

**SETTLEMENTS AUTHORIZED BY COUNCIL IN CLOSED SESSION THAT WERE FINALIZED IN
MARCH 2026, WHICH INCLUDES BUT MAY NOT BE LIMITED TO ALL SETTLEMENTS REQUIRING THE CITY TO PAY MORE THAN \$50,000**

Case Name	Date Settled	Amount	Description	Notes
<i>Claim of Sammylen Gedaro</i>	3/9/2026	\$200,000.00	Unlawful Entry/Fourth Amendment Violation	City paid \$200,000 for a full release of all potential claims related to allegations that the Santa Rosa Police Department made an unlawful entry into claimant's home.

NEW CLAIMS REFERRED TO THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN MARCH 2026

Claimant Name	Date of Claim	Date of Incident	Claim Amount	Location	Description of Claim
<i>Barragan, Jimmy</i>	3/18/2026	7/22/2024	Under \$35,000	Downtown Santa Rosa	Claimant alleges SRPD is in possession of their cell phone for investigation
<i>Tellez, Consuelo</i>	3/13/2026	1/2/2025	Under \$35,000	Copperfield Court/Copperfield Drive, Santa Rosa	Claimant alleges RV was wrongfully towed by SRPD
<i>Levy, Janet</i>	3/11/2026	10/19/2025	TBD	5510 Mulberry St, Santa Rosa	Claimant alleges bodily injury due to trip and fall on sidewalk
<i>Olsborg, Ann</i>	3/11/2025	10/3/2025	TBD	Veteran's Building, 1351 Maple Ave, Santa Rosa (Property owned by County of Sonoma)	Claimant alleges bodily injury due to slip and fall incident from a blue tarp (tripping hazard)
<i>Medrano Reyes, Juan Jose</i>	3/2/2026	10/10/2025	Over \$35,000	Wilson St & 5th St, Santa Rosa	Claimant alleges excessive force

