak |

area Typer ; Orher
110 Name: 12HIEXPM. HC9
Comant: Exasting Traffic (1/5/98)
1-9-98 PM Peak




Lont time/Cycle, 12.0 sec Critical v/c $(x)$. 12.677

HCM: SIGNALIZED INTERSECEION SGMAARY VETEION 2.49 03-15-1999

| Streets; (E-W) Montgonery Dr. | ( N -S) Miseion slvd |
| :---: | :---: |
| Analyac: Wwl | File Name: MIMOEXPM. HC9 |
| Area Type: Other | 1-9-98 PM Peak |
| Coment: Exiating Traficic (1/5/9a) |  |



cycla Lौngth: 60 sacs Phane combinarion order: \#1 \#2 \#5

|  | tane Munt: | Group: cap | Intersect Ady Sat flow | on Per <br> v/c <br> Racio | $\begin{gathered} \text { mance } \\ \text { g/Cio } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | $\underline{L}$ | Appro Dolay | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB |  | 2438 | 5 | 0.472 | 0.667 | 3 | $\cdots$ | 2.7 | $\lambda$ |
|  | T | 1673 | 2049 | 0.233 | 0.817 | 0.8 | $\hat{A}$ |  |  |
| W ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | T | 385 | 1050 | 0.636 | 0.100 | 19.2 | C | 18. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $c$ |
|  | R | 174 | 1742 | 0.436 | 0.100 | 17.5 | c |  |  |
| 98 | 1. | 140 | 2770 | 0.461 | 0.043 | 18.6 | C | 2.4 |  |
|  | R | 2518 | 3272 | 0.324 | 0.800 | 1.1 | A |  |  |
| Interametion Delay . 4.4 sec/van Intorse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost | Time | , 2 | - 9.0 | c Er | cal v/ |  | 0. |  |  |

－JkM Transportation consultants
－JKM Transportat
juite 280
santa Rosa，CA 95401－4110
Ph：（707）575－5000
trements：（N－S）MIstion BLVd
（E－W）M1sion Circle
fth of Time Aaslyzed．．． 15 （nin）

ther tafocmation．．．．．．．．．．Existing Tcaffic \｛1／6／9日）AM Paak
womay Stop－controlled intersection

$\qquad$
Release 2.10

Adjustmant Pactory

| Adjustrant Pactors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ehicle <br> 4aneuver | Critical Gap（tg） | Follow－up <br> Time（tf） |
| ，aft Tucn major Road | 5.50 | 2.10 |
| light murn minor Road | 5.50 | 2.60 |
| Ehrough traffic Minor Rosd | 6.50 | 3.30 |
| －eft Turn Minar Road | 7.00 | 3．40 |

HCS：Unsignallsed incersections Relase 2．1d MICIEXAM．ItCo Pago 2


| Step 1：RT from minor Street | W8 | EB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conllicting Plows：（uph） | 324 | 374 |
| Potantial copacity：（pcph） | 949 | 695 |
| Movemmat Capacity：（pCph） | 949 | 895 |
| Prob．of Quasorpte State： | 1.00 | 0.92 |
| Step 2：L．T from Major street | 58 | nB |
| Conflicting Plows：（vph） | 647 | 030 |
| Potential Capacity：（pcph） | 770 | 614 |
| Movemant capacity：（pcph） | 770 | 614 |
| frob，of Gu＊ue－tree State： | 1.00 | 0.86 |
| Step 3：TH from Minor street | W8 | EB |
| Conflicting Plows ：（vpis） | 1561 | 1470 |
| Potential Gapocity：（pcph） | 133 | 149 |
| Capacity Adjustment Pactor due to Indpeding Movemants | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Movement Capacity：（peph） | 113 | 127 |
| Prob，of Oueue－Frem State： | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Step 4：LT from Mitor Streat | WB | EB |
| Conflicting Plows：（Vph） | 1477 | 1520 |
| Potential Capacity：（pcpa） | 120 | 113 |
| Major LT．Hinot TH Impedance Factor： | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Adjusted Impedance Factor： | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Capacity Adjustment Factor due to Impeding movesants | 0， 81 | 0.09 |
| Movement Capacity：（peph） | 94 | 100 |

Interaection Performanco Sumary

| Mov | ment | Flow Rate （pcph） | Hove Cop （pcph） | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shared } \\ & \text { Cap } \\ & \text { (pcph) } \end{aligned}$ | Avg． <br> Total <br> Dalay sec／ven） | 95＊ Queut Length （veh） | Los | Approach Delay （sec／ven） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Es | $L$ | 46 | 100 | $>100$ | 63.5 | 1.1 | F |  |
| EB | T | 0 | 527 | ＞ |  |  |  | 27.4 |
| EB | 8 | 73 | 095 |  | 4.4 | 0.2 | $\lambda$ |  |
| WB | L | 0 | 98 | $>$ |  |  |  |  |
| WE | T | 0 | 113 | $>0$ | ＊ | ＊ | $F$ | ＊ |
| W8 | R | 0 | 949 | ＞ |  |  |  |  |
| N8 | L | 89 | 614 |  | 6.9 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.8 |
| SB | 5 | 3 | 770 |  | 4.7 | 0.0 | $\lambda$ | 0.0 |

Intarsection Daloy ． 2.1 sec／veh
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| Adjurtment pectory |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chicle raneuver | Critical <br> Gap（tg） | Follow－up <br> TLme（tf） |
| eft Turn majar Road | 5.50 | 2.10 |
| light Turn Minor Road | 5.50 | 2.60 |
| Shrough Traffic Minor Road | 6.50 | 3.30 |
| ＇eft Tutn Minor Road | 7.00 | 3.40 |
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| Stop ：：RT fron Msnor streat | W8 | C8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting flowa（（Vph） | 572 | 389 |
| Potential capacity：（pcph） | 710 | 879 |
| Movarnent Capacity：（pcph） | 710 | 679 |
| Prob．of Queuc－Frea State： | 1.00 | 0.87 |
| step 2：LT fram Najor Streat | S8 | NB |
| Conflicting glowe（Vph） | 1143 | 037 |
| Potential capacity：（pephi | 417 | 609 |
| Movenant capacity：（pcpal． | 417 | 609 |
| grob．of gumu－Pree State： | 0.99 | 0.88 |
| Step 3：TH from minor street | wa | E日 |
| Conflicting Plows（ rph ） | 2054 | 1995 |
| Potential Capacity：（pcph） | 69 | 74 |
| Capacity Adjuzemant Pactor dua to Impeding movemente | 0.66 | 0.86 |
| Hovement Capacity：\｛pCphi | 60 | 64 |
| Prob．of Queut－fton State： | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Step 6：LT from minor Streat | WB | 88 |
| Conflicting Plowa ：｜rph｜ | 1994 | 2024 |
| potantial Capacity：（pcph） | 56 | 54 |
| Major LT．Hinot TH Impedance Ractor： | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| adjusted Impedance poctor： | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Capacity Adjustmant Factor due to Impeding movements | 0.78 | 0.89 |
| movemant Capseity：（PCph） | 44 | 48 |


| Hovemant |  | Flow Rate （ PCph ） |  | Sbared Cap （рер口） | Avg． <br> Total Delay sec／von। | 958 <br> Qutue <br> Lergth <br> （veh） | cos | Approsch Delay （Eec／voh） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | L | 103 | 48 | $>40$ | 705.5 | 0.5 | \％ |  |
| Es | T | 0 | 64 | $>$ |  |  |  | 337.4 |
| $E 8$ | R | 114 | 879 |  | 4.7 | 0.4 | A |  |
| w | $\Sigma$ | 0 | 44 | ＞ |  |  |  |  |
| WB | 7 | 0 | 60 | 0 | ＊ | ＊ | $F$ | ＊ |
| w | 8 | 0 | 710 | $>$ |  |  |  |  |
| NB | $t$. | 76 | 609 |  | 6.8 | 0.4 | B | 0.4 |
| SB | 6 | 6 | 417 |  | H．${ }^{\text {H }}$ | 0.0 | \％ | 0.1 |

-JKM Transportation Consultants
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| Adjustment Factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'ehicle | Critical | Pollow-up |
| Mancuver | Gap (tg) | Time (tf) |
| Left Turn Major road | 5.50 | 2.10 |
| Right Turn minor Road | 5.50 | 2.60 |
| Through rrafflc minor Road | 6.50 | 3.30 |
| ceft turn minot Road | 7.00 | 3.40 |




| Worksheet for TWSC Intersoction |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stap 1: RT from Minot Street | NB | SB |
| Conllicting Plows: (vph) | 385 | 728 |
| Patential Capacity: (pcph) | 864 | 592 |
| movement capacity: (pCph) | 884 | 592 |
| Prob. of Queum-Pree state: | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Stop 2: LT from major streat | WB | EB |
| Conflicting Plows: (Vph) | 770 | 1457 |
| potential Capacity: (pCph) | 662 | 283 |
| Movement Capacity: (pcpt) | 662 | 283 |
| Prob, of queut-Freo State: | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Step 3: TH from minot Street | N8 | 3B |
| Conflicting Flows: (vph) | 2234 | 2232 |
| Potential Capacity: (pcph) | 54 | 54 |
| capacity Adjustmant factor due to Impeding Movements | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Hovoment Capacity: (peph) | 52 | 52 |
| Prob. of Queno-Free statas | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Stop 4: LT Erom Hinor Straet | NB | Sa |
| Conflicting Flowa (vph) | 2230 | 2232 |
| Potential capacity: (pcph) | 40 | 40 |
| Major LT, Minot TH Inpedance Factor: | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adjurted Impedance Pactor: | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Capacity Adjustment Factor due to Impeding Movenents | 0.96 | 0.98 |
| moverent Capacity: (pcph) | 38 | 39 |

Interzection Performance Suamary

| Mov | nent | Flow Rata (pcph) |  | Shared Cap (pcph)(s | Avg. <br> Total <br> Delay <br> sec/veh) | $95 \%$ Outu* Length (veh) | Los | Approach Delay (sec/von) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | L | 0 | 38 | > |  |  |  |  |
| NB | T | 0 | 52 | $>0$ | * | * | F | * |
| NB | R | 0 | 084 | > |  |  |  |  |
| SB | L | 0 | 39 | $>0$ | * | * | P |  |
| SB | T | 0 | 52 | > |  |  |  | 6.2 |
| 58 | R | 13 | 592 |  | 6.2 | 0.0 | B |  |
| EA | 1 | $\theta$ | 283 |  | 13.1 | 0.0 | c | 0.1 |
| WB | L | 0 | 662 |  | 5.4 | 0.0 | B | 0.0 |
| Intersection Delay * 0.1 sec/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Workinett for TWSC Intersection

| Step : : RT from Minor Streat | NB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flowt ( (vph) | 998 | 638 |
| Potential capacity: (pCph) | 432 | 658 |
| Movement Capacity: (peph) | 432 | 658 |
| Prob. of Quaut-free State: | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Step 2: CT from major Streat | WB | E8 |
| Conflicting plows: (vph) | 1995 | 1277 |
| Potentisl Capacity: (pCph) | 146 | 354 |
| Hovement Capacity: (pCpb) | 146 | 354 |
| Prob. of Quouo-free State: | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Step 3: TH fram Minor Streat | NB | SB |
| Conflicting Flows: (rph) | 3283 | 3280 |
| potential capacity: (pcph) | 13 | 13 |
| Capacity Adjustment Pactor due to Impeding movemants | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Moverient Capacity: (pcph) | 13 | 13 |
| Prob. of Queue-freo State: | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Step 4: LT from minor strewt | NB | SB |
| Conflicting flows: (vph) | 3278 | 3280 |
| potentisl Capacity: (peph) | 6 | - |
| Major LT, Hinot TH Impedanco Pactor: | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adjusted Impedance Factor: | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Capacity Adjustment Factor due to Impeding Movemente | 0.95 | 0.97 |
| Hoverant Capocity: (pcpb) | B | 0 |

Intermection Performance Sumary

| Moverant |  | Flow Rate (pcph) | Hove Cap (pepn) | Sthared Cap (pcph)is | Avg. <br> Total Delay sec/voh) | 951 <br> quene <br> Length <br> (veh) | Los | Approach Delay (aec/vah) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | L | 0 | $\theta$ | ) |  |  |  |  |
| NB | T | 0 | 13 | $>0$ | * | * | $F$ | * |
| NB | R | 0 | 432 | > |  |  |  |  |
| S8 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 043.8 | 0.7 | P |  |
| SB | T | 0 | 13 | $>$ |  |  |  | 245.1 |
| SB | R | 17 | 658 |  | 5.6 | 0.0 | B |  |
| Eb | 4 | 12 | 354 |  | 10.5 | 0.0 | c | 0.1 |
| WB | L | ก | 146 |  | 24.7 | 0.0 | D | 0.0 |

:ixM tralsportation consultants
intersection accident kato
is: MiEEion Ilvd/Montgonery De

| : ummar of Accidencs: | 13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| lumber of years: | 3.00 |
| ADT northleg: | 21,914 |
| r southleg: | 0 |
| - anstleg: | 6.428 |
| westleg: | 20.124 |
| 1 approaen rolu | 20,233 |

Accidant Rara=

42 Acc/mallion vah/ye
Concrol Type:
I/S Type:
Area Type:
Sigral
Tam
Sase Rate: orban

ADt factor: .30
0.0000

Expected Accidenc Race:
.30


Sourca: Accidant Data on Callfornia stata Highway (1996)

TUKM TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS Date: 03/26/99
Intersaction Accident Rate
I/S: Minaion Blvd/Highway 22

| Number of Accidents: | 69 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of yasre: | 3.00 |
| ADT norehleg, | 12,960 |
| ADT souching: | 21.914 |
| ADT castleg: | 34,500 |
| ADT westleg: | 35,500 |
| Total approach volune: | 52,437 |



Source: Accident Data on Califomma State Riqnways (1996)

Intereecrion Accident Rate
I/S: Massion Blvd/miseion Circle

| Number of Accidencs: | 5 | Acc |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of yasigs | 3.00 | $Y 5$ |  |
| ADT northleg: | 21.914 |  |  |
| ADT soukhleg: | 21.914 |  |  |
| ADT eastlog: | 0 |  |  |
| ADT weatlog: | 1,960 |  |  |
| Tocal approach volume: | 23. 894 |  |  |
| Aceident Race* | . 19 | Acesmallion | veh/yr |


| Control Type: | Stop |
| :--- | :--- |
| I/S Type: | Toe |
| Area Type: | Urban |

