

Attachment 3 - Appeal Application



APPLICATION

APPEAL

Please Type or Print

2017-0056

File No.	Quad.
Related Files	
DEPARTMENT USE ONLY	

www.srcity.org

GENERAL	LOCATION OF PROJECT (ADDRESS) Quick Pick Market, 201 W 7th St, Santa Rosa, CA	Note: This form is for appeals of Department actions only. Appeals of Commission and Board actions are filed in the City Manager's Office.	
	NAME OF PROJECT 201 W 7th St, Santa Rosa, CA 95401		
	APPELLANT NAME Rajinder Singh	DAYTIME PHONE (707) 529 - 8956	HOME PHONE () -
	APPELLANT ADDRESS 201 W 7th St, Santa Rosa, CA 95401	CITY	STATE

To the Chairman and Members of the Planning Commission / Design Review Board:

The undersigned: Rajinder Singh does hereby appeal to the Planning Commission /
(Please print or type your name)

Design Review Board the decision of the Department of Community Development made on _____
(Date)

which _____ the application of _____
(approved, denied, other) (Name of property owner or developer)

for a _____
(State nature of request made to the Community Development Department)

on property situated at 201 W 7th St, Santa Rosa, CA 95401
(Street address of subject property)

A. The grounds upon which this appeal is filed are: (list all grounds relied upon in making this appeal. Please attach additional sheets if more space is needed.)

1. City planning department told business owner when in escrow that there would be no restriction on operating hours. Business owner was issued City Business License based on expanded hours. Business owner made substantial investment based on this info, which has now changed based on the information provided by two noisy neighbors who do not want the store in the neighborhood, and this information is contrary to all other evidence presented on historical hours.

B. The specific action which the undersigned wants the City Planning Commission / Design Review Board to take is:

Allow the business owner to be open 6am-11pm as promised prior to making the investment. Would also like justification of why they had to pay to defend this decision, as the decision to restrict hours had no basis.

Rajinder Singh
Appellant's signature

06/26/17
Date

DEPT	APPLICATION	RECEIVED BY	DATE	FEE RECEIVED	RECEIPT NUMBER
		TSube	6/26/17	\$ 5,469.00	

APPEAL

12/10