LITIGATION AS OF APRIL 7, 2026

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
CODE ENFORCEMENT/RECEIVERSHIP									
25CV02452	FOUNTAINGROVE 2013, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Notice of appeal of decision of administrative hearing officer in re 2005 Stagecoach Road, Santa Rosa, CA (Govt. Code § 53069.4)	The Administrative Hearing Officer determined that Fountaingrove 2013 LLC, the responsible party, failed to abate multiple violations of the Santa Rosa City Code and did not comply with the requirements of the Vacant Building and Lot Program, including the obligations to post signage, maintain the property, and conduct regular inspections at 2005 Stagecoach Road; Summons and Complaint served on 04/25/2025 relating to 2097 Stagecoach Rd; City lodged the Administrative Hearing Record on 05/13/2025; Court ordered consolidation of the case with 25CV02453; Hearing set for 8/28/2026 for appeal of administrative enforcement order; Petitioner's Opening Brief due 7/29/2026 and City's Responsive Brief is due 8/13/2026.				
25CV02453	FOUNTAINGROVE 2013, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Active - Pleadings	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Appeal of decision of administrative hearing officer in re 2097 Stagecoach Road, Santa Rosa, CA (Govt. Code § 53069.4)	The Administrative Hearing Officer determined that Fountaingrove 2013 LLC, the responsible party, failed to abate multiple violations of the Santa Rosa City Code and did not comply with the requirements of the Vacant Building and Lot Program, including the obligations to post signage, maintain the property, and conduct regular inspections at 2097 Stagecoach Road; Summons and Complaint served on 04/25/2025 relating to 2097 Stagecoach Rd; City lodged the Administrative Hearing Record on 05/13/2025; the Court ordered consolidation of the case with 25CV02452; Hearing set for 8/28/2026 for appeal of administrative enforcement order; Petitioner's Opening Brief due 7/29/2026 and City's Responsive Brief is due 8/13/2026.				
25CV04325	LILI PIGSLEY, GARY PIGSLEY v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, CODE ENFORCEMENT, and DOES 1-10	Active - Pleadings	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Plaintiffs allege a cause of action for general negligence against the City and challenge the Administrative Enforcement Order issued following a hearing on Code Enforcement violations at their property located at 961 Stony Point Road.	Complaint filed on 06/12/2025; Summons and Complaint served on City on 12/03/2025; Plaintiffs filed and served a First Amended Complaint on 02/26/2026; Parties stipulated to Plaintiff's filing of a Second Amended Complaint by 4/10/2026; City's responsive pleading due 30 days from service of Second Amended Complaint.	According to Proof			
25CV06075	Penngrove Adobe, LLC v. City of Santa Rosa	Dismissed	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Notice of Administrative Appeal under Government Code 53069.4(b) related to 1826 Ramon Way (City Administrative Enforcement Order - File #CE19-0914)	Notice of Administrative Appeal served on 9/12/2025; Hearing held on 10/22/2025 to set briefing schedule; Settlement Agreement entered into on 02/24/2026; Request for Dismissal with prejudice filed on 02/24/2026.		2/24/2026	2/24/2026	
CONSTITUTIONAL									
2:25-cv-00814-BJR	Martin Luther King, Jr. County (King County), et al. v. Turner, et al.	Appeal	Constitutional	Santa Rosa joined what is now nearly 60 other public agency plaintiffs in a lawsuit challenging unlawful attempts by the federal administration to require local governments receiving federal grants from DOT, HUD, and HHS to implement the President's expansive anti-immigration, anti-DEI, anti-LGBTQ+ and anti-choice policies. Because Santa Rosa does not receive HHS grants, Santa Rosa challenges only the unlawful DOT and HUD grant funding conditions. Motion for a preliminary injunction in favor of new plaintiffs (including Santa Rosa) granted on 8/12/25.	Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief filed on 5/2/2025; preliminary injunction granted in favor of original sets of plaintiffs on 6/3/2025 which the federal government appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on 6/9/2025; motion for a preliminary injunction to protect all new plaintiffs, including City of Santa Rosa, from enforcement of the challenged DOT, HUD and HHS grant funding conditions was granted on 8/18/2025; the federal government appealed from that ruling to the Ninth Circuit; Oral Argument for the first appeal occurred before the Ninth Circuit appeal on 2/9/2026; on 11/21/2025, the Ninth Circuit stayed the second appeal pending its resolution of the first appeal; on 4/01/2026, the Parties filed a Joint Motion to Stay the third appeal (which includes plaintiffs who joined the lawsuit after Santa Rosa) pending resolution of the first appeal.				
3:25-cv-01350-WHO	City and County of San Francisco, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al.	Appeal	Constitutional	Lawsuit brought by cities and counties nationwide against the President and federal administration, challenging executive orders and directives that try to withhold federal funding from local governments unless the local governments help with enforcement of federal civil immigration laws.	Original Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief filed by original plaintiffs on 2/7/2025; the Court issued a preliminary injunction in favor of the original plaintiffs on 4/25/2025 which was appealed to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals on June 20, 2025; Motion to file a Second Amended Complaint granted 8/5/2025 adding 34 new cities and counties (including City of Santa Rosa) as plaintiffs; Court granted preliminary injunction in favor of new plaintiffs on 8/22/2025; Oral argument before Ninth Circuit was heard on 12/5/2025.				