ADT Factor: $\quad 0.0000$

Expected Accidant Race: 14

| Control Type | I/S Typa | Area | Base | Rate | ADT | Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Control | Four-Way | Rural |  | .13 |  | 0.0000 |
| Stop and yiald | Four-Way | Rural |  | . 34 |  | 0.0000 |
| All Way stop | Four-Way | Rural |  | . 78 |  | 0.0000 |
| Stamala | Fous-Way | Rural |  | . 70 |  | 0.0000 |
| 4 Way Flabher: | Pour-Way | Rural |  | . 89 |  | 0.0000 |
| No Control | Four-Way | Suburban |  | .30 |  | 0.0000 |
| Stop and Yiald | Four-Way | Suburban |  | . 33 |  | 0.0000 |
| All Way stop | Pour-Way | Suburban |  | . 64 |  | 0.0000 |
| signala | Pour-Way | Suburban |  | . 54 |  | 0.0000 |
| 4 Hay Flanhorv | Pour-way | Suburban |  | . 58 |  | 0.0000 |
| No Canticol | Pour-Way | Octan |  | . 06 |  | 0.0000 |
| Stop and Yiald | Four-Way | Urban |  | . 24 |  | 0.0000 |
| All Way gtop | Four-Way | Crban |  | . 40 |  | 0.0000 |
| Signala | Four-Hay | Urivan |  | . 45 |  | 0.0000 |
| 4 Way Plaghers | Four-Way | Urban |  | . 55 |  | 0.0000 |
| Ho Control - | Tee - -- | Rural. | $\cdots$ | . 13. | $\cdot$ | 0.0000 |
| stop and Yiald | Tee | Rural |  | . 22 |  | 0.0000 |
| All Way stop | Tee | Rural |  | . 75 |  | 0.0000 |
| Sigrala | Tee | Rural |  | . 63 |  | 0.0000 |
| 3 Way Plaghers | . Tee | Rural |  | . 69 |  | 0.0000 |
| No Control | Tee | Suburban |  | . 14 |  | 0.0000 |
| Scop and Yield | Tee | Suburban |  | . 19 |  | 0.0000 |
| All Way stop | Tee | Suburban |  | . 55 |  | 0.0000 |
| Sigrals | Tea | Suburban |  | . 39 |  | 0.0000 |
| 3 Way Plachers | Tee | Suburban |  | . 52 |  | 0.0000 |
| No Control | Tee | Orban |  | . 11 |  | 0.0000 |
| Stop and Yiold | Tee | Urban |  | . 14 |  | 0.0000 |
| All Way stop | Tea | Urban |  | . 21 |  | 0.0000 |
| Signala | Tee | Urban |  | . 30 |  | 0.0000 |
| 3 Way flathera | Tee | Urban |  | . 31 |  | 0.0000 |

Source: Accident Data on Califormia Stata Highwayt (1996)


## APPENDIX E

## Level of Service

Existing + Approved Development Conditions



| Adjustment Factors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jenicle | Critical | Pollow-up |
| yaneuver | Gap (tg) | Timo (tf) |
| Left Turn Major Road | 5.50 | 2.10 |
| Right turn minor Road | 5.50 | 2.60 |
| Through Traftic Minot Road | 6.50 | 3. 30 |
| Seft Turn Minor Road | 7.00 | 3.40 |
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| Adjustment Pactors |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :ehicle taneuvar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Criticsl } \\ & \text { Gap (tg) } \end{aligned}$ | Foillow-up $T 1 m 0(t f)$ |
| eft TuEn Major road | 5.50 | 2.10 |
| itght Turn Minor road | 5.50 | 2.60 |
| Fhrough trafilc Minor Road | 6.50 | 3.30 |
| 'aft Turn minor Road | 7.00 | 3.40 |



Intarsection performanco SWmary
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| Step 1: RT from Hinor streot | wo | E8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flown: (vph) | 574 | 426 |
| Potential Capacity: (peph) | 709 | 042 |
| Moverent capacity: (pcph) | 709 | 042 |
| Prob. of Queue-Pree State: | 1.00 | 0.86 |
| Step 2: GT from Major Street | SB | NB |
| Conflicting flowa ( Vph ) | 1148 | 912 |
| Potantial Capacity: (pcph) | 415 | 555 |
| Hovement Capacity: (pCph) | 415 | 555 |
| Prob. of Quaua-freg Stata: | 0.99 | 0.86 |
| Step 3: TH from Hinor Strot | WB | E日 |
| Conflicting plown : ivphi | 2134 | 2075 |
| Potential Capacity: (pcph) | 62 | 67 |
| Capacity Adjustmant Factor due to Impeding movemants | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Hovoment Capacity: (pCph) | 53 | 57 |
| grob. of Quoue-Pree state: | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Step 4: ET from minar streat | wB | EB |
| ConClicting Flows: (vph) | 2074 | 2104 |
| Potential Capacity: (pcph) | 50 | 48 |
| Major tT, Ninor TH Impedance Factor: | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| Adjusted Impedance Factor: | 0.89 | 0.89 |
| Copacity Adjustment Factor due to Irapeding Movemants | 0.77 | 0.89 |
| movement Capacity: (pcph) | J | 42 |

Intersection Performance Sumary

| Hov | mat | Flow Rata (pcph) | Hove Cap (pcph) | Shared Cap ( PCPh ) | Avg. <br> Total <br> Delay <br> sec/vah) | 954 Quene Length (vath) | LOS | Approach Delay (atec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E8 | 1 | 103 | 42 | $>42$ | 861.3 | 9.0 | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ |  |
| E8 | T | 0 | 57 | $>$ |  |  |  | 412.5 |
| EB | R | 114 | 642 |  | 4.9 | 0.5 | $\lambda$ |  |
| WB | 6 | 0 | 38 | > |  |  |  |  |
| WB | T | 0 | 53 | 0 | * | * | P | - |
| WB | R | 0 | 709 | > |  |  |  |  |
| NB | L | 76 | 555 |  | 3.5 | 0.5 | D | 0.4 |
| SE | $L$ | 6 | 115 |  | 8.0 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 |



Adjustrient Factors

| raniclo <br> roneuvar | Critical Gap (tg) | Pollow-up Titne (tf) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eeft Turn Major Road | 5.50 | 2.10 |
| Right Turn Minor Road | 5.50 | 2.60 |
| -nrough Traffic Minar Road | 6.50 | 3.10 |
| Left Turn minot Road | 7.00 | 3.40 |


| Stop 1: RT fram Minor street | NB | 58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Confllcting Flows: (vph) | 390 | 760 |
| Potential Capacity: (pcph) | 878 | 570 |
| Movemant Capacity: (peph) | 878 | 570 |
| Prob. of Qupue-free State: | 1.00 | 0.98 |
| Stop 2: LTT Erom Major street | WB | EB |
| Conflicting Flows: (vph) | 780 | 1519 |
| Potential capacity: (pcph) | 654 | 252 |
| Movement Capacity: (pcph) | 654 | 262 |
| Prob. of Queuerpree Stata: | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Step 3: TH from minor street | NB | 58 |
| Conflicting Flows: (vph) | 2306 | 2304 |
| Potential Capacity: (pcph) | 49 | 49 |
| Capacity Adjustrant Pactor due to Impeding Movements | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Hoverent Capacity: (pcph | 48 | 48 |
| Prob. of Queue-Pree State: | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Step 4: LT from Hinor Street | NB | SB |
| Conflicting Plows: (vph) | 2302 | 2304 |
| Potential Capacity: (pCph) | 36 | 36 |
| Major LTT, Hinot TH Impedanca Factor: | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Adjurted Impedance Factor: | 0.98 | 0.98 |
| Capacity Adjumtment Fector due to Inpeding movements | 0.95 | 0.98 |
| Woverant Capacity: (pcph) | 14 | 35 |

Intersection Performance Sumary
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| Worksheet for TWSC Intersection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Step 1: RT from Minor Streat | NB | SB |
| Conflicting flown: (vph) | 1000 | 688 |
| Potential Capacity: (pCph) | 427 | 620 |
| movement Capacity: (pcph) | 427 | 620 |
| Prob, of Qume-Pres State: | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Step 2: LT from Major street | wB | E |
| Conflicting Flows: (vph) | 2017 | 1377 |
| Potential Capactty: (pcph) | 142 | 313 |
| Wovement Capacity: (pcph ) | 142 | 313 |
| Prob. of Queue-Pree State: | 1.00 | 0.96 |
| Step 3: TH from minor strent | NB | SB |
| Conflicting Flows: (vph) | 3405 | 3402 |
| Potential Capacity: (pcph) | 11 | 11 |
| Capacity Adjustmant Pactor due to Impeding Movementg | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Hovenment Capacity: (pcph) | 11 | 11 |
| Prob. of Gutue-Pree State: | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Step 4: LT from Minor street | NB | SB |
| Conflicting Plows: (vpin) | 1400 | 3402 |
| Potential Capacity: (peph) | 7 | 7 |
| Major LT, MLat TH Impedance Pactor: | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Adjusted Impedance Foctor: | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Capacity Adjustment Foctor due to Impeding Novementy | 0.94 | 0.97 |
| movament Capecity: (pcph) | 7 |  |

Intersection Performance Sumary

| Moverent |  | Flow Rate (pcph) |  | Sthared Cap (pcph)1 | Avg. <br> Total pelay sec/veh) | 95* <br> Queue Leagqth (veh) | 105 | Approach Delay <br> (5ec/voh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | L | 0 | 7 | > |  |  |  |  |
| N8 | T | 0 | 11 | $>0$ | * | * | $F$ |  |
| NB | R | 0 | 427 | > |  |  |  |  |
| st | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 995.4 | 0.7 | $\mathbf{P}$ |  |
| SB | T | 0 | 11 | $\geqslant$ |  |  |  | 208.7 |
| SB | R | 17 | 620 |  | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| EB | L | 12 | 313 |  | 12.0 | 0.0 | C | 0.1 |
| WB | 1 | 0 | 142 |  | 25.4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

## APPENDIX F

## Level of Service

Existing + Approved Development + Project Conditions
:iCM: SICHAL:ZED IHTERSECTION SUMMARY Version

|  | Norrhbound |  |  | Souchbound |  |  | Esecbound |  |  | ,astbo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | L | T | R | 1 | T | - | 4 |  | R |
| canea | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 |  |
| Olumes | 108 | 327 | 282 | 68 | 374 | 323 | 126 | 631 | 54 | 494 | 2234 |  |
| lane $W(f t)$ | 12.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 11.0 | 14.0 | :3.3 |  |
| RTOR Vols |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Lont time | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 0, D0 | 3. | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.0 | . 00 |  |

Phare Combiration 1
i 8 Lat


|  | Lane nemes | Group: Cap | Intersect Adj Sat Plow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { an Pert } \\ & \text { v/c } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { manca } \\ \text { g/cto } \end{gathered}$ | Sumpary dolay | 108 | Appron Delay | 108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - - | --* | -.-70 | -0.7 | ---. | \% | $\cdots$ | , | - |
| NE | 1 | 118 | 1770 | 0.915 | 0.067 | 67.2 | P | 24.2 | c |
|  | T | 592 | 4098 | 0.579 | 0.144 | 24.3 | C |  |  |
|  | 8 | 929 | 1742 | 0.304 | $0: 533$ | 7.6 | B |  |  |
| Sb | L | 114 | 1711 | 0.596 | 0.067 | 32.1 | D | 21.7 | c |
|  | T | 574 | 3974 | 0.685 | 0.144 | 26.0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | R | 658 | 1742 | 0.491 | 0.378 | 14.3 | B |  |  |
| 88 | 1 | 260 | 3833 | 0.501 | 0.067 | 27.5 | D | 13.5 | B |
|  | T | 1668 | 3850 | 0.397 | 0.433 | 11.3 | B |  |  |
|  | $R$ | 816 | 1531 | 0.066 | 0.533 | 6.6 | 8 |  |  |
| WB | ${ }_{L}$ | 839 | 3775 | 0.607 | 0.222 | 21.2 | C | 11.5 | B |
|  | $\pi R$ | 2247 | 1815 | 0.608 | 0.589 | 7.9 | B |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HGM: SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION SUMEARY Vergion 2.4g 03-15-1999





Coment: Cumulative Tratife \{w/progrezwion\}

|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Eastbound |  |  | Weertound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $T$ | R | L | T | R | L | T | 8 |
| No, Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Voluman | 266 | 466 | 630 | 87 | 364 | 194 | 375 | 1230 | 162 | 397 | 918 | 78 |
| Lapt $W$ (Et) | 22.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 14.0 | 13.0 |  |
| RTOR Vola |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| Lost Time | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 |



|  | Lane MVmes | Group: cap | Intersect <br> Ad) Sat Flow | $\begin{gathered} \text { on pert } \\ \text { v/c } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rance } \\ \text { g/cic } \end{gathered}$ | cramey | 103 | Appro <br> Delay | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | L | 295 | 1770 | 0.902 | 0.367 | 44.0 | E | 10.8 | C |
|  | T | 1047 | 4098 | 0.467 | 0.256 | 18.5 | C |  |  |
|  | R | 1045 | 1742 | 0.603 | 0.600 | 8.0 | 日 |  |  |
| SB | $\pm$ | 171 | 1711 | 0.509 | 0.100 | 26.9. | D | 17.5 | $C$ |
|  | T | 751 | 3974 | 0.509 | 0.189 | 21.6 | c |  |  |
|  | R | 1045 | 1742 | 0.286 | 0.600 | 5.2 | B |  |  |
| E8 | L | 562 | 3893 | 0.686 | 0.244 | 26.0 | D | 21.0 | c |
|  | T | 2412 | 3850 | 0.915 | 0.367 | 22.9 | C |  |  |
|  | R | 816 | 1531 | 0.443 | 0.533 | 8.6 | B |  |  |
| WB | L | 545 | 3775 | 0.750 | 0.144 | 27.8 | 0 | 20.3 | c |
|  | TR | 1395 | 3804 | 0.750 | 0.367 | 27.3 | c |  |  |

 $?$

HCM: SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION SIMGARY VEriion $\mathbf{2 . 4 g} 03-15-1999$


:C4: : : ENALIEED :NTERSECTION SUMMARY YEraion TJKM Tranaporcation Conaultants


|  | Lane Hvme: | Group: Cap | Intersect Adj Sat Flow | ion perf <br> v/c <br> Ratio | $\begin{gathered} \text { g/c } \\ \text { Ratio } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | LOS | Appro Deday | $\cos$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | - |  |  |  | -.... | 11-- | $\cdots$ | 2. |  |
|  | R | 2366 | 3272 | 0.414 | 0.723 | 2.4 | A |  | A |
| EB | $L$ | 1519 | 3657 | 0.468 | 0.415 | 9.1 | 8 | 7.7 | B |
|  | 7 | 1324 | 2049 | 0.149 | 0.646 | 2.9 | A |  |  |
| WB | T | 711 | 3850 | 0.452 | 0.185 | 25.6 | c | 13.2 | B |
|  | R | 938 | 1742 | 0.094 | 0.538 | 4.7 | A |  |  |
| Interaection Delay . $6.5 \mathrm{amc} / \mathrm{voh}$ Interaection 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sost | Time/ | cle, | 6.0 me | C Cri | cal v/ | (x) | 0.4 |  |  |

Lost Time/Cycte, $i=6.0$ sac Critical v/c $(x) \quad-0.422$

HOM: SIGNALI2ED INTERSECTION SUMARRY VETIION 2.49 D3-15-1999 TJOK Transportation Conaultanto
in lane
Streets: (N-S) Acacia
Anslygt: Walt Gava
(E-W) Highway 12
Area Type: Other
Coomens: Cumulative Traffictelprogran 3-15-99 AM Peak

|  | Northbound | Southbound | Eastbound | Westbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% T R | L T R | L $\quad$ T R |
| No. Lance | $0>1 \leqslant 0$ | $0>2<0$ | $12<0$ | $12<0$ |
| Volutuen | $\begin{array}{llll}65 & 1 & 27\end{array}$ | 112 | 7 793 13 | 615315 |
| Lane $\boldsymbol{N}$ (ft) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.013 .0 | 15.013 .0 |
| RTOR Vola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lost Eimg | 3.003 .003 .00 | 3.003 .003 .00 | 3.003 .003 .00 | $3.003 .00 \quad 1.00$ |




|  | tane Munt: | Group : Cap | $\begin{gathered} \text { Interanct } \\ \text { Adj Sat } \\ \text { Flow } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on Pere } \\ & \text { v/c } \\ & \text { Ratio } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rance } \\ \text { g/c } \\ \text { Racio } \end{gathered}$ | Delay | 103 | Appraa Delay | $\cos$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | LTR | 174 | 1730 | 0.535 | 0.100 | 27.4 | D | 27.4 | D |
| 58 | LTR | 163 | 1633 | 0.086 | 0.100 | 23.8 | C | 23.8 | c |
| R8 | $t$ | 87 | 1947 | 0.081 | 0.044 | 26.6 | 0 | 5.5 | - |
|  | TR | 2390 | 3840 | 0.354 | 0.622 | 5.4 | 8 |  |  |
| W8 | L | 87 | 1947 | 0.069 | 0.044 | 26.6 | 0 | 7.6 | B |
|  | TR | 2394 | 3848 | 0.674 | 0.622 | 7.5 | B |  |  |
| (rnearesetion Delay - 7.7 eec/vah Intersection Los |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Los | Time/C | cle. | - 12.0 | c Cr | cal |  | 0.5 |  |  |

