

**SETTLEMENTS AUTHORIZED BY COUNCIL IN CLOSED SESSION THAT WERE FINALIZED IN  
FEBRUARY 2026, WHICH INCLUDES BUT MAY NOT BE LIMITED TO ALL SETTLEMENTS REQUIRING THE CITY TO PAY MORE THAN \$50,000**

| Case Name  | Date Settled     | Amount        | Description   | Notes  |
|--|------------------|---------------|---|--|
| <p><i>Nicholle Vannucci, Ellen Brown, and Shannon Hall, individuals; and Homeless Action!, an unincorporated association v. County of Sonoma, Sonoma County Community Development Commission, City of Santa Rosa, and Does I to XX</i></p> | <p>2/23/2026</p> | <p>\$0.00</p> | <p>Complaint for Declaratory Relief and Injunctive Relief brought by Homeless Action! and several unhoused individuals against the City and Sonoma County related to the County's 2018 clearance of a homeless encampment within City limits. Plaintiffs allege (1) cruel and unusual punishment under the U.S. and California Constitutions, (2) unreasonable seizure the U.S. and California Constitutions, (3) state-created danger under the U.S. and California Constitutions, (4) disability discrimination under various federal and state statutes, and (5) denial of reasonable accommodation under a federal statute.</p> | <p>Settlement Agreement between City and Plaintiffs fully executed on 2/23/2026 with a waiver of costs in exchange for policy updates. The settlement includes no monetary payment by the City. Plaintiffs released all claims against the City and waived any right to seek attorneys' fees and costs from the City. In exchange, the City agreed to make written administrative policy updates related to how it handles removal of personal property of unhoused individuals.</p> |

## NEW CLAIMS REFERRED TO THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN FEBRUARY 2026

| Claimant Name   | Date of Claim | Date of Incident | Claim Amount   | Location  | Description of Claim  |
|---|---------------|------------------|----------------|---|---|
| <i>Hoban, William</i>   | 2/25/2026     | 9/20/25          | Over \$35,000  | 1363 Temple Ave, Santa Rosa                     | Claimant alleges bodily injury due to trip and fall sidewalk incident as a result of a 2.5 inch height difference between concrete panels in the sidewalk |
| <i>Ruiz, Miguel</i>   | 2/20/2026     | 12/18/25         | Under \$35,000 | 2325 Hickcock Ct, Santa Rosa, CA                | Claimant alleges execution of search warrant unreasonably resulted in property damage   |
| <i>Madden, Christina</i>  | 2/19/2026     | 1/30/26          | Under \$35,000 | Mendocino Ave & 5th St intersection, Santa Rosa | Claimant alleges they suffered injuries and damage to her vehicle when SRPD crashed into her car during pursuit of another vehicle                        |
| <i>Santos, Tracy</i>  | 2/12/2026     | 8/14/25          | TBD            | 958 Keegan Dr, Santa Rosa                       | Claimant alleges excessive force by SRPD resulting in death of Matteo Ramirez Santos  |
| <i>Poffenholz, Gary &amp; Melanie</i>                                       | 2/12/2026     | 8/14/25          | TBD            | 958 Keegan Dr, Santa Rosa                       | Claimants allege excessive force by SRPD resulting in the death of Matteo Ramirez Santos and damage to their home/property                                |
| <i>Poffenholz, Lainey, acting as guardian ad litem for minor Poffenholz</i> | 2/12/2026     | 8/14/25          | TBD            | 958 Keegan Dr, Santa Rosa                       | Claimant alleges excessive force by SRPD resulting in the death of Matteo Ramirez Santos  |
| <i>Nutt, Robert</i>   | 2/9/2026      | 8/23/25          | Over \$35,000  | 727 Mendocino Ave, Santa Rosa                   | Claimant alleges civil rights violations, wrongful arrest, excessive force, etc. against SRPD and the City  |
| <i>Bonnici, Loni</i>  | 2/9/2026      | 8/10/25          | Over \$35,000  | Quigg Drive, Santa Rosa, CA                     | Claimant alleges wrongful death claim of daughter Mari Bonnici; whom Sonoma County Sheriff deputy Jeremy Lyle fatally shot in Santa Rosa murder-suicide   |
| <i>Estate of Mari Bonnici and minor children</i>                            | 2/9/2026      | 8/10/25          | Over \$35,000  | Quigg Drive, Santa Rosa, CA                     | Claimants allege wrongful death claim of mother Mari Bonnici whom Sonoma County Sheriff deputy Jeremy Lyle fatally shot in Santa Rosa murder-suicide      |