LITIGATION AS OF APRIL 7, 2026

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
3:25-cv-08330	County of Santa Clara, et al. v. Kristi Noem, et al.	Active	Constitutional	On September 30, 2025, Santa Rosa joined more than two dozen other local governments nationwide – including City of Petaluma, County of Sonoma, and Sonoma Water -- in a lawsuit against the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and the heads of those agencies. The lawsuit, County of Santa Clara v. Noem, challenges unlawful attempts by the Trump administration to require local governments receiving DHS and FEMA grants to use local resources to implement federal policies.	Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief filed by plaintiffs 9/20/2025. Preliminary Injunction granted in favor of plaintiffs, including Santa Rosa, on 11/21/2025; Notice of appeal of Preliminary Injunction filed on 1/20/2026; Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals granted joint motion to stay proceedings pending resolution in the King v. Turner on 1/30/2026; Motion to Relate case to City of Fresno, et al. v. Noem, et. al, 26-cv-1535-BLF granted.				
GENERAL LITIGATION									
26CV00636	SANTA ROSA REFORM JEWISH CONGREGATION, INC. dba CONGREGATION SHOMREI TORAH, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA and DOES 1-50, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	General Litigation - Property Damage	Landslides(s) to the plaintiff congregation's hillside in 2023-2024 allegedly related to the failure of the City's hillside stabilization project in 2019 - City has a V-Ditch/easement within the hillside and retained a design engineer and contractor to perform the work.	Plaintiff's Complaint, filed on 1/22/2026, asserts inverse condemnation, dangerous condition of public property, negligence, acts of an independent contractor, nuisance, and trespass causes of action. City's Answer and Roe Cross-Complaint filed on 3/12/2026; Initial Case Management Conference is set for 6/23/2026.	Not less than \$3 million as pled.			
SCV-264530	David Pelayo, Roberto Hernandez, Edmond Andre, Bryan Munoz, and Brian Medeiros, each as an individual, and on behalf of the general public for all those similarly situated v Utility Partners of America, LLC, a Delaware corporation; City of Santa Rosa and DOES 1 through 250, inclusive.	Appeal	General Litigation – Wage Claim	Plaintiffs allege failure to pay prevailing wages and related compensation versus employer UPA for public works project; UPA alleges City is obligated to defend and indemnify UPA.	Court granted UPA's motion for summary judgment on equitable indemnity; Court overruled UPA's demurrer to City's cross-complaint for contractual indemnity, thereby leaving both UPA's and the City's claims for indemnity in place; Although global mediation was unsuccessful, City and Plaintiffs separately reached a tentative settlement, which was approved by the Court on 4/11/2023; The settlement provided for the City's payment of \$250,000 in exchange for full release of liability in the case. Approval of the settlement was affirmed by the Court of Appeal on 6/14/2023; The City has no further liability in the matter, but retained additional rights; Bench Trial on City's breach of contract claim against UPA was completed on 5/28/2025; the trial court rendered a judgment in favor of the City on 8/7/2025, requiring UPA to pay the City damages in the amount of \$656,682.74; City filed a Motion for Costs on 8/22/2025; Court denied City's Motion for Costs; UPA filed a Notice of Appeal of the City's judgement; City filed a Cross-Appeal challenging the trial court's denial of City's request for attorney fees and costs; UPA's opening brief is due 40 days after the reporter's transcript is filed with the reviewing court; opening brief filing date is currently unknown to City.	According to Proof		6/14/2023	\$250,000.00
OTHER LITIGATION									
24CV02519	LUCAS BOHANAN v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-25	Active - Set for Trial	Other	Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination, failure to provide reasonable accommodation and retaliation	Complaint was served on City on 5/7/2024; First Amended Complaint filed on 6/13/2024; City filed an Answer to the First Amended Complaint on 7/10/2024; Plaintiff filed his Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint on 7/15/2025; Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint was granted; Second Amended Complaint was filed on 11/7/2025; Defendant Doe 1 replaced with LWP Claims Solutions, Inc.; City filed an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint on 12/19/2025; City's Motion for Protective Order granted on 12/17/2025; LWP dismissed without prejudice in 1/21/2026; discovery continues; Plaintiff's ex parte Application re Privilege to be heard on 4/8/2026; City's Motion for Summary Judgement to be heard on 5/06/2026; Trial continued to 7/31/2026.	According to Proof			
24CV05523	ELIZABETH VAZQUEZ and RICHARD MILLER vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA and DOES 1-10	Active - Set for Trial	Other	Complaint for damages for alleged taking of private property (Inverse condemnation).	Complaint served on City on 9/24/2024; after meet and confer, Plaintiff has agreed to file a First Amended Complaint; Judge set Order to Show Cause hearing for 7/17/2025 re Dismissal for plaintiffs' failure to timely prosecute case; Plaintiff served First Amended Complaint on 7/15/2025; City filed a timely Answer on 8/13/2025; Case Management Hearing held on 11/20/2025; Motion to bifurcate pending; Trial set for 10/02/2026.	According to Proof			