:CA: EIGIAL:ZED (SECTION SUMMARY Fersion 2.49, 03-15-1999 TKM Transportation Ejnaultants



HCM: SIGNALIZED ENTERSECTION SIMMARY VETAION 2.4g 0J-15-1999 TJMA Trangportation Consultanta


SIGNALSNED IRTEREECF
FYM TRANSPORTATION CONSUL:AA
:MPERSECTION., Hzgnway 12/Misaion 日lva


| - | P. | $\square$ | $v$ | 8 | g/C | 9 | $\Sigma$ | $=0$ | $\overline{0}$ | 0 m | 095 | angeh |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| :38 | 4 | 1 | 108 | 1770 | . 057 | . 030 | 84.0 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 4 | 100 |
|  | $T$ | 2 | 343 | 4098 | . 144 | . 048 | 77.0 | 7.0 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 6 | 150 |
|  | $R$ | 1 | 282 | 1742 | . 533 | . 078 | 12.0 | 8.1 | . 9 | J. 3 | 5 | 125 |
| 38 | L | $\pm$ | 68 | 1711 | . 067 | . 019 | 84.0 | 3.5 | . 8 | 1.6 | 3 | 75 |
|  | \% | 2 | 393 | 3974 | . 144 | . 055 | 77.0 | 8.5 | 2.0 | 4.2 | 7 | 175 |
|  | 3 | : | 323 | 1742 | . 378 | . 090 | 56.0 | 12.7 | 1.9 | 5.0 | 8 | 200 |
| E3 | $\pm$ | 2 | 130 | 1893 | . 067 | . 018 | 84.0 | 2.9 | . 7 | 1.5 | , | 75 |
|  | - | 2 | 663 | 3850 | .433 | . 092 | 51.0 | 20.6 | 1.6 | 4.7 | \% | 200 |
|  | ? | 1 | 54 | 1531 | . 533 | . 015 | 42.0 | 1.5 | . 2 | . 6 | 1 | 50 |
| , 8 | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | 2 | 509 | 3775 | . 222 | . 071 | 70.0 | 10.9 | 2.2 | 5.0 | ${ }^{8}$ | 200 |
|  | 72 | 2 | 1367 | 3815 | . 589 | . 190 | 37.0 | 20.7 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 11 | 275 |

## nenumber of lanas

(addjuEred Clow rite (vph) Erom Highway Capactty celculation
suadjusted gaturacion flow rate (vph) from Highway Capacity calculacions
3/CaGreen to cycla ratio troa higmay capacity calculacione
quaveraqe arrival rate per lane (vpa)-v/n/j600
rafifective fund time (sect.
$0=$ Time after atart of green that quaue ia disalpated teoc)
jeaverage number of vehicles in queve
memaximum number vehsclal in queue, uniform arrival race
295 maximum number vehicies in queue, poision Distribution 95: contidence level
Length baned on 25 feet par vahicle
SOURCES: Transpartation and Traffic Engingarimg Handbook, 2nd Edition poisson and other Distributiona in rraftic

TJIGA TRANSPORTATION CONGULTANTS
QUEUE LENGTH CALCULATION SIGNLIZED INTERSECTION


## nonumber of lanes

yondjustad tlow rate (yph) from Highway Capacicy calculations
seadjusted saturation flow rate (vph) Irom Highway capacity calculationa
g/Cugreen to cycle ratio from Highmy capacicy calculationa
q-Average arravel raca per lama (vpalev/n/1600
ronffective red time (rec)
me after start of grann that quoue is diasppated faec
prage number of vehiclas in quatue
gimmaximum number veniclan in queve, unitorm arrival rate $295=$ Maximus number vehician in queue. Poiseon Digeribution 95t conildance level
Lengeth besed on 25 geet per vanicle
sOURCES: Traneportation and Traffic Engıneering Handbook, 2nd Edition Poisson and Othor Distributiong in Traffic
nonumber of lanes
veAdjuated flow rate (vph) from highway Capacity calculacions
oadjusted maturation flow.rate (vph) from Highway Capacity calcuiacions
g/C-Green to cycle ratio from Highway Capacity calculations
quaverage arrival srete per lape (vpe) ev/n/1600
r-affective red time (ouc)
towime after atart of groen that quoug ia diseipated (sec
Q-Avarage number of vahiclas in queum
Qumenimua number vehicles in queue, uniform arrival rate
095 maximum numar vahicles in queva, Polsson Distribution
5t confidence laval
sources: Tranaportation and Traffic Engineering Handbook, 2nd Edition Possson and Other Distributions in Traffic

TJNA TRANSPORTATION CONSULTANTS
gUEUE LENGTH CALCULATION
SIGNALIZPD INTERSECTION
INTERSECTION. . Miasion Blvd/Montgomaty Drive

| ANALYST....... | Wwi |
| :---: | :---: |
| DATR. | 03/18/99 |
| TIME. | PM Peak |
| Coapta | Cumulativa Trat |

CYCIE LENGTH. 65 seconda

| LANS | GRP. | n | $v$ | 3 | 9/C | 9 | $r$ | to | $\bar{\square}$ | 0 m | 095 | Lengr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB | 2 | 1 | 79 | 2770 | . 354 | . 022 | 42.0 | 2.0 | . 3 | . 9 | 2 | 30 |
|  | R | 2 | 930 | 3272 | . 815 | . 129 | 12.0 | 4.0 | . 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 75 |
| $E 8$ | $t$ | 2 | 1216 | 3657 | .415 | . 169 | 38.0 | 14.9 | 2.8 | 6.4 | 10 | 250 |
|  | T | 1 | 108 | 2049 | . 415 | . 108 | 38.0 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 4.1 | 7 | 175 |
| WB | T | 2 | 252 | 3850 | . 092 | . 035 | 59.0 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 4 | 100 |
|  | R | 1 | 86 | 1742 | . 538 | . 024 | 30.0 | 1.6 | . 2 | . 7 | 1 | 50 |

## nonumber of lande

voAdjusted flow rate (vph) from Highway Capacity calculations
-adjuated atcuration flow raca (vph) from Highway Gapacity calculatione
g/Cograen to cycle ratio from Highway Capacity calculations
q-Averago arival rate pex lane (vposov/n/3600
reaffective red thme (ouc)
t0-Tina aftar atart of grean that queue is dimmipatad (aec)
QeAverage numbar of vahiclea in quate
promaximun number vaniclop in quaun, unizarm arrival raco
Q95-maximum number vehicies in quaus, Posision Diseribution 95t confidence level
bangth based on 25 feet per vehicle
gourchs: Tranaportation and Trateic Engineering Handbook. Ind Edition Possmon and Other Distributiona in traffic

## SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION

| IMTERSECTION. . Mission 8lvd/Misino ANALYST....... Wwl <br> JАTE. .......... 03/18/99 <br> \#Z坞. . . . . . . . . AM fenk <br> Comesmy . . ..... Cumplative Traitic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TYELE LENKTH. 10 seconds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -MNE | GRP. | n | $\checkmark$ | - | g/C | q | $r$ | 60 | $\overrightarrow{2}$ | Qin | 095 | Lengr $h$ |
| :88 | L | 1 | * 1 | 1770 | . 143 | . 023 | 60.0 | 2.9 | . 6 | 1.3 | 2 | 50 |
|  | TR | 2 | 708 | 4079 | . 371 | . 098 | 44.0 | 9.2 | 1.6 | 4.3 | 7 | 175 |
| 5B | E | 1 | 28 | 1770 | . 143 | . 008 | 60.0 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 5 | 1 | 50 |
|  | TR | 3 | 970 | 5677 | . 371 | . 090 | 44.0 | 8.7 | 1.5 | 4.0 | 6 | 150 |
| SB | LT | 1 | 43 | 1812 | . 143 | . 012 | 60.0 | 1.5 | . 3 | . 7 | 2 | 50 |
|  | ? | 1 | 66 | 1615 | . 286 | . 018 | 50.0 | 2.1 | . 3 | . 9 | 2 | 50 |
| iB | LTR | 1 | 63 | 2565 | . 171 | . 018 | 58.0 | 2.4 | . 4 | 1.0 | 2 | 50 |

## annumber of lanes

veAdjusced flow race (vpht from Highmay opacity calculaciona -
gadjusted sacuration fion tate (rphi from Highuay Capacity calculation g/CoGrean to cycla ratio Erom Highway Capacaty caiculations
q=Average arrival rate per lane (vpil)ev/n/3600
teeltective red tive seec)
toetiog after start of green that quaue is dismipated (sest)
Quaverage mumbar of vahicles in cquae

Q95mMaximum number vaincies in queug, Poingon Diotribution 95t confidence level
Langth baged on 25 teet per vehicle
SOURCES: Tranaportation and Traffic Engineering Handbook, 2nd Edition poisson and Other DiEtributions in Tratfic

TJKM TRANSPORTATION CONSULTAN:

## OUEUE LOTGTH CALCULATION

 SIGNALJZED IMTERSECTION

## CYCLE EXNGTH. 70 saconds

|  | P. | ก | $\checkmark$ | 8 | 9/6 | 9 | z | co | $\overline{0}$ | 8 mm | 095 | Sentur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | $\pm$ | 1 | 69 | 1770 | . 200 | . 019 | 63.0 | 2.6 | . 6 | 1.2 | 2 |  |
|  | IR | 2 | 1273 | 4063 | . 371 | . 177 | 44.0 | 20.1 | 3.6 | 7.8 | 12 | - |
| SB | $L$ | 1 | 69 | 1770 | . 071 | . 019 | 65.0 | 2.6 | . 6 | 1.2 | 2 |  |
|  | TR | 3 | 996 | 5902 | . 343 | . 092 | 46.0 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 4.2 | 7 |  |
| EB | ET | 1 | 95 | 1810 | . 200 | . 026 | 56.0 | 3,1 | . 6 | 1, 5 | 2 |  |
|  | R | 1 | 104 | 1615 | . 300 | . 029 | 49.0 | 3.4 | . 5 | 1.4 | 2 |  |
| *B | 4TR | 2 | 141 | 1526 | . 186 | . 019 | 57.0 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 4 |  |

## nenumber of lane

vaddjuted flom race (yph) irom Highway Capactty calculations
swadjustod saturacson flow rato (vph) from Highway Capacity ealoulations g/CoGreen to cycla ratio erow Mighway capacity cajeudations
q-Average arrival race per lane (vpos) $v / n / 3600$
q-average arrival race per
reetfeceive red eime (zec)
tomTitia aftor arart of groen that quau* ia digsipated (aec)
Q-Average namer of vahiclen in qualue
gromariauth number vahicles in queut, uniform arrival raca
Q95-maximum numor vabicies in quale. Poiesion Dittribution 95: conitidence level
Langth beaed on 25 foet per vehicla
SOURCES: Tranporcacion and rraffic engineering Mandmook, 2nd Edition Poigtion and Othar Diatributions in Traffic


P200 2

Huphway 12



Proe 1


Misson Bocievara

|  |  |  |  |  |  | Cisis. |  |  | NB |  | 58 |  | $\overline{\text { AVE. }}$ |  | Tos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Run 1 | 212 | -ac | 142 | $\stackrel{\text { cec }}{ }$ | $\frac{817}{17}$ | 40 |  |
| noma oren M Montectio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Run ${ }^{-1}$ | 241 | SEC | 169 | $\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}$ | 205 | $\stackrel{\text { ac }}{ }$ |  |
| Sepmant | Completed |  | - Approved |  | "cumutajore |  |  | ..Ave | 2265 | cac | 1555 | $\stackrel{\circ c}{ }$ | 191. | $\underline{00}$ |  |
|  | -MB. | 星 |  | -88 | N | 58. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 000 | -040 | 000 | 010 |  | - Disatanca | 6019 | . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 032 | 031 | 034 | 047 | $0{ }^{0} 4$ | 047 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stray | -239 |  | 000 | 000 | 1630 | 119 |  | Spooc |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3689.1910 |  | O23 | $0 \overline{00}$ | -091 | 031. | 041 |  | Exisith | 1812 | mpn | 2239 | mph | 2228 | mpt | C- |
| Stinui | 020. |  | 000 | 000 | -700 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stipnam |  |  | 000 | 0.00 | 000 | 1650 |  | Approvad | 2398 | $\cdots$ | 231.9 | sac |  |  |  |
| 1910. 3525 | 081 | 070 | 0.7 | 120 | 0.6 | 117 |  | With elprals | 2396 | sec | 2323 | $\stackrel{\text { boc }}{ }$ |  |  |  |
| 5655 1626 | 077 | 076 | 083 | 14 | $00^{6}$ | 1.12 |  |  | 1713 | mph | 그프 | mat | 1740 | mph | 0 |
| 3828.1955 | 万76 | 074 | 06 | $1: 10$ | 080 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1055.8665 | ${ }_{0}{ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 026 | 027 | 036 | 027 | 037 | - | Cumudiative | 2309 | $\square$ | $2 \overline{227} 7$ | sec |  |  |  |
| 3685.1053 | 024. | 024 | 024 | 034 | 024 | 033 |  | wion spraly | 2473 | Lec | 2230 | $\underline{500}$ |  |  |  |
| TOYA닌 | 3 \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 318 | 365 | 309 | 303 | $4{ }^{\text {P5 }}$ |  |  | 1898 | mph | 1140 | mph | 1750 | mph | 0. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cima |  | H | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | $!$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| F | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| E | 0 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D | 17 | 14 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{c}$ | 22. | 18 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | $\frac{28}{35}$ | 24 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A. |  | 30 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

May 14, 1999

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { CITY OF SANTA ROSA } \\
\text { P.O. Rox } 1678 \\
\text { Santa Rose CA } 95402 \\
\text { MAY } 171999 \\
\text { DEPARTMENT OF } \\
\text { COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT }
\end{gathered}
$$

Frank Kasimov, City Planner
Department of Community Development
P.O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678

## Re: Santa Rosa Apartments

Dear Mr. Kasimov,
The purpose of this letter is to provide information on the impact of increasing the number of units for Santa Rosa Apartments from 267 to 277.

## Trip Generation

Daily trip generation will increase from 1727 to 1792 trips per day, an increase of 65 daily trips or $3.8 \%$. During the priority peak hour trip generation will increase 5 trips per hour ( $3.7 \%$ ) from 113 trips per hour to 117 trips per hour. During the evening peak hours trip generation will increase 7 trips per hour ( $4.2 \%$ ) from 168 trips per hour to 175 trips per hour.

