

Bliss, Sandi

From: Guhin, David
Sent: Thursday, April 06, 2017 9:47 AM
To: Bliss, Sandi
Subject: FW: Outdoor Cannabis in Santa Rosa

For cannabis outdoor ban file

From: mccoynhernandez@gmail.com [mailto:mccoynhernandez@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, April 03, 2017 3:34 PM
To: Guhin, David <dguhin@srcity.org>
Subject: Outdoor Cannabis in Santa Rosa

I was extremely disappointed that the city council did not restrict outdoor growing. I own a home in southwest Santa Rosa and my neighbors have been growing 4 plants for the last to summers. They are a nuisance and cause my family to feel unsafe in our home. These are not little plants. They are huge treelike plants and they smell. You can see them over the fence. Last year I was a very questionable man peering over the fence at the plants. My family started sleeping with all of the windows closed and the doors locked at night. I respect their right to grow plants in their house. I own my home and deserve to feel safe at night. I shouldn't be having to close my windows and pay high electricity bills because they choose to grow. Our yards are small with on 10 feet between the houses and all of the houses look alike. Please quickly pass an indoor grow only law in Santa Rosa so my family can feel safe in our home.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Sincerely,

Linda McCoy-Hernandez
1182 De Meo St.
Santa Rosa, CA 95407

Bliss, Sandi

From: Froschl, Angela
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 4:57 PM
To: Guhin, David
Cc: Bliss, Sandi; Hartman, Clare
Subject: FW: out door Marijuana growing in Santa Rosa

Good Afternoon,

FYI...

Thank you,

Angela Froschl | Senior Administrative Assistant City Managers Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404 Tel. (707) 543-3014 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | afroschl@srcity.org

-----Original Message-----

From: William J. Bagnani [mailto:wjbagn@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 4:55 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: out door Marijuana growing in Santa Rosa

I am not in favor of a ban of all outdoor growing Marijuana. I live in Santa Rosa and grow for my wife who has been paraplegic for over 30 yrs and has chronic pain. Opiates carry more problems and Marijuana is very expensive unless grown on your own. Inside growing is costly in electrical bills and much more difficult. I grow responsible and find this one of the only solutions to her quality of life. Please don't make it an all out ban. Thank You

William H Low RN
Santa Rosa Ca

Bliss, Sandi

From: Sandra Bandy <sandrabandy6@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 11:23 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: NoBan

The voters of the state of California agreed that medical patients can cultivate for their personal use up to 100 ft.² and that adult cannabis users can cultivate up to six plants. The city needs to be in harmony with this law. Please do not ban medicinal marijuana cultivators from growing their own medicine. Thank you, Sandra L Bandy
777 Aston Ave 78, Santa Rosa, Ca. 95404.
Phone 791-4354

Sent from my iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: Carolyn <toreview@sonic.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 9:31 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor growing of dope

Dear City Council members,

Thank you for your consideration

Of our neighborhoods in Santa Rosa.

By prohibiting outdoor growing of cannabis or whatever you prefer to name the skunk smelling weed in our neighborhoods you are protecting the majority of our population.

Thank you

Bliss, Sandi

From: Tobie Doyle <samtdoyle@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 8:36 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Marijuana

Please do not allow out door growing of Marijuana in city limits. I had to keep my windows closed frequently last year as the smell was horrendous.

Thank you

Coeleen Doyle

Bliss, Sandi

From: emnem0110@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 11:27 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor cultivation

As a citizen of Santa Rosa, I hope my opinion along with others persuade the city council to see the benefits of growing marijuana outdoor.

Not only to the patients smoking the sun-grown product, but the environment as well.

Growing marijuana outdoor is in its most natural state. Thriving off simply the sun, water, and soil.

Hemp is a very leafy plant and thus contributes a high level of oxygen to the atmosphere during its growth.

Not only environmental reasons, but energy efficiency should be kept in mind. I hope this persuades the approval of outdoor cultivation within city limits.

Thank you,
Emily

Bliss, Sandi

From: T Fredricks <tfredricks5757@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 11:04 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Banning outdoor cultivation

I am writing in regards to the meeting tonight re the banning of medical cannabis being grown outside within city limits.

I take care of a disabled sister and she uses medicinal cannabis. She uses it not to get high, but to help with other issues.

She lives on social security, therefor, her medicine comes from the 3 plants i grow a year plus what I purchase for her.

It would greatly affect her access to her medicine if this ban takes place.

I understand that there have been issues with cultivation, but please, do not punish those of us that are respectful about our surroundings and grow a small amount.

Please find a middle ground that does not make it unaffordable and unaccessable to those who do not have alot of money.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Teresa Fredricks

Bliss, Sandi

From: Froschl, Angela
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 7:43 AM
To: Guhin, David
Cc: Bliss, Sandi; Hartman, Clare
Subject: FW: Medical marijuana outdoor ban

Good Morning,

FYI...

Thank you,

Angela Froschl | Senior Administrative Assistant

City Managers Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404

Tel. (707) 543-3014 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | afroschl@srcity.org



From: Luda Fiske [mailto:ludafisk@sonic.net]
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 6:50 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: Medical marijuana outdoor ban

Good day to all of you,

For those who use medical marijuana and grow just a few plants the purchase of a green house and the space to build it can be impossible. Being forced to buy it commercially can be cost prohibitive. Leave the medical marijuana people out of any of your plans to control the new laws concerning legalization. Not only is it jumping the gun but harmful to many users and voters.

I never miss an election and this is important to people I know and care about.

gregory gilbertson



Virus-free. www.avast.com

Bliss, Sandi

From: Alonzo Hayden <therealaix@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 11:10 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Medical cannabis outside farming

Hello my name is Al I've suffered from post-traumatic stress due to events that I suffered at the Sonoma County Fairgrounds . And I haven't been able to work for 11 years. The only way I cope or deal with the stresses of day-to-day it's by smoking cannabis. But the price was too high and it was illegal so I got a license .I have a small place where I can grow all I do is add water and now I have my medicine .by taking this away you will force me to have to spend my social security on cannabis. I have been growing my own cannabis for the last 7 years no one has bothered me I have never been robbed my neighbors don't complain. The overwhelming fear is not being able to be part of society . The cost to grow cannabis indoors is ridiculous when it can be completely avoided but having a safe space outside next to your home locked away and the money I save by not having to give it two a vendor who will most likely be geared to serve recreational users the major infusion of recreational users will bring the price two levels the average person on Social Security can not afford but. when I grow my own I have enough to last with no cost to anyone. I made purchase my clones or my seeds but the light comes from the sun and the water comes from the ground compost from a compost heap fertilizes and I can have my medicine without the ability to grow my own cannabis I would most likely have to buy it from someone selling it off the streets because the price would still be cheaper than going to a club. so by stopping people from growing their own cannabis those people who are not afraid to grow will grow and sell to those who do not want to go to a club because they don't trust the quality from a club which will be geared for the recreational user please vote no and allow us with medical to continue to grow the way we have some people cannot afford a greenhouse some people can't afford\$15,000 PG&E bill the people who are behind this law most likely work for the industry PG&E the large company standing behind in the shadows squeezing the citizen out what's next I can't grow corn to feed my grandson I can't grow tomatoes because Safeway is might lose money when will it stop it won't stop until it affects you don't know because it affects me and mark my words so many young people are going to try to grow marijuana indoors so many houses will be burned down next year because of people plugging millions of plugs into the wall all this old equipment is not going to be good bless you and bless your families and please vote no allow people to have some dignity to be able to feed their own families to be able to medicate themselves and enclosing there is no such thing as extra what we grow is what lasts Until We Grow Again in other words when it runs out it runs out until the sun permits.

Bliss, Sandi

From: Annalisa Hopper <annahopr@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:44 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor cultivation ban

To whom this may concern:

My name is Annalisa Hopper, I've been a cannabis farmer and patient for 12 years. I used to work for Peace In Medicine and a number of local collectives, but have grown personally for myself and a number of other patients, as their caregiver. The recent changes in legalization and prop 64, have caused severe detriment to the small time farmers in the area. Not only have you voted to significantly reduce the area and amount of plants farmers can cultivate, but also passed legislation taxing square footage of each garden. You have also stereotyped farmers as not being hard workers, while most of everyday of their lives, from sun up to sun down, are devoted to making sure ill people have access to medicine they desperately need. Roughly 45% of this county depends on this industry, and you've literally undercut and stolen their incomes, by empowering corporate industrial cultivation, and means to provide for their families. Families that have been doing this for over 50 years, are devastated, and you've slashed revenue that these people and the people they hire, put into the local economy every day. Patients depend on small local gardens to provide them with safe, pesticide -free medicine, that costs a lot less to provide, and doesn't cause as much harm to the environment as indoor grow operations do. By empowering people to turn to indoor operations, you could be indirectly responsible if more fires due to electrical issues occur because of this legislation. You've looked the other way when wineries pollute the local environment with pesticides and water hoarding, by taxing our industry the most. With this legislation, you will cause a big percentage of your population to leave the county, which will significantly curve any revenue coming into the county. I'm pleading for you all to reconsider passing this legislation, because people rely on their small outdoor gardens to be able to afford living in the country. These cultivators aren't all criminals, I find the most of them, are middle to lower class, retired, baby-boomers, and young professionals, that are in debt, because of school, who depend on this second source of income or depend on the medicine for their own needs. People won't be able to afford buying medicine from dispensaries with the new taxes imposed, which could spiral out and effect everything, in the grand scheme of things. I'm hoping that this matter will not be taken lightly and will have more discourse than any other legislation passed as of late.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Hopper
702-376-2285
516 Earle St.
Santa Rosa, Ca 95404

Sent from my iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: Sue Jackson <Sue.Jackson@sonoma-county.org>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:18 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Cannabis Outdoor Growth Moratorium

Importance: High

Honorable Council Members:

Today's news that you are considering a ban or moratorium on outdoor cannabis grows is very welcome news. We have resided in Santa Rosa since 1977 and have seen the decay of neighborhoods with the proliferation of pot grows.

