

**SETTLEMENTS AUTHORIZED BY COUNCIL IN CLOSED SESSION THAT WERE FINALIZED IN  
JUNE 2025, WHICH INCLUDES BUT MAY NOT BE LIMITED TO ALL SETTLEMENTS REQUIRING THE CITY TO PAY  
MORE THAN \$50,000**

Case Name	Date Settled	Amount	Description	Notes

**No settlements to report**

## NEW CLAIMS REFERRED TO THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN JUNE 2025

Claimant Name	Date of Claim	Date of Incident	Claim Amount	Location	Description of Claim

No claims to report

## LITIGATION AS OF JULY 7, 2025

Case Number	Full Case Name	Status	Category	Case Description	Case Notes	Amount Claimed	Dismissed Date	Settled Date	Settlement Details
CODE ENFORCEMENT/RECEIVERSHIP									
25CV02452	FOUNTAINGROVE 2013, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Notice of appeal of decision of administrative hearing officer in re 2005 Stagecoach Road, Santa Rosa, CA (Govt. Code § 53069.4)	The Administrative Hearing Officer determined that Fountaingrove 2013 LLC, the responsible party, failed to abate multiple violations of the Santa Rosa City Code and did not comply with the requirements of the Vacant Building and Lot Program, including the obligations to post signage, maintain the property, and conduct regular inspections. Summons and Complaint served on 4/25/2025 relating to 2005 Stagecoach Rd; City lodged the Administrative Hearing Record on 05/13/2025; Case Management Conference set for 08/28/2025.				
25CV02453	FOUNTAINGROVE 2013, LLC, a California limited liability company, v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	Active - Pleadings	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Appeal of decision of administrative hearing officer in re 2097 Stagecoach Road, Santa Rosa, CA (Govt. Code § 53069.4)	The Administrative Hearing Officer determined that Fountaingrove 2013 LLC, the responsible party, failed to abate multiple violations of the Santa Rosa City Code and did not comply with the requirements of the Vacant Building and Lot Program, including the obligations to post signage, maintain the property, and conduct regular inspections. Summons and Complaint served on 04/25/2025 relating to 2097 Stagecoach Rd; City lodged the Administrative Hearing Record on 05/13/2025; Case Management Conference set for 08/28/2025.				
SCV-273045 (Appeal A170844)	People of the State of California, City of Santa Rosa v. The Testate and Intestate Successors of Norman Hartung, Deceased, and all Persons Claiming by, Through, or Under Such Decedent Any Right, Title, Estate, Lien or Interest in Real Property Known as 642 Benjamins Road, Santa Rosa, and Does 1-10	Appeal	Code Enforcement/Receivership	Receivership	Complaint filed 3/13/2023; Receiver appointed by Order of the Court on 6/17/2023; the executor of the decedent's estate filed a Motion to Set Aside the Receivership Petition on 12/19/2023 which was argued and denied by the court; Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal with the Court of Appeals, 1st District on 6/27/2024 (A170844); State court action is stayed pending the above appeal; Record on appeal filed 9/18/2024; Appellant's Opening Brief was filed on 10/28/2024; City's Respondent's Brief was filed 11/15/2024; Respondent's Reply Brief filed on 11/25/2024; oral argument was heard on 6/25/2025 and we are awaiting ruling; Further Case Management Conference set at trial Court for 7/24/2025 for status of appeal; the property has since been sold and escrow has closed.	According to Proof			
GENERAL LITIGATION									
3:18-CV-01955-VC	Nicholle Vannucci, Ellen Brown, and Shannon Hall, individuals; and Homeless Action!, an unincorporated association v. County of Sonoma, Sonoma County Community Development Commission, City of Santa Rosa, and Does I to XX	Active - Set for Trial	General Litigation - Constitutional and ADA	Complaint for Declaratory Relief and Injunctive Relief (homeless encampments)	Discovery was underway when case was stayed on 1/19/2024 pending a decision by the United States Supreme Court in Grants Pass v. Johnson; Stay has been lifted following Supreme Court decision and the parties stipulated to limited discovery; discovery is continuing per court order; 1/29/2025 Settlement Conference was unsuccessful; Defendants' motion for summary judgment on standing was denied; Settlement Conference set for 8/28/25; Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment will be heard on 12/18/2025; Jury Trial set for 3/2/2026.				