Revised trip generation for Santa Rosa Apartments is listed in Table I.
Table I
Trip Generation: Santa Rosa Apartments

| Land Use Description |  |  | Daily |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Units | No. | Rate | Trips | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Apartments | du | 267 | 6.47 | 1727 | 23 | 113 | 136 | 114 | 54 | 168 |
| Apartments | du | 277 |  | 1792 | 24 | 117 | 141 | 119 | 56 | 175 |
| \% Increase |  | 10 |  | 65 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  | 3.7\% |  |  | 3.7\% |  |  | 4.2\% |

$\mathrm{Du} \approx$ dwelling units
Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)

Impact

Service levels were recalculated for the cumulative projects of Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors. Intersection delays and level of service for the revised Santa Rosa Apartments project is compared to the level of service results presented in Table II. Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Aparments and Mission Arbors (March 1999). Calculation sheets are attached.

Table II
Intersection Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project Traffic Conditions

| Intersection | Existing |  |  |  | Existing Plus Approved Development 267 Apartments |  |  |  | Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project 277 Apartments |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
| Signalized Intersections Fighway 12/Mission Blvd | 15.5 | C | 19.9 | C | 16.0 | C | 19.8 | C | 16.0 | C | 20.2 | C |
| Mission Blvd/Montgomery Dr | 5.7 | B | 4.4 | A | 6.5 | B | 9.2 | B | 6.5 | B | 9.2 | B |
| Mission Blvd/Mission Circle | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 11.6 | B | 14.8 | B | 11.6 | B | 14.8 | B |
| Highway 12/Acacia Lane | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 7.7 | B | 6.4 | B | 7.7 | B | 6.4 | B |

[^2]Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1998)

## Conclusions

In conclusion the increase in project size of Santa Rosa Apartments from 267 to 277 units will not change for calculations and recommendations presented in Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors (March 1999)

Respectully submitted,


Walter W. Laabs Jr. P.E.
Branch Manage
/de
066-072L.2wl
cc: Jim Hummer Abbie Urban Gene Benton Julie Gum Mike Sass
David Casty
Denise Peter
Bill Knight
Chris Costin
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|  | Lana | Group: Cap | Intersec Adj gat Flov | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{sen} \text { Perfe } \\ \text { v/c } \\ \text { Racio } \end{gathered}$ | 9/C patio | sumeary | 105 | Approne Delay | n: 20s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | Northbound | scuthboumd | Pasthourd | westibound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L $\quad$ T $\quad 2$ | $1 \quad \mathrm{~T}$ | b $\quad$ R | L $\quad$ ¢ |
| No, Innea | $12<0$ | $13 \leq 0$ | $0>1$ | $0>1<0$ |
| Volumas | 69114369 | 6954659 | 9412104 | 531105 |
| Lene $W$ (ft) | 12.015 .0 | 12.014 .0 | 12.012 .0 | 12.0 |
| RTOR Vols | 01 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Loac Tise | 3.003 .003 .001 | 3.003 .00 | .00 3.003 .00 | 3.003 .003 .00 |


-.-.

|  | Lane Hvats | Group: <br> Cap | $\begin{gathered} \text { Adj Sat } \\ \text { Plow } \end{gathered}$ | v/c | 9/C | Delay | L0s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Appr } \\ & \text { Dela } \end{aligned}$ | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NB | L | 177 | 1770 | 0.150 | 0.100 | 19.5 | C | . 16.4 | C |
|  | TR | 1509 | 4063 | 0.844 | 0.371 | 16.3 | c |  |  |
| 58 | 2 | 126 | 1770 | 0.546 | 0.071 | 23.9 | C | 12.7 | B |
| 68 | $\begin{array}{rrr} 3 R & -2024 \\ \mathrm{Lt} & 362 \end{array}$ |  | $5902-0.492$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.343 \\ 0.200 \end{array}$ |  | 5 | 23.5 | B |
|  |  |  | $1410$ | 0.252 |  | 15.4 | C |  |  |
|  | R | 485 | 1615 | 0.215 | 0.300 | 12.9 | 8 |  |  |
| ws | LIR 283 |  | 1526rectiom |  | 0.186 | 17.7 | c | 17.7 | ${ }_{\text {C }}$ |
|  |  |  | 14.6 |  | veh I | rsec | on 2 |  |  |
| 0 | T1m | , |  |  | 12.0 | ical v/ |  | 0.600 |  |  |

Lont Thme/Cycle, b 12.0 asct. Critical $v / e(x)=0.600$


Thame Combrnation 1

signal Operation

Intersection pertormance sumery





May 5, 1999

Mr. Frank Kasimov
City of Santa Rosa
Dept. of Community Development
100 Santa Rosa Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678
Dear Mr. Kasimov:

## MISSION ARBORS AND SANTA ROSA APARTMENTS - Response to Comments

Thank you for continuing to include the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in the environmental review process for the above-referenced projects. We have reviewed your response to our comments. We forward the following information.

On page 1 in paragraph 5, the traffic volumes of 4,712 and 123 do not reflect what is shown in Figure 2 of the Final Traffic Study. The volumes are 4912 and 323, respectively, in the Traffic Study dated March 19, 1999.

On page 2, the $4^{\text {th }}$ paragraph refers to Figure 6. There is no Figure 6 in the Traffic Study dated March 19, 1999. We assume that the traffic volume in Figure 5 was used in the queue length calculation.

Since no geometrics were given in the Traffic Study, we take the results of the Level of Service (LOS) and queue length at all intersections under advisement. We reserve the right to make specific comments on design and signal phasing requirements during the permit phase, when plans will be submitted for our review.

Sincerely,
HARRY Y. YAHATA
District Director
By:


JEAN C. R. FINNEY
District Branch chief
IGR/CEQA

CITY OF SANTA ROSA
P.O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa, CA 95402
MAY 071999
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

April 19. 1999
Frank Kasimov, Ciry Planner
City of Santa Rosa
Deparment of Comrmunity Development
P. O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa. CA 95401-1678

## Re: Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Apartments

## CITY OF SANTA ROSA

P.O. $80 \times 1678$

Santa Rosa, CA 95402
APR 191999

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DENELDPMENT

Dear Frank,
Reference is made to your letter of April 16, 1999 where you transmitted Caltrans' letter SON-012-18.82/19.40 over SON012332 over SONO12360 of April 15, 1999 to Jim Hummer.

The response to comments is arranged in the same order as the comments are listed in this letter.
The average delay at Highway 12 and Mission Circle decreases from 19.9 seconds per vehicle to 17.2 seconds per vehicle when traffic from approved development is added to existing deveiopment.

The increase in traffic due to approved development was obtained by executing the Santa Rosa Traffic Model for completed development traffic conditions and for completed and approved development traffic conditions. Turning movement volumes were noted in each case and a growth factor was calculated for each turn movement. This growth factor was applied to the traffic volume data that was collected for the traffic impact study.

The total volume through the intersection increased from 4,712 vehicles per hour to 5,090 vehicles per hour. an increase of $8 \%$; however, the eastbound right turn increased from 123 vehicies per hour to 366 vehicles per hour, an increase of $198 \%$. The eastbound right turn movement is served with the eastbound through phase. In addition the eastbound right turn is accommodated without opposition during the northbound left turn phase.

The eastbound right turn is not a critical movement. The delay to the eastbound right tum movement is lower than the delay to the total intersection. Therefore when the volume of a non-critical movement increases substantially the overall total delay decreases.

The trip generation for the proposed Downey Savings Bank was based on actual experience because the trip generation rates contained in the sixth edition of Trip Generation do not accurately reflect the proposed land use. After the banking industry was reorganized, the editors of Trip Generation combined the land uses category of savings and loan with bank. The result is a trip generation rate that is more representative of futl service banks such as Bank of America or Wells Fargo Bank. The reason data from four branches was used is that the data was available. January 1998 was used because that was the latest full month available when the traffic impact study was completed.

A trip generation rate of 143.14 trip ends per 1.000 square feet of floor area was used in the Traffic

Impact Study. The fifth edition of Trip Generation includes a land use category of drive-in Savings and Loan with a trip generation rate of 74.17 trip ends per 1,000 square feet of floor area. This was based on one observation. The rate used in the traffic impact analysis is nearly twice the published rate and is based on transactions at four facilities.

The Traffic Impact Study for Mission Arbors (March 1998) showed a decrease in the eastbound right turn volume from 366 vehicles per hour to 362 vehicles per hour. This is caused by pass-by traffic altering their route in order to go to Mission Arbors. An average pass-by rate of $15 \%$ was used in the analysis. Some of the traffic that currently makes an eastbound right turn at Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard and passes by the site along southbound Mission Boulevard will continue eastbound on Highway 12, make a right turn into the driveway in order to avoid the traffic signal at Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle. These same drivers will however use the traffic signal to exit Mission Arbors, make a left turn and continue their journey on southbound Mission Boulevard. Based on the distribution of trips shown in Figure 5 of Traffic Impact Study for Mission Arbors and the pass-by rate of $15 \%$, a total of four trips per hour are estimated to divert their route.

Table XI on page 24 of Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors uses the term "Expected Rate". This should be changed to "Average Rate". Text references to expected accident rate on page 24 should be changed to average accident rate.

The queue length calculation for the intersection of Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard is included in Appendix F. Calculations were based on the traffic volumes shown in Figure 6.

The queue length for the southbound movement at Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle is 150 feet during the morning peak hour and 175 feet during the evening peak hour.

The westbound dual left turn lane at Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard will have a queue length of 200 feet during the morning peak hour and 175 feet during the evening peak hour. The westbound dual left turn lane is 240 feet in length.

It is not our standard practice to show existing geometrics on all related roadway segments in a traffic impact study unless we are recommending additional lanes or changes in lane use. Our recommendation for Mission Boulevard between Highway 12 and Mission Circle was described in the text. Additional details will be developed during the design phase of the project.

This concludes my response to Caltrans' letter of April 15, 1999.
If you have any questions please do not hesitate to give me a call at 575-5800.
Very truly yours,


Walter W. Labs Jr., P.E.
Senior Associate/Branch Manager
/kail
066-072L.2w
cc: Alice Jackson, Caltrans
Julie Gum, Caltrans
Gene Benton, City of Santa Rosa
Jim Hummer
Abbie Urban
Mike Sass
David Casty
Denise Peter
Bill Knight
Chris Costin

## CITY OF SANTA ROSA <br> P.O. Box 1678 <br> Santa Rosa, CA 95402

## APR $1^{\text {y }} 1999$ <br> SON-012-18.82/19.40 <br> SON012332 <br> DEPARTMENT OF <br> SONO12360

Mr. Frank Kasimov
City of Santa Rosa
Dept. of Community Development
100 Santa Rosa Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678
Dear Mr. Kasimov:

## MISSION ARBORS AND THE SANTA ROSA APARTMENTS - Traffic Study

Thank you for continuing to include the California Department of Transportation (Caitrans) in the environmental review process for the above-referenced projects. We forward the following comments:

In Table V on Page 12, the Highway 12/Mission Boulevard intersection shows the "Existing P.M. Peak Hour Delay" to be 19.9 seconds. The "Existing Plus Approved Development, P.M. Peak Hour Delay" is 17.2 seconds. Please explain why the delay is reduced with the development.

In reference to Table VI on Page 14, the trip generation for Downey Bank is the same as what was shown in the Mission Arbors Traffic Impact Study (Table V on Page 15). In that study, the average number of transactions at four (4) branches of Downey Savings in California was used to determine trip generation. What are the sizes of those branches? Why weren't bank branches with similar services, size and location used to determine trip generation? And, why was the month of January selected? Is it the month with highest banking activity?

Comparing Figure 3 of this study to Figure 6 of the Mission Arbors study, Intersection \#3, rightturn traffic volumes from eastbound Highway 12 reduce from 366 to 362 with this project. Is this possible?

In Table XI on Page 24, the heading "Expected Rate" should be changed to "Average Rate". Also on page 24, last paragraph, and page 25 first paragraph, what was the traffic volume used to calculate the queue length?

Page 25, under "Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle," what will be the queue length for the through southbound traffic at the intersection of Mission Boulevard/Mission Circle for both the AM and PM peak hours?

This study needs to analyze whether the existing left-turn lane on Highway 12 at Mission Boulevard has enough storage to handle the increased left-turn traffic.

Also, this study should include a plan that shows the geometrics on all related roadway segments and intersections.

Once again, thank you for continuing to include Caltrans in the review of the traffic studies for these projects. If you have any questions regarding these comments, please feel free to call Alice Jackson of my staff at (510) 622-1644.

Sincerely,
HARRY Y. YAHATA
District Director
By:


JEAN C. R. FINNEY
District Branch Chief
IGR/CEQA

# CITY OF SANTA ROSA Santa Rosa CA 9 95402 

Marie Meredith
Senior Planner
City of Santa Rosa
Department of Community Development
P. O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa, CA 95402
Dear Marie,
Reference is made to Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors in the City of Santa Rosa which was delivered to Frank Kasimov on March 19, 1999.

Subsequent to the completion of the study I had a discussion with Gene Benton, City Traffic Engineer, about the corridor analysis used in the Traffic Impact Analysis. The three corridors used in the analysis were consistent with those used in City of Santa Rosa Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment Draft Environmental Impact Report of November 1995, Traffic Impact Analysis for General Plan Amendment Highway 12/Mission Boulevard, January 1998 and Traffic Impact Analysis for Mission Arbors, March 1998.

In order to determine the maximum impact of the project we agreed to evaluate a corridor that included Montgomery Drive between Summerfield Road and Mission Boulevard, Mission Boulevard between Montgomery Drive and Highway 12, and Highway 12 between Mission Boulevard and Middle Rincon Road. This corridor includes all four study intersections and will demonstrate the maximum traffic impact of the project because of two new traffic signals that will be added by the projects.

The corridor currently operates at LOS B. Traffic from approved development will reduce the service level to LOS C. When traffic generated by the two projects is added to approved development traffic and the delays caused by two new traffic signals are included, the service level drops to LOS D. The General Plan specifies that LOS D is to be maintained along all major corridors.

Table II, Table IV and Table IX have been revised. New tables are furnished for your use. A revised calculation sheet for Appendix $F$ is also provided.

Please note that additional information does not change the conclusions or recommendations contained in Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors in the City of Santa Rosa.

If you have any questions please give me a call at (707) 575-5800.
Very truly yours,
buet citholald
Walter W. Laabs Jr., P.E.
Branch Manager
/kal
066-072L. I wl
attachments
cc: Gene Benton
Julie Gum
Jim Hummer
Abbie Urban
Mike Sass
David Casty
Jack Macy
Bill Knight
Chris Costin

## Table II (revised)

Arterial Level of Service, Existing Tràffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Average <br> Travel Speed <br> (mph) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montgomery Dr-Mission BI-Highway 12 <br> Middle Rincon-Mission-Montgomery-Summerfield <br> Summerfield-Mission-Highway 12-Middle Rincon <br> AVERAGE | II | $\frac{29.7}{24.6}$ | B |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)

## Table IV (revised)

Arterial Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Existing |  | Existing Plus <br> Approved <br> Development |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS |
| Montgomery Dr-Mission Bl-Highway 12 <br> Middle Rincon-Mission-Montgomery-Summerfield <br> Summerfield-Mission-Highway 12-Middle Rincon <br> AVERAGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29.7 \\ \underline{18.6} \\ \hline 24.1 \end{array}$ | B | $\begin{array}{r}21.5 \\ \underline{18.0} \\ \hline 19.6\end{array}$ | C |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)
-

Table IX (revised)
Arterial Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Existing |  | Existing Plus Approved Development |  | Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Travel Speed (mph) | Los | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS |
| Montgomery Dr-Mission Bl-Highway 12 <br> Middle Rincon-Mission-Montgomery-Summerfield Summerfield-Mission-Highway 12-Middle Rincon AVERAGE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { II } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29.7 \\ & \frac{18.6}{24.1} \end{aligned}$ | B | $\begin{aligned} & 21.5 \\ & 18.0 \\ & \hline 19.6 \end{aligned}$ | C | $\begin{aligned} & 19.1 \\ & \frac{14.7}{16.9} \end{aligned}$ | D |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)


## DATE: April 2, 1999

TO: $\quad$ FRANK R. KASIMOV, CITY PLANNER
FROM: EUGENE R. BENTON, DEPUTY DIRECTOR - TRAFFIC


## SUBJECT: MISSION ARBORS TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY

I have reviewed the Traffic Impact Study and the additional supplemental work efforts for arterial level of service as completed by TJKM consultants for the Santa Rosa Apartment and Mission Arbors. I would like to make the following comments.