Please consider:

- The impact of neighborhoods being overtaken with new residents whose sole purpose is to grow marijuana, regardless of the impact of pesticides and fertilizers on ground water and wildlife.
- The impact on scenery with obnoxious fencing to hide the operation, whether it be lumber, burlap, black plastic or anything else that can be hung.
- The loss of community. We once knew our neighbors. We weren't all "chums" but we knew each other's names and watched out for each other.
- The smell. The watchdogs, existing solely to protect the grow, with no socializing or positive interaction with people.
- The increased traffic, breaking down the already shoddy roads and gutters.
- Public safety.

Please do listen to your constituents and support the ban.

Cannabis grows in industrial buildings with proper code enforcement – YES.
Outdoor grows in neighborhoods – NO.

And please tell me that a "greenhouse" grow does not qualify as an "inside" grow.

Thank you.

Sue Jackson
4020 Madera Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95407
707-526-5535

I apologize that this was written on a work computer. I was unable to "send" when I created it from home, but thought it is important enough to take a chance of using a public computer.

Bliss, Sandi

From: gswarriorsfan5@yahoo.com
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 9:41 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor growing

Hi city council .I live in the city limits of Santa Rosa ca and am curious to know about your growing moratorium and how a bit of plastic called a green house will stop people from stealing outdoor cannabis ? I do not see how a green house will eliminate the smell of outdoor cannabis plants . I don't complain about my neighbor having a plant that attracts bees or that I can smell there rose garden . If you want to ban things that smell we should stop using diesel fuels and close the dump and every plant nursery in town while your at it . I don't have to put my lawn mower in a green house because someone might steal it . My bmx bike was stolen in front of Carl's Jr. In Santa Rosa and it had no green house around it . Thank you Josh a very concerned citizen .

Sent from my iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: William Rogers <LGBRogers@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 9:08 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor Plant Vote Today

Marijuana was legalized statewide on November 8, 2016. It was a small victory in an otherwise dismal election, but we Californians rejoiced. Finally, weed would be legal to smoke or possess in one's own home, if one is a human over the age of 21.

Regarding your vote today to prohibit growing plants in our backyards:

I am a quiet, law-abiding, 56 year resident of Santa Rosa. I own my home, pay taxes, and vote in every election.

If I plant two plants in the backyard of my single family home, for my personal consumption, what harm am I doing? My neighbors smoke weed. My yard is not visible from the street. Are you saying that I am creating a nuisance?

The chemicals? I would use the fertilizer that I put on my vegetables.

The smell? Well, some people smoke cigars on their porches, and some people use gas powered lawn mowers and leaf blowers. Some people even drive diesel automobiles...and all these things are legal in our fair city. In fact, I think the same rules that apply to smoking, e.g. restrictions for apartments and multi-use buildings, would apply nicely to the "smell issue" as well.

Remember, some people (like me) are medically sensitive to the aromas of jasmine and magnolia blossoms which permeate the city at certain times of the year. These plants are not illegal, are they?

The fundamental beauty of cannabis is that each person could, potentially, grow enough for their personal consumption.

The State of California has declared, through a vote of its citizens, that marijuana shall be legal for all residents over the age of 21.

Instead of an outright ban, how about imposing a limit on the number of plants one household may grow within the city limits of Santa Rosa?

Sincerely,
Lianne Rogers

Bliss, Sandi

From: Froschl, Angela
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 4:57 PM
To: Guhin, David
Cc: Bliss, Sandi; Hartman, Clare
Subject: FW: out door Marijuana growing in Santa Rosa

Good Afternoon,

FYI...

Thank you,

Angela Froschl | Senior Administrative Assistant City Managers Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404 Tel. (707) 543-3014 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | afroschl@srcity.org

-----Original Message-----

From: William J. Bagnani [mailto:wjbagn@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 4:55 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: out door Marijuana growing in Santa Rosa

I am not in favor of a ban of all outdoor growing Marijuana. I live in Santa Rosa and grow for my wife who has been paraplegic for over 30 yrs and has chronic pain. Opiates carry more problems and Marijuana is very expensive unless grown on your own. Inside growing is costly in electrical bills and much more difficult. I grow responsible and find this one of the only solutions to her quality of life. Please don't make it an all out ban. Thank You

William H Low RN
Santa Rosa Ca

Bliss, Sandi

From: trish manzano <trisha.manzano8@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 10:09 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor growing in Santa Rosa

Dear city council my name is trish and I live in Santa Rosa . I read in the press democrat that you are voting on a moratorium on outdoor cannabis growing . I urge you you to vote against this moratorium and any ban of outdoor growing . I grow outside cannabis to produce cannabis for my disability . I can not afford a green house and do not have the space money for lights and a indoor growing room . I am worried that next year you will ban the growing of my vegetable garden and try to tax or force my garden into a green house as well . I believe the smell of cannabis is a wonderful smell that should be enjoyed by everyone . I do not believe a green house Will stop people from stealing cannabis .Thank you for your time Trish .

Sent from my iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: Richard McCune <mccune_rich@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 12:01 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor Cannabis growing ban

Many people actually use cannabis as medicine. Preventing them from growing their medicine is not right. Growing cannabis was approved by the voters of the state. Don't force them to buy their cannabis from someone else. I like the solution Cloverdale came up with. Three plants per household. That should be enough for most people.

Bliss, Sandi

From: Thomas Barbara Novak <tvnovak@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 6:07 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor cultivation prohibition folly

I believe your proposal to ban outdoor cultivation of medical marijuana for personal use is morally, economically , environmentally and sociologically wrong. The intent of legalization is to be able to allow people to use marijuana. It is a weed that grows well here and the resources required to grow indoors is a waste. It seems your justification for prohibition revolves around your greed for a tax revenue and will send people to more expensive and black market options. Please look at the whole picture before you rush into a bad decision expanding prohibition instead of embracing what the citizens voted for.

Thank you, Barbara Novak

Bliss, Sandi

From: George Resing <george@resingcpa.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 1:20 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: YES to Ban on Pot Growing

Please vote YES on the ban on outdoor pot growing. My neighbor has been growing for years and the traffic in and out of his house (at all hours) is a major nuisance. Thanks! George

--

George Resing
1010 Spring St.
707-321-9052

Bliss, Sandi

From: Gary <showestg@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 10:29 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Don't ban outdoor cannabis completely

Dear Council Member,

I urge you not to ban completely outdoor growing of cannabis. Some folks with medical conditions cannot afford the high cost of medicine and grow a very small quantity for personal use that causes no concerns for neighbors. Do not abuse these few folks that cause no concerns to the public.

Sincerely,

Gary Schouest

Santa Rosa, CA

Bliss, Sandi

From: Froschl, Angela
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 5:14 PM
To: Guhin, David
Cc: Bliss, Sandi; Hartman, Clare
Subject: FW: Please Vote No on Outdoor Personal Cultivation Ban
Attachments: PRA response 12-20-12.pdf

Good Afternoon,

FYI...

Thank you,

Angela Froschl | Senior Administrative Assistant

City Managers Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Tel. (707) 543-3014 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | afroschl@srcity.org



From: Sarah Shrader [mailto:sarah@safeaccessnow.org]
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 5:03 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: Please Vote No on Outdoor Personal Cultivation Ban

March 28, 2017

Dear Santa Rosa City Council,

As chair of the Sonoma ASA Chapter, I am speaking out tonight against the Urgency Ordinance that would ban outdoor cultivation within Santa Rosa City Limits. As an advocacy organization that supports medical cannabis patients, I do not see a benefit for patients by passing this ordinance.

Not the intent of California Law

Three California Bills were passed by state legislature in 2015, known as MMRSA. These bills create a state licensing structure that purposely exempts medical cannabis patients who cultivate up to 100 square feet for their personal use. Personal use gardens are intended to be non commercial, the patient or their caregiver can cultivate their medical need without going through a permit process. In addition, the voters of the State of California (and in Sonoma County) approved Proposition 64 in November of 2016, allowing adult users over 21 to cultivate up to 6 plants.

Urgency Ordinance

There has been no emergency that's taken place to require this type of urgent action. Medical cannabis patients have cultivated their medicine with the counties permission since Proposition 215 passed in 1996.

Sun Grown Medicine

Sun-grown medicine, is the most natural, affordable, medical quality cannabis for patients to produce for themselves. Requiring indoor production creates an artificial environment, plants naturally are drawn to the soil, lighting, and environmental conditions of this region.

Affordable Medicine

It is not affordable for low-income patients to purchase equipment for indoor cultivation, pay high electric bills, or to build a structure that may require City Permits. We need to keep affordable options available for medical cannabis patients.