ED CV06-0055-GW	United States, et al., ex rel. John Hendrix v. J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., et al.	Dismissed	General Litigation – Qui Tam	Federal qui tam action (and stayed state court action) filed against water pipe manufacturer for false claims	The Court denied exemplar plaintiff damages; outside counsel decided not to appeal to the Ninth Circuit on multiple issues; City's claims (as non-exemplar plaintiff) are currently stayed. Counsel has just concluded that they have no basis for recovery on behalf of the remaining intervenors' claims, inclusive of the City, and will be filing a motion to withdraw as counsel in which they will alert the court that they have been unsuccessful in finding new counsel; Settlement was reached on 4/18/2025; The court approved the settlement on 6/5/2025; matter dismissed with prejudice as to J-M on 6/13/2025.	According to Proof	4/18/2025 Under the terms of the settlement agreement City agreed to dismiss its claims against pipe manufacturer J-M Manufacturing Company for false claims in exchange for the manufacturer providing the City with a 50-year retroactive extended warranty for all pipe supplied for use on City projects where the purchase price was paid or reimbursed by City. City further agreed to treat J-M the same as all other suppliers of materials and will remove the language from the City's design and construction standards regarding not accepting PVC pipe manufactured by J-M.
SCV-264530	David Pelayo, Roberto Hernandez, Edmond Andre, Bryan Munoz, and Brian Medeiros, each as an individual, and on behalf of the general public for all those similarly situated v Utility Partners of America, LLC, a Delaware corporation; City of Santa Rosa and DOES 1 through 250, inclusive.	Active - Set for Trial	General Litigation – Wage Claim	Plaintiffs allege failure to pay prevailing wages and related compensation versus employer UPA for public works project; UPA alleges City is obligated to defend and indemnify UPA.	Court granted UPA's motion for summary judgment on equitable indemnity; Court overruled UPA's demurrer to City's cross-complaint for contractual indemnity, thereby leaving both UPA's and the City's claims for indemnity in place; Although global mediation has been unsuccessful, City and Plaintiffs have separately reached a tentative settlement, subject to Court approval; The settlement provides for payment of \$250,000 in exchange for full release of liability in the case. The Superior Court approved the settlement on 4/11/2023; The approval of the settlement was affirmed by the Court of Appeal on 6/14/2023; The City has no further liability in the matter, but may retain additional rights; Bench Trial on City's breach of contract claim completed on 5/28/2025 with written closing arguments submitted to the Court on 6/30/2025.	According to Proof	6/14/2023 \$250,000.00
OTHER LITIGATION							
24CV02519	LUCAS BOHANAN v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1-25	Active - Set for Trial	Other	Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination, failure to provide reasonable accommodation and retaliation	Complaint was served on City on 5/7/2024 and Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on 6/13/2024; City filed an Answer to the First Amended Complaint on 7/10/2024; discovery continues; Trial continued by stipulation to 10/3/2025.	According to Proof	
24CV02546	CLARE HARTMAN v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, and DOES 1 TO 10	Active - Set for Trial	Other	Plaintiff alleges wrongful termination, discrimination, failure to provide reasonable accommodation and retaliation.	Complaint served on City on 5/8/2024; City answered on 6/5/2024; City motion for Summary Judgment heard on 6/13/2025 was granted in part/denied in part; expert discovery continues; Mediation set for 9/10/25; Trial continued to 12/12/2025.	According to Proof	
24CV05523	ELIZABETH VAZQUEZ and RICHARD MILLER vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA and DOES 1-10	Active - Pleadings	Other	Complaint for damages for alleged 1) Taking of private property (Inverse condemnation); 2) Private nuisance; and 3) Elder Financial Abuse	Complaint served on City on 9/24/2024; after meet and confer, Plaintiff has agreed to file a First Amended Complaint; Judge set Order to Show Cause hearing for 7/17/2025 re Dismissal for plaintiffs' failure to timely prosecute case.	According to Proof	