1. The study, together with the supplemental work efforts, provides adequate information to review the traffic impacts that the development will have on the street systems.
2. The study did not document that the traffic signal timing used on Highway 12 was using coordination traffic signal timing. From conversations with the consuitant coordination timing was used and the impacts on Highway 12 and on Mission Boulevard was based upon this timing.

With coordination signal timing the purpose is to maintain larger amounts of green time and move more traffic on the coordinated street. This was important because the level of service on the coordinated street (Highway 12 ) will always be better than the side street (Mission Boulevard) due to the nature of the timing. Having the coordinated timing used in the calculation of level of service establishes a more realistic analysis of the future conditions.

The level of service at intersections and the corridors indicated in the study meets the requirements of the General Plan.
3. The consultant had originally used Mission Boulevard from Montgomery Drive to Montecito Boulevard to measure corridor level of service. This corridor is not indicative of the major traffic movements during the afternoon peak period.

The consultant completed a supplemental analysis of the traffic using the corridor traveling from Montgomery Drive/Summerfield Road to Highway 12/Middle Rincon Road via Montgomery Drive, Mission Boulevard and Highway 12. This second corridor is more relevant to the existing and future conditions as traffic travels within this pattern.

The level of service along this corridor meets the level of service requirements of the General Plan.

If you have any questions concerning these comments, please do not hesitate to contact me.
ERB/sc [mkasimov.wpd]

April 1. 1999
Please Deliver To: Gene Benton
Organi\%ation: Santa Rusa Public WorksFAX No.:543-3801
Telephone No.: ..... 543-3815
From:
TJKM Project No.: ..... 062-072
Jurisdiction: Santa Rosa
Pages Transmitled: 5, including this page
Originals to Follow: ..... Yes
Remarks: Gene, here are the calculations and revised tahtes for corridorlevel of service that we discussed today. Please call me at$575-5800$ if you have any questions.
Copy lu: Maric Meredith FAX 543-3218
(Wx2-(1)72):2w)


Table II (revised)
Arterial I, evel of Service, Existing Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Average <br> Travel Speed <br> (mph) | I.OS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Montgomery Dr-Mission Bl-Hiligl/way 12 <br> Middle Rincon-Mission-Montgomery-Summerfield <br> Sumimerficld-Mission-Highway 12-Middlc Rincon <br> AVERAGE | II | II | $\frac{18.6}{24.1}$ |

Source: TJKM 'Tmonsportation Consultants (1990)

Table IX (revised)
Arterial Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Plus Project Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Existing |  | Existing Plus <br> Approved <br> Development |  | Existing Ptus Approved Development Plus Praject |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | LOS |
| Montgonnery Dr-Mission Bl-Highway 12 <br> Middle Rincon-Mission-Montgomery-Summerfield Summerfield-Mission-Highway 12-Middle Rincon <br> AVERAGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | II | 29.7 |  | 21.5 |  | 19.1 |  |
|  | 11 | 18.6 |  | 18.0 |  | 14.7 |  |
|  |  | 24.1 | B | 19.6 | C |  | D) |

Source: TJKM Transportation Consultants (1999)

## Table IV (revised)'

Arterial Level of Service, Existing Plus Approved Development Traffic Conditions

| Arterial | Class | Existing |  | Existing I'lus <br> Approved Development |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average Truvel Speed (mph) | L.OS | Average Travel Speed (mph) | I.OS |
| Montgomery Dr-Mission BJ-Highway 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Middle Rillan-Mission-Montgomery-Summerfield | ! | 29.7 |  | 21.5 |  |
| Summerfield-Mission-Itighway 12-Midale Rineon | II | 186 |  | 18.0 |  |
| AVERAGE |  | 24.1 | B | 19.6 | C |

Source: TJKM Tramportation Consultunts (1999)

LOCATION OF PROJECT: 100 Mission Boulevard at State Highway 12 CLEABINÊHOUSE

A.P. NO.: 032-190-011,-012,-013 and-014 NAN, PPN 032-0140-015,<br>CITY: SANTA ROSA<br>COUNTY: SONOMA<br>$$
-\infty / 6,-\infty A,=-18,-\infty 4
$$

DESCRIPTION OF NATURE, PURPOSE AND BENEFICIARIES OF PROJECT: The proposed project involves:

1) a rezoning from Unclassified ("U") to Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development Combining District ("C-1-PD") on five acres. The district would allow office, retail and residential uses.
2) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 16,637 square foot Rite Aide Pharmacy.
3) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 3,625 square foot hank.

## LEAD AGENCY: SANTA ROSA <br> DEPARTMENT: COMMUNTTY DEVELOPMENT <br> CONTACT: FRANK KASIMOV (707) 543-3258 fkasimov@ci.santa-rosa.ca.us

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration and other environmental documents are available for public review at the Department of Community Development, Room 3, City Hall, 100 Santa Rosa Avenue between the hours of 8:30 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. - 4:30 p.m. or by appointment.. The public is hereby invited to submit to the Department of Community Development written comments regarding the Draft Environmental Impact Report. Such written comments should be submitted prior to the termination date of the review period identified below.

REVIEW PERIOD: May 11 - June 10, 1999

NOTE: If a public agency fails to comment within the review period, it shall be assumed, absent a request for a specific extension by the agency, that such agency has no comments to make.

All Environmental Impact Reports receive public hearings to consider their adequacy and compliance with State law, State Guidelines, and local Ordinances; the public will be invited to provide testimony at the public hearing.

The information on this form is required to be submitted to the Resources Agency by Public Resources Code Section 21161 . The information is used to help publicize the availability of the Environmental Document for public review. Failure to file the notice does not affect the validity of a project.


NAME OF PROJECT: MISSION ARBORS

LOCATION OF PROJECT: 100 Mission Boulevard at State Highway 12

$$
\text { A.P. NO.: 032-190-011,-012,-013 and -014 New RPN O32-140-015,-016,-017,- } 08 \text {, -01 }
$$

CITY: SANTA ROSA COUNTY: SONOMA
DESCRIPTION OF NATURE, PURPOSE AND BENEFICIARIES OF PROJECT: The proposed project involves:

1) a rezoning from Unclassified ("U") to Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development Combining District ("C-1-PD") on five acres. The district would allow office, retail and residential uses.
2) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 16,637 square foot Rite Aide Pharmacy.
3) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 3,625 square foot bank.

LEAD AGENCY: SANTA ROSA
DEPARTMENT: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CONTACT: FRANK KASIMOV (707) 543-3258 fkasimov@ci.santa-rosa.ca.us

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration and other environmental documents are available for public review at the Department of Community Development, Room 3, City Hall, 100 Santa Rosa Avenue between the hours of 8:30 a.m. - 12:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. $-4: 30$ p.m. or by appointment.. The public is hereby invited to submit to the Department of Community Development written comments regarding the Draft Environmental Impact Report. Such written comments should be submitted prior to the termination date of the review period identified below.

REVIEW PERIOD: May 11 - June 10, 1999

NOTE: If a public agency fails to comment within the review period, it shall be assumed, absent a request for a specific extension by the agency, that such agency has no comments to make.

All Environmental Impact Reports receive public hearings to consider their adequacy and compliance with State law, State Guidelines, and local Ordinances; the public will be invited to provide testimony at the public hearing.

The information on this form is required to be submitted to the Resources Agency by Public Resources Code Section 21161 . The information is used to help publicize the availability of the Environmental Document for public review. Failure to file the notice does not affect the validity of a project.

## NOTICE OF NEGATIVE DECLARATION

NAME OF PROJECT: Mission Arbors FILE NUMBER: MJP98-053
LOCATION OF PROJECT: 100 Mission Boulevard at State Highway 12
APN: 032-190-011,012,013-andel4 New PPN: 032-140-015,016, -017,-018, -ol9.
PROJECT DEVELOPER: Downey Savings and Loan
DESCRIPTION OF PROJECT: The proposed project involves:

1) a•rezoning from Unclassified (" U ") to Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development Combining District ("C-1-PD") on five acres. The district would allow office, retail and residential uses.
2) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 16,637 square foot Rite Aide Pharmacy.
3) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 3,625 square foot bank.

## DECLARATION

Based upon the Initial Study, dated May 6, 1999, the Environmental Coordinator finds as follows:

1. This project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, nor to curtail the diversity of the environment.
2. This project will not have a detrimental effect upon either short-term or long-term environmental goals.
3. This project will not have impacts which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
4. This project will not have environmental impacts which will cause substantial adverse effects upon human beings, either directly or indirectly.

The aforementioned findings are contingent upon the mitigation measures which shall be incorporated into this project.

The Initial Study and other environmental documents are available for public review at the Department of Community Development, Room 3, City Hall, 100 Santa Rosa Avenue. The public is hereby invited to submit to the Department of Community Development written comments regarding the environmental findings and Negative Declaration determination. Such comments should be submitted prior to the termination date of the posting period identified below.

Posting Period: May 11 to June 10, 1999
Submit comments to: Frank Kasimov
Santa Rosa Department of Community Development
P.O. Box 1678, Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678

Telephone (707) 543-3258
fkasimov@ci.santa-rosa.ca.us
ADOPTED: $\qquad$
Wayne G. Goldberg, Environmental Coordinator
By: $\qquad$

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INITIAL STUDY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE 

## PROJECT TITLE

PROJECT LOCATION
APN
PROJECT DEVELOPER

Mission Boulevard/Highway 12 "Mission Arbors" Rezoning
100 Mission Boulevard
032-190-011, -012, -013 and -014
Downey Savings \& Loan, represented by Mike Sass

## A. SUMMARY DETERMINATION

(X) Negative Declaration Posting Period:

May 11 - June 10, 1999
(X) Mitigation Measures (attached)
( ) Environmental Impact Report Required
B. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Description:

The proposed project involves

1) a rezoning from Unclassified (" U ") to Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development Combining District ("C-1-PD") on five acres. The district would allow office, retail and residential uses.
2) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 16,637 square foot Rite Aide Pharmacy.
3) a conditional use permit and design review application for a 3,625 square foot bank.
2. Character of Surrounding Area:

The surrounding area is predominantly urban.
North of the project site across Highway 12, land uses include retail, office, vacant and residential.

South of the site is Santa Rosa Creek. The project will observe the City's creek setback ordinance so that no private development will occur within an area a minimum of 30 feet from top of bank. There are a paved path, an unpaved path and benches for public use proposed within the creek setback area which is consistent with City policy. Opposite the creek, south of the site are retail, offices and multi-family residential homes along Montgomery Boulevard.

East of the site there are seven homes located along Highway 12. Otherwise that 18 acre site is vacant.

West of the site is Mission Boulevard and the Mission Plaza retail and office complex.

## 3. Environmental Setting:

The site consists predominantly of grasses, shrubs and trees. The Holiday Bowl bowling lanes and Santa Rosa Rentals (equipment rental) were demolished in 1997. The site slopes gently from Highway 12 toward Santa Rosa Creek, except for a steep portion near Highway 12. Soil has been stockpiled temporarily on the site in anticipation of development. Santa Rosa Creek is relatively natural in the project vicinity. Deer, jackrabbit, quail and a wide variety of perching birds have been observed in this area of the creek, which also provides habitat for a variety of fish including the anadromous steelhead trout, a federally-listed threatened species, and rainbow trout, steelhead's non-migratory relative.

## 4. Previous Environmental Documentation:

On April 9, 1996, the Council of the City of Santa Rosa adopted Resolution Number 22636 certifying City of Santa Rosa Mission Boulevard/Sonoma Highway General Plan Amendment Environmental Impact Report SCH \#95063060 (hereinafter referred to as "EIR"). That EIR evaluated a GPA and development consisting of approximately 11.7 acres of community shopping center, which would allow up to 127,300 square feet of retail uses, and about 6.65 acres of Medium High Density Residential (18-30 units per acre), which would allow 200 units. The City Council denied the General Plan Amendment request on September 3, 1996. Because the major tenant of this General Plan Amendment was to Raley's, the project is called the "Raley's project."

The Raley's project would have been a higher density project than this proposed project plus the proposed residential project to the east. Therefore, the EIR evaluated a worse case environmental condition. In many cases, the impacts and mitigations set forth in the certified EIR apply to the proposed project. This Initial Study relies, in part, on these impacts and mitigations which are brought forward into this Initial Study.

## C. . GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

1. Does the project conform to General Plan proposals including the various adopted elements? (Land Use, Circulation, Urban Design, Housing, Open Space/Conservation, Noise, Seismic/Safety, Recreation, Scenic Highways, Community Facilities)(See appropriate impact sections for application of specific elements.) Yes.

General Plan Designation:
The existing General Plan Land Use Diagram shows approximately 5 acres of office and retail uses, including Quigg Drive and the creek setback area with the majority of the three acres devoted to office.
2. Does the project conform to existing (or proposed) zoning classification?

Not applicable.
Classification:
The project proposes rezoning from Unclassified to Neighborhood Commercial Planned Development Combining District (C-1-PD).
3. Does it appear that any feature of this project, including aesthetics, will generate significant public concem?

Yes
Nature of Concern:
Traffic. At a neighborhood meeting for this project and the apartment project to the east, neighbors expressed concem that the projects would generate too much traffic.
4. Will the project require approval or permits by other than a City Agency? Yes

Other Agency:
Subsequent development will require approval or permits from the Califormia Department of Transportation, the National Marine Fisheries Service, and the Sonoma County Water Agency.
D. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: (Include mitigation measures for significant effects where possible.)

1. EARTH. (Consider the Seismic Safety Element)

Will the proposal result in or be subject to:
a) Erection of structures within an Alquist-Priolo Act Special Studies Zone? No
b) Grading (consider amount and aesthetics)?

Insignificant with Mitigations
c) Slides, liquefaction, or other hazards on or immediately adjoining the site?

Insignificant with Mitigations
d) Adverse soil or topographic characteristics (consider prime soils, slope, slope stability, soils limitations)?

Insignificant with Mitigations
e) Wind or water erosion of soils, on site or off?