Education & Compliance

As an educator in Sonoma County, it has been a simple policy over the last fifteen years to share with patients, that they are allowed their own personal cultivation of up to 100 square feet. For patients who inquire about compliance in the future, it will be much more complicated to look up each individual by their zip code to find out which jurisdictional rules apply to them.

Mooreland Raids

A series of raids that took place on September 26th of 2012, included eleven different enforcement agencies in the Moorland area of Santa Rosa. This action resulted in multiple people's deportation and conviction, many of whom had less than 30 plants, and had never smoked medicine. Creating laws that make personal use illegal will only support this type of multi agency activity. This is not where we want to see enforcement resources spent. Please see attached Public Record Request, which confirms that 8 medical cannabis gardens were eradicated.

Sonoma District Attorney Policies

District Attorney Mike Mullins set the county guidelines in 2002, to be 100 square feet for medical patients after two unsuccessful prosecutions of medical cannabis gardens. All of the District Attorneys elected since Mike Mullins have remained committed to the 100 square foot policy.

City of Santa Rosa

The City of Santa Rosa prides itself in being green, sustainable, environmentally conscious, and progressive on cannabis policies. Forcing cannabis cultivation indoors, it does not meet these goals, and increases our county's Carbon footprint.

San Francisco State Legalization Task Force Recommendations

The San Francisco Task force has recently made recommendations to not issue permits to one acre outdoor facilities (MMRSA permit 3, outdoors). The reasoning was that San Francisco is such a

dense city, one acre parcels are not available. The second recommendation was not to issue type 5 permits in the future, because large cultivations would likely take out smaller cultivations as their competition. The decision was made unanimously to support all other cultivation types.

Recommendation: Allow for personal cultivation of 100 square feet, both outdoors and in greenhouses without a cannabis cultivation permit in accordance with State medical cannabis laws.

I appreciate your consideration to these matters, and encourage you to vote in favor of patient access,

Sarah Shrader

sarah@safeaccessnow.org

[707 540 4025](tel:7075404025)



Sonoma County Sheriff's Office

STEVE FREITAS
Sheriff-Coroner

LORENZO DUEÑAS
Assistant Sheriff
Law Enforcement Division

RANDALL WALKER
Assistant Sheriff
Detention Division

December 20, 2012

Joe Elford
Americans For Safe Access
1806 Vernon St. NW
Washington, DC 20009

RE: California Public Records Act request dated December 13, 2012.

Dear Mr. Elford:

The Sonoma County Sheriff's Office ("SCSO") is in receipt of the request you have made under the California Public Records Act ("CPRA") dated December 13, 2012. The request is seeking information regarding search warrants served on September 26, 2012 in the Moreland district of Santa Rosa.

This response contains information involving the SCSO only. Other agencies involved in these searches have their own separate records, arrests, officer names, etc. You will need to contact each agency involved to get their related information.

The following is a recitation of the individual categories of information you requested and the SCSO's response to each category:

1. Names of individuals arrested by the SCSO, individuals court dates, and charges;

- Jesus Trejo Maldonado – January 11, 2013
 - 11377(a) HS
- Ramon Sanchez Madrigal – No future court date, sentenced.
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 11366.5 HS
 - 12022(a) PC
- Francisca Farias Cuevas - No future court date scheduled yet.
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 12022 PC
- Francisco Oregel-Aguirre - No future court date scheduled yet.
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 1203.2a PC
- Miguel Angel Degadillo - No future court date scheduled yet

J. Elford
December 20, 2012

- 11377(a) HS
 - 11364.1(a) HS
- Arnoldo Lopez Contreras – January 16, 2013
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 11366.5(a) HS
- Norma Cuevas-Tellez - No future court date scheduled yet.
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 11366.5(a) HS
- Amparo Tellez-Ayala - No future court date, case discharged.
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 11366.5(a) HS
- Misael Salgado-Torres – December 28, 2012
 - 11358 HS
 - 11359 HS
 - 33410 PC
 - 33215 PC
 - 12022(a)(1) PC
- Ramiro Reyes Mendoza - No future court date, case discharged.
 - 11358 HS
- Ollisber Gutierrez-Pineda - No future court date, case discharged.
 - 11358 HS

2. Names and badge number of SCSO officers;

- Lieutenant Greg Miller #SD1329
- Sergeant Michael Raasch #SD443
- Sgt. Andrea Salas #SD442
- Sgt. Ruben Martinez #SD926
- Sgt. Steve Gossett #SD619
- Sgt. Shawn Murphy #SD1408
- Sgt. James Naugle #SD1351
- Sgt. Shannon McAlvain #SD530
- Sgt. Dennis Smiley #SD669
- Sgt. Cecile Focha #SD919
- Sgt. Dennis O'Leary #SD683
- Sgt. Dave Pedersen #SD615
- Sgt. Mark Fuston #SD402
- Sgt. Darin Dougherty #SD1583
- Sgt. Carlos Basurto #SD146
- Sgt. Greg Stashyn #SD747
- Detective Jason Lucas #SD1696
- Det. Brandon VanCamp #SD1643
- Det. Sal Borusso #SD404
- Det. John Buergler #SD1422
- Det. Brad Stibi #SD468
- Det. Orlando Rodriguez #SD925

J. Elford

December 20, 2012

- Det. Travis Koeppel #SD1589
- Det. Terry White #SD684
- Det. Brian Keen #SD1093
- Det. Perry Sparkman #SD668
- Det. Scott Singleton #SD1333
- Det. Jeff Dedischew #SD569
- Det. Matthew North #SD1951
- Det. Gary Freitas #SD548
- Det. Mechele Buchignani #SD1004
- Det. Adrian Mancilla #SD1309
- Det. Tony King #SD826
- Det. Ryan Russell #SD1456
- Det. Sabrina Ragan #SD1105
- Det. Brandon Austin #SD1629
- Det. Dave Berges #SD1032
- Det. Brian Keen #SD1093
- Det. Brandon Cutting #SD1641
- Det. Bryan Londo #SD1131
- Deputy Dave Medin #SD1018
- Dep. Marcus Holton #SD1543
- Dep. Eric Seibold #SD1949
- Dep. Rod McMasters #SD1212
- Dep. Pete Quartarolo #SD390
- Dep. Brian Parks #SD1584
- Dep. Justin Haugen #SD855
- Dep. Chris Mahoney #SD1639
- Dep. Jason Craver #SD820
- Dep. Michael Yoder #SD1626
- Dep. Mike Coate #SD445
- Dep. Steve Yeager #1587
- Dep. Don Fletcher #SD1536
- Dep. Jose Acevedo #SD141
- Dep. Sean Jones #1807
- Dep. Dylan Fong #SD1125
- Dep. Juan Valencia #SD1628
- Dep. Eric Greenband #SD824
- Dep. Jason Little #SD1416
- Dep. Bryan Jensen #SD1288
- Dep. Michael Peters #SD2041
- Dep. Jeff Toney #SD1041
- Dep. Debbie Little #SD206
- Dep. Robert Crabb #SD1941
- Dep. Paul Bradley #SD1454

3. Locations/Addresses of individuals charged;

The SCSO objects to the request of locations/addresses of individuals charged, personal information and identifiers are confidential and privileged pursuant to privacy rights under the

J. Elford
December 20, 2012

California Constitutional Right of Privacy (Article 1, Section 1), the Official Information Privilege of California Evidence Code section 1040, and the related California Government Code section 6254(f) because the need to maintain the confidentiality of this information outweighs any demonstrated need for production of such materials and would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

4. Number of medical cannabis gardens eradicated by the SCSO;

The SCSO eradicated a total of 8 medical cannabis gardens in regards to the search warrants performed on the date of September 26, 2012.

5. Number of homes searched;

A total of 19 homes were searched by the SCSO in regards to the search warrants performed on the date of September 26, 2012.

6. Number of warrants issued;

A total of 33 search warrants were issued by the Sonoma County Superior Courts in regards to this request.

7. Judges who signed warrants;

Judge Dana Simonds with the Sonoma County Superior Courts signed all search warrants in regards to this request.

8. Names of individuals deported by ICE (or the number of individuals taken by/arrested by ICE);

A total of 5 individuals arrested by the SCSO were turned over to ICE in regards to the search warrants performed on the date of September 26, 2012.

This completes the Sonoma County Sheriff Office's response to your CPRA request.

Sincerely,

Kimberlee Cordero
Discovery Clerk
(707) 565-3933

Bliss, Sandi

From: Froschl, Angela
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 2:58 PM
To: Guhin, David
Cc: Bliss, Sandi; Hartman, Clare
Subject: FW: Please DON'T ban sun-grown medicine.

Good Afternoon,

FYI...

Thank you,

Angela Froschl | Senior Administrative Assistant

City Managers Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Tel. (707) 543-3014 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | afroschl@srcity.org



From: Anna Storti [mailto:astorti123@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 2:51 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: Please DON'T ban sun-grown medicine.