SCV-272340	JOHN DOE #1 J.B., an individual, vs. NATIONAL COUNCIL OF YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATIONS OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; SONOMA COUNTY FAMILY YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION; SANTA ROSA DEPARTMENT OF PARKS & RECREATION dba CAMP WATAM; DAY; and DOES 4 through 100	Active - Set for Trial	Other	Alleges sexual abuse of a minor; intentional infliction of emotional distress; sexual harassment; negligence; negligent supervision; violation of civil rights; battery. Conduct alleged to have occurred in the early 1980s.	Original Complaint filed with ROE defendants on 12/29/2022; Amended Complaint adding Santa Rosa Department of Parks and Recreation as a defendant filed on 4/11/2024; Amended Complaint served on City of Santa Rosa on 8/1/2024; City filed a timely responsive pleading on 9/3/2024; Discovery has commenced; Plaintiff's Deposition was completed on 6/16/2025; Trial scheduled for 2/13/2026.	According to Proof
SCV-273655	People of the State of California, by and through Sue Gallagher, City Attorney for the City of Santa Rosa; and City Of Santa Rosa, V. Jorge Luis Calderon Caballero, Daniel Felix Nunez Serna, Roberto Carrillo, Emiliano Bautista Rodriguez, Manuel Andres Garfia, George Michael Patino Flores, Alexys Aguirre-Serrano, Joel Vasquez Cortez, Jersain Lezama Meza, Jesus Calderon Avalos, Neri Alberto Orea-Vasquez, Richard Murillo Robles, Rodrigo Lopez-Tapia, and Does 1-100	Settlement Pending	Other	Complaint for Public Nuisance, Civil Conspiracy, Willful Misconduct, and Violation of Fish and Game Code§ 5650(a)(l).	Defendants filed Answer on 10/30/2023; following mediation case settled with 12 of the 13 defendants, with liability expressly denied, and each settling defendant to (1) pay the City \$500 for property damage, (2) participate in a 20-hour program with Restorative Resources, (3) complete volunteer work within twelve months, (4) pay \$15,000 in additional damages to the City if the defendant fails to complete all other terms of the agreement within twelve months; a stipulation to continue the trial as to the remaining defendant has been submitted to the Court to allow parties to continue settlement negotiations with the final defendant; Substitution of Attorney filed on behalf of plaintiff Meza on 4/23/25; Further Case Management Conference set for 10/16/2025.	According to Proof
25CV01641	STILLWATER INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff, vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES I through 20, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Property Damage	Claimant alleges property damage, loss of use and other related losses located at 1916 Viewpointe Circle, resulting from water event which occurred on or about 2/13/24	Complaint served on 3/28/2025; City filed responsive pleading on 4/21/2025; Initial Case Management Conference set for 7/31/2025; Written discovery to commence.	According to Proof
PERSONAL INJURY/DANGEROUS CONDITION						
23CV01723	MARGARET AMANDA PEARSON, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Atticus Pearson and MICHAEL PEARSON, Individually and as Administrator of the Estate of Atticus Pearson v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, REYNALDO ZAMORA-RUIZ, JR., ROSALIE JULIANA LEHANE and DOES 1 through 25 (MINOR)	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimants allege a dangerous condition in crosswalk resulting in their son being struck by motor vehicle; he passed away as a result of his injuries	The City was served with the Complaint on 1/16/2024; City served with First Amended Complaint for Wrongful Death on 7/29/2024; City timely filed its responsive pleading to First Amended Complaint on 8/30/2024; Discovery is underway; City is preparing a Motion for Summary Judgment; Trial has been set for 11/14/2025.	According to Proof
24CV00351	Integon National Insurance Company v. Cindy Gemignani, as conservator of the Estate of Daryl W. Titus; City of Santa Rosa: Jose Andrade; Ivan Medina; Alberto Vasquez Rojas; and Does 1-50	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Insurance Company alleges it has no duty to cover a loss caused by its insured, Ivan Medina	Complaint for declaratory relief filed 1/19/2024; City filed timely Answer on 3/21/2024; Discovery is ongoing; Trial will determine whether Ivan Medina has insurance coverage related to the automobile accident at issue in Gemignani v. Andrade, et al.; the parties have stipulated to a trial continuance; Trial date reset to 11/7/2025. Plaintiff Integon has filed a motion for summary judgment, set for hearing on 08/27/2025.	According to Proof
24CV01061	DAVID O'CONNELL v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; COUNTY OF SONOMA, WILLIAM PHILLIP RODGERS, JOHN RODGERS; DELIA MARIA SANCHEZ, and DOES 1 through 100	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges injuries due to CityBus colliding with another vehicle	First Amended Complaint filed on 3/11/2024; City filed responsive pleading and cross-complaint on 5/7/2024; Discovery is ongoing; Plaintiff's deposition in the process of being rescheduled; Trial set for 6/13/2025 has been vacated; Case Management Conference to reschedule Trial set for 8/7/2025; motion to withdraw filed by plaintiff's attorney is scheduled to be heard on 7/23/2025.	According to Proof