LITIGATION AS OF MARCH 10, 2026

| Case Number                          | Full Case Name  | Status             | Category                      | Case Description  | Case Notes   | Amount Claimed     | Dismissed Date | Settled Date | Settlement Details |
|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| <b>CODE ENFORCEMENT/RECEIVERSHIP</b> |   |                    |                               |   |  |                    |                |              |                    |
| 25CV02452                            | FOUNTAINGROVE 2013, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive  | Active - Pleadings | Code Enforcement/Receivership | Notice of appeal of decision of administrative hearing officer in re 2005 Stagecoach Road, Santa Rosa, CA (Govt. Code § 53069.4)  | The Administrative Hearing Officer determined that Fountaingrove 2013 LLC, the responsible party, failed to abate multiple violations of the Santa Rosa City Code and did not comply with the requirements of the Vacant Building and Lot Program, including the obligations to post signage, maintain the property, and conduct regular inspections at 2005 Stagecoach Road; Summons and Complaint served on 04/25/2025 relating to 2097 Stagecoach Rd; City lodged the Administrative Hearing Record on 05/13/2025; Court ordered consolidation of the case with 25CV02453; Further Case Management Conference set for 03/19/2026.   |                    |                |              |                    |
| 25CV02453                            | FOUNTAINGROVE 2013, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive, | Active - Pleadings | Code Enforcement/Receivership | Appeal of decision of administrative hearing officer in re 2097 Stagecoach Road, Santa Rosa, CA (Govt. Code § 53069.4)  | The Administrative Hearing Officer determined that Fountaingrove 2013 LLC, the responsible party, failed to abate multiple violations of the Santa Rosa City Code and did not comply with the requirements of the Vacant Building and Lot Program, including the obligations to post signage, maintain the property, and conduct regular inspections at 2097 Stagecoach Road; Summons and Complaint served on 04/25/2025 relating to 2097 Stagecoach Rd; City lodged the Administrative Hearing Record on 05/13/2025; the Court ordered consolidation of the case with 25CV02452; Further Case Management Conference set for 03/19/2026.   |                    |                |              |                    |
| 25CV04325                            | LILI PIGSLEY, GARY PIGSLEY v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, CODE ENFORCEMENT, and DOES 1-10                                 | Active - Pleadings | Code Enforcement/Receivership | Plaintiffs allege a cause of action for general negligence against the City and challenge the Administrative Enforcement Order issued following a hearing on Code Enforcement violations at their property located at 961 Stony Point Road.   | Complaint filed on 06/12/2025; Summons and Complaint served on City on 12/03/2025; Plaintiffs filed and served a First Amended Complaint on 02/26/2026; City's responsive pleading due on 03/27/2026.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 25CV06075                            | Penngrove Adobe, LLC v. City of Santa Rosa  | Dismissal Pending  | Code Enforcement/Receivership | Notice of Administrative Appeal under Government Code 53069.4(b) related to 1826 Ramon Way (City Administrative Enforcement Order - File #CE19-0914)  | Notice of Administrative Appeal served on 9/12/2025; Hearing held on 10/22/2025 to set briefing schedule; Settlement Agreement entered into on 02/24/2026; Request for Dismissal with prejudice filed on 02/24/2026 and pending with the Court.  |                    | 2/24/2026      | 2/24/2026    |                    |
| <b>CONSTITUTIONAL</b>                |   |                    |                               |   |  |                    |                |              |                    |
| 2:25-cv-00814-BJR                    | Martin Luther King, Jr. County (King County), et al. v. Turner, et al.  | Appeal             | Constitutional                | Santa Rosa joined what is now nearly 60 other public agency plaintiffs in a lawsuit challenging unlawful attempts by the federal administration to require local governments receiving federal grants from DOT, HUD, and HHS to implement the President's expansive anti-immigration, anti-DEI, anti-LGBTQ+ and anti-choice policies. Because Santa Rosa does not receive HHS grants, Santa Rosa challenges only the unlawful DOT and HUD grant funding conditions. Motion for a preliminary injunction in favor of new plaintiffs (including Santa Rosa) granted on 8/12/25. | Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief filed on 5/2/2025; preliminary injunction granted in favor of original sets of plaintiffs on 6/3/2025 which the federal government appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on 6/9/2025; motion for a preliminary injunction to protect all new plaintiffs, including City of Santa Rosa, from enforcement of the challenged DOT, HUD and HHS grant funding conditions was granted on 8/18/2025; the federal government appealed from that ruling to the Ninth Circuit; Oral Argument for the first appeal occurred before the Ninth Circuit appeal on 2/9/2026; on 11/21/2025, the Ninth Circuit stayed the second appeal pending its resolution of the first appeal. |                    |                |              |                    |
| 3:25-cv-01350-WHO                    | City and County of San Francisco, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al.   | Appeal             | Constitutional                | Lawsuit brought by cities and counties nationwide against the President and federal administration, challenging executive orders and directives that try to withhold federal funding from local governments unless the local governments help with enforcement of federal civil immigration laws.   | Original Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief filed by original plaintiffs on 2/7/2025; the Court issued a preliminary injunction in favor of the original plaintiffs on 4/25/2025 which was appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on June 20, 2025; Motion to file a Second Amended Complaint granted 8/5/2025 adding 34 new cities and counties (including City of Santa Rosa) as plaintiffs; Court granted preliminary injunction in favor of new plaintiffs on 8/22/2025; Oral argument before Ninth Circuit was heard on 12/5/2025.   |                    |                |              |                    |
| 3:25-cv-08330                        | County of Santa Clara, et al. v. Kristi Noem, et al.  | Active             | Constitutional                | On September 30, 2025, Santa Rosa joined more than two dozen other local governments nationwide – including City of Petaluma, County of Sonoma, and Sonoma Water -- in a lawsuit against the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and the heads of those agencies. The lawsuit, County of Santa Clara v. Noem, challenges unlawful attempts by the Trump administration to require local governments receiving DHS and FEMA grants to use local resources to implement federal policies.                                    | Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief filed by plaintiffs 9/20/2025. Preliminary Injunction granted in favor of plaintiffs, including Santa Rosa, on 11/21/2025; Notice of appeal of Preliminary Injunction filed on 1/20/2026; Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals granted joint motion to stay proceedings pending resolution in the King v. Turner on 1/30/2026; Motion to Relate case to City of Fresno, et al. v. Noem, et. al, 26-cv-1535-BLF granted.  |                    |                |              |                    |