Sun grown medicine is the most natural affordable, medical quality medicine for patients to produce for themselves. Sun grown medicine should NOT be banned within city limits. **Recommendation: Allow for personal cultivation of 100 square feet, both outdoors and in greenhouses without a cannabis cultivation permit in accordance with State and medical cannabis laws. - Anna (California resident)

Bliss, Sandi

From: William Rogers <LGBRogers@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 4:09 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor grow vote

Council Members,

Of all the asinine things you have come up with over the years this ban on outdoor growing of marijuana is one of the most idiotic proposals. First you are fixing a problem that doesn't exist. Remember November the people of California voted to LEGALIZE marijuana for recreational use in California. To make growing small amounts of marijuana for personal or medical use within Santa Rosa California illegal you are creating a problem that was just remedied state wide. The More people that have two or three plants the less the problems associated with it become. You also increase the carbon footprint of Santa Rosa by requiring people to grow indoors. So much for being earth friendly.

I fully support a limit on the number of plants (say 4 to 6) and outlaw commercial grows in residential areas. If you insist on being reactionary twits I will stand against you now and at the next election cycle. To call this an Urgent matter is ridiculous.

If you support this I just have to ask myself " What the hell kind of Idiots are we electing ?" Sorry for being course but the council has a track record of Stupid. Please don't be stupid again today.

William Rogers

814 Humboldt St.
Santa Rosa,ca

Bliss, Sandi

From: DAWN WALKER <dsvtx2@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, March 28, 2017 3:50 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Cannabis Urgency Ordinance

Dear City Council Members

I write to ask that you not follow the City Attorney's recommendation to prohibit the outdoor cultivation of cannabis.

My recommendation as a life long environmentalist is to

Regulate the commercial cultivation of cannabis the same as other agricultural crops.

Follow the voter's mandate of passing proposition 64 allowing for limited cultivation outdoors or indoors.

Because:

Outdoor growing uses far less valuable resources, such as light and water. There is a reason it is not hard to catch illegal indoor marijuana farms - high electricity use is a dominant clue. Growing outdoors will also allow rain to provide at least some of the water required to grow outdoors, compared to 100% municipal water for indoor growing.

Also of concern is that a mandate for indoor growing only, discriminates against people of lower economic status. Indoor growing equipment is not inexpensive.

Thank you for your consideration,
Dawn A. Walker
95404

Sustainable Design is Intelligent

Bliss, Sandi

From: Connie Beall <cabeall@sonic.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 8:36 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor growing ban

Dear City Council Members,

We would like to go on record for supporting a ban on outdoor growing in the city of Santa Rosa. Our home is in the Junior College neighborhood, purchased in 1998. Houses and backyards are small here. Any growing with accompanying water use, odor, fertilizer, or chemicals will be impact neighbors. We consider this impact to be quite negative. We are quite concerned about Santa Rosa and Sonoma County's seeming embrace of the marijuana culture. For those of us who choose to avoid exposure to second hand smoke in all forms, it is also of great concern that public smoking will be an issue once again. If I sit in my back yard, and my neighbors are smoking, cigarettes or marijuana, I am subject to unwanted smoke.

Thank you for your consideration,

Connie Beall
Richard Lowden

Connie Beall
cabeall@sonic.net

Bliss, Sandi

From: Steven Patch <steven.a.patch@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 9:43 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Cannabis outdoor growing

Hello,

As a resident of Santa Rosa, I urge you to drop the idea of banning the growth of cannabis plants outdoors for personal use. This will hurt many of your constituents that need their medical cannabis treatments who can not otherwise afford to purchase it. What makes you think it is okay to govern what people grow in the backyard of their own home? This country is losing its freedoms one by one because of people in power who think they know what is best for everyone else. I see that one of the reasons to ban outdoor growth is the smell. Would you also think it is ok to ban outdoor grilling because a vegetarian next door doesn't like the smell of grilled meat? Absurd right? Same concept. I hope you find it in your hearts to allow the people of Santa Rosa to have one less freedom taken away.

Thanks,
Steven

Bliss, Sandi

From: Betsy Penn <penn.woods@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 5:50 AM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic
Subject: Outdoor Marijuana Grower Moratorium

Honorable Council Members:

Today's news that you are considering a ban or moratorium on outdoor cannabis grows is very welcome news. We have resided in Santa Rosa since 1977 and have seen the decay of neighborhoods with the proliferation of pot grows.

Please consider:

- The impact of neighborhoods being overtaken with new residents whose sole purpose is to grow marijuana, regardless of the impact of pesticides and fertilizers on ground water and wildlife.
- The impact on scenery with obnoxious fencing to hide the operation, whether it be lumber, burlap, black plastic or anything else that can be hung.
- The loss of community. We once knew our neighbors. We weren't all "chums" but we knew each other's names and watched out for each other.
- The smell. The watchdogs, existing solely to protect the grow, with no socializing or positive interaction with people.
- The increased traffic, breaking down the already shoddy roads and gutters.
- Public safety.

Please do listen to your constituents and support the ban.

Cannabis grows in industrial buildings with proper code enforcement – YES.
Outdoor grows in neighborhoods – NO.

And please tell me that a "greenhouse" grow does not qualify as an "inside" grow.

Thank you.

Betsy Penn
4073 Madera Ave
Santa Rosa, CA 95407-7311

I used the text of my neighbor, Sue Jackson. She stated the issues very eloquently.

Sent from Betsy's iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: Guhin, David
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 8:13 AM
To: Bliss, Sandi
Subject: FW: marijuana growing
Attachments: I implore you all to change our city laws regarding growing pot to 3 plants indoors only.docx; I want to enlighten you to the facts about people who possess a so called.docx

For cannabis moratorium email correspondence file

From: Dan S [mailto:10ksignings@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 29, 2017 2:06 PM
To: Guhin, David <dguhin@srcity.org>
Subject: marijuana growing

David Guhin, Director
Planning and Economic Development
90 Santa Rosa Ave.
Santa Rosa, Calif. 95404

Dear Mr. Guhin:

I have sent the following letters to the Mayor and City Counsel members and wanted you to have this information also and urge you to see that there can be no more than 3 plants per household to be grown indoors. The recreational users have duped us all by obtaining a 'medical license' to grow 33 plants and they don't need this much for personal medical use. In fact, most of them are using their 'medical license' to grow for strictly commercial purposes which puts other residents at risk for violence and crime, attracts unsavory types coming to buy it, and reduces our property values not to mention the stench and all night machinery noises from large indoors grows in residential 1 zoned areas. These recreational traffickers would be impossible to track and tax, however, they could be fined for having plants outdoors even if only 3 or 6 plants because it is visible and still attracts thieves. Please help.

Dan Serbin
1064 Santa Ana Dr.
Santa Rosa, Calif. 95404
707-799-2022

I implore you all to change our city laws regarding growing pot to 3 plants indoors only, in all residential areas of Santa Rosa, whether incorporated or not.

According to recent Press Democrat article, one third of all home robberies in Sonoma County are related to pot growing operations. One such armed robbery occurred on 12/12/16 at a neighbor's home. See attached article. This is subjecting us to violence and danger of being shot ourselves by stray bullets because none of these criminals have firearms skills, they couldn't hit a bull's ass with a base fiddle.

ALL 4 of my neighboring properties plus others in the area, fill their entire yards with 33 plants "because they have a 'medical license' ". Who can smoke 33 plants worth in a year? With a limit of 3 plants, each plant producing about a pound and a half gives them 4 and a half pounds a year which should be enough. In reality, they are selling most of it and the trafficking attracts a steady stream of really slimy characters here who I guaranty are recreational users and various types addicted to other drugs as well. Most of the people with a 'medical license' use that as a guise because in reality they are strictly growing for commercial purposes.

The stench in this whole neighborhood is unbearable and everyone who comes here comments on it. Imagine trying to sell a home here. They are destroying our property values.

I write to you at 1 o'clock am because I cannot sleep with the constant high pitched machinery noise that runs all night and much of the day from a grow house behind me. They grow in a large cabin in the backyard and a hoop house for the last 3 years now. They supply the neighboring yard with 33 plants yearly other yards around town also. This particular grow house was one of those in the raid of 33 residences in Santa Rosa in Oct. 2013 conducted by DEA and FBI. They remained undaunted and have stopped growing in their own yard, turned their rented house into a grow operation and moved the outdoor growing to the neighbor's property. Both are renters. While it is common knowledge here what is going on, no one around here complains because they are so many growing here or they are afraid of gang retaliation because 8 of those 33 homes in the raid were gang members and about 8 others were found with illegal weaponry.

I am writing anonymously to the owners of the grow house that they rent.

This noise at 1045 Santa Barbara Dr in Santa Rosa has to stop immediately. We are in the county island so there is no noise ordinance here but I am hoping that PRMD can step in before August or September when a new ruling will take place on pot growing on the grounds that it is commercial in a R -1 area. See attached chart from PRMD.

Another side effect of pot growing is the abuse of dogs confined to backyards to protect their crops from intruders who are usually their 'friends'. The most popular dogs with this element is pit bulls who are never spayed or neutered, never socialized or trained.. I have been bitten 3 times and charged many times by various ones who get loose frequently and I cannot walk my dog around the block here. Animal Control does nothing to enforce the spay/neuter laws that the county mandates for this breed.

While pot growers, abusers, and recreational users who are just addicts have their rights, it is at the expense of the rest of us. 1) They expose us to violence, 2) ruin our property values, 3) attract criminal

element to our area,4) Guantanamo style noise torture. I cannot have a window open on warm nights because of the constant high pitched machinery noises.

