From:	<u>Megan Finaly</u>
То:	Economic Development
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Agenda item #3.2
Date:	Sunday, September 12, 2021 7:52:27 PM

I oppose the requirement of a Business Tax Certificate. The county's Transient Occupancy Tax ordinance and scheme are sufficient and the only taxation necessary.

I strongly oppose the limit on the Number of Rental Nights Allowed per Year. I depend on the income in order to pay to own my property and there are many expenses.

I approve of having Short-Term ADU/JADU. Accessory Dwelling Units that are offered short term would allow affordable accommodations to lower and middle income individuals and families, as they are often less expensive than equivalent lodging in a hotel. Short-term rental use of ADU/JADU units offer property owners much more flexibility in how their property is being used. Units can be used by adult children or other family members who have short-term need of housing (school breaks, job change, visiting family). Units can easily be converted to full-time rentals or any other use, as the owner sees fit depending on family needs.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak up about these issues.

Megan

From:	Bryan Antonich
То:	Economic Development
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] Airbnb issue in our neighborhood
Date:	Saturday, September 11, 2021 9:46:39 AM

Airbnb in our neighborhood problem

Drunks driving, noise complaints to the police, parking in a fire lane. More than the max guests allowed in a STR but that doesn't happen. This needs to be addressed. My wife and I are two nurses locally working long hours during the pandemic, trying to help kids with homework and get enough rest to tackle another day at work (where we are short handed to take care of Covid patients). We bought in a cul de sac to raise our girls in a safe neighborhood. Now with drunks driving in my street, strangers coming and going, not including the risk of one of the four new Covid variants that are after the Delta variant that are coming out. If I wanted to buy next to a hotel I would have but I chose to buy in a safe Rincon Valley cul de sac to raise my kids and relax after a hard shift at work as a Frontline Worker.

Then today August 27th, 2021 I counted (of the ones I saw, saw had already gotten into the Limo), 11 people (max of 10 per the Airbnb) and the limo double parked in a fire lane for a minimum of 15 minutes when it says clear as day no parking. This needs to be addressed!

Sincerely

Hello, my name is Gay Barner.

I am responding to agenda item #3.2.

I am retired and use my vacation rental income to supplement my social security income since my husband died. I have a guest house in the back of my property. I own my home in Santa Rosa. I have a parking bay off of my driveway so that there is no impact on the street parking. People have been doing what I am doing for hundreds of years, all around the world. This is nothing new. Regulating this way to make money is the new factor. Having people NOT be living on their property, running their rental, was probably less common in the past. Maybe that needs to be the requirement: to be living on your rental property and to be a part of your community.

I only have positive interactions with my guests. I meet and greet them. I am considered a Super Host by Airbnb. I have one bed in my guest house. I pay the TOT and BIA quarterly.

I wish to NOT be impacted negatively by the atrocities that are out there. I need this income and feel that I am doing a service to my community. My neighbors use me for their overflow guests when there is a wedding or birthday or around the holidays.

I love my role as an innkeeper. Please let me continue to do so.

Thank you.

Hello,

In regards to the SANTA ROSA Short-Term Rentals Ordinance Update to Economic Development Subcommittee, I hope the decision will be made to:

1. Invest in fining people in all of Sonoma illegally and fine them.

2. Crackdown on noise complaints

3. Look at how much \$\$ valid vacation rentals bring into Sonoma County and see it as a positive part of the community's economic development.

4. Remember that the majority of homes being rented are not in the 'affordable' home bracket so they are not being taken away from people for rental purposes or to buy.

5. If Santa Rosa adopts strict measures, you can assume people will

-rent illegally

-you lose out on income

-you lose out on people who are renting legally as we will find other areas to move to, taking away the millions of dollars we are pumping into the economy.

This proposal is being based on a few complaints and taking Sonoma County as a whole iin the wrong direction.

Best,

Emilia