24CV01383	NANCY MORENO, an individual, v. GEOVANNI RECHY CORONA, an individual; CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1 to 50, Inclusive	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they were a passenger in a vehicle that was rear-ended by a CityBus resulting in bodily injuries	Complaint served on City 8/8/2024; City filed Demurrer and Opposition to Plaintiff's pending Petition for Leave to Present Late Tort Claim; Plaintiff's Petition was granted, and City's Demurrer overruled; initial Case Management Conference was held on 6/24/2025; written discovery is underway; Trial date set for 2/20/2026.	According to Proof
24CV01397	MARIA GONZALEZ v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, SARAH ELAINE SOLOMON and DOES 1 through 25	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges a dangerous condition of public property resulted in injuries	Complaint filed 2/22/2024; Answer to Complaint filed 4/24/2024; discovery has commenced; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 6/11/2025; Trial set for 1/9/2026.	According to Proof
24CV01412	Justin Chase v. City of Santa Rosa and DOES 1 to 25	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges bodily injuries due to fall into pothole	Complaint served on 4/8/2024 and Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint filed on 6/24/2024. Written discovery was served on Plaintiff but has been unproductive; City's Motion for further discovery sanctions, including terminating sanctions, has been filed and had initial hearing held/ Plaintiff's counsel has filed a motion to withdraw as counsel of record; the parties have stipulated to continue trial and it has been reset for 10/24/2025; Hearing on City's sanctions motion was continued to 8/20/2025; Plaintiff's Counsel's withdrawal motion is set for hearing on 9/10/2025.	According to Proof
24CV02175	Wallace Francis v. City of Santa Rosa, County of Sonoma and Does 1 to 20	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges he was injured when he hit a pothole while riding his bicycle in the roadway	Complaint served on City on 5/3/2024; Answer to Complaint filed on 6/26/2024; Discovery by City has commenced; Depositions of City persons most knowledgeable have been completed; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 2/18/2025; City filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on 6/20/2025; Hearing on City's Motion for Summary Judgment is set for 10/8/2025; Trial set for 10/10/2025.	According to Proof
24CV02473	MERLA ANDERS v. STERLING ADAPTIVES LLC; CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1 to 30	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges they tripped and fell on raised sidewalk causing injuries.	Complaint served on City on 5/23/2024; Answer and cross-complaint against owner of the real property filed on 6/24/2024; Answer filed by Cross-Defendants on 8/27/2024; Cross-Defendants served a cross-complaint on the City on 11/18/2024; City filed Answer to Cross-Complaint on 12/11/2024; City served Offer to Compromise on Plaintiff on 2/10/2025; set for Trial on 1/16/2026; Mediation between the parties held on 6/3/2025 and no resolution was reached; Discovery ongoing.	According to Proof
24CV05980	ROBERT LUNDGREN vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; and DOES 1-20, inclusive	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they sustained injuries when they fell over an asphalt patch surrounding a water utility cover while riding a scooter in the bike lane	Complaint served on City on 10/15/2024; City's Answer filed on 11/13/2024; initial written discovery has commenced; Initial Case Management Conference was held on 4/10/2025; Trial has been set for 2/6/2026.	According to Proof