What about a property owners right to 'quiet enjoyment' of their property?

I would also like to know how we can annex to the City of Santa Rosa and get the benefit of city ordinances.

I am desperate. At 65 years old, moving and starting over again somewhere else is not an option.
PLEASE HELP ME.

Sincerely,

Dan Serbin

1064 Santa Ana Dr.

Santa Rosa, Calif. 95404

707-799-2022

10ksignings@gmail.com

I want to enlighten you to the facts about people who possess a so called 'medical license' to grow 33 pot plants. Those of us who live among these people know that the medical license is a misnomer and that the citizenry and public officials have been hoodwinked about medical licenses to grow 33 plant. Most if not all of these people are growing for purely commercial purposes. It will be impossible to monitor, regulate and collect taxes on this group.

Regarding this Emerald Cup marijuana festival at the Fairgrounds in Santa Rosa. As a homeowner resident living adjacent to the Fairgrounds. this event needs to be moved to Mendocino or Humboldt County which is the true hub of the marijuana industry. But being the huge money maker that this is for the city I am sure this venue will never change.

But, I want you to know that it creates very dangerous traffic problems here with traffic backing way up into lanes onto 101 and hwy 12 all day. These drivers all are high as kites trying to navigate traffic around these neighborhoods- it is like drunk bumper cars, making very sudden and erratic moves, not knowing where they are going or what to do, like they have never driven a car before. I urge you to go and observe this population. They are hippies, slackers and addicted recreational users, not elderly people, or those crippled with pain, mainstream people or clearly debilitated people suffering from various diseases that are relieved by cannabinoid use. Dispensaries sell the products for medical use and do not contain THC (the part of marijuana that produces a high.)

If Emerald Cup is medical event, why are there rock bands there like its a music concert event? They have made a mockery of the legalization for medical use and the joke is on us

.

If this happens again, I demand there be a heavy police presence giving out DUI tickets all day and night. Since legalization in Colorado, DUI's are up drastically according to a local sheriff I spoke to. If the city wants to cash in on this event, then this would be a good way raise more money.

We voted for legalization thinking that there would be more regulation of marijuana.

As for myself, I was a teenager and young adult in the 60's and 70's living in Haight- Ashbury and one of the original 'flower children' . One day, Dr. David Smith, founder of the Haight- Ashbury Free Medical Clinic asked me to come and be trained as a volunteer at his clinic. I trained as a medical assistant and medical records clerk, and worked into paying job there later getting a scholarship to continue my education and went to nursing school as a result.

I have observed first hand how marijuana irreversibly ruins brain cells in young adults. Like the bumper sticker says "they say dope causes apathy- who cares".

I really don't know if I like what's happening in Sonoma County these days. Wine tasting on every corner. A wine venue opening in Freestone and another big wine event venue on Hwy12 in Glen Ellen creating wine tourism traffic hell there like Napa Valley. Add to that, the advent of becoming the center for the booming craft/artisan beer, and combination coffee/beer cafes now. Add marijuana to the mix. I don't like the stigma our county is taking on. What is happening here???

Again, I am urging the following: 1) no more than 3 plants per household, grown only inside, 2) NO more Emerald Cup at the Fairgrounds.

Sincerely,

Dan Serbin

1064 Santa Ana Dr.

Santa Rosa, Calif. 95404

707-799-2022

Bliss, Sandi

From: Froschl, Angela
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 1:37 PM
To: Guhin, David
Cc: Bliss, Sandi; Hartman, Clare
Subject: FW: Outdoor marijuana gardens - I am opposed to them!

Good Afternoon,

FYI...

Thank you,

Angela Froschl | Senior Administrative Assistant

City Managers Office | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Suite 10 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Tel. (707) 543-3014 | Fax (707) 543-3030 | afroschl@srcity.org



From: Michael Brown [mailto:chevyshack@sbcglobal.net]
Sent: Thursday, March 30, 2017 12:45 PM
To: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: Outdoor marijuana gardens - I am opposed to them!

Dear Council Members:

Regarding the proposal put forth by the City Council recently to ban outdoor marijuana growing in the City limits...I fully support this ban and thank you for bringing it forward.

I am opposed to these types of operations for the following reasons:

1. I live within less than a mile radius of Binkley Elementary School, Madrone Elementary School, Sequoia Elementary School, Whited Elementary School, Rincon Valley Christian School, Rincon Valley Charter School, Rincon Valley Middle School, Maria Carillo High School, and the proposed Oak Park Elementary School. That is 8 schools with a possible 9th coming on board. Please multiply that times all the schools in Santa Rosa. These schools represent thousands of impressionable and vulnerable children. Medical studies have determined that a person's brain and judgement are still developing at these young ages. Marijuana alters the development of these most important physical and psychological elements in our children and grandchildren.

Curiosity and peer pressure are strong elements in children's lives. If marijuana gardens are allowed to proliferate in our city, the urge for these children to access these gardens will be too much to resist for many of them. They will find the gardens because of the pungent skunky odor emanating from the plants, the visibility of the plants over fences, and word of mouth between the children and hearing of them from their parents and neighbors.

It will harm the children. That would be a tragedy.

2. Marijuana growing operations attract crime. I am a retired Sonoma County deputy sheriff. I investigated many instances of marijuana garden violence during my time as a patrol deputy and later as a Violent Crimes detective. Homicides, assaults by firearms and other deadly instruments were not uncommon. We continue to see those things in our city. Barely 2 years ago, there was a shootout on Acacia Lane (near our home) at a marijuana grow location. One person was shot to death and another was wounded. Approximately 6 months before that 2 young men tried to rip off a "medical marijuana" indoor and outdoor grow on Windsor Court (again, not that far from our home). The homeowner was shot and wounded. As I'm sure you are aware, other violent home invasion robberies over marijuana have taken place in our city as well.

Flying bullets are indiscriminate in who they injure or kill and could easily penetrate a home or harm someone who is near. These people do not go to the shooting range to be accurate when they shoot their guns.

One of the primary duties of government is to see to the safety of their citizens. We applaud your proposal to ban these gardens within the city limits thereby making our neighborhoods safer.

We do not want armed home invasion robberies in our neighborhoods or in our city!

3. Marijuana stinks! It smells like skunk. We spend a lot of time in our backyard during the times that marijuana is growing and

comes to harvest. We do not want to smell that skunk odor day after day while trying to enjoy our home and yard. Why should a marijuana grower be able to invade my space with their odors?

Thank you again for proposing this ban. One of these controls and I appreciate your full support in passing this legislation.

Mike Brown
4929 Everglade Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA 95409

Bliss, Sandi

From: T Fredricks <tfredricks5757@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 4:49 PM
To: Bliss, Sandi
Subject: Re: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Thank you so much for keeping me informed. I really appreciate it.

On Apr 11, 2017 4:59 PM, "Bliss, Sandi" <sbliss@srcity.org> wrote:

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed outdoor cannabis moratorium that was scheduled to be heard by the City Council on March 28th. The item was referred to the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee to discuss the issue in more depth. We have record of your comment, but would also like to invite you to attend a special Subcommittee meeting that will be held next Monday dedicated to this topic. Below are the details of this meeting if you would like to attend and provide feedback and input on the proposed moratorium on outdoor cultivation for both commercial and personal use.

Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Subject: Discussion on Outdoor Cultivation in the City of Santa Rosa - Commercial and Residential

Date: Monday, April 17, 2017

Location: City Hall – 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Council Chamber

Time: 6-8pm

Sandi Bliss, CMC | Administrative Secretary

Planning and Economic Development Department|100 Santa Rosa Ave, Room 3 | Santa Rosa CA 95404

Phone ([707\) 543-3226](tel:7075433226) | Fax ([707\) 543-3226](tel:7075433226) | sbliss@srcity.org

Phone hours Monday – Thursday 8am to 4:30pm, Friday 8am – 12pm

Counter hours: Monday: 8am - 4:30pm

Tuesday: 8am - 4:30pm

Wednesday: 10:30am - 4:30pm

Thursday: 8am - 4:30pm

Friday: 8am - 12pm



Bliss, Sandi

From: Sue Jackson <Sue.Jackson@sonoma-county.org>
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2017 8:39 AM
To: Bliss, Sandi; Guhin, David
Subject: RE: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Thank you. I will attend.

Sue Jackson
Administrative Aide
SCAPOSD
747 Mendocino Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
707.565.7346

From: Bliss, Sandi [mailto:sbliss@srcity.org]
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 4:59 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed outdoor cannabis moratorium that was scheduled to be heard by the City Council on March 28th. The item was referred to the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee to discuss the issue in more depth. We have record of your comment, but would also like to invite you to attend a special Subcommittee meeting that will be held next Monday dedicated to this topic. Below are the details of this meeting if you would like to attend and provide feedback and input on the proposed moratorium on outdoor cultivation for both commercial and personal use.

Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee
Subject: Discussion on Outdoor Cultivation in the City of Santa Rosa - Commercial and Residential
Date: Monday, April 17, 2017
Location: City Hall – 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Council Chamber
Time: 6-8pm

Sandi Bliss, CMC | Administrative Secretary

Planning and Economic Development Department | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Room 3 | Santa Rosa CA 95404

Phone (707) 543-3226 | Fax (707) 543-3226 | sbliss@srcity.org

Phone hours Monday – Thursday 8am to 4:30pm, Friday 8am – 12pm

Counter hours: Monday: 8am - 4:30pm

Tuesday: 8am - 4:30pm

Wednesday: 10:30am - 4:30pm

Thursday: 8am - 4:30pm

Friday: 8am - 12pm



Bliss, Sandi

From: DAWN WALKER <dsvtx2@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, April 11, 2017 6:09 PM
To: Bliss, Sandi; Guhin, David
Subject: Re: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Thank you for the invitation. I appreciate being asked, but I will be out of town this coming Sunday through Thursday.

Please let me know of any future opportunities to help with this issue.

Dawn A. Walker

Sustainable Design is Intelligent

On April 11, 2017 at 4:59 PM "Bliss, Sandi" <sbliss@srcity.org> wrote:

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed outdoor cannabis moratorium that was scheduled to be heard by the City Council on March 28th. The item was referred to the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee to discuss the issue in more depth. We have record of your comment, but would also like to invite you to attend a special Subcommittee meeting that will be held next Monday dedicated to this topic. Below are the details of this meeting if you would like to attend and provide feedback and input on the proposed moratorium on outdoor cultivation for both commercial and personal use.

Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Subject: Discussion on Outdoor Cultivation in the City of Santa Rosa - Commercial and Residential

Date: Monday, April 17, 2017

Location: City Hall – 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Council Chamber

Time: 6-8pm

Sandi Bliss, CMC | Administrative Secretary

Planning and Economic Development Department | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Room 3 | Santa Rosa
CA 95404

Phone (707) 543-3226 | Fax (707) 543-3226 | sbliss@srcity.org

Phone hours Monday – Thursday 8am to 4:30pm, Friday 8am – 12pm

Counter hours: Monday: 8am - 4:30pm

Tuesday: 8am - 4:30pm

Wednesday: 10:30am - 4:30pm

Thursday: 8am - 4:30pm

Friday: 8am - 12pm



Bliss, Sandi

From: Sarah Shrader <sarah@safeaccessnow.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 13, 2017 2:20 PM
To: Bliss, Sandi
Subject: Re: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

I look forward to attending, and appreciate your follow up.

Thank you.

Sarah Shrader

On Tue, Apr 11, 2017 at 4:59 PM, Bliss, Sandi <sbliss@srcity.org> wrote:

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed outdoor cannabis moratorium that was scheduled to be heard by the City Council on March 28th. The item was referred to the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee to discuss the issue in more depth. We have record of your comment, but would also like to invite you to attend a special Subcommittee meeting that will be held next Monday dedicated to this topic. Below are the details of this meeting if you would like to attend and provide feedback and input on the proposed moratorium on outdoor cultivation for both commercial and personal use.

Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Subject: Discussion on Outdoor Cultivation in the City of Santa Rosa - Commercial and Residential

Date: Monday, April 17, 2017

Location: City Hall – 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Council Chamber

Time: 6-8pm

Sandi Bliss, CMC | Administrative Secretary

Planning and Economic Development Department|100 Santa Rosa Ave, Room 3 | Santa Rosa CA 95404

Phone ([\(707\) 543-3226](tel:7075433226)) | Fax ([\(707\) 543-3226](tel:7075433226)) | sbliss@srcity.org

Phone hours Monday – Thursday 8am to 4:30pm, Friday 8am – 12pm

Counter hours: Monday: 8am - 4:30pm

Tuesday: 8am - 4:30pm

Wednesday: 10:30am - 4:30pm

Thursday: 8am - 4:30pm

Friday: 8am - 12pm

Bliss, Sandi

From: phil <philbu@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 14, 2017 7:42 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: outdoor cultivation

Dear Mr. Guhin,

I would like to voice my support for outdoor cannabis grows. There are many reasons to allow it, but maybe consider that there have been at least 7 house fires associated with indoor grows in the County over the last 4 years. Maybe more, but that's all I could find. Its all about bad wiring. I'm sure you'll get a lot of other reasons for allowing outdoor and if you stack them all up, it might appear they outweigh what ever complaints others have.

Thanks for your time.

Phil

April 5, 2017 Windsor

<http://www.pressdemocrat.com/news/local/6857672-181/windsor-city-worker-saves-woman?artslide=0>

Dec 27, 2016 Sonoma

<http://www.sonomanews.com/news/6485815-181/garage-pot-grow-goes-up?artslide=0>

September 7, 2016 Santa Rosa

<http://www.pressdemocrat.com/news/6061690-181/house-fire-leads-santa-rosa>

January 23, 2016 Santa Rosa

<http://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2016/01/23/marijuana-found-santa-rosa-garage-fire/>

September 30, 2015 Larkfield

<http://www.pressdemocrat.com/news/4553681-181/indoor-pot-cultivation-found-after>

September 16, 2015 Santa Rosa

<http://www.pressdemocrat.com/news/4489453-181/santa-rosa-home-with-pot>

February 9, 2013 Sonoma

<http://www.pressdemocrat.com/csp/mediapool/sites/PressDemocrat/News/story.csp?cid=2213211&sid=555&fid=181&artslide=0>

Bliss, Sandi

From: Conscious Culture <consciousculture1@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 15, 2017 2:00 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Please don't ban outdoor

Hello David,

The County's standard for medical and adult use is no more than 100 square feet per residence, of which up to 6 plants can be cultivated for adult use purposes.

Or, thinking ahead, when the time does come that the Feds give up, 1) what will municipalities do about odor complaints, and 2) what about the loss of revenue for law enforcement right now?

According to Prop 64, local municipalities will be forbidden to ban outdoor cultivation if and when the California Attorney General determines that non-medical marijuana has become legal under federal law. An act taken by a city, county, or city and county will be deemed repealed upon the date of such determination by the California Attorney General. (Sec 11362.2(b)(4))

Proposition 64 also denies any share in the revenues recouped statewide through taxes on marijuana sales to locals that ban these activities.

Such funds will be disbursed through the Board of State and Community Corrections "for making grants to local governments to assist with law enforcement, fire protection, or other local programs addressing public health and safety associated with the implementation of the Control, Regulate and Tax Adult Use of Marijuana Act." (Sec 34019(f)(3)(C))

However, the section goes on to state, "The Board shall not make any grants to local governments which have banned the cultivation, including personal cultivation under Section 11362.2(b)(3) of the Health and Safety Code [outdoors upon the grounds of a private residence], or retail sale of marijuana or marijuana products."

Just a suggestion: San Luis Obispo's offensive odor ordinance assumes that "any odor is 'offensive to individuals of normal sensitivity' if the city receives three or more complaints within a month from separate households or businesses about a single source of odor... The offensive odor rules were developed after the council considered and rejected an ordinance last May that would have severely restricted marijuana cultivation and distribution in the city."

<http://www.sanluisobispo.com/news/local/article39516969.html>

Regards,

Richard

Bliss, Sandi

From: john bekas <johnbekas@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 16, 2017 4:26 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Proposed Outdoor Ban

Greetings

My name is John Bekas and I live in Santa Rosa. My wife and I bought our first house here 3 years ago, and our son just turned 13 months old. I'm not sure I would have been alive to see that if it weren't for medical cannabis. I suffer from both a seizure condition and chronic pain for a broken hip as a result of a near fatal car accident I suffered on the way home from work one day. In New Jersey, my doctors were giving me a cocktail of Clonazepam, Roxycodone, muscle relaxers, Dilantin, Keppra, Lamictal.

I live within city limits, and I just want to grow my six plants in my yard. I moved here from New Jersey with the prospect of reducing/eliminating my need for pharmaceutical drugs and their awful side effects. One of the drugs I was taking causes something called "Dental Dysplasia" and your teeth grow over your gums. The side effects to my organs and neurological system are too many to count.

Since I have lived here, I have enjoyed the freedom of growing my own medical cannabis. If outdoor cultivation for medical use is banned, I feel like I have travelled from across the country for nothing, I am only on two medications now and I have been seizure free after I started my cannabis use.

Forcing people like myself to purchase their medication from clubs, which is essentially what this is doing, is on par with Mylan and other pharmaceutical companies hiking up the price of their drugs 400%+. If I consume one brownie in two days, that's 25\$. In a month this adds up to \$375 a month. If I buy cannabis flowers at the club, it can cost upwards of 500\$ a month, not to mention the price of pills and tinctures. Sick people cannot afford this. Big Cannabis is forcing people with chronic debilitating diseases to have to buy their medication at a club where they can't afford it, when they can grow it on their own. This is horrible, and I feel as though it goes against the compassion and understanding that Santa Rosa and Sonoma County are known for.

Sincerely
John Bekas

Bliss, Sandi

From: dahms <dahms@sonic.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 7:18 AM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Growing Medicine outdoors is Important to patients. Please Help!

Good Morning,

- The voters of the State of California, and the County of Sonoma agree that medical patients can cultivate for their personal use, up to 100 square feet, and that adult users over 21 can cultivate up to 6 plants.
- Sun-grown medicine, is the most natural, affordable, medical quality medicine for patients to produce for themselves.
- It is not affordable for low-income patients to purchase equipment for indoor cultivation, pay high electric bills, or to build a structure that may require City Permits. We need to keep affordable options available for medical cannabis patients.
- The City of Santa Rosa prides itself in being green, sustainable, and environmentally conscious. By forcing cannabis cultivation indoors, it does not meet these goals, and increases our county's Carbon footprint.
- Recommendation: Allow for personal cultivation of 100 square feet, both outdoors and in greenhouses without a cannabis cultivation permit in accordance with State medical cannabis laws (city building permits may be required).