Insignificant with Mitigations

## DISCUSSION:

The following effects associated with future development of the site are potentially significant:
1.1 Ground shaking and liquefaction. The project area would be subject to strong ground shaking during a seismic event, and structural damage and utility line ruptures from ground shaking and liquefaction could occur.
1.2 Differential settlement. Unengineered fill located in slopes along the south side of highway 12, the north bank of Santa Rosa Creek, and to a lesser extent over the flat areas of the project site could result in differential settlement.
1.3 Fine particulate matter. The project site is relatively level, and onsite development would not create long-term excessive erosion or substantially alter the topography. However, in the short-term, during construction, site erosion and sedimentation could be significant. Fine particulate matter $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$ is the pollutant of greatest concem with respect to construction activities. $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ can result from a variety of construction activities including grading, excavation, demolition, vehicle travel on paved and unpaved surfaces and vehicle exhaust. Particulate emissions from construction activities can lead to adverse health effects as well as nuisance concerns such as reduce visibility and soiling of exposed surfaces.
1.4 Erosion and sedimentation. Grading and removal of natural vegetation during the construction period for the proposed project would increase sediment in Santa Rosa Creek. This causes decreased water quality, particularly on spawning areas steelhead trout, a federally-listed threatened species. Sediment also acts as transport medium for other pollutants, especially nutrients, pesticides, and heavy metals, which adsorb onto the eroded soil particles.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures reduce the potentially significant impacts to levels of insignificance.

The following mitigations reduce the potentially significant effects of the project to less-than-significant.
1.1.1 Special Geotechnical Investigation. In accordance with Section 3309.7 of the Uniform Building code, the City will require the project applicant to prepare a special geotechnical investigation to assess the effects of liquefaction, differential settlement, lateral movement, or reduction in foundation soil bearing capacity on the project site. The investigation shall consider and recommend standard measures such as ground stabilization, appropriate foundation type and depths, or selection of appropriate structural systems to minimize the risk of this impact. The City will incorporate such measures as conditions of project approval.
1.1.2 Utility Design. Utilities shall be designed to provide flexibility during ground shaking associated with seismic events. To minimize the potential for ruptures, utilities will be designed with flexible joints, automatic shut-off valves, ductile pipe material, or other techniques to reduce the likelihood of pipeline rupture. In addition, utility trenches will be designed with sand buffers or other standard practices to reduce the amount of shaking experienced by the lines.
1.1.3 Seismic Design Standards. To reduce seismic hazards in the new buildings, the proposed project shall be constructed to meet standards of Title 24 of the California Administrative Code. Title 24 is based on a publication by the Seismology Committee of the Structural Engineers Association of Cálifornia, entitled Recommended Lateral force Requirements and Commentary (revised in 1980, fourth Edition). These standards provide design formulae and specifications to reduce seismic hazards in new or renovated buildings.
1.2.1 Grading Permit Requirements. As part of obtaining a grading permit for a site, the project applicant will be required by the City to adhere to Section 3309 of the UBC and prepare a soils engineering report and an engineering geology report. The reports examine the adequacy of the site to safely support intended uses based on the proposed grading plan. The city will require that the following performance standards be included in the reports and that they or equivalent recommendations be made conditions of project approval:

- Site Preparation. During construction, all sites and areas that will receive fill will be stripped of all vegetation, loose fill, organic material, and other debris. Stripping and removal depths will be determined by a geotechnical engineer. Holes resulting from removal of buried obstructions which extend below finished grade will be backfilled with non-expansive fill and compacted. In addition, unengineered fill and debris shall be excavated completely in areas planned to support new structures.
- Fill Placement. All fill should be placed in loose lifts as designated by the geotechnical engineer, moisture conditioned to near optimum moisture content, and compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction. Fill will be free of organic and foreign debris. Fill placed on slopes steeper than $4: 1$, or as designated by the geotechnical engineer, will be benched into firm fill, colluvium, or bedrock. Cuts and fills will be constructed to drain areas of potential ponding of water, as directed in the drainage plan.
- Subsurface Drainage. Subsurface drains will be located and installed as directed by a qualified geotechnical engineer. Subsurface drains will be installed beneath fill to provide drainage and maintain stability of the fill. Locations of these drains will be tentatively identified in the grading and drainage plans, but may be modified somewhat in the field to suit actual site conditions, as directed by the geotechnical engineer.
1.3.1 Best construction practices: implement the following control measures for construction emissions of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$.
- Water all active construction areas at least twice daily.
- Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least two feet of freeboard.
- Pave, apply water three times daily, or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas and staging areas at construction sites.
- $\quad$ Sweep streets daily (preferably with water sweepers) if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent public streets.
- Hydroseed or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers to inactive construction areas.
- Enclose, cover, water twice daily or apply (non-toxic) soil binders to exposed stockpiles (soil, sand, etc.)
- Limit traffic speeds on unpaved roads to 15 mph
- Replant vegetation in disturbed areas as quickly as possible.
- Install wheel washers for all exiting trucks, or wash off all trucks and equipment leaving the site.
- Suspend excavation and grading activity when winds exceed 25 mph .
1.4.1 Erosion Control Plan. Prior to approval of a grading permit for development of the site, the applicant shall prepare an erosion control plan that will include the at a minimum the following: construction site watering; halting grading during high winds (greater than 20 mph ); maintaining vegetative buffer zones at the perimeter of construction zones; onsite retention of sediment through use of dikes, straw bales, and/or filters, diversion of storm water from erodible areas and slopes; using mulch and siltation basins to capture eroded sediment prior to it entering the drainage system; the control measures for construction emissions of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ described in Mitigation Measure 1.3.1 above; and the Best Management Practices referenced in Mitigation Measure 1.4.2 below.
1.4.2 Clean water laws. The project shall comply with local state and federal clean water laws, such as the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System which is implemented through a permit process by the State Water Resources Control Board, and the Santa Rosa stormwater ordinance and stormwater standards. Design, construction and operation of the project shall be considered in protecting water quality. Project developers shall follow Best Management Practices such as those of the California Stormwater Management Best Management Practices and the City of Santa Rosa to comply with clean water laws. Best management practices shall include, but not be limited to, regular sweeping of the parking areas, strict adherence to guidelines regarding application of fertilizers and pesticides in landscaped areas, and regular cleaning and maintenance of catch basins. BMP shall evaluate the use of grass swales, which can help convey storm waters and filter small pollutants, and ways to detain the discharge of runoff and to create opportunities for the runoff to infiltrate into the ground, such as dutch drains and porous paving.

2. AIR. Will the project result in:
a) Deterioration of air quality or creation of objectionable odors?

Insignificant with Mitigations
b) Exposure of people to existing odors or poor quality air?

Insignificant

## DISCUSSION:

The development project that would follow an approval of the proposed Rezoning would affect air quality in three ways: 1) generation of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ from construction activity (see Earth above), 2) exhaust emissions from construction machinery, and 3) exhaust emissions from new vehicle traffic generated from the project in conjunction with exhaust emissions from existing traffic.
2.1 Fine particulate matter. See Section 1.3 above
2.2 Construction equipment emissions. The EIR shows that exhaust emissions from construction equipment falls well below the threshold limits of 15 tons per year. The impact is less than significant. No mitigations are required.
2.3 Local - vehicle emissions. Carbon monoxide emissions generated from traffic associated with the proposed project (in conjunction with existing traffic) are below both the state and federal 1-hour and 8-hour peak hour ambient air quality standards at the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Highway 12. Since this intersection would experience the highest traffic volumes and average traffic delays based on traffic modeling results, it is reasonable to expect that project-related traffic would not exceed CO standards at any of the other intersections in the project vicinity. The impact is less than significant. No mitigations are required.
2.4 Regional - vehicle emissions. Mobile and stationary emissions associated with the project would increase emissions of carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur oxides, total organic gases and $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ on a regional level as predicted at the year 2010. The EIR found that the conceptual plan project, mobile emissions (vehicular) would not exceed the threshold of one percent of the County's vehicular emissions for any of the pollutants. The number of vehicles associated with the proposed project in combination with the proposed project immediately to the west are less than the trips that were generated by the Raley's project and analyzed with the EIR. As a consequence, the effects of vehicular traffic on long-term regional air quality predicted at 2010 are a less-than-significant, and no mitigation is required.

Clean Air Plan. The proposed project is consistent with the Bay Area Clean Air Plan in that the project along with other projects do not exceed the Association of Bay Area Governments' population and employment projections. The ABAG projections are consistent with the Clean Air Plan. As a consequence the proposed project is consistent with the Clean Air Plan. In addition, the Clean Air Plan includes Transportation Control Measures to reduce motor vehicle emissions by reducing vehicle trips, vehicle use, miles traveled, idling and congestion. The City of Santa Rosa has a program that meets these same goals. The regional effects of the project on air quality are therefore less-than-significant. No mitigation measures are required.
2.5 Regional - stationary emissions. Stationary emissions such as those associated residences, businesses and schools, primarily from space heaters and water heaters, have been shown to represent about 2 percent of the corresponding vehicle emissions as reported in the EIR. As a consequence, effects of the project on air quality from stationary sources is less-thansignificant. No mitigations are required.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures reduce the potentially significant impacts to levels of insignificance.
2.1.1 See Mitigation Measure 1.3.1 for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$.
3. WATER. Will the project result in:
a) Erection of structures within a designated
b) Contribute cumulative downstream impacts?

Insignificant with Mitigations

c) Reduction of surface or ground water quality or quantity?

Insignificant
with
Mitigations
d) Alteration of drainage patterns or runoff (consider cumulative downslope areas)?
e) Disruption of streams or water bodies, including seasonal water bodies?

Insignificant

Insignificant with
Mitigations

## DISCUSSION:

3.1 Erosion and sedimentation. See Section 1.4 above.
3.2 Pollutants. Urban runoff from impervious surfaces constructed under the proposed project particularly roadways and parking lots, would introduce pollutants into Santa Rosa Creek. Pollutants which build-up on paved surfaces include fuels, grease and oil, and heavy metals (lead, cadmium, and mercury). These pollutants accumulate until the street is swept or a storm washes them into the creek. The first storm of the season and off-season storms are significant because the pollutant loading on the street at this time is greatest.
3.3 Creek Setback. Future development may encroach on the creek setback which is set forth in the Santa Rosa City Code Sections 20-05.727-729. The creek setback is an area where no development (with a few exceptions such as a public path) is permitted.
3.4 Increased runoff. Development under the proposed project would increase the area of impervious surfaces and thereby increase runoff. However, as documented in the EIR, a large project on this site, in conjunction with other existing or proposed development in the watershed will not significantly affect the capacity of Santa Rosa Creek to covey the flow associated with a 100-year storm event for two reasons: 1) the site is very small with a correspondingly low increase in discharge of approximately 14 cubic feet per second, or less, which is will with the remaining capacity of Santa Rosa Creek; and 2) the site is adjacent to the creek, so the extra runoff will enter the creek in advance of the peak flow for the entire watershed. The EIR further reports that currently available information indicates that buildout of the Santa Rosa General Plan would not significantly affect the capacity of Santa Rosa Creek to accommodate the flow associated with a 100 -year storm event. Increased runoff from the project will have less-than-significant effects on the creek.
3.4 Groundwater recharge. The proposed project would not interfere substantially with ground water recharge at the project site because shallow
groundwater appears to flow towards Santa Rosa Creek. As a result, this impact would not be significant.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures reduce the potentially significant impacts to levels of insignificance.
3.1.1 Erosion and sedimentation. See Mitigation Measures 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 in Earth above.
3.2.1 Pollutants. See Mitigation Measure 1.4.2. above.
3.2.2 Oil/Water Separators. The City will require the project applicant to install oil/water separators in catch basins which drain parking areas to improve the quality of storm water runoff conveyed to the creek. In order to assure the effectiveness of these facilities, the property owners will be required to clean the catch basins before each rainy season.
3.3.1 Creek setback. The applicant of the future development shall prepare creek cross sections to establish the 100-year flood elevation and the creek setback line. These shall be verified by the Santa Rosa Department of Community Development. Except as not set forth in the City Code, no development shall be allowed on the creek side of the creek setback.
4. PLANT/ANIMAL LIFE. Will the project result in:
a) Changes in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of plants or animals?

Insignificant
with
Mitigations
b) Reduction of the number of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants or animals?

Insignificant
with
Mitigations
c) Introduction of new species of plants or animals to the detriment of existing native species? Creation of a barrier to the normal migration, replenishment, or movement of existing species?

Insignificant with Mitigations
d) Deterioration or reduction of existing plant or animal habitat, including agricultural crops?

Insignificant with Mitigations

## DISCUSSION:

4.1 Steelhead trout. Santa Rosa Creek adjacent to and nearby the project site provides spawning and rearing habitat for steelhead trout, a federally-listed threatened species. Construction activities and pollutants in storm runoff can
degrade water quality thereby potentially impacting aquatic habitat quality including steelhead habitat.
4.2 Trees. Development of the site will result in removal of native trees, including heritage trees.
4.3 Indian Clover. One sensitive plant species occurs in habitats found on the project site: showy Indian clover (Trifolium amoenum). Since it is susceptible and threatened by competition with non-native plants, agricultural practices and livestock grazing, it is highly unlikely it would occur in the proposed project site which is heavily disturbed. The impact is less than significant. No mitigations are required.
4.4 Western pond turtle. The western pond turtle (Clemmys marmorata pallida), is a sensitive animal species which has been spotted along Santa Rosa Creek, about 10 miles downstream where conditions are very different from the project site. Water levels on Santa Rosa Creek adjacent to the project site are not deep enough to provide cover and foraging habitat for a population of pond turtles. Significant areas of emergent marsh vegetation along this reach are absent and thus suitable cover for pond turtles does not exist. Furthermore, the dense riparian canopy does not allow sufficient sunlight through to the creek to provide basking areas for turtles. The project will not significantly affect sensitive species. The impact is less than significant. No mitigations are required.

## MITIGATION MEASURES:

The following mitigation measures reduce the potentially significant impacts to levels of insignificance.
4.1.1 Steelhead. The project applicants shall submit development plans to the National Marine Fisheries Service for an evaluation as to whether the development project will impact steelhead habitat. In addition, implementation of Mitigation Measures 1.1.1, 1.3.1, 1.4.1, 1.4.2 and 3.3.1 will help protect steelhead habitat by keeping sediments on-site, reducing sedimentation to the creek, and providing a buffer between urban development and the creek.
4.2.1 Trees. All trees protected by the City of Santa Rosa City Code Chapter 1724 must be preserved on-site or replaced as set forth in the Code and any other specific protection measures that may be developed and implemented for each protected tree prior to issuance of a grading permit.

Under the provisions of the City's tree replacement ordinance, specifically City Code Section 17-24.050, any trees with a diameter of four includes or greater require permits for removal and must be replaced, except trees that are specifically exempt under Section 17-24.030. The ordinance requires that for each six inches or fraction thereof the diameter of a tree which is removed, two 15 galion trees(or more trees of a smaller size) of the same genus and species as the removed tree (or another species if approved by the City Recreation and Parks director) shall be replanted on the site. If there is insufficient space on the property to plant the replacement trees, the trees can be planted on pubic property or an in-lieu fee of $\$ 100$ per 15 -gallon replacement tree will be paid by the project applicant with approval of the Director of Parks and Recreation.

The project will involve the removal of 7 trees which are subject to replacement, resulting in a requirement of 3815 -gallon trees to be planted on-site.
5. NOISE. Will the project result in:
a) Exposure of noise-sensitive land uses to noise levels exceeding 60 dBA ?

Insignificant with Mitigations

Noise Source: Traffic and construction
b) Increases in existing noise levels?