24CV06973	DELBERT RAY JR. GRISSOM, an individual, vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA; COUNTY OF SONOMA; STATE OF CALIFORNIA; BI-LINGUAL BROADCASTING FOUNDATION, INC.; and Does 1 through 100, inclusive	Tendered	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they sustained injuries to their right thumb when it was caught on door at Samuel Jones Hall.	Complaint served on City on 2/18/2025; City's Answer and Cross-Complaint filed on 3/26/2025, naming Catholic Charities of the Diocese of Santa Rosa as cross-defendant; Plaintiff has amended the Complaint to plead against Catholic Charities, and has dismissed County of Sonoma and Bi-Lingual Broadcasting, Inc., without prejudice. City has filed a dismissal of its cross-complaint as to Bi-Lingual Broadcasting, without prejudice and as to Catholic Charities Causes Nos. 2-5, without prejudice; City tendered its defense and indemnity to Catholic Charities on 4/2/2025; tender accepted on 4/18/2025 and substitutions of counsel filed on 5/07/2025; Initial Case Management Conference has been continued to 7/31/2025; Plaintiff has commenced written discovery.	According to Proof
24CV07836	Erika Martinez Gomez v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, a Government Entity; MICHAEL EVAN STASSE, an individual; TAYLOR CHRISTIAN HOMER, an individual; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges dangerous conditions of public property contributed to vehicle accident causing injuries	Summons and Complaint served on 4/22/2025; City filed its Answer on 5/21/2025; City filed a Cross Complaint against property owner on 6/09/2025; Discovery to commence; Case Management Conference set for 10/02/2025.	According to Proof
25CV03004	ALLSTATE NORTHBROOK INDEMNITY CO a/s/o DEENA MISTRY v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, NIMBLE & FINNS, NOBLE FOLK ICE CREAM & PIE BAR, AND DOES 1 TO 25	Active - Pleadings	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges her vehicle was damaged by a tree falling on her vehicle and as a result incurred out of pocket rental costs while her car was being repaired.	City was served with the Summons and Complaint on 05/19/2025; City filed Answer and Cross-Complaint on 6/18/2025; case is not yet at issue as remaining parties' responsive pleadings are pending.	According to Proof
SCV-270898	Cindy Gemignani, as conservator of the Estate of Daryl W. Titus v. City of Santa Rosa, Jose Andrade and DOES 1-20 inclusive	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Claimant alleges they suffered injuries when struck by a vehicle after an off duty SRPD officer instructed them to cross street	Complaint has been served and an Answer has been filed on behalf of City of Santa Rosa and the officer; Co-defendants insurance carrier has filed a complaint for declaratory relief; Discovery is ongoing; Plaintiffs have been deposed; first session of the Titus deposition completed on 12/11/2024; Doctor depositions to be noticed; party depositions completed, with the exception of a second session of the Titus deposition; Trial date continued to 12/5/2025 at Plaintiffs' request.	According to Proof
SCV-272343	Kim Taylor v. City of Santa Rosa and Does 1-10	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges they suffered injuries when they tripped and fell due to uneven sidewalk	Complaint served on City on 02/08/2023; Plaintiff's deposition was completed on 04/25/2024; Non-expert discovery is complete; Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on 08/19/2024; City filed an Answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint on 10/03/2024; Mediation occurred on 11/19/2024 and was unsuccessful; Pursuant to a stipulation among the parties, the Court vacated the prior trial date and set a new trial date of 5/23/2025; Co-defendant property owner, Burbank Investment Service, filed a Motion for Summary Judgment which was denied on 02/21/2025; City served Offer to Compromise on Plaintiff on 11/21/2024; City filed its Motion for Summary Judgment which was denied on 05/02/2025; the Court vacated the prior trial date and set a new trial date of 8/29/2025.	According to Proof

SCV-272709	Steven Hodges v. City of Santa Rosa, County of Sonoma, Lucinda R. Heifner, and Does 1 to 25	Active - Set for Trial	Personal Injury/Dangerous Condition	Plaintiff alleges they suffered injuries when they tripped and fell due to dangerous condition of sidewalk	Complaint served on defendant City of Santa Rosa on 9/28/2023; The Court filed an Order and Notice of Entry of Dismissal on 9/26/2023 dismissing the entire action without prejudice for failure to prosecute; Dismissal was vacated on 11/02/2023; City filed Answer on 4/18/2024; City's Cross-complaint against Heifner and Answer to Cross-Complaint filed on 9/12/2024; counsel for Plaintiff filed a motion to be relieved; the Court granted counsel's motion to withdraw on 4/17/2025; Plaintiff's deposition was scheduled for 5/28/2025, however it was not completed due to non-appearance; Discovery is ongoing; Trial set for 10/31/2025.	According to Proof
25CV01883	Patricia Hartnett v. City of Santa Rosa, Carter Gardea and DOES to 10	Active - Pleadings	Personal injury/motor vehicle	Claimant alleges City vehicle backed up and collided with her vehicle causing personal injuries	Summons and Complaint served on 4/07/2025; City filed its Answer on 4/30/2025; Discovery has commenced