

100 Santa Rosa Avenue, Rm 3 Santa Rosa, CA 95404 Ph.: (707) 543-3200

Permit/Project No.: AUG 3 1 2018

Planning & Economic PROJECT REVISION AND/OR UPDATE TRANSMITTAL FORM partment THIS FORM IS TO BE USED TO SUBMIT DOCUMENTS, PLANS, AND/OR MATERIALS REQUESTED BY

STAFF DURING THE REVIEW PROCESS

	Type of Permit:	□Residential	☑ Commercial
	Is this an issued permit	? □Yes	ŪMo .
General Information Date:	8/31/18		
Address of	IMA Solonal	00. 1 Od Cuila	7
Property: Project Name:	Hahway Ret	off I RO SMITE	5
Applicant Name:	Justin Miran	Phone N Email:	Cothher Galden Stategrion
Submittal Informati			9
Action to be Taken			
☐Review/Commercion ☐Other:	nts ⊔Ap _l	proval	☑Information Only
Provide Name of S	taff Member Requestin	g Material: Emm	anuel
Description of mat	erials being submitted ((include version date if a	applicable):
	ormation and/or mater e directions provided by		submittal are accurate and correct in
SIGNATURE:		F	
PRINT NAME:	Kathleen to	onstain	

To whom it may concern:

I am writing in support of Highway 420, they were by far my favorite delivery service in town, they were always early or on time, arrived with my correct order and with a smile on their face!

I truly felt like a member of their family and not a customer, I can't wait for them to have a store for me to visit.

Thank you for your time,

Lisa Rodriguez

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

City of Santa Rosa,

Please allow Highway 420 to resume operations in Santa Rosa, in my experience over the years with the many dispensaries and deliveries in Sonoma County there was none better than the fine folks at Highway 420. From the quality of their products to the quality of their people they are far above the curve.

Kind regards,

Paul Goldstein

I was a member of the Highway 420 collective for over 2 years, before joining I was exclusively getting cannabis from friends or friends of friends as all of the local collectives were either, rude, over priced, or had bad products. Highway 420 always treated me like family and I never once felt disappointed with my purchase.

Please allow Highway 420 to re-open, they are great people and really care!!!

Thank you

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 31 2018

To whom it may concern:

I am writing in regards to my experience with "Highway 420" for the entire time I was a member of the collective gaining access to safe, clean, meds was never an issue. I knew I could depend on Highway 420. Please allow them to open a store in Roseland, the neighborhood I call home.

Kind regards,

To whom it may concern,

I support Highway 420, they were the best delivery service in Santa Rosa PERIOD!! Please allow them to open back up ASAP

sincerly

8/21/18

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2019

To whom it may concern;

Please consider Highway 420 for opening a dispensary in Santa Rosa.

I have been to every existing dispensary in the county as well as ordered from most of the local delivery services.

In my opinion they are the best, from great customer service to fantastic prices these guys do everything right. They are very professional and were always on time, any issues I had were dealt with right away.

Thank you for your time,

Jacob De'grant

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2013

Por favor considere Highway 420 para el permiso en Santa Rosa. Siempre me han tratado con amabilidad y respeto. También siempre tienen un gran producto y muy buenos precios.

gracias,

Juan Solis

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

8-21-18

Dear Santa Rosa Cannabis Committee,

I am writing to request that Highway 420 be permitted as a dispensary in Santa Rosa, as a delivery service they were the best around and I can only assume that they will be the best dispensary in the area as well.

Regards,

COMMINDER VOTERNIS OF FOREIGN WHAS

Post 1929

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2013

To: Santa Rosa council.

Please allow Highway 420 to open back up, they were the best delivery in the area and had the best flowers and concentrates. They were always real cool too and kept you updated on your order.

From:

James

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

I am writing in regards to the collective Highway 420. Highway 420 is the most professional, compassionate, and honest business that I have encountered in the cannabis industry.

They truly care about the members and the community around them. In my opinion denying Highway 420 a permit would be doing a disservice to Santa Rosa.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Richard Guzman

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

Estoy escribiendo en lo que respecta al permiso de cannabis para Roseland, como un cliente leal durante 2 años llegué a depender de la Highway 420 como mi única fuente de medicina limpia. Desde que dejaron de entregar no he tenido el acceso consistente a mi medicina.

Por favor, permita que la Highway 420 para abrir de nuevo, hay un montón de gente que depende de ellos yo incluido.