LITIGATION AS OF MARCH 10, 2026

| Case Number               | Full Case Name  | Status             | Category                                    | Case Description   | Case Notes   | Amount Claimed                     | Dismissed Date | Settled Date | Settlement Details  |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------|--------------|---|
| <b>GENERAL LITIGATION</b> |   |                    |   |  |  |                                    |                |              |   |
| 3:18-CV-01955-VC          | Nicholle Vannucci, Ellen Brown, and Shannon Hall, individuals; and Homeless Action!, an unincorporated association v. County of Sonoma, Sonoma County Community Development Commission, City of Santa Rosa, and Does I to XX  | Dismissed          | General Litigation - Constitutional and ADA | Complaint for Declaratory Relief and Injunctive Relief brought by Homeless Action! and several unhoused individuals against the City and Sonoma County related to the County's 2018 clearance of a homeless encampment within City limits. Plaintiffs allege (1) cruel and unusual punishment under the U.S. and California Constitutions, (2) unreasonable seizure the U.S. and California Constitutions, (3) state-created danger under the U.S. and California Constitutions, (4) disability discrimination under various federal and state statutes, and (5) denial of reasonable accommodation under a federal statute. | Discovery was underway when case was stayed on 1/19/2024 pending a decision by the United States Supreme Court in Grants Pass v. Johnson; Stay was lifted following Supreme Court decision and the parties stipulated to limited discovery; 1/29/2025 Settlement Conference was unsuccessful; Defendants' motions for summary judgment on standing was denied; 8/28/2025 Settlement Conference was unsuccessful; 9/16/2025 Settlement Conference was unsuccessful; Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment against City was heard on 12/18/2025; Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment was denied; Pretrial Conference set for 2/17/2026; Notice of Tentative Settlement between County and Plaintiffs filed on 2/2/2026; Notice of Tentative Settlement between City and Plaintiffs filed on 2/14/2026; Settlement Agreement between City and Plaintiffs fully executed on 2/23/2026; the case was dismissed on 2/27/2026.  |                                    | 2/27/2026      | 2/23/2026    | The settlement includes no monetary payment by the City. Plaintiffs released all claims against the City and waived any right to seek attorneys' fees and costs from the City, in exchange for the City's agreement to make written administrative policy updates related to how the City handles removal of personal property of unhoused individuals. |
| 26CV00636                 | SANTA ROSA REFORM JEWISH CONGREGATION, INC. dba CONGREGATION SHOMREI TORAH, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA and DOES 1-50, inclusive  | Active - Pleadings | General Litigation - Property Damage        | Landslides(s) to the plaintiff congregation's hillside in 2023-2024 allegedly related to the failure of the City's hillside stabilization project in 2019 - City has a V-Ditch/easement within the hillside and retained a design engineer and contractor to perform the work.   | Plaintiff's Complaint, filed on 1/22/2026, asserts inverse condemnation, dangerous condition of public property, negligence, acts of an independent contractor, nuisance, and trespass causes of action. City's Answer and Roe Cross-Complaint filed on 3/12/2026.   | Not less than \$3 million as pled. |                |              |   |
| SCV-264530                | David Pelayo, Roberto Hernandez, Edmond Andre, Bryan Munoz, and Brian Medeiros, each as an individual, and on behalf of the general public for all those similarly situated v Utility Partners of America, LLC, a Delaware corporation; City of Santa Rosa and DOES 1 through 250, inclusive. | Appeal             | General Litigation - Wage Claim             | Plaintiffs allege failure to pay prevailing wages and related compensation versus employer UPA for public works project; UPA alleges City is obligated to defend and indemnify UPA.  | Court granted UPA's motion for summary judgment on equitable indemnity; Court overruled UPA's demurrer to City's cross-complaint for contractual indemnity, thereby leaving both UPA's and the City's claims for indemnity in place; Although global mediation was unsuccessful, City and Plaintiffs separately reached a tentative settlement, which was approved by the Court on 4/11/2023; The settlement provided for the City's payment of \$250,000 in exchange for full release of liability in the case. Approval of the settlement was affirmed by the Court of Appeal on 6/14/2023; The City has no further liability in the matter, but retained additional rights; Bench Trial on City's breach of contract claim against UPA was completed on 5/28/2025; the trial court rendered a judgment in favor of the City on 8/7/2025, requiring UPA to pay the City damages in the amount of \$656,682.74; City filed a Motion for Costs on 8/22/2025; Court denied City's Motion for Costs; UPA filed a Notice of Appeal of the City's judgement; City filed a Cross-Appeal challenging the trial court's denial of City's request for attorney fees and costs; UPA's opening brief is due 40 days after the reporter's transcript is filed with the reviewing court; opening brief filing date is currently unknown to City. | According to Proof                 |                | 6/14/2023    | \$250,000.00  |