## Negligible

Noise Source: Construction and additional traffic

## DISCUSSION:

There are two noise sources that have potential to cause impacts on existing and new land uses in the area: construction activity and motor vehicles.
5.1 Construction activity is limited to the duration of the construction phase of the project. Construction equipment exceeds the noise threshold for residential and commercial uses.
5.2 Vehicular noise - new commercial uses. The proposed project would introduce commercial uses where existing daytime noise levels average in the mid 60s dBA. Specifically, based on field observations reported in the EIR, the average traffic noise exposure level along the perimeter of the project site was observed to be approximately 66 dBA at 75 feet from the centerline of the adjacent roadway. Sound levels drop by 3.0 dBA (hard site) or 4.5 dBA (soft site) per doubling distance.

The City Code establishes 60 dBA as the maximum allowable sound for office/commercial land uses during the hours of $7 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. and $10 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. The City Code noise standards are based on 1-hour averages and are typically used for short term noises. The General Plan establishes the land use compatibility standards for average 24-hour noise. The maximum acceptable noise level under this standard is 65 dBA for office/commercial uses.

New commercial uses will be introduced to an area which is at its noise threshhold. Indoors, the sound levels would be below the threshold. No, Mitigation is required.
5.3 Vehicular noise - existing residential and commercial uses. The EIR shows that the noise generated by the vehicular traffic would not impact existing homes and businesses that are already exposed to unacceptable sound levels because the increase in noise would be less than 3 dBA which is the level that can be detected. A increase of 3 dBA is generated by a doubling of traffic volumes which is not expected on Highway 12 or Mission Boulevard. Accordingly, the cumulative noise environment for homes and businesses that are already exposed to unacceptable sound levels would not worsen.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures reduce the potentially significant impacts to levels of insignificance.

## Construction Equipment

5.1.1 Construction activities shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 am to 7:00 pm Monday through Friday and no construction activities on weekends or legal holidays. Exceptions to these time restrictions may be granted by the City Engineer for the following reasons: 1) inclement weather or the threat of inclement weather which would affect the work; 2) emergency work; or 3) other work if that work would not create noise that would be offensive to neighbors. The City Engineer shall give approval for work in accordance with the above exceptions in advance.
5.1.2 State of the art muffler systems required by current law shall be installed on the equipment used. The mufflers shall be properly maintained.
5.1.3 Construction equipment shall be shut down when not in use.
6. NATURAL RESOURCES. Will the project result in an increase in the rate of use of any natural resource, including energy resources, or the substantial depletion of any nonrenewable resource?

Negligible

## DISCUSSION:

The project will use energy resources and water at a rate which is not expected to deplete the resources. See Utilities below.

## MITIGATION MEASURES:

None are required. However, recycling of water and conservation of energy are encouraged.
7. UTILITIES. Will the project result in the need for new systems or alterations to the following
utilities: electricity, natural gas,
communication facilities, water, sewers, storm
drainage, solid waste disposal?
Insignificant with Mitigations

## DISCUSSION:

7.1 Wastewater. The proposed uses generate less wastewater than the uses previously allowed in the General Plan. There is no impact. No mitigations are necessary.
7.2 Access. Access to sewer manholes is required.
7.3 Energy. Buildout of the proposed project would neither create an excessive demand for energy nor require new gas or electric transmission lines. The project, however, would necessitate new lines to service the new development that must be accessible to PG\&E. In addition, the project may cause the need to relocate certain PG\&E facilities.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of the a less-than-significant level.

## Wastewater

7.2.1 The determination of whether mains onsite are to be public or private will be made with the development plan.
6
7.2.2 The project must be designed to provide access to the existing sewer manholes. Adequate $1: 1$ setback from foundations will be required per the Building Code. Landscaping must be shown with trees at least 10 from the sewer main.
7.3.1 The cost of any reiocation or removal of existing PG\&E facilities necessitated by this project will be the responsibility of the requestor.
7.3.2 A 5.0 foot wide public utility easement shall be offered for dedication to PG\&E by the property owner along the front of parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \& \mathrm{~F}$ bordering Quigg Drive and the Private Drive.
7.3.3 Quigg Drive and the Private Drive shall be offered for dedication as a public utility easement to PG\&E.
8. PUBLIC SERVICES. Will the project result in the need for new or altered services in the following areas: fire protection, police protection, schools, parks or other recreational facilities, roads, flood control or other public works facilities, public transit or other governmental services?

Insignificant with Mitigations

DISCUSSION:
8.1 Schools The Rezoning will impact the Santa Rosa High School District and the Rincon Valley Union School District by increasing the enrollment at Village School and at Santa Rosa High School.
8.2 Transit The project will increase ridership on CityBus and create new demand for bus stops at this location.
8.3 Police The project will not adversely affect the Santa Rosa Police Department service levels or result in a need for additional staff or equipment. Since this is an infill site (a vacant area within an already developed area), response time for the project would be expected to occur within five to six minutes, which is within the current average. This is not a signification impact. No mitigations are required.
8.4 Fire $\quad$ The proposed project would trigger an increase in calls for service
from the fire department but would not require additional staff or
compromise response time. This is not a signification impact. No
mitigations are required.

### 8.5 Emergency Medical Resources

The proposed project would trigger an increase in calls for service and consequently a redistribution of emergency medical resources. It is not uncommon that Sonoma Life Support redistributes resources or paramedic units to maintain adequate levels of service. This is not a signification impact. No mitigations are required.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of the project to a less-than-significant level.

### 8.1.1 Schools

At this time it is our understanding that the passage of Proposition 1A in November 1998 limits the ability of the school districts from collecting mitigation fees above the statutory fees for projects which did not have a signed mitigation agreement prior to January 1, 1999. If mitigation fees above and beyond the statutory fees, can not in fact be collected, then statutory impact fees shall be paid to the two impacted school districts prior issuance of any building permit or through alternative arrangements agreed to by the school districts. If above interpretation is found not to be accurate and extra mitigation fees can be collected, then those extra fees shall also be paid to the school districts through a mitigation agreement which shall be signed prior to the issuance of any building permit for the project. This will reduce the impact to less-than-significant levels.

### 8.2.1 Transit

A bus turnout shall be provided on Highway 12 (if approved by Caltrans) and on Mission Boulevard. The bus turnout on Mission Boulevard shall be located on the north side of Quigg Dirve.

A concrete pad ( 6 feet wide by 11 feet long) shall be provided behind the sidewalk at each bus stop for the installation of a bus shelter. A bus shelter, to be approved by the Department of Transit and Parking, shall be purchased and installed at the Mission Boulevard site. (A fee of $\$ 5,000$ may be paid to the City in-lieu of the constructing the shelter.) Sidewalk at the bus stops shall be contiguous to the curb. Maintenance of the shelters shall be defined in an agreement with the Department of Transit and Parking. Maintenance includes routine cleaning and trash removal.
9. TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION. Will the project result in:
a) Generation of additional vehicular movement with initiation or intensification of circulation problems (consider road design, project access, congestion, hazards to vehicles, pedestrians)?

Insignificant with mitigations
b) Effects on existing parking facilities or demands for new parking?
c) Impact on existing rail, air or public

## DISCUSSION:

### 9.1 Vehicular Circulation.

A traffic study entitled "Final Traffic Impact Study for Santa Rosa Apartments and Mission Arbors in the City of Santa Rosa," dated March 1999, prepared by TJKM Transportation Consultants is incorporated into this Initial Study. This report was followed by several correspondences between the City, CalTrans and TJKM, alll of which are incorporated into this study.

The report concludes that service levels at the study intersections and the study arterials, except one, will continue to operate at LOS D or better, when project traffic is added to existing plus approved development traffic.

The queue from the projected traffic making a northbound left-turn at Mission Boulevard and Highway 12 will exceed the left-turn lane at the intersection.

The report shows that Arterial LOS at General Plan Buildout will continue to operate at LOS C or better on Highway 12, Mission Boulevard and Montgomery Drive.

### 9.2 Bicycle and pedestrian circulation

The project will add demand on the bicycle and pedestrian circulation system, the main portion of which is being developed along Santa Rosa Creek to implement the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan. A 1.5 mile bicycle path exists along Brush Creek in Rincon Valley which connects to the path along Santa Rosa Creek which will connect to the proposed project. The creekside path will offer a safer alternative than streets for pedestrians, bicyclists and people in wheelchairs to access the proposed retail pharmacy, the bank, other office building and the future use(s) along the creek.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of the project to a less-than-significant level.

## Vehicular Circulation

9.1.1 All aspects of the Traffic Study dated March 1999 must be approved by the City of Santa Rosa Traffic Engineer and Caltrans.
9.1.2 A traffic signal shall be installed at the intersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle.
9.1.3 The medians on Mission Boulevard shall be reconfigured as identified in the traffic report dated March 19, 1999, for proper left-turn stacking on Mission Boulevard.
9.1.4 All recommendations and mitigations identified in the Traffic Study dated March 1999 and related correspondences shall be implemented.

## Bicycle Circulation.

9.2.1 A bicycle path shall be shown on development plans and shall be installed at the time of project construction to serve the project. The bicycle path shall be consistent with the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan.
10. LAND USE. (Consider the Land Use and Housing Element.) Will the project:
a) Alter the location, distribution, density or growth rate of the human population of an area?

Insignificant with mitigations
b) Create a demand for additional housing or degrade/displace existing housing?
c) Result in a substantial alteration of the planned use of an area?

Negligible

Insignificant with mitigations

## DISCUSSION:

10.1 Land Use. The proposed Rezoning would result in a land use pattern that is higher density than the existing rural residential development to the east. Development of the residential project adjacent to a commercial project poses potential conflicts. The project may potentially conflict with policies regarding development adjacent to the creek and with goals to preserve Santa Rosa Creek and provide bicycle and pedestrian paths along the creek.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of the project to a less-than-significant level.
10.1.1 Land Use These land use issues can be mitigated to a less-than-significant level by implementing goals and policies set forth in the General Plan, the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, Santa Rosa Waterways Plan and the Design Review Guidelines, and if necessary, additional mitigation measures. At this time, we do not know what the design will be, so it is premature to assign specific mitigation measures. Another Initial Study will be prepared for the development project. Mitigation measures will be assigned, if necessary, at that time.
11. AESTHETICS. Will the project obstruct or degrade
any public scenic vista or view, create an aesthetically offensive site open to public view, produce new light or glare, or be visually incompatible with the surrounding area?

Negligible / Not applicable at this time

## DISCUSSION:

11.1 Aesthetics. The proposed Rite Aid Pharmacy and the proposed bank building will not negatively impact aesthetics. These have been reviewed by the Design Review Board. The other parcels, including the office site and two retail sites along the creek, do not currently have development proposals, so no information is available on the aesthetics of development on these parcels. Any potential aesthetic impacts will be mitigated through the Design Review
approval process, by implementing goals and policies set forth in the General Plan, the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, Santa Rosa Waterways Plan and the Design Review Guidelines, and if necessary, additional mitigation measures. At this time, we do not know what the design will be, so it is premature to assign specific mitigation measures.

## MITIGATION MEASURES:

Aesthetics. No mitigations are required at this time.
12. RECREATION. (Consider the Public Services and Facilities Element.) Will the project affect an existing park, future park/recreational options, or access to a park (including bicycle trails)?

## DISCUSSION:

12.1 The existing and planned additional supply of community parks and recreational facilities (including the creek path) in Santa Rosa would be sufficient to satisfy the recreation needs of the increased employed population resulting from the project. The General Plan does not require new commercial development to provide parks. This is not a signification impact. No mitigations are required.

## MITIGATION MEASURES:

None required.
13. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Will the project:
a) Disrupt or adversely affect a prehistoric or archaeological site?

Insignificant with mitigations
b) Disrupt or adversely affect a property of historic or cultural significance?

## DISCUSSION:

13.1 Archaeological studies. A cultural resources study was prepared for the EIR. This study is hereby incorporated into and made part of this Initial Study. This report identified no prehistoric or historic archaeological sites on the project site, but it acknowledged that there is a possibility that findings of archaeological significance may be made during project related activities.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of the a less-than-significant level.

## Archaeological impacts

13.1.1 In the event that any remains of historic or prehistoric human activities are unearthed during project-related activities, work in the immediate vicinity of the finds shall halt and the project foreman and City of Santa Rosa liaison
shall be notified by the contractor. Work shall remain halted until a qualified archaeologist approved by the City of Santa Rosa has evaluated the situation and made recommendations for treatment of the resource, which recommendations are carried out. In the event that human remains are encountered, the contractor shall immediately notify the Sonoma County Coroner and the City liaison. Activity that may disrupt a burial site shall halt immediately and shall not resume until the remains are relocated and the site is cleared by the coroner. If any human remains are of a person whose ancestry is the Native American Indian community, that community shall also be notified immediately.
14. HAZARD. (Consider the Safety Element.) Will the project:
a) Create a risk of explosion, release of hazardous substances or other dangers to public health or safety?
b) Locate people on or adjacent to a potential health or safety risk?

Insignificant with mitigations

## Insignificant

 with mitigations
## DISCUSSION:

14.1 Hazard. The proposed project would involve construction and development in areas identified during the Phase I site assessment as being of potential concern for public health and safety. The following reports and letter are incorporated by reference into this Initial Study:

Phase I Preliminary Environmental Site Assessment Report Highway 12 Property, Santa Rosa, California. Prepared by Lita D. Freeman, R.E.A., Kleinfelder, Inc. (Kleinfelder Job No. 41-3908-01/001). February 27, 1998

Supplemental Site Investigation Report Highway 12 Property, Santa Rosa, California. Prepared by Mark A. Klaver, R.G., R.E.A., Kleinfelder, Inc. (Kleinfelder Job No. 41-3908-02), July 31, 1998.

Letter from Kleinfelder to Frank Kasimov, dated March 31, 1991, Subject:
"Clarification and status of previous environmental site assessment Mission Arbors Project - Highway 12 and Mission Boulevard, Santa Rosa, California"

The reports - with clarification by the letter - describe an area of residual petroleum oil products in near-surface soils around the former Santa Rosa Equipment Rentals yard.

MITIGATION MEASURES: The following mitigation measures will reduce the impacts of the a less-than-significant level.
14.1.1. Hazards The site shall be remediated as described in the Phase II Report and the letter following issuance of a soil remediation permit from the Santa Rosa Fire Department.
15. OTHER. (Consider the Open Space and Conservation

Element.) Will the project result in other significant effects on the environment?

## DISCUSSION:

15.1 Other. The project has the potential to impact Santa Rosa Creek because of its proximity.

## MITIGATION MEASURES:

15.1.1 Other This impact can be reduced to a level of insignificance by implementation of the creek-related policies of the General Plan, implementation of the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan and implementation of the Santa Rosa Waterways Plan, by incorporation of the comments of the Santa Rosa Creek Implementation Advisory Committee, and by other mitigations measures set forth in this Initial Study.
16. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE. (A "significant" check on any of the following questions requires preparation of an EIR.)
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, or curtail the diversity in the environment? No
b) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals?

No
c) Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable?

No
d) Does the project have environmental impacts which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? No

## DISCUSSION:

The project will have potential short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts that can be mitigated to levels of insignificance through incorporation of mitigation measures set forth in this Initial Study.

DETERMINATION: On the basis of this evaluation:

- I find the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the
environment and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.

XX $\quad$| I find that although the proposed project couid have a significant effect on the |
| :--- |
| environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the |

mitigation measures described on an attached sheet have been added to the project. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION WILL BE PREPARED.