LITIGATION AS OF APRIL 7, 2026

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
SCV-272340	JOHN DOE #1 J.B., an individual, vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL OF YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; SONOMA COUNTY FAMILY YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION; SANTA ROSA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION dba CAMP WA-TAM; DAY; and DOES 4 through 100	Active - Set for Trial	Other	Alleges sexual abuse of a minor; intentional infliction of emotional distress; sexual harassment; negligence; negligent supervision; violation of civil rights; battery. Conduct alleged to have occurred in the early 1980s.	Original Complaint filed against ROE defendants on 12/29/2022; Amended Complaint adding Santa Rosa Department of Recreation and Parks a defendant filed on 4/11/2024; Amended Complaint served on City of Santa Rosa on 8/1/2024; City filed a timely responsive pleading on 9/3/2024; Plaintiff's Deposition was completed on 6/16/2025; hearing on all three Defendants' separate Motions for Summary Judgment continued to 5/20/2026; Trial continued to 7/24/2026; Discovery is ongoing.	According to Proof			
SCV-273655	People of the State of California, by and through Sue Gallagher, City Attorney for the City of Santa Rosa; and City Of Santa Rosa, V. Jorge Luis Calderon Caballero, Daniel Felix Nunez Serna, Roberto Carrillo, Emiliano Bautista Rodriguez, Manuel Andres Garfia, George Michael Patino Flores, Alexys Aguirre-Serrano, Joel Vasquez Cortez, Jersain Lezama Meza, Jesus Calderon Avalos, Neri Alberto Orea-Vasquez, Richard Murillo Robles, Rodrigo Lopez-Tapia, and Does 1-100	Settlement Pending	Other	Complaint for Public Nuisance, Civil Conspiracy, Willful Misconduct, and Violation of Fish and Game Code§ 5650(a)(l).	Defendants filed Answer on 10/30/2023; following mediation case settled with 12 of the 13 defendants, with liability expressly denied, and each settling defendant to (1) pay the City \$500 for property damage, (2) participate in a 20-hour program with Restorative Resources, (3) complete volunteer work within twelve months, (4) pay \$15,000 in additional damages to the City if the defendant fails to complete all other terms of the agreement within twelve months; the twelve (12) settling defendants have now completed the above community service; a stipulation to continue the trial as to the remaining defendant has been submitted to the Court to allow parties to continue settlement negotiations with the final defendant; Substitution of Attorney filed on behalf of remaining defendant Meza on 4/23/2025, now representing self; further Case Management Conference set for 6/18/2026.	According to Proof			
26CV00564	RICK DIONNE, an individual; and JENNIFER DIONNE, an individual, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Other	Petition for judicial relief from denial of Petitioners' Request for permission to file a late claim pursuant to Government Code 946.6. Claimants allege hillside saturation and property damage that they attribute to the City's approval of subdivision improvement plans and non-installation of a v-ditch.	Summons and Petition for Judicial Relief to file a late claim pursuant to Government Code 946.6 was served on 02/18/2026; City's responsive pleading due 03/20/2026; Initial Case Management Conference scheduled for 06/11/2026.	According to Proof			
PERSONAL INJURY/DANGEROUS CONDITION									
23CV01723	MARGARET AMANDA PEARSON, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Atticus Pearson and MICHAEL PEARSON, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Atticus Pearson v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, REYNALDO ZAMORA-RUIZ, JR., ROSALIE JULIANA LEHANE and DOES 1 through 25 (MINOR)	Settlement Pending	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimants allege a dangerous condition in crosswalk resulting in their son being struck by motor vehicle; he passed away as a result of his injuries	The City was served with the Complaint on 1/16/2024; City served with First Amended Complaint for Wrongful Death on 7/29/2024; City timely filed its responsive pleading to First Amended Complaint on 8/30/2024; Following discovery, City filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on 7/22/2025; Mediation occurred on 10/06/2025 where a conditional settlement subject to CJPRMA Board approval; CJPRMA Board approved the settlement on 12/10/2025; the Sonoma County Superior Court Probate Dept. has approved the settlement per Probate Code section 9835; plaintiffs' counsel is still negotiating an outstanding medical lien; once the lien issue has been resolved, a full Release and Settlement Agreement will be circulated and endorsed, followed by the funding of the settlement.	According to Proof			
24CV01061	DAVID O'CONNELL v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; COUNTY OF SONOMA, WILLIAM PHILLIP RODGERS, JOHN RODGERS; DELIA MARIA SANCHEZ, and DOES 1 through 100	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges injuries due to City Bus colliding with another vehicle	First Amended Complaint filed on 3/11/2024; City filed responsive pleading and cross-complaint on 5/7/2024; Discovery is ongoing; Trial set for 6/13/2025 has been vacated; Motion to withdraw filed by plaintiff's attorney was heard on 7/23/2025 and granted; Plaintiff's deposition held on 10/13/2025 with non-appearance by Plaintiff; further Case Management Conference to reschedule held on 11/13/2025; Order to Show Cause for Failure to Prosecute continued to 04/30/2026; Trial continued to 08/28/2026.	According to Proof			
24CV01383	NANCY MORENO, an individual, v. GEOVANNI RECHY CORONA, an individual; CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1 to 50, Inclusive	Settled	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they were a passenger in a vehicle that was rear-ended by a CityBus resulting in bodily injuries	Complaint served on City 8/8/2024; City filed Demurrer and Opposition to Plaintiff's pending Petition for Leave to Present Late Tort Claim; Plaintiff's Petition was granted, and City's Demurrer overruled; the parties have reached settlement and resolution of the matter in principle, and a Notice of Settlement of Entire Case has been filed with the Court; Trial date has been vacated; Settlement Agreement and Release has been fully executed, and filing of the dismissal is pending.	According to Proof			Matter resolved for \$22,500 payment by the City in exchange for dismissal with prejudice and release of all claims as to both defendants.