LITIGATION AS OF MARCH 10, 2026

| Case Number                                | Full Case Name  | Status                 | Category                            | Case Description  | Case Notes   | Amount Claimed     | Dismissed Date | Settled Date | Settlement Details |
|--|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| <b>OTHER LITIGATION</b>                    |   |                        |                                     |   |  |                    |                |              |                    |
| 24CV02519                                  | LUCAS BOHANAN v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-25  | Active - Set for Trial | Other                               | Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination, failure to provide reasonable accommodation and retaliation  | Complaint was served on City on 5/7/2024; First Amended Complaint filed on 6/13/2024; City filed an Answer to the First Amended Complaint on 7/10/2024; Plaintiff filed his Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on 7/15/2025; Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint was granted; Second Amended Complaint was filed on 11/7/2025; Defendant Doe 1 replaced with LWP Claims Solutions, Inc.; City filed an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint on 12/19/2025; City's Motion for Protective Order granted on 12/17/2025; LWP dismissed without prejudice in 1/21/2026; discovery continues; PMK depositions to commence; City's Motion for Summary Judgment to be heard on 5/06/2026; Trial continued to 7/31/2026.   | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 24CV05523                                  | ELIZABETH VAZQUEZ and RICHARD MILLER vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA and DOES 1-10   | Active - Set for Trial | Other                               | Complaint for damages for alleged taking of private property (Inverse condemnation).  | Complaint served on City on 9/24/2024; after meet and confer, Plaintiff has agreed to file a First Amended Complaint; Judge set Order to Show Cause hearing for 7/17/2025 re Dismissal for plaintiffs' failure to timely prosecute case; Plaintiff served First Amended Complaint on 7/15/2025; City filed a timely Answer on 8/13/2025; Case Management Hearing held on 11/20/2025; Motion to bifurcate pending; Trial set for 10/02/2026.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| SCV-272340                                 | JOHN DOE #1 J.B., an individual, vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL OF YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; SONOMA COUNTY FAMILY YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION; SANTA ROSA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION dba CAMP WA-TAM; DAY; and DOES 4 through 100   | Active - Set for Trial | Other                               | Alleges sexual abuse of a minor; intentional infliction of emotional distress; sexual harassment; negligence; negligent supervision; violation of civil rights; battery. Conduct alleged to have occurred in the early 1980s.   | Original Complaint filed against ROE defendants on 12/29/2022; Amended Complaint adding Santa Rosa Department of Recreation and Parks a defendant filed on 4/11/2024; Amended Complaint served on City of Santa Rosa on 8/1/2024; City filed a timely responsive pleading on 9/3/2024; Plaintiff's Deposition was completed on 6/16/2025; hearing on all three Defendants' separate Motions for Summary Judgment (MSJs) continued to 5/20/2026; Trial continued to 7/24/2026.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| SCV-273655                                 | People of the State of California, by and through Sue Gallagher, City Attorney for the City of Santa Rosa; and City Of Santa Rosa, V. Jorge Luis Calderon Caballero, Daniel Felix Nunez Serna, Roberto Carrillo, Emiliano Bautista Rodriguez, Manuel Andres Garfia, George Michael Patino Flores, Alexys Aguirre-Serrano, Joel Vasquez Cortez, Jersain Lezama Meza, Jesus Calderon Avalos, Neri Alberto Orea-Vasquez, Richard Murillo Robles, Rodrigo Lopez-Tapia, and Does 1-100 | Settlement Pending     | Other                               | Complaint for Public Nuisance, Civil Conspiracy, Willful Misconduct, and Violation of Fish and Game Code§ 5650(a)(l).   | Defendants filed Answer on 10/30/2023; following mediation case settled with 12 of the 13 defendants, with liability expressly denied, and each settling defendant to (1) pay the City \$500 for property damage, (2) participate in a 20-hour program with Restorative Resources, (3) complete volunteer work within twelve months, (4) pay \$15,000 in additional damages to the City if the defendant fails to complete all other terms of the agreement within twelve months; the twelve (12) settling defendants have now completed the above community service; a stipulation to continue the trial as to the remaining defendant has been submitted to the Court to allow parties to continue settlement negotiations with the final defendant; Substitution of Attorney filed on behalf of remaining defendant Meza on 4/23/2025, now representing self; Further Case Management Conference set for 6/18/2026. | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 26CV00564                                  | RICK DIONNE, an individual; and JENNIFER DIONNE, an individual, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive   | Active - Pleadings     | Other                               | Petition for judicial relief from denial of Petitioners' Request for permission to file a late claim pursuant to Government Code 946.6. Claimants allege hillside saturation and property damage that they attribute to the City's approval of subdivision improvement plans and non-installation of a v-ditch. | Summons and Petition for Judicial Relief to file a late claim pursuant to Government Code 946.6 was served on 02/18/2026; City's responsive pleading due 03/20/2026; Initial Case Management Conference scheduled for 06/11/2026.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| <b>PERSONAL INJURY/DANGEROUS CONDITION</b> |   |                        |                                     |   |  |                    |                |              |                    |
| 23CV01723                                  | MARGARET AMANDA PEARSON, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Atticus Pearson and MICHAEL PEARSON, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Atticus Pearson v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, REYNALDO ZAMORA-RUIZ, JR., ROSALIE JULIANA LEHANE and DOES 1 through 25 (MINOR)  | Settlement Pending     | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Claimants allege a dangerous condition in crosswalk resulting in their son being struck by motor vehicle; he passed away as a result of his injuries  | The City was served with the Complaint on 1/16/2024; City served with First Amended Complaint for Wrongful Death on 7/29/2024; City timely filed its responsive pleading to First Amended Complaint on 8/30/2024; Following discovery, City filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on 7/22/2025; Mediation occurred on 10/06/2025 where a conditional settlement subject to CJPRMA Board approval; CJPRMA Board approved the settlement on 12/10/2025; the Sonoma County Superior Court Probate Dept. has approved the settlement per Probate Code section 9835; a full Release and Settlement Agreement is now being circulated and once fully endorsed, the settlement will be funded.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 24CV01061                                  | DAVID O'CONNELL v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; COUNTY OF SONOMA, WILLIAM PHILLIP RODGERS, JOHN RODGERS; DELIA MARIA SANCHEZ, and DOES 1 through 100   | Active - Set for Trial | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Plaintiff alleges injuries due to City Bus colliding with another vehicle   | First Amended Complaint filed on 3/11/2024; City filed responsive pleading and cross-complaint on 5/7/2024; Discovery is ongoing; Trial set for 6/13/2025 has been vacated; Motion to withdraw filed by plaintiff's attorney was heard on 7/23/2025 and granted; Plaintiff's deposition held on 10/13/2025 with non-appearance by Plaintiff; further Case Management Conference to reschedule held on 11/13/2025; Order to Show Cause for Failure to Prosecute continued to 04/30/2026; Trial continued to 08/28/2026.   | According to Proof |                |              |                    |