I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment and a ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

Date May 6. 1999


## MITIGATION MONITORING PROGRAM

## Rezoning - Mission Boulevard and Lighway 12-100 Mission Boulevard - File Number MJP98-053 <br> Project Name

The following environmental mitigation measures were incorporated into the Conditions of Approval for this project in order to reduce identified significant environmental impacts to a level of insignificance. A completed and signed report for each mitigation measure indicates that this mitigation measure has been complied with and implemented.

Mitigation Measure $\quad$ Monitoring Agency | Shown on |
| :--- |

1.1.1 Special Geotechnical Investigation. In accordance with Section 3309.7 of the Uniform Building code, the City will require the project applicant to prepare a special geotechnical investigation to assess the effects of liquefaction, differential settlement, lateral movement, or reduction in foundation soil bearing capacity on the project site. The investigation shall consider and recommend standard measures such as ground stabilization, appropriate foundation type and depths, or selection of appropriate structural systems to minimize the risk of this impact. The City will incorporate such measures as conditions of project approval.
1.1.2 Utility Design. Utilities shall be designed to provide flexibility during ground shaking associated with seismic events. To minimize the potential for ruptures, utilities will be designed with flexible joints, automatic shut-off valves, ductile pipe material, or other techniques to reduce the likelihood of pipeline rupture. In addition, utility trenches will be designed with sand buffers or other standard practices to reduce the amount of shaking experienced by the lines.
1.1.3 Seismic Design Standards. To reduce seismic hazards in the new buildings, the proposed project shall be constructed to meet standards of Title 24 of the California Administrative Code.

City of Santa Rosa
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Title 24 is based on a publication by the Seismology Committee of the Structural Engineers Association of California, entitled Recommended Lateral force Requirements and Commentary (revised in 1980, fourth Edition). These standards provide design formulae and specifications to reduce seismic hazards in new or renovated buildings.
1.2.1 Grading Permit Requirements. As part of obtaining a grading permit for a site, the project applicant will be required by the City to Adhere to Section 3309 of the UBC and prepare a soils engineering report and an engineering geology report. The reports examine the adequacy of the site to safely support intended uses based on the proposed grading plan. The city will require that the following performance standards be included in the reports and that they or equivalent recommendations be made conditions of project approval:

- Site Preparation. During construction, all sites and areas that will receive fill will be stripped of all vegetation, loose fill, organic material, and other debris. Stripping and removal depths will be determined by a geotechnical engineer. Holes resulting from removal of buried obstructions which extend below finished grade will be backfilled with non-expansive fill and compacted. In addition, unengineered fill and debris shall be excavated completely in areas planned to support new structures.

Fill Placement. All fill should be placed in loose lifts as designated by the geotechnical engineer, moisture conditioned to near optimum moisture content, and compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction. Fill will
be free of organic and foreign debris. Fill placed on slopes steeper than $4: 1$, or as designated by the geotechnical engineer, will be benched into firm fill, colluvium, or bedrock. Cuts and fills will be constructed to drain areas of potential ponding of water, as directed in the drainage plan.

- Subsurface Drainage. Subsurface drains will be located and installed as directed by a qualified geotechnical engineer. Subsurface drains will be installed beneath fill to provide drainage and maintain stability of the fill. Locations of these drains will be tentatively identified in the grading and drainage plans, but may be modified somewhat in the field to suit actual site conditions, as directed by the geotechnical engineer.
1.3.1 . Best construction practices: implement the following control measures for construction emissions of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$.
- Water all active construction areas at least twice daily.
- Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to maintain at least two feet of freeboard.
- Pave, apply water three times daily, or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved access roads, parking areas and staging areas at constuction sites.
- Sweep streets daily (preferably with water sweepers) if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent public streets.
- Hydroseed or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers to inactive construction areas.
- Enclose, cover, water twice daily or apply (non-toxic) soil binders to exposed stockpiles (soil, sand, etc.)


## Mitigation Measure

Limit traffic speeds on unpaved roads to
15 mph

- Replant vegetation in disturbed areas as
- Install wheel washers for all exiting
trucks, or wash off all trucks and equipment leaving the site.
Suspend excavation and grading activity when winds exceed 25 mph .
1.4.1 Erosion Control Plan. Prior to approval of a grading permit for development of the site, the applicant shall prepare an erosion control plan that will include the at a minimum the following: construction site watering; halting grading during high winds (greater than 20 mph ); maintaining egetative buffer zones at the perimeter of construction zones; onsite retention of sediment through use of dikes, straw bales, and/or filters, diversion of storm water from erodible areas and slopes; using mulch and siltation basins to capture eroded sediment prior to it entering the drainage system; the control measures for construction emissions of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ described in Mitigation Measure 1.3.1 above; and the Best Management Practices referenced in Mitigation Measure 1.4.2 below.
1.4.2 Clean water laws. The project shall comply with local state and federal clean water laws, such as the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System which is implemented through a permit process by the State Water Resources Control Board, and the Santa Rosa stormwater ordinance and stormwater standards. Design, construction and operation of the project shall be considered in protecting water quality. Project developers shall follow Best Management Practices such as those of the California Stormwater Management Best Management Practices to comply with clean water laws. Best management practices shall include, but not be limited to, regular sweeping of the parking areas, strict adherence to guidelines regarding application of fertilizers and pesticides
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## Mitigation Measure

in landscaped areas, and regular cleaning and maintenance of catch basins. BMP shall evaluate the use of grass swales, which can help convey storm waters and filter small pollutants, and ways detain the discharge of nunoff and to create opportunities for the runoff to infiltrate into the ground, such as dutch drains and porous paving.
2.1.1 See Mitigation Measure 1.3 .1 for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$.
3.1.1 Erosion and sedimentation. See Mitigation Mcasures 1.4.1 and 1.4.2 in Earth above.
3.2.1 Pollutants. See Mitigation Measure 1.4.2. above.
3.2.2 Oil/Water Separators. The City will require the project applicant to install oil/water separators in catch basins which drain parking areas to improve the quality of storm water runoff conveyed to the creek. In order to assure the effectiveness of these facilities, the property owners will be required to clean the catch basins before each rainy season.
3.3.1 Creek setback. The applicant of the future development shall prepare creek cross sections to establish the 100 -year flood elevation and the creek setback line. These shall be verified by the Santa Rosa Department of Community Development. Except as not set forth in the City Code, no development shall be allowed on the creek side of the creek setback.
4.1.1 Steelhead. The project applicants shall submit development plans to the National Marine Fisheries Service for an evaluation as to whether the development project will impact steelhead habitat. In addition, implementation of Mitigation Measures 1.1.1, 1.3.1, 1.4.1, 1.4 .2 and 3.3.1 will help protect steelhead habitat by keeping sediments on-site, reducing sedimentation to the creek, and providing a buffer between urban development and the creek.
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National Marine Fisheries Service City of Santa Rosa

## Mitigation Measure

4.2.I Trees. All trees protected by the City of
Santa Rosa City Code Chapter 17 . 24 men Santa Rosa City Code Chapter 17-24 must be Code and on-site or replaced as set forth in the Code and any other specific protection measures that may be developed and implemented for each permit.

Under the provisions of the City's tree replacement ordinance, specifically City Code Section 17-24.050, any trees with a diameter of four includes or greater require permits for removal and must be replaced, except trees that are specifically exempt under Section $17-24.030$ The ordinance requires that for each six inches or fraction thereof the diameter of a tree which is removed, two 15 gallon trees(or more trees of a smaller size) of the same genus and species as the removed tree (or another species if approved by replanted Recreation and Parks director) shall be replanted on the site. If there is insufficient space trees can be planted on the replacement trees, the lieu fee of $\$ 100$ per is pubic property or an inwill be paid by the project an replacement tree of the Director of Parks and Recreation approval

The project will involve the removal of 7 trees which are subject to replacement, resulting in a requirement of 38 IS -gallon treest, resulting in a
on-site.

## Construction Equipment

5.1./ Construction activities shall be limited to the hours of 7:30 am to 5:30 pm Monday through Friday anid no construction activities on weekends or legal holidays. Exceptions to these time restrictions may be granted by the City Engineer for the following reasons: 1) inclement weather or the work; 2) inclement weather which would affect the work; 2) emergency work; or 3) other work if hat work would not create noise that would be

## Monitoring Agency

Plans
City of Santa Rosa

## Mitigation Measure

offensive to reighbors. The City Engineer shall give approval for work in accordance with the above exceptions in advance.
5.1.2 State of the art muffler systems required by current law shall be installed on the equipment used. The mufflers shall be properly maintained.
5. J. 3 Construction equipment shall be shut down when not in use.

## Wastewater

7.2.1 The determination of whether mains onsite are to be public or private will be made with the development plan.
7.2.2 The project must be designed to provide access to the existing sewer manholes. Adequate 1:1 setback from foundations will be required per the Building Code. Landscaping must be shown with trees at least $10^{\prime}$ from the sewer main.
7.3.1 The cost of any relocation or removal of existing. PG\&E facilities necessitated by this project will be the responsibility of the requestor.
7.3.2 A 5.0 foot wide public utility easement shall be offered for dedication to PG\&E by the property owner along the front of parcels $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}, \& \mathrm{~F}$ bordering Quigg Drive and the Private Drive.
7.3.3 Quigg Drive and the Private Drive shall be offered for dedication as a public utility easement to PG\&E.
8.I.I Schools At this time it is our understanding that the passage of Proposition 1A in November 1998 limits the ability of the school districts from collecting mitigation fees above the statutory fees for projects which did not have a signed mitigation agreement prior to January 1,1999 . If mitigation fees above and beyond the statutory fees, can not in fact be collected, then statutory
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Rincon Valley School District Santa Rosa School District

## Mitigation Measure

impact fees shall be paid to the two impacted school districts prior issuance of any building permit or through alternative arrangements agreed to by the school districts. If above interpretation is found not to be accurate and extra mitigation fees can be collected, then those extra fees shall Iso be paid to the school districts through a itigation agreement which shall be signed prio to the issuance of any building permit for the project. This will reduce the impact to less-thansignificant levels.
8.2.1 Transit A bus turnout shall be provided on Highway 12 (if approved by Caltrans) and on Mission Boulevard. The bus turnout on Mission Boulevard shall be located on the north side of Quigg Dirve.
A concrete pad ( 6 feet wide by 11 feet long) shall he provided behind the sidewalk at the bus stop for the installation of a bus shelter. A bus shelter, to be approved by the Department of Transit and Parking, shall be purchased and installed at the. Mission Boulevard site. (A fee of $\$ 5,000$ may be paid to the City in-lies of the constructing the shelter.) Sidewalk at the bus stop shall be contiguous to the curb. Maintenance of the shelters shall be defined in an agreement with the Department of Transit and Parking. Maintenance includes routine cleaning and trash removal.

Vehicular Circulation
9.1.J All aspects of the Traffic Study dated March 1999 must be approved by the City of Santa Rosa Traffic Engineer and Caltrans.
9.1.2 A traffic signal shall be installed at the A ritersection of Mission Boulevard and Mission Circle.
9.1.3 The medians on Mission Boulevard shall
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## Mitigation Measure

be reconfigured as identified in the traffic report dated March 19, 1999, for proper left-turn stacking on Mission Boulevard.
9.1.4 All recommendations identified in the Traffic Study dated March 1999 shall be implemented.

Bicycle Circulation.
9.2.1 A bicycle path shall be shown on development plans and shall be installed at the time of project construction to serve the residents of the proposed project. The bicycle path shall be consistent with the Santa Rosa Creek-Master Plan.
t0 11 land Use These land use issues can be mitigated to a less-than-significant level by implementing goals and policies set forth in the General Plan, the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan, Santa Rosa Waterways Plan and the Design Review Guidelines, and if necessary, additiona mitigation measures. At this time, we do not know what the design will be, so it is premature to assign specific mitigation measures. Another Initial Study will be prepared for the development project. Mitigation measures will be assigned, if necessary, at that time.

## Archaeological impacts

13.1.I In the event that any remains of historic or prehistoric human activities are unearthed during project-related activities, work in the immediate vicinity of the finds shall balt and the project foreman and City of Santa Rosa liaison shall be notified by the contractor. Work shall remain halted until a qualified archaeologist approved by the City of Santa Rosa has evaluated the situation and made recommendations for treatment of the resource, which recommendations are carried out. in the event that human remains are encountered, the contractor shall immediately notify the
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Contractor
Archaeologist

Sonoma County Coroner and the City liaison.
Activity that may disrupt a burial site shall halt immediately and shall not resume until the remains are relocated and the site is cleared by the coroner. If any human remains are of a person whose ancestry is the Native American Indian community, that community shall also be notified immediately.
14.1.I. Hazards The site shall be remediated as described in the Phase II Report and the letter following issuance of a soil remediation permit from the Santa Rosa Fire Department. .
15.1.1 Other This impact can be reduced to a level of insignificance by implementation of the creek-related policies of the General Plan, implementation of the Santa Rosa Creek Master Plan and implementation of the Santa Rosa Waterways Plan, by incorporation of the comments of the Santa Rosa Creek Implementation Advisory Committee, and by other mitigations measures set forth in this Initial Study.
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NOTE: The first two columns will be completed prior to adoption of the Monitoring Program. The second two columns will be initialed and dated by the individual responsible for monitoring when the mitigation measure is shown on the plans and implemented.


Laila Storch and Martin Friedmann
4955 Stanford Avenue N.E.
Seattle, WA 98105
tel 206-523 4592
fax 206-523 2228

January 23, 1999

Re: Meeting of January 27, 1999

Frank Kasimov
Department of Community Development
City of Santa Rosa
P.O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678
Dear Mr. Kasimov:
As a property owners at 4770 Sonoma Highway, Santa Rosa, California, we are very concemed by the notice of the proposed development of two projects, Mission Arbors and Santa Rosa Apartments.

What do you expect this to do to the already strained resources of water, sewage, schooling and the question of traffic congestion, to say nothing of the environment? This acreage south of Sonoma Highway and east of Mission Boulevard is one of the few remaining natural spaces and is the home of quail, other birds and wildlife. We are totally against these projects in the hope that some small remnant of the original beauty and quality of life in Rincon Valley may yet be preserved.

Sincerely yours,



Leila Storch
Martin Friedmann

CITY CE SANTA ROSA
P. O. Box 1678

SAnta Rosa CA 95402
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June 11, 1999

## Frank Kasimov

Santa Rosa Dept. of Community Development
PO Box 1678
Santa Rosa, CA 95402
Subject: Mission Arbors
SCH\#: 99052036
Dear Frank Kasimov:
The State Clearinghouse submitted the above named environmental document to selected state agencies for review. The review period closed on June 10, 1999, and no state agencies submitted comments by that date. This letter acknowledges that you have complied with the State Clearinghouse review requirements for draft environmental documents, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Please call the State Clearinghouse at (916) 445-0613 if you have any questions regarding the environmental review process. If you have a question about the above-named project, please refer to the eight-digit State Clearinghouse number when contacting this office.

Sincerely,
Tumblourts
Terry Roberts
Senior Planner, State Clearinghouse

$$
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## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

June 11, 1999

Frank Kasimov
City of Santa Rosa
Dept. of Community Development
Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1678

Dear Mr. Kasimov:

## MISSION ARBORS - Mitigated Negative Declaration

Thank you for continuing to include the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) in the environmental review process for the above-referenced project. We have no comment.

Sincerely,
HARRY Y. YAHATA
District Director

cc: State Clearinghouse

CITY OF SANTA ROSA
P.O. Box 1678

Santa Rosa, CA 95402
JUN 161999
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
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[^1]:    Source: Highway Capaciry Manual. Third Edition, updated Octaber 1994
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