LITIGATION AS OF APRIL 7, 2026

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
24CV01397	MARIA GONZALEZ v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, SARAH ELAINE SOLOMON and DOES 1 through 25	Settlement Pending	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges a dangerous condition of public property resulted in injuries	Complaint filed 2/22/2024; Answer to Complaint filed 4/24/2024; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 6/11/2025; Driver's deposition was completed on 11/05/2025; Expert discovery commenced; Mediation was held on 12/19/2025, where a settlement was reached subject to CJPRMA Board and court approval; The CJPRMA Board approved the settlement on 3/19/2026; Notice of Conditional Settlement filed on 12/22/2025, which vacated the 1/9/2026 trial date; Plaintiffs' counsel is currently working on the structured settlement and special needs trust, and once completed, a Settlement Agreement will be finalized and the settlement funded.	According to Proof			
24CV05980	ROBERT LUNDGREN vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1-20, inclusive	Judgment	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they sustained injuries when they fell over an asphalt patch surrounding a water utility cover while riding a scooter in the bike lane	Complaint served on City on 10/15/2024; City's Answer filed on 11/13/2024; Initial Case Management Conference was held on 4/10/2025; written discovery has been completed; Plaintiff's deposition was completed 11/18/2025; Jury trial was held on 3/24-3/27 and 4/1 and the jury returned a defense verdict; not liable as to the City of Santa Rosa; Entry of judgment is pending.	According to Proof			
24CV07836	Erika Martinez Gomez v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a Government Entity; MICHAEL EVAN STASSE, an individual; TAYLOR CHRISTIAN HOMER, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges dangerous conditions of public property contributed to vehicle accident causing injuries	Summons and Complaint served on 4/22/2025; City filed its Answer on 5/21/2025; City filed a Cross Complaint against property owner and defendant driver on 6/09/2025; Discovery ongoing; Case Management Conference held on 12/11/2025; Hearing on Plaintiff's counsel's Motion to Withdraw set for 05/15/2026; Trial set for 10/09/2026.	According to Proof			
25CV02915	ORWITZ ASSOCIATES, L.P. v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA AND ROES 1 THROUGH 20, INCLUSIVE	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Litigation for equitable indemnity in cross-complaint. Claimant was served with lawsuit by Laken Ray after she tripped on a crack in sidewalk.	Summons and Cross-Complaint served 3/25/2026; City's Responsive pleading due on or before 4/24/2026.	According to Proof			
25CV04135	MICHAEL KOSZALKA V. MICHAEL'S REFLEXOLOGY CENTER; MICHAEL AND WAY INC.; CITY OF SANTA ROSA; WCM VENTURES LLC ET AL.; AND DOES 1 to 30	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges he tripped and fell on a raised sidewalk.	City was served with Summons and Complaint on 7/3/2025; City's Answer and Cross-Complaint filed on 8/4/2025; initial party written discovery has commenced, and the initial Case Management Conference was held on 1/13/2026; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 1/23/2026; Mediation is scheduled for 6/2/2026; Trial is set for 10/09/2026.	According to Proof			