LITIGATION AS OF MARCH 10, 2026

| Case Number          | Full Case Name  | Status                 | Category                            | Case Description  | Case Notes   | Amount Claimed     | Dismissed Date | Settled Date | Settlement Details |
|----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 24CV01383            | NANCY MORENO, an individual, v. GEOVANNI RECHY CORONA, an individual; CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1 to 50, Inclusive   | Settlement Pending     | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Claimant alleges they were a passenger in a vehicle that was rear-ended by a CityBus resulting in bodily injuries   | Complaint served on City 8/8/2024; City filed Demurrer and Opposition to Plaintiff's pending Petition for Leave to Present Late Tort Claim; Plaintiff's Petition was granted, and City's Demurrer overruled; initial Case Management Conference was held on 6/24/2025; written discovery has been completed and Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 9/22/2025. The parties have reached settlement and resolution of the matter in principle, and a Notice of Settlement of Entire Case has been filed with the Court; execution of further settlement documents pending; Trial date has been vacated. | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 24CV01397            | MARIA GONZALEZ v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, SARAH ELAINE SOLOMON and DOES 1 through 25  | Settlement Pending     | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Plaintiff alleges a dangerous condition of public property resulted in injuries   | Complaint filed 2/22/2024; Answer to Complaint filed 4/24/2024; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 6/11/2025; Driver's deposition was completed on 11/05/2025; Expert discovery commenced; Mediation was held on 12/19/2025, where a settlement was reached subject to CJPRMA Board and court approval; The CJPRMA Board meeting to approve the settlement is scheduled for 3/19/2026; Notice of Conditional Settlement filed on 12/22/2025, which vacated the 1/9/2026 trial date.   | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 24CV05980            | ROBERT LUNDGREN vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1-20, inclusive  | Active - Set for Trial | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Claimant alleges they sustained injuries when they fell over an asphalt patch surrounding a water utility cover while riding a scooter in the bike lane   | Complaint served on City on 10/15/2024; City's Answer filed on 11/13/2024; Initial Case Management Conference was held on 4/10/2025; written discovery has been completed; Plaintiff's deposition was completed 11/18/2025; Trial is set to commence on 3/20/2026.   | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 24CV07836            | Erika Martinez Gomez v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a Government Entity; MICHAEL EVAN STASSE, an individual; TAYLOR CHRISTIAN HOMER, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive  | Active - Set for Trial | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Claimant alleges dangerous conditions of public property contributed to vehicle accident causing injuries   | Summons and Complaint served on 4/22/2025; City filed its Answer on 5/21/2025; City filed a Cross Complaint against property owner and defendant driver on 6/09/2025; Discovery ongoing; Case Management Conference held on 12/11/2025; Hearing on Plaintiff's counsel's Motion to Withdraw set for 05/15/2026; Trial set for 10/09/2026.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 25CV04135            | MICHAEL KOSZALKA V. MICHAEL'S REFLEXOLOGY CENTER; MICHAEL AND WAY INC.; CITY OF SANTA ROSA; WCM VENTURES LLC ET AL.; AND DOES 1 to 30   | Active - Discovery     | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Claimant alleges he tripped and fell on a raised sidewalk.  | City was served with Summons and Complaint on 7/3/2025; City's Answer and Cross-Complaint filed on 8/4/2025; initial party written discovery has commenced, and the initial Case Management Conference was held on 1/13/2026; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 1/23/2026; Mediation is scheduled for 6/2/2026; Trial is set for 10/09/2026.   | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| 25CV06440            | JAVIER OLIVACABELLO, an individual; YENY ALGALOBO, an individual; v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a public entity; JOHN FRAGA, an individual; and DOES 1 to 50, Inclusive  | Active - Pleadings     | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Negligence action arising from an August 17, 2024 motor vehicle accident involving a City employee and City vehicle.  | Summons and Complaint for Damages filed on 09/12/2025; City served with Summons and Complaint served on 01/26/2026; City filed a Demurrer on 03/02/2026 that is pending a hearing date; Case Management Conference set for 03/10/2026 was continued to 09/15/2026 for status of settled pleadings.   | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| SCV-270898           | Cindy Gemignani, as conservator of the Estate of Daryl W. Titus v. City of Santa Rosa, Jose Andrade and DOES 1-20 inclusive   | Active - Set for Trial | Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition | Claimant alleges they suffered injuries when struck by a vehicle after an off duty SRPD officer instructed them to cross street   | Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, which was granted; Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint; City Defendants filed a Demurrer to Second Amended Complaint; Defendants' Demurrer sustained with leave to amend as to City in its entirety and Bane Act as to officer; discovery continues with meet and confer discussions ongoing; Trial continued to 09/04/2026 by stipulation.  | According to Proof |                |              |                    |
| <b>POLICE ACTION</b> |   |                        |                                     |   |  |                    |                |              |                    |
| 25CV05854            | Justin Amburn v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT; COUNTY OF SONOMA; SONOMA COUNTY REGIONAL PARKS DEPARTMENT; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION; KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100 | Active - Pleadings     | Police Action                       | Plaintiff alleges, among other things, civil rights violations under 42 U.S.C. 1983 against City of Santa Rosa, Santa Rosa Police Department, County of Sonoma, and the State of California, among others entities. | City of Santa Rosa was served with the Summons and Complaint on 10/28/2025; Defendants' motions to dismiss were granted on 3/3/2026 and Plaintiff has until 3/17/2026 to file a First Amended Complaint; Joint Case Management Conference remains set for 3/20/2026.   |                    |                |              |                    |