Muchos gracias,

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

S. Rosa,

I strongly support Highway opening a store in Sante Roben.
They were the best delivery service around for sure.

the Frank Pinola ja

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

annab 15

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

To whom it may concern, Please allow Highway 1120 to stay in business in Santa Rosa. They are Joing a great job. Hunks, Amir lang City of Salita Rosa AUG 3 1 2018 Planning & Economic Development Department To Whom Many Concern,

We need a Highway 420 store now! these guys are the Friendliest and most professional in the business.

Thank You, Miguel Rodriguez

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2013

Please allow HWY 420 to open in Santa Rosa, Fast

Pedro Hervandez

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 T 2013

pls allow Highway 420 to
Have a permit in Santa Rosa
Thank you

Jesus Cardenas

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2013

Phose allow Highway 420 to reopen in Santa Rosa. They are the friendliest grap I've delt with.

Thank you so much

Clex bettell

Please anow Highway 420 to open there Drove in Source Rose. Full 1x gery all the time!

Richard Gweinen

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2013

To Whom it may concern,

Every service needs to be like Highway 420.

Very Friendly and very Knawledgable Staff. Always has the best selection of products for their patients. Would love to see then grow as a Company and see whats to come for future Highway 420 patients!

Sincerely,

Erick B.

City of Santa Rosa

AHC 3 1 2018

to whom (oncern

collective for almost 2 years. By far the quickest most reliable service I've delt with in a long time. They always are friendly and very low key about their deliveries. Some of the best flowers and concentrates around sonoma County and at an exceptional price. Highway 420 deserves to open up a storefront and expand their already amazing collective for others to enjoy as well.

Sincearly, Malcour Belfran

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2013

To the City of Santa Resa,

Highway 420 cleserus to be in business as they are a class act. Highway 420 always provides excellent service because of their very knowledgable staff and their friendly delivery clrivers. Very generous collective at great prices! Always online and never any headaches, the way a business should be ran! Give these guys a Shor for they are the future of Sonoma County!

thank you,

Sebastian Silva

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

The reasons I believe Highway 420
Should be allowed to reopen is because they have an extremely friendly staff who are very knowledeable about their Products, They genuinely care for their clients by stocking only the best of Products clean and terry, They provides excellent Service and Lag an have an amazing bysiness.

Sincerely, Manny

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 2 1 25 1

Planning & Economic Development Denergies

	PLEASE LET HIGH 420 HAVE DETMET, HEY Always come threw & Are good people 1.
	Justia Fryman
	10)110 1110
12	
	2
	City of Santa Rosa
	AUS 0.1.2017
	Planning & Economic Development Department

To whom it may concepy

The weithing to request that you strongly consider Allowing Highway 420 to operate in the city of Stata Rosa.

SMAN BUSINESS AND the families that operate them

ARE the backbone of this city. The city of santa

ROSA has Alroady proven to be forward thinking

Who it nomes to the cannobis industry. I kindly

Reduct you apply the same progressive thinking

when considering Highway 420s application to remain

A positive representation of the city of santa Rosa.

REGARDS,
ROBERTO GALVEZ

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

para absirla en sonta Rosa esta
City of Santa Rosa
AUG 3 1 2013 Planning & Economic Development Department

8-27-18 I have been a patient of Highway 420 for the past 2 years. They have always been reliable, Professional and generous in their business, Please allow Hem to continue to practice in Santa Resa. Thank you for you CONSideration 1

To whom this many Conser They always had dem Inexpensive weds and are

Poully point and Nice with grate by tomer service

Plant alay them to open In the grate city at Sunda Posa Im K you, City of Santa Rosa Planning & Economic Development Department

CITY OF SANTA ROSA

I AM WRITTHY IN SUPPORT OF HIGHWAY

AZO APPLICATION, AS A LOCAL SMALL

BOSINESS OWNER I BRUENE IN SMALL

BOSINESS & HOW IMPORTANT TO OUR COMMUNITY

IT CAN BE.

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

Dear Santa Posacouncil,
Please allow that way 420 to open of store in Roseland. They are the best company around. I have here had anything but great experience and service.
Edith Chararner Edith Charareron Sonta Rosa Resident
City of Santa Rosa AUG 3 1 2018
Planning & Economic Development Department



TAKAHASHI

AUTOMOTIVE & PROMOTIONAL PRODUCTS

(209) 839-1081

POR FAVOR DEJEN QUE SE ABRA HIGHWAY 420. HACEN UN BUEN TRABATO EN SURDETAR A

LA COMUNIDAD

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 3 1 2018

Please to give a permit to Hiway 420.