25CV06440	JAVIER OLIVIA CABELLO, an individual; YENY ALGALOBO, an individual; v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a public entity; JOHN FRAGA, an individual; and DOES 1 to 50, Inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Negligence action arising from an August 17, 2024 motor vehicle accident involving a City employee and City vehicle.	Summons and Complaint for Damages filed on 09/12/2025; City served with Summons and Complaint served on 01/26/2026; City filed a Demurrer on 03/02/2026 that is pending a hearing date; Case Management Conference set for 03/10/2026 was continued to 09/15/2026 for status of settled pleadings.	According to Proof			
25CV07259	PAMELA KEZER v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, KONE, INC., DOES 1 TO 20	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges she tripped and fell due to a chain link fence that was sticking out resulting in several bodily injuries.	Summons and Complaint served 3/19/2026; City's responsive pleading due on or before 4/20/2026.	According to Proof			
26CV01096	Nagib Khallouf v. City of Santa Rosa and Does 1-10	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges bodily injuries from trip and fall incident due to an uneven grate surrounding the base of a City-maintained tree.	Complaint filed 2/10/2026 and served on the City on 3/24/2026; City's responsive pleading is due on 4/23/2026; Case Management Conference set for 8/25/2026.	According to Proof			
26CV01544	Michael Graham v. City of Santa Rosa, County of Sonoma, and Does 1 to 20	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges bodily injury due to dangerous road condition while riding his bike.	Summons and Complaint served 3/19/2026; City's responsive pleading due on or before 4/20/2026.	According to Proof			
SCV-270898	Cindy Gemignani, as conservator of the Estate of Daryl W. Titus v. City of Santa Rosa, Jose Andrade and DOES 1-20 inclusive	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they suffered injuries when struck by a vehicle after an off duty SRPD officer instructed them to cross street	Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Leave to File Second Amended Complaint, which was granted; Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint; City Defendants filed a Demurrer to Second Amended Complaint; Defendants' Demurrer sustained with leave to amend as to City in its entirety and Bane Act as to officer; Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint filed on 4/3/2026; Defendants to file a timely responsive pleading; Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses to be heard on 5/26/2026; Trial continued to 09/04/2026.	According to Proof			
POLICE ACTION									
25CV05854	Justin Amburn v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; SANTA ROSA POLICE DEPARTMENT; COUNTY OF SONOMA; SONOMA COUNTY REGIONAL PARKS DEPARTMENT; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION; KAISER FOUNDATION HOSPITALS, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100	Judgment	Police Action	Plaintiff alleges, among other things, civil rights violations under 42 U.S.C. 1983 against City of Santa Rosa, Santa Rosa Police Department, County of Sonoma, and the State of California, among others entities.	City of Santa Rosa was served with the Summons and Complaint on 10/28/2025; Defendants' motions to dismiss were granted on 3/3/2026 and Plaintiff was given until 3/17/2026 to file a First Amended Complaint. Plaintiff failed to file a First Amended Complaint and the case was dismissed with prejudice, and judgment entered in City and other defendants' favor, on 3/30/2026.				