Thank You.

Ira Dahm

Bliss, Sandi

From: jewel@vom.com
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 11:11 AM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Outdoor Cultivation Ban

David,

My name is Julia Mathieson. I'm a breast cancer warrior and one of the founding members of Sonoma Patient Group, one of your current cities dispensaries. On behalf of our patients, many elderly, low-income and navigating serious health issues this ban places an unrealistic burden of astronomical electric bills, purchasing indoor cultivation equipment and learning an entirely new system of cultivating instead of using simple gardening techniques. Patients prefer sun-grown, natural medicine that they produce themselves in order to assure purity. Outdoor cultivation is clearly the sustainable, environmentally conscious, green choice. It's my recommendation to allow for personal cultivation of 100 square feet, both outdoor and in greenhouses without a cannabis cultivation permit, in accordance with current state cannabis laws.

I pray your planning for economic development weighs the needs of the our community members struggling with ill health, disease and end of life choices. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Julia "Jewel" Mathieson
Sonoma Patient Group
(707) 480-5639

Bliss, Sandi

From: Dee-Ann <deeannnmi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 11:35 AM
To: Julie N Combs; Guhin, David
Subject: Outdoor cultivation ban

In regards to the outdoor growing ban-

I found out this month I have ovarian cancer. My 82 year old mom will be coming from NC to help me w surgery. I'm a non-traditional household with no help except revolving roommate options.

I'm heading for chemo probably... I found out last week I will not be able to grow pot inside due to health concerns of the moisture & mold created in an indoor growing environment.

My roommate is moving June 1. My spare bedroom is going to have to house my mom then a caregiver for me if I go through chemo this year.

This is not the time to clamp down on the common people of Sonoma county.

In asking around for the coveted cannabis cancer oils, I have been priced anywhere from \$4000/mo supply from a greedy moneymaker to herbalists who will prepare the oil for me for free if I can provide the organic flowers. This cancer is going to break me regardless- I need my money for food & rent... these flowers can grow in sunlight in my backyard. I have spoken with my neighbors & they say they do not mind plants growing outside to help me through this illness.

It is sad you will be directly responsible for forcing jail time & court costs on sick people while we are just trying to survive cancer & other health problems.

Please think about this... I am unfortunately not able to pack up and move away to another home in another county at this point in my life & illness. If I do, it will be because I'm on a plane back to my folks in NC to die, and all my belongings will be donated to a women's shelter. I am not ready for this.

Please do not strip us of our right to grow marijuana as it would be a death sentence to me now. I am not sure if I will be able to attend this meeting tonight so thank you for your time in reading & reflecting upon this matter.

Sincerely,

Dee-Ann McIntyre

Bliss, Sandi

From: Shirley Whitney <shirleywhitney@mygrandmatime.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 2:51 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Marijuana Policy

Our household is against any outdoor cultivation of marijuana for ANY reason as it poses:
neighborhood security issues
health issues for those who are allergic
personal property use issues due to smell
health issues for roaming animals that might ingest

We have had these issues already in our neighborhood and would not wish them on our worst enemy!

God bless!
Shirley Whitney
<http://www.mygrandmatime.com>

A loving adult does more than provide for a child.
A loving adult grows with a child.
That is why the world and the people in it need children.

Bliss, Sandi

From: Comcast <Nevkarene@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 3:19 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Growing marijuana outdoors within city limits.

I am adamantly opposed to allowing marijuana plants to be grown within city limits outdoors. I do not want the smell in my neighborhood or the resulting allergy and asthma attacks. I also do not want the threat of people with guns or other weapons in my neighborhood searching for marijuana plants and other drugs. There have been serious incidents in this county where people died as a result of people trying to get marijuana, other drugs, and money at grow sites.

There is a regulation prohibiting smoking cigarettes within certain distances of residences, especially condominiums, apartments or businesses. It doesn't make sense to then have a horrific smell from neighborhood grow sites that drive people indoors with the doors closed in nice weather. Please DO NOT allow marijuana to be grown outdoors within city limits. I would also point out that allowing it to be grown within city limits outdoors would encourage some people to grow more than is allowed. This could create a policing nightmare as law enforcement attempts to police this and keep up with the increased problems.

Sincerely,
Karen Ellison
1867 Primavera Dr.
Santa Rosa, California
95409
707 539-8513

Sent from my iPad

Bliss, Sandi

From: Stephen Test <stevet.wm@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 3:49 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Personal cannabis should be OK to grow outside

Personal cannabis should be OK to grow outside. Please give my opinion to the city council.

Steve Test
Santa Rosa resident
95404

Sent by iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: Kavan McCormick <kavan.mccormick@sonic.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 4:01 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Santa Rosa Subcommittee Hearing on Cannabis cultivation

As a homeowner in the east santa rosa area I feel it is important to permit outdoor cultivation of Marijuana/Cannabis for both Personal and Medical uses but especially for Personal Medical uses. Restricting or banning it inside city limits does not make sense. If 100 Sq. Ft. is too much, up to 6 plants should definitely be allowed.

Sincerely

Kavan McCormick

Bliss, Sandi

From: Gonzalo Chavez <gonzcha@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 4:45 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Marijuana Growing in our Neighborhoods

Dear Members of the Subcommittee Hearing

I am a registered voter and resident of Santa Rosa City and please know that I am against the proposed Outdoor Cultivation/growing marijuana withing the city limits.

Sincerely,

Gonzalo Chavez,
3758 Hadley Hill Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95404
707-542-5745

Bliss, Sandi

From: Dan S <10ksignings@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 8:52 PM
To: Bliss, Sandi
Subject: Re: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Sandi Bliss:

I was not able to attend the meeting this evening 4/17/17- I had to work.

But I want to emphatically request for the record that there be NO outdoor growing in Santa Rosa, city or unincorporated R-1 zoned areas. If there must be outdoor growing, please to limit to 3 or 6 plants maximum. The people who hold licenses to grow 33 plants is a joke. That is more than anyone can or needs to consume and it is in reality, a commercial venture by all of them. The term 'medical' is a misnomer.

Reasons:

- 1) attracts crime. One third of all home invasion robberies in Sonoma Co. is related to pot growing. Plants grown outdoors AND grown in greenhouses and hoop houses attracts attention.
- 2) reduces property values. Our properties are not desirable for sale or rent.
- 3) attracts steady stream slimy criminals, gang members, and those addicted to other hard drugs coming to buy.
- 4) the violence endangers us all.
- 5) loud machinery noises all day and night from the equipment growing operations need.
- 6) leads to abuse of dogs who live in yards all year for protection. Violent breeds like pit bulls are preferred. They are unsocialized, untrained, and not spayed or neutered in spite of county regulations, and pose another danger to the neighborhood because they often run loose.
- 7) stench.

Dan Serbin
1064 Santa Ana Dr.
Santa Rosa, CA. 95404

On Tue, Apr 11, 2017 at 4:59 PM, Bliss, Sandi <sbliss@srcity.org> wrote:

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed outdoor cannabis moratorium that was scheduled to be heard by the City Council on March 28th. The item was referred to the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee to discuss the issue in more depth. We have record of your comment, but would also like to invite you to attend a special Subcommittee meeting that will be held next Monday dedicated to this topic. Below are the details of this meeting if you would like to attend and provide feedback and input on the proposed moratorium on outdoor cultivation for both commercial and personal use.

Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Subject: Discussion on Outdoor Cultivation in the City of Santa Rosa - Commercial and Residential

Date: Monday, April 17, 2017

Location: City Hall – 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Council Chamber

Time: 6-8pm

Sandi Bliss, CMC | Administrative Secretary

Planning and Economic Development Department|100 Santa Rosa Ave, Room 3 | Santa Rosa CA 95404

Phone [\(707\) 543-3226](tel:(707)543-3226) | Fax [\(707\) 543-3226](tel:(707)543-3226) | sbliss@srcity.org

Phone hours Monday – Thursday 8am to 4:30pm, Friday 8am – 12pm

Counter hours: Monday: 8am - 4:30pm

Tuesday: 8am - 4:30pm

Wednesday: 10:30am - 4:30pm

Thursday: 8am - 4:30pm

Friday: 8am - 12pm



Bliss, Sandi

From: Kristin <kristint@sonic.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:32 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Marijuana ordinance

Dear Mr. Guhin,

I am a resident of Santa Rosa for over 30 years.

Since cannabis was allowed medically in California, my husband and I have seen a dramatic increase in frightening and inappropriate events in our very safe and quiet neighborhood in Rincon Valley.

One home I know of has a permitted outdoor plot, and was rumored to be supplying their plants to the home across the street that was recently blown off it's foundation in a hash oil explosion. Just 4 blocks further away, there was gunfire at a home that was being robbed for its pot and cash. Until the dust settles on this topic it will continue to be the wild west when it comes to cannabis. You can help.

I urge you to consider the majority of Santa Rosa residents who do not grow pot, and who deserve to have safe neighborhoods.

Please craft an ordinance that only allows **indoor** grows and only **small quantities per home**.