These guy dre really good guys

City of Santa Rosa

AUG 31 2018

Planning & Economic Development Department

Portavos deleg el pumiso de abrir su negocia aqui en Santa Rosa City of Santa Rosa AUG 3 1 2018 Planning & Economic Development Department



November 9, 2018

City of Santa Rosa 100 Santa Rosa Avenue Santa Rosa, CA 95404

RE: Retail Application Review for 470 Sebastopol Road

To Whom It May Concern:

On November 8, 2018, the City released the results of a merit based review the Highway Retail Outlet (Highway) proposal at 470 Sebastopol Road and a competitive project submitted by Phenotopia at 443 Dutton Avenue. The results of the merit based review are based on inaccuracies and fail to objectively compare the projects. As discussed below, Highway's proposal is the far superior option.

A. Inaccuracies in Phenotopia's Application

The City's merit score of Phenotopia's project is based on inaccurate and misleading information.

1. Phenotopia Has No Retail Experience in North Bay Area

First, the criteria for "experience in operating a cannabis retail business in the North Bay area" was not satisfied by Phenotopia's proposal. The project narrative cites the experience of Johnny Nolen as having retail experience in the North Bay. However, this is highly misleading. Mr. Nolen is not an employee or principal of Phenotopia. In fact, Mr. Nolan is a Principal and COO of 421 Group, the permitting consultants. Mr. Nolen's bio in the submitted application is the same as the 421 Group website. The only actual employee or owner of Phenotopia is Padraic Fahey, who is a resident of Napa County with no North Bay retail experience stated. One would expect that had City staff identify this misleading information, and only considered the actual staff and ownership team, the score and comparative analysis would have been substantially different. Ultimately, Phenotopia has not presented any North Bay retail experience as part of their operations, other than their permitting consultants.

In comparison, Highway's owner has years of North Bay cannabis retail delivery service experience under the collective model. This is demonstrated by the many loyal customers and licensed operators who want to see this local business become compliant under the new commercial cannabis model.

2. Inaccurate Performance Timeline

Second, the "performance timeline from land use approval to plan check and construction to opening" cannot be satisfied as proposed by Phenotopia. In the project narrative, Phenotopia states, "Taking into account the variability of the planning process based on the likelihood of competing dispensaries with the retail concentration buffer, we are targeting a February 28, 2019 opening for the Phenotopia dispensary." This timeline is virtually impossible and demonstrates a complete lack of understanding of the pathway to occupancy in Santa Rosa. Furthermore, Phenotopia's timeline demonstrates a lack of understanding about state licensing and compliance. After January 1, 2018, the state will no longer issue or extend temporary licenses. There is no way for either local occupancy or state licensing to occur within the proposed timeframe.

Conversely, Highway presented a realist timeline of six months to obtain occupancy. In fact, Highway's timeframe is conservative as the proposed facility requires no exterior and very little interior improvements. The exterior of the building needs no improvements and the interior is ready to occupy after building permits and inspections with few improvements. Highway's performance timeline is more realistic due to the superiority of their facility.

3. Inaccurate Parking Information

Third, the "ease of parking" criteria cannot be assessed as it is based on inaccurate information included in Phenotopia's application. On page five of the application, Phenotopia states that they have 136 customer parking spaces available. This is incredibly misleading, as the entire commercial property shares those spaces with 18 other stores and a fast-food restaurant. The application also states that 17 additional spaces are available behind the building for staff parking. Again, this is inaccurate as those spaces are for the entire 18 tenants to share. Phenotopia's application fails to state how many spaces are available for their facility under the terms of their lease agreement, nor does this application state how much of a traffic impact the increased customers will create. The other existing tenants and the neighborhood will not benefit from by Phenotopia's increased traffic and lack of onsite parking.

On November 9, 2018 at approximately 10:30 AM, the below photos were taken of the available parking at the proposed location. As demonstrated, Phenotopia's facility does not have available parking for the proposed use. Staff was misled by the number of parking spots disclosed and was not justified in the resulting score for this criteria.

Unlike Phenotopia, Highway has ample parking with 22 dedicated parking spots for the facility, including one ADA space. Additionally, Highway provided a trip generation review by W Trans that found the proposed retail project would create less trips and a "less-than-significant" impact on traffic operation. Phenotopia included no such trip generation study.



4. Vague and Misleading Community Benefit

Fourth, the "community benefits e.g. employment opportunities, community programs and contributions" were based on Phenotopia's inaccurate and misleading assertions. Phenotopia's application proports to be "in communication" with a local nonprofit, which is not concrete enough to justify the score. Additionally, Phenotopia's application again misled staff on the role of the actual applicant verses the hired permitting consultant. On page 40, Phenotopia's project narrative lists the qualifications of a Community Liaison, who is actually a staff member of 421 Group, the permitting consultant, not an employee of Phenotopia. In the Staff's merit based chart, the community liaison is characterized as a "business partner" of Phenotopia. A consultant should not be considered part of the applicant's operation nor should a consultant be considered a business partner.