LITIGATION AS OF APRIL 7, 2026

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
26-cv-01230-KAW	LYDIA JONES; ISAAH HARRIS; SHEA FLEMING, vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; OFFICER WESTIN SCHINDLER; OFFICER DANE SCHINDLER; DOES 1-10	Active - Pleadings	Police Action	Claimant alleges SRPD violated their 4th amendment rights	Summons and Complaint served 3/12/2026; City Defendants' Motion to Dismiss filed on 4/2/2026; opposition is due by 4/16/2026; reply brief due 4/23/2026.	According to Proof			
3:23-cv-02478-JSC	John Paul Johnson v. City of Santa Rosa, Officers Cody Sousa, O'Neill, Deleon, Jones and Does 1-50	Judgment	Police Action	Plaintiff alleges damages related to excessive force, battery, Bane Act Violation and Negligence.	Complaint served on the City 9/1/2023; Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint; City and individual officers answered; Court-ordered settlement conference was unsuccessful; City's Motion for Summary Judgment was heard on 11/14/2024 and was granted in part and denied in part; Trial was completed on 1/9/2026 resulting in the following verdict: 1) The jury found in favor of Officer Sousa on the lone Fourth Amendment claim asserted against him; 2) The jury found in favor of Officer O'Neill on the Fourth Amendment claim that it was excessive force to keep plaintiff in handcuffs while waiting for medical aid to arrive to address his elbow injury; 3) The jury found against Officer O'Neill on the other Fourth Amendment claim and state negligence and battery claims, finding that Officer O'Neill's use of a control lock that resulted in plaintiff's fractured elbow was excessive force. The jury found, however, that Officer O'Neill's actions were not intentional, and thus found in favor of Officer O'Neill on the related Bane Act claim; and 4) Based on the findings against Officer O'Neill, the jury awarded plaintiff \$10,000 in compensatory damages and \$0 in punitive damages. Judgment was entered on 1/14/2026; The City's Motion to overturn the jury verdict against Officer O'Neill on qualified immunity was filed on 2/4/2026 with a hearing date of 3/12/2026; A settlement Conference was held on 2/5/2026. Plaintiff's Opposition to City's Motion was filed on 2/18/2026; City's reply was filed on 2/25/2026. Hearing on City's motion was held on 3/19/2026; it was denied by Order on 3/27/2026. Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney's Fees was filed on 2/25/2026 and hearing was set for 4/2/2026; however, the Court took the attorneys' fees motion under submission on the briefs and without argument, and vacated the hearing by order of 3/27/2026.	According to Proof			
C 22-05196	James Earl Lindsey v. Santa Rosa Police Officer Jason Jucutan	Active - Pleadings	Police Action	Violation of civil rights; false arrest	Officer Jucutan's Answer to complaint filed on 11/10/2022; Motion for More Definitive Statement filed by Officer Jucutan on 12/15/2022; case has been stayed pending resolution of the plaintiff's criminal case. Court ordered parties to file status report every 6 months and to notify court within 30 days of resolution of criminal charges; That criminal trial appears to have resulted in a mistrial, declared on 2/13/2025; City has updated Federal Court of outcome of the criminal proceedings, timely and pursuant to operative Court order via Status update filed on 3/13/2025; Court set an Order to Show Cause, directed to Plaintiff, re dismissal for 3/25/2025, which was removed from calendar following Plaintiff's response on 4/9/2025; City understands that Plaintiff has been returned to custody and that his criminal proceedings will continue; City's Motion to dismiss was filed on 10/30/2025 but was vacated on Court's Order granting Plaintiff leave to file a Second Amended Complaint; Second Amended Complaint filed on 2/17/25. Stay of the case was lifted on 3/3/2026 and City is awaiting Judge's screening and court order authorizing service of the Second Amended Complaint, which will establish and trigger City's responsive pleading deadline.	Unknown			
WRIT OF MANDATE									
25CV06089	CORNERSTONE COMMUNITIES II, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1 through 1-10, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Writ of Mandate	Plaintiff Cornerstone Communities II, LLC filed a Writ of Mandate and/or Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief requesting the Court set aside Council's actions taken on 07/08/2025 in exercising the City's Call Notice Rights pursuant to the Purchase and Sale for Future Infill Development Agreement for 410 B Street.	On 9/17/2025, City was served with Plaintiff's summons and Writ of Mandate and/or Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; City filed a demurrer and Cross-Complaint on 11/24/2025; Plaintiff filed and served an Amended Complaint removing Writ of Mandate causes of action on 03/02/2026; Hearing on City's demurrer was vacated; Initial Case Management Conference set for 1/29/2026 was continued to 06/11/2026.	Declaratory and Injunctive Relief			
26CV00347	Amy E. Thorstad v. City of Santa Rosa	Active - Pleadings	Writ of Mandate	Petition for Writ of Mandate seeking judicial review of a decision upholding a citation and associated penalties for an unpermitted Short-Term Rental.	Petition for Writ of Administrative Mandate and Request for Stay of Enforcement filed on 01/26/2026; City's Answer was filed on 02/25/2026; Case Management Conference is scheduled for 05/28/2026.	According to Proof			