Thank you for your consideration.

Kristin Thigpen

Santa Rosa

Bliss, Sandi

From: Sabrina Michaels <sabrinafineart@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:25 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Proposed Outdoor Ban

Hello,

I have a family member who benefits greatly from medicinal marijuana. His condition depends on CBD rich, outdoor grown cannabis, which is non-psychoactive. It is very costly to purchase and we don't have the space for indoor growing. Indoor growing is cost prohibitive considering the expensive equipment and energy costs. Outdoor growing is natural and better for the environment. Please consider the harmful effects that this ban will have on the community and the health of cannabis patients.

Thank you,

Sabrina Michaels

Bliss, Sandi

From: Annalisa Hopper <annahopr@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 6:54 PM
To: Bliss, Sandi
Subject: Re: Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

To whom it may concern:

I'm a cannabis farmer and caregiver that has been working in the local medical cannabis industry for 12 years. I started with personal gardens, and then moved to managing big gardens for local collectives like Peace In Medicine, Cali Canna, and mom and pop farms, which helped give me the perspective of how much things have changed in the industry for 12 years. As a member services representative for Peace In Medicine and Sonoma Pt. Group, I was able to see who exactly is the biggest demographic of medical cannabis patients in the area. Sixty percent of cannabis patients and 58% percent of local cannabis farmers are middle aged-retired baby-boomers. The new taxes that will be implemented with prop-64 would already devastate prices for medicine on both ends of the spectrum. Business for dispensaries will take a hit, because of the lack of affordable renting and buying options, but because of high prices for medicine as well. Medicine for cancer, pain, and anxiety patients always tend to range between 60-400\$ a week on avg. to begin with. So the act of taking away people's right to cultivate their own or have access to other caregivers' sun-grown medicine, and forcing them to break their budget at dispensaries, is a criminal one. An outdoor ban would cause a snowball effect that could gravely slice revenue that this industry generates because sick people that depend on cannabis won't be able to keep up with mortgage payments, school loans, and medical treatments; a couple 100,000 workers wouldn't potentially come here every summer-fall and generate revenue, people wouldn't be able to find work or generate an extra source of income, you would just empower big cannabis companies to monopolize the local industry that helped build this community by letting people only depend on industrially grown medicine that won't be maintained as well or grown organically (these big companies might not all spray and swear they're organic, but I know of a few of the biggest That are polluting run-off groundwater just as bad as these wineries are) ; electrical fires will be more prevalent as more people will attempt to grow indoors and cause more detriment to the environment, or a big percentage of the community would retire earlier or be less inclined to be productive because of not being able to fight their symptoms just because they don't have access to medicine anymore. I don't just speak because it's in my best interest, I speak because I depended on this industry to keep me employed and fed since I had to quit school to help my parents take care of my dying grandparents and help cover family expenses. I speak because this right to cultivate kept me from being homeless when I was between jobs and paying back school loans during the recession. There is a stereotype that cannabis cultivators are tax evading criminals, have been living on easy street, etc. But I will attest on behalf of the 1,000s of small time farmers that did this to help sick people keep going, or to help take care of themselves and their families, that this recent legislation will force a big part of this population to move and would devastate a lot of people. The diversity that this industry brought to this county, might not have been considered to be a positive, but it empowered people of color, like me, to try to succeed by helping people in need and and restored ever-wavering hope that I could survive in this world that I wasn't meant to. If roughly 40%-50% of people in this county are tied to the industry in some way, think of all the people that this would effect. This industry helped build this county and please consider that and have proper discourse before reaching your final decision. Thank you for the invite to this tonight, if I didn't work 15 hours a day, I would've been able to attend.

Sincerely,

Annalisa Hopper
516 Earle St.
Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 11, 2017, at 4:59 PM, Bliss, Sandi <sbliss@srcity.org> wrote:

Thank you for your email regarding the proposed outdoor cannabis moratorium that was scheduled to be heard by the City Council on March 28th. The item was referred to the City Council Cannabis Policy Subcommittee to discuss the issue in more depth. We have record of your comment, but would also like

to invite you to attend a special Subcommittee meeting that will be held next Monday dedicated to this topic. Below are the details of this meeting if you would like to attend and provide feedback and input on the proposed moratorium on outdoor cultivation for both commercial and personal use.

Special Medical Cannabis Policy Subcommittee

Subject: Discussion on Outdoor Cultivation in the City of Santa Rosa - Commercial and Residential

Date: Monday, April 17, 2017

Location: City Hall – 100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Council Chamber

Time: 6-8pm

Sandi Bliss, CMC | Administrative Secretary

Planning and Economic Development Department | 100 Santa Rosa Ave, Room 3 | Santa Rosa CA 95404

Phone (707) 543-3226 | Fax (707) 543-3226 | sbliss@srcity.org

Phone hours Monday – Thursday 8am to 4:30pm, Friday 8am – 12pm

Counter hours: Monday: 8am - 4:30pm

Tuesday: 8am - 4:30pm

Wednesday: 10:30am - 4:30pm

Thursday: 8am - 4:30pm

Friday: 8am - 12pm

<image001.jpg>

<SpecialMeetingNoticeandAgenda10-Apr-2017-01-36-54.pdf>

Bliss, Sandi

From: Joyce Appling <jcanyk@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:51 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Outdoor growing city limits

Oppose growing out doors in city limits. There does not seem to be any enforcement of the illegal pot grows already in neighborhoods. One known very near Strawberry school. Don't ruin Santa Rosa. Enough problems already.

Bliss, Sandi

From: nancy <nrace@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 6:06 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Pot growing within the city limits

I'm against allowing pot to be grown within the city limits. Not only does it raise the crime rate in family based neighborhoods but it smells awful when harvested.

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Bliss, Sandi

From: Katherine Hale <kthrn@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 5:57 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Growing cannabis

Please don't let folks grown this in city limits. It has horrid smell and will possibly attract difficult people. It is also a heavy water user. It also causes allergies for some people.

Katherine Hale

Bliss, Sandi

From: Nathan Glaeser <nateglaeser@me.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 9:11 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Against growing marijuana in SR

Dear City representative,

I live at 3065 Rocklin Dr. and I am completely against growing marijuana in the city limits medically or otherwise.

My main concern is safety. I already have someone growing next door and his 5 minute "friends" are questionable at best. We live between 2 schools and this is an example of marijuana people basically having a store front in my neighborhood. It needs to be regulated. I would feel the same if it was a brewery or winery.

No Marijuana growing in the city limits. Safety for our kids first.

Thank you.

Nate Glaeser
Taxpayer
Veteran
Firefighter

Sent from my iPhone

Bliss, Sandi

From: Trippel, Andrew
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 3:42 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Comments on Outdoor grow

David,

Please see the comments below from Jim Byrne on Fairfield Dr. in Oakmont.

1. Six plants per year is not sufficient for recreational cannabis. Even a novice grower would run out of product by Christmas.
2. Clubs offer toxic product. Outdoor growing is much safer and healthier for you.
3. Not opposed to taxation; however, the use of water is enough of a tax.

Thanks,

Andrew

Andrew Trippel | City Planner

Planning & Economic Development | 100 Santa Rosa Ave Rm 3 | Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Tel. (707) 543-3223 | Fax (707) 543-3269 | atrippel@srcity.org



Bliss, Sandi

From: Susie Q <caligurl191@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2017 2:54 PM
To: Guhin, David
Subject: Meeting regarding ban on outdoor marijuana cultivation

I am unable to attend a meeting regarding outdoor marijuana cultivation and the proposed ban. I think it is very fair to allow us to have six marijuana plants growing outdoors. For people like myself, my mother-in-law who suffers from MS and many others who depend on marijuana on a daily basis to help them with chronic illnesses and pain. Between the cost-of-living, doctors visits, prescription medication, and life in general, expecting someone to pay an extra couple hundred dollars a month to only grow their medicine indoors is a little bit unfair. The people who grow for personal use (there is always going to be those who take advantage of course) and who actually need this medicine, have enough troubles as it is. And taking this away is just adding to their stress. For example my mother-in-law, her body shuts down and gets worse when she's really stressed out. She counts on her few plants that she grows out doors every year to get her through the year, to not have to go to a dispensary and pay a few hundred dollars a month on her medicine. I know the smell offends people, and they're worried about crime and things like that. But we're talking about six plants here, not 30 not 100, 6. The cost to set up an indoor grow, to do it properly, is going to cost someone at least \$1000. Paying that much money plus electrical bills which add at least another \$400 a month in bills would put a lot of people who are really sick in a bad situation. They say they're worried about what happened on Acacia Lane, but in that situation the person had an indoor grow and had a full house full of marijuana plants. I know we don't know for sure, but I doubt that would've happened if they only had six outdoor plants. People are looking for big scores, and if they're committing a crime, I doubt they're going to do it for six outdoor plants. Please take my information and my stance into consideration, this would affect not only me but at least four people in my immediate family (not to mention how many friends I know that this ban would affect). We actually use this as medicine and we count on growing outdoors to get us through the year so we are not put in a financially hard position choosing between paying the electrical bill or having our medicine. Each year I do ask all of the people that share a back fence with me if it's something that they are OK with and make sure that it's not going to upset any of my neighbors before I plant it and I have never had a problem. I really appreciate your time and consideration.

Sent from my iPhone