LITIGATION AS OF MARCH 10, 2026

| Case Number            | Full Case Name   | Status             | Category        | Case Description  | Case Notes  | Amount Claimed                    | Dismissed Date | Settled Date | Settlement Details |
|------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 3:23-cv-02478-JSC      | John Paul Johnson v. City of Santa Rosa, Officers Cody Sousa, O'Neill, Deleon, Jones and Does 1-50   | Judgment           | Police Action   | Plaintiff alleges damages related to excessive force, battery, Bane Act Violation and Negligence.   | Complaint served on the City 9/1/2023; Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint; City and individual officers answered; Court-ordered settlement conference was unsuccessful; City's Motion for Summary Judgment was heard on 11/14/2024 and was granted in part and denied in part; Trial was completed on 1/9/2026 resulting in the following verdict:<br>1) The jury found in favor of Officer Sousa on the lone Fourth Amendment claim asserted against him;<br>2) The jury found in favor of Officer O'Neill on the Fourth Amendment claim that it was excessive force to keep plaintiff in handcuffs while waiting for medical aid to arrive to address his elbow injury;<br>3) The jury found against Officer O'Neill on the other Fourth Amendment claim and state negligence and battery claims, finding that Officer O'Neill's use of a control lock that resulted in plaintiff's fractured elbow was excessive force. The jury found, however, that Officer O'Neill's actions were not intentional, and thus found in favor of Officer O'Neill on the related Bane Act claim; and<br>4) Based on the findings against Officer O'Neill, the jury awarded plaintiff \$10,000 in compensatory damages and \$0 in punitive damages.<br>Judgment was entered on 1/14/2026; The City's Motion to overturn the jury verdict against Officer O'Neill on qualified immunity was filed on 2/4/2026 with a hearing date of 3/12/2026; A settlement Conference was held on 2/5/2026. Plaintiff's Opposition to City's Motion was filed on 2/18/2026; City's reply was filed on 2/25/2026 and briefing has closed. Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees was filed on 2/25/2026 and hearing set for 4/2/2026; City's opposition to fee motion is due 3/11/2026; Plaintiff's Reply due by 3/18/2026. | According to Proof                |                |              |                    |
| C 22-05196             | James Earl Lindsey v. Santa Rosa Police Officer Jason Jucutan  | Active - Pleadings | Police Action   | Violation of civil rights; false arrest   | Officer Jucutan's Answer to complaint filed on 11/10/2022; Motion for More Definitive Statement filed by Officer Jucutan on 12/15/2022; case has been stayed pending resolution of the plaintiff's criminal case. Court ordered parties to file status report every 6 months and to notify court within 30 days of resolution of criminal charges; That criminal trial appears to have resulted in a mistrial, declared on 2/13/2025; City has updated Federal Court of outcome of the criminal proceedings, timely and pursuant to operative Court order via Status update filed on 3/13/2025; Court set an Order to Show Cause, directed to Plaintiff, re dismissal for 3/25/2025, which was removed from calendar following Plaintiff's response on 4/9/2025; City understands that Plaintiff has been returned to custody and that his criminal proceedings will continue; City's Motion to dismiss was filed on 10/30/2025 but was vacated on Court's Order granting Plaintiff leave to file a Second Amended Complaint; Second Amended Complaint filed on 2/17/25. Stay of the case was lifted on 3/3/2026 and City is awaiting Judge's screening and court order authorizing service of the Second Amended Complaint, which will establish and trigger City's responsive pleading deadline.  | Unknown                           |                |              |                    |
| <b>WRIT OF MANDATE</b> |  |                    |                 |   |   |                                   |                |              |                    |
| 25CV06089              | CORNERSTONE COMMUNITIES II, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1 through 1-10, inclusive | Active - Pleadings | Writ of Mandate | Plaintiff Cornerstone Communities II, LLC filed a Writ of Mandate and/or Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief requesting the Court set aside Council's actions taken on 07/08/2025 in exercising the City's Call Notice Rights pursuant to the Purchase and Sale for Future Infill Development Agreement for 410 B Street. | On 9/17/2025, City was served with Plaintiff's summons and Writ of Mandate and/or Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; City filed a demurrer and Cross-Complaint on 11/24/2025; Plaintiff filed and served an Amended Complaint removing Writ of Mandate causes of action on 03/02/2026; Hearing on City's demurrer was vacated; Initial Case Management Conference set for 1/29/2026 was continued to 06/11/2026.  | Declaratory and Injunctive Relief |                |              |                    |
| 25CV06241              | MICHAEL T. LUCAS doing business as MTL PROPERTIES, LLC v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA,  | Settlement Pending | Writ of Mandate | Petitioner filed a preemptory writ of administrative mandate under CCP section 1094.5 to compel City to set aside an administrative decision relating to citations for property located at 3230 Sebastopol Road.  | Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandamus served on the City on 09/23/2025; Amended Petition served on 10/08/2025; Notice of Conditional Settlement Filed on 12/31/2025; Hearing on Motion for Preemptory Writ set for 01/14/2026 vacated; Case Management Conference vacated; Request for Dismissal with prejudice entered on 02/13/2026.   |                                   | 2/13/2026      | 2/6/2026     |                    |
| 26CV00347              | Amy E. Thorstad v. City of Santa Rosa  | Active - Pleadings | Writ of Mandate | Petition for Writ of Mandate seeking judicial review of a decision upholding a citation and associated penalties for an unpermitted Short-Term Rental.  | Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandate and Request for Stay of Enforcement filed on 01/26/2026; City's Answer was filed on 02/25/2026; Case Management Conference is scheduled for 05/28/2026.   | According to Proof                |                |              |                    |

