STATE OF CALIFORNIA—CALIFORNIA STATE TRANSPORTATION AGENCY

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

DISTRICT 4
P.O. BOX 23660
OAKLAND, CA 94623-0660
'ONE (510) 286-5900
.X (510) 286-6301
TTY 711.
www.dot.ca.gov



Serious Drought. Help save water!

July 17, 2017

04-SON-0-SRO HSIPL-5028(078)

Mr. Jason Nutt Director of Public Works City of Santa Rosa 69 Stony Circle Santa Rosa, CA 95401

Attention: Massoud Saberian

Supervising Engineer

Dear Mr. Nutt:

This will confirm that your environmental document has been reviewed and approved in conformance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). A copy of the signed document is attached.

With this environmental clearance, you may now proceed with final design activities and preparation of right of way documents as necessary. You'are reminded that if Federal participation is desired for the right of way and utility relocation phases, you must request and receive a separate "Authorization to Proceed" for right of way before advancing with the property appraisal and acquisition, or utility relocation work.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 510-286-5227 or Ken Nguyen at 510-622-5916.

Sincerely,

Chien S. Wu. P.E.

Servior Transportation Engineer

Office of Local Assistance

Enclosure

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM Flashing Yellow Arrow Signal Conversion

04-SON-0-SRO	110101 5000 (070)	
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proje	t No. HSIPL-5028 (078) ct No. Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project	t No
DistCoRte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Proje PROJECT DESCRIPTION: (Briefly describe project including		
activities involved in this box. Use Continuation Sheet, if necessary	)	
The City of Santa Rosa proposes to retrofit 39 existing signalized in Santa Rosa in Sonoma County. The City will utilize existing infrast arrow. The configuration of the signal head will be changed from fit software will be upgraded. Any repair or installation of new wiring of locations)	ructure to install new signal head with flashing yellow left turn we to four indicators and the controller system hardware and	257
CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only)		
Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.):  • If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does no		
where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted purs  There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and time.	uant to law.	
<ul> <li>There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a s</li> <li>This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officia</li> <li>This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled</li> <li>This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the</li> </ul>	lly designated state scenic highway. pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").	nces.
CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)		
Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency	Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Store Environmental Impact Report under CEQA	udy
Exempt by Statute. (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.) Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting informat Categorically Exempt. Class . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR	ion, and the above statements, the project is:	
Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption. [This proje	ct does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with	
certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a	a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].)	
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or	Print Name: Project Manager	-
Environmental Branch Chief		
	X	
Signature Date	Signature Date	
NEPA COMPLIANCE		
II	of this proposal and supporting information, the State has	
In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination	or this proposal and supporting information, the otate has	
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the	he
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or I	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and	he
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or the has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and	he
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).	
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical determined that the project is a Categorica	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the	, and
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandi State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the	, and
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cft the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Entereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to mak States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandi State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric   23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the cal Exclusion under:	, and
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandi State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and e this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the cal Exclusion under:	, and rom
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 Cft the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Entereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to mak States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandi State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric   23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and so	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and e this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the cal Exclusion under:	, and rom
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or €  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression (States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandi State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and succategorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and e this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the cal Exclusion under:	, and rom
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or 8  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has me that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National 8 hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to mak States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understandi State. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)  activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU betwee 23 USC 327: Based on an examination of this proposal and state Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.  Thomas Holstein  Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the call Exclusion under:  The FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer	, and rom
determined that this project:  does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or €  has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.  CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)  23 USC 326: The State has determined that this project has not that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CI the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Expression of Understanding States. The State has determined that the project is a Categoric    23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(_27)  23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)()  Activity listed in Appendix A of the MOU between the state of the state	the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and 117(b).  o significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA FR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and the this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United and dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the cal Exclusion under:  en FHWA and the State apporting information, the State has determined that the project	, and rom

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).

## CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM **Continuation Sheet**

Continued from page 1:

Ref: HSIPL – 5028 (078) Flashing Yellow Arrow Signal Conversion Location of FY Signals

No.	N/S	E/W
1	Airway	Hopper
2	Petaluma Hill	Aston
3	Brookwood	Bennet Valley
4	Bennet Valley	Bethards
5	Yulupa	Bethards
6	Santa Rosa	Burt
7	Mendocino	Chanate/Administration
8	Petaluma Hill	Colgan
9	Clover	College
10	Calistoga	Dupont
11	Cleveland	Frances
12	Dowd	Hearn
13	Summerfield	Hoen
14	Old Redwood Highway	Kaiser Access
15	Petaluma Hill	Kawana Springs
16	Е	Maple
17	Santa Rosa	Maple
18	Middle Rincon	Montecito
19	Farmers Lane	Montgomery
20	Yulupa	Montgomery
21	Hahman	Montgomery
22	Mariner	Northpoint
23	North	Pacific
24	Cleveland	Piner
25	Peterson	Piner
26	Mission	Quigg
27	Mendocino	Schurman
28	Dutton	Sebastopol
29	Brookwood	Sonoma
30	Farmers Lane	Sonoma
31	Hahman	Sonoma
32	Summerfield	Sonoma
33	Coffey	Steele
34	Bennet Valley	Tachevah
35	Е	Bennet Valley
36*	Comstock/School	Steele
37*	Brookwood	Maple
38*	Link	College
39*	Yulupa	Sonoma
*Added		