## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Attachment 3 Crosswalk Enhancements throughout Santa Rosa

Orosswaik Elinancement	
04-SON-0-SRO DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Projec	HSIPL - 5028 (073)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including	g need, purpose, location, limits, right-of-way requirements, and
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary. The City of Santa Rosa plans to enhance crosswalks at 114	
additional of additional signing, marking, rectangular rapid fla	ashing beacons, pedestrian refuge islands, bulb-outs, an
pedestrian curb ramps. New poles will be required, entailing sheet for locations.	g excavation for foundation placement. See continuation
CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only)	
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting informatio (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.):	on, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply
<ul> <li>If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursu</li> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and</li> </ul>	uant to law,
<ul> <li>There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and time.</li> </ul>	a successive projects of the same type in the same place, over
<ul> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a si</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an official</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled</li> </ul>	ly designated state scenic highway. pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
<ul> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the</li> </ul>	significance of a historical resource.
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)	90
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Stud or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)  Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting informati	
Categorically Exempt. Class . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 1	NO STONIAN DE LE SENTIMENTE EL COMMUNICACIÓN DE LA COMPUNICACIÓN DE LA COMPUNICACIÓN DE LA COMPUNICACIÓN DE LA
Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This project	
certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a	significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].)
Data Manage Control English and All Provinces	Participation Product Manager
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
Environmental Branch Chief	** *56
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature Date	Print Name: Project Manager  Signature Date
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE	Signature Date
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has
Environmental Branch Chief  Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.*  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandir	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, a FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  oldoes not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Eou has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environments to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environments.	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, a FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.*  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National E hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandir State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, a FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  oliver does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Eou has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environments to prepare and EA or EIS under the National Environments to prepare and EA or EIS under the National Environments (States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandir State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_3_)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, a FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the ceal Exclusion under:
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  oliver does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Eou has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environments to prepare and EA or EIS under the National Environments to prepare and EA or EIS under the National Environments (States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandir State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_3_)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the call Exclusion under:  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project in the information information, the State has determined that the project in the information information, the State has determined that the project in the information information, the State has determined that the project in the information in the state information information, the State has determined that the project in the information in th
Signature Date  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expensive the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expensive the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_3_)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and such states.	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the invironmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the invironmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the call Exclusion under:  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project in the information information, the State has determined that the project in the information information, the State has determined that the project in the information information, the State has determined that the project in the information in the state information information, the State has determined that the project in the information in th
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Experience that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_3)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.	Signature Date  of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, a FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the ceal Exclusion under:
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.*  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Experience that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_3)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.  Thomas Holstein  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Signature  Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, as FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the call Exclusion under:  OF THEM And the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the project in the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information the state apporti
Signature  NEPA COMPLIANCE In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination determined that this project:  • does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or E  • has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.*  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Experience that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_3)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.  Thomas Holstein  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Signature  Of this proposal and supporting information, the State has the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  O significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, as FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the call Exclusion under:  OF THEM And the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the project in the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information, the State has determined that the project is called the state apporting information the state apporti

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).