Additionally, Phenotopia proposes to hire locally by posting on Craigslist and by working with 421 Group. Throughout their application, Phenotopia relies on the services of a consultant 421 Group rather than provide concrete examples of how Phenotopia as an applicant merits their given score.

In contrast, Highway has proposed a dedicated space within their facility for training and workforce development. As noted by Terry Garrett in his letter of support for the Highway project, the training program will "assist with the "Roseland Redevelopment Plan" which looks to revitalize the corridor running along Sebastopol Road through "...economic development...and commercial revitalization." Mr. Garrett further noted that, "Highway 420 specifically wanted to keep their business in the Roseland district because they share an immense connection with the community and businesses within the community." This is of note because Highway has an existing track record of hiring staff from Roseland and will continue to hire staff that are Spanish speaking, a commitment not expressed by Phenotopia. This is actual community outreach based on the merits of the candidate, not exclusive reliance on permitting consultants.

B. Highway is the Superior Project

We urge the City to not be fooled by flashy graphic design and misleading statements, the merits of the proposal should be what is evaluated. Reliance on consultants to improve a merit score and including tables and graphs with non-germane information should not sway this decision. When examining the two proposals, Highway is objectively the superior project. The focus should be on the facts.

	Highway		Phenotopia	
North Bay Retail Experience on Staff	YES	Owner has years of cannabis retail experience	NO	No experience in owners or staff
Local Supply Chain Connections	YES	Letters of support and stated connections to local operators	NO	Connections in Southern California
Superior Facility	YES	Facility in excellent condition with no exterior and only minor interior improvements needed for occupancy	NO	Needs substantial improvements on interior and exterior of building
Bilingual Customer Service Staff	YES	Currently has bilingual staff and will continue to hire local bilingual staff	NO	Not proposed
Onsite Consumption/Safe Access	YES	Ample space for safe access	NO	Not proposed
Realistic Performance Timeline	YES	Six months or less	NO	Proposes February 2019, no realistic
Delivery Proposed/More Access	YES	Additional access for South West Santa Rosa	NO	Not proposed
Community Benefit Program Onsite	YES	Onsite space for workforce development and community benefit programs	NO	Only "communication" with nonprofits stated
Specific Local Hiring Plan	YES	Workforce training onsite will produce local hiring, outreach to community to find local staff	NO	Craigslist and consultants, no community-based plans

An objective examination of the two proposals also illuminates that Highway's proposal is far more aligned with the General Plan. For example, General Plan Section EV-B-4 includes: "Develop specific strategies to increase business-to-business commerce within Santa Rosa." Phenotopia states in their application under 'Strategic Partnerships" section: "We are evaluating partnerships and licensing agreements that will allow us exclusive technologies, products, and distribution rights throughout the state." This is not a plan to increase business-to-business in Santa Rosa. In Comparison, Highway has submitted several letters of support from local, licensed cannabis operators. With years of experience as a local cannabis collective, Highway has developed important business relationships with Santa Rosa and Sonoma County based businesses.

Additionally, Highway's facility needs no exterior improvements. The building exterior and interior are in excellent condition. The landscaping is mature and well maintained. The parking lot is well paved and has no cracks or impediments. The sidewalk and paved entry are in excellent condition. The entrance facility is easy to view and well lit. The interior was previously a retail space and will easily re-tenant as a cannabis retail facility. With minor tenant improvements, Highway's facility is ready to occupy. However, Highway received low scores for not detailing unnecessary improvements. This apparent implicit bias against a superior facility in favor of more expensive upgrades appears to be directly disadvantaging local existing business in favor of those from outside of Sonoma County. While all businesses should have an opportunity to succeed in Santa Rosa, when deciding who the "preferred" applicant is in this process, the General Plan and implementation of the Roseland Redevelopment Plan plus the comments and goals of the subcommittee clearly favor keeping the local business with support from neighbors and a connection to the community.

Why would an applicant need to discuss improvements that are not necessary? Highway submitted photos that demonstrated the superior condition of their building and landscaping. Those photos are included below. In comparing the two projects, staff made a grave error in scoring Phenotopia's speculative promised improvements higher than Highway's existing superior facility.





As demonstrated by this letter and the over 50 letters of support for Highway's project, the superiority is clear. We urge the City of Santa Rosa to reexamine the evaluation of these projects, take an objective look at the details of the application and the actual community support.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this letter. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Julie Mercer-Ingram