

Nicholson, Amy

From: Charles Marrs <robinmarrs@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, June 22, 2021 3:41 PM
To: Nicholson, Amy
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Spring Lake Village East Grove

Dear Ms Nicholson:

I am a lead fire warden in one of the apartment buildings at Spring Lake Village. I am responsible for helping to evacuate a number of residents if an evacuation becomes necessary. I was on duty during the evacuation of September 2020 and was the last of the building's residents to leave.

When I left I could see the Glass Fire coming toward Spring Lake Village. I had to take Montgomery Drive west toward downtown Santa Rosa. Traffic moved so extremely slowly that I wondered if the line of vehicles could possibly get away from the fire before it overran us. Fortunately firefighters held the fire at Highway 12 for the most part but not entirely.

Now Covia Communities proposes to construct more housing in the area affected by the Glass Fire. There seems to be no provision for evacuating more people yet from an area that already lacks adequate escape routes in the event of another fire. Hence the Covia proposal appears unrealistic and short-sighted given the current state of overloaded evacuation routes.

Before approving the Covia application, it seems wise to do something about the inadequate evacuation routes. Such an approach would require the coordination of Caltrans, the County of Sonoma and the City of Santa Rosa to improve evacuation routes before approving the construction of additional housing units in a fire zone.

I note that the proposed Elnoka development would add about ten times the number of residents to the same area as the Covia project. I do not believe that either project should be approved absent improvements in evacuation routes.

I encourage the Planning Commission to reject both projects at this time.

Thank you for your consideration.

Charles Robin Marrs
5555 Montgomery Drive, Apt. G-107
Santa Rosa, CA 95409
(707) 579-6737 Home
(707) 228-5972 Cell

From: [Mike Raymond](#)
To: [Nicholson, Amy](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] File Number MJP14-012
Date: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 10:08:22 PM

Amy

I oppose and increase in density along the Hi-Way 12 corridor until improvements are made to the east bound Hi-way 12 traffic at the Farmers lane intersection and general road improvements to handle the increase in traffic.. Alternate routes have had an increase in traffic also. The LOS at intersections continue on unacceptable levels There is also increasing the risk of people trying to evacuate the case of emergencies.

There have been numerous plans that have been presented along the Hi 12 corridor, 225 Los Alamos road 5803 & 5815 Melita road, Elnoka CRC project and others. With no road infrastructure improvements.

Based on the recent Greenway EIR **resolution** section 2 page 4 of 146 there are significant issues: 3 Air Quality Impacts and 6 Transportation and Circulation Impacts.

Impact AQ - 2: operation of the proposed project could contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation.

Two stand out

Impact AQ – 3 Future potential development projects associated with the proposed project could cumulatively contribute to the non-attainment designations of the San Francisco Bay Area Air basin.

Impact QA – 6 despite implementation of the proposed project policies criteria air pollution emissions associated with the proposed project could generate a substantial net increase in the emissions that exceeds the Bay Area Air quality management District regional significant thresholds

Thanks

Mike Raymond
2575 Knolls Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95405
707-578-1198

From: [Wesley, Shannon](#)
To: [Nicholson, Amy](#)
Cc: [Lienau, Serena](#); [Hartman, Clare](#)
Subject: FW: [EXTERNAL] Spring Lake Village East Grove Development
Date: Thursday, June 24, 2021 9:55:27 AM

From: teresa denniston <tdenniston430@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 1:05 PM
To: Planning Shared <planning@srcity.org>
Cc: _CityCouncilListPublic <citycouncil@srcity.org>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Spring Lake Village East Grove Development

Good Afternoon

In regards to the potential East Grove Development project.... Please **listen** to the public comments in regards to the potential Elnoka project.... These developments should not be built.... negative impact on i.e. traffic, Sewer, water, open green space, evacuation mitigation plans for the next fire/ natural disaster.

I realize that the Elnoka Project is quite a bit larger in scope as compared to the East Grove project; however the concerns remain the same... these projects will negatively affect the East side of town.

Adult living communities may be needed for Santa Rosa; however please consider a location on the West side .

I cannot begin to express my frustration of how these projects could possibly be approved given all that we know from the past 4 years of dealing with the fires, etc....and I live on the West side of town.

--

Best Regards

Teresa
tdenniston430@gmail.com

Nicholson, Amy

From: Fran Danoff <frandanoff@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2021 6:49 AM
To: Nicholson, Amy
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Spring Lake Village expansion

I have been a resident home owner at 338 Los Alamos Road since May 1996. In that time there has been a huge increase in traffic on the small section of Los Alamos Rd. between Highway 12 and Melita as drivers now use Melita to avoid lights on westbound Hwy 12.

We have had frequent evacuation orders during fire danger since 2017. I am very worried that if there is an entrance on Los Alamos to the new units proposed it will be impossible to evacuate Villa Los Alamos quickly. Perhaps if the new SLV entrance were only on Melita Rd? Los Alamos Rd. is much too narrow, and the turn onto it from eastbound Hwy 12 is not well banked.

Thank you for bringing up this concern to the Santa Rosa Planning Commission at tomorrow's meeting..

Frances Danoff
707-539-7394