LITIGATION AS OF MARCH 10, 2026

| Case Number | Full Case Name  | Status             | Category        | Case Description   | Case Notes   | Amount Claimed                    | Dismissed Date | Settled Date | Settlement Details |
|-------------|---|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 26CV01041   | GORDON N. BALL, INC., a California corporation, Petitioner, vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a public entity, Respondent. GHILOTTI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., a California corporation; DOES 1-10, inclusive, Real Party of Interest  | Active - Pleadings | Writ of Mandate | Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by bidder Gordan N. Ball, Inc. challenging the City's contract award related to construction of the Route 101 Bicycle and Pedestrian Overcrossing project.  | Summons and Petition for Writ of Mandate served on 02/27/2026; Petitioner filed an Application for Preliminary Injunction or Alternative Writ on 03/09/2026 which is pending a hearing date; City's responsive pleading due 03/30/2026; Initial Case Management Conference scheduled for 07/21/2026.   | Declaratory and Injunctive Relief |                |              |                    |
| SCV-268752  | Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association and Rincon Valley dba Rincon Valley Mobilehome Park v. City of Santa Rosa; Department of Housing and Community of the City of Santa Rosa; Megan Basinger, in her official capacity as Interim Director of the Department of Community Services of the City of Santa Rosa; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive | Appeal             | Writ of Mandate | Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief; Petition for Writ of Traditional Mandamus; regarding mobile home park rent control ordinance - application of State price gouging limitations | The City's Motion for Summary Judgment as to the sole remaining cause of action in the case was granted on 5/22/2024; Judgment entered on 11/25/2024; Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on 12/2/2024; Record on Appeal was filed 3/7/2025; Petitioner's Opening Brief was filed on 7/3/2025; City's responsive brief was filed on 10/20/2025; Petitioner's Reply Brief was filed on 1/20/2026 and the matter is fully briefed; Oral argument has been requested by the parties and remains to be scheduled. | According to Proof                |                |              |                    |