LITIGATION AS OF APRIL 7, 2026

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
26CV01041	GORDON N. BALL, INC., a California corporation, Petitioner, vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a public entity, Respondent. GHILOTTI CONSTRUCTION COMPANY, INC., a California corporation; DOES 1-10, inclusive, Real Party of Interest	Active - Pleadings	Writ of Mandate	Petition for Writ of Mandate filed by bidder Gordan N. Ball, Inc. challenging the City's contract award related to construction of the Route 101 Bicycle and Pedestrian Overcrossing project.	Summons and Petition for Writ of Mandate served on 02/27/2026; Petitioner filed an Application for Preliminary Injunction or Alternative Writ on 03/09/2026 which is pending a hearing date; Petitioner filed an Ex Parte shortening time on hearing which was granted by the Court and briefing schedule changed; Petitioner's Opening Brief due 4/3/2026 and City's responsive brief due 04/13/2026; Hearing on Preliminary Injunction scheduled for 5/20/2026; Initial Case Management Conference scheduled for 07/21/2026.	Declaratory and Injunctive Relief			
SCV-268752	Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association and Rincon Valley dba Rincon Valley Mobilehome Park v. City of Santa Rosa; Department of Housing and Community of the City of Santa Rosa; Megan Basinger, in her official capacity as Interim Director of the Department of Community Services of the City of Santa Rosa; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive	Appeal	Writ of Mandate	Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief; Petition for Writ of Traditional Mandamus; regarding mobile home park rent control ordinance - application of State price gouging limitations	The City's Motion for Summary Judgment as to the sole remaining cause of action in the case was granted on 5/22/2024; Judgment entered on 11/25/2024; Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on 12/2/2024; Record on Appeal was filed 3/7/2025; Petitioner's Opening Brief was filed on 7/3/2025; City's responsive brief was filed on 10/20/2025; Petitioner's Reply Brief was filed on 1/20/2026 and the matter is fully briefed; Oral arguments scheduled for 4/14/2026.	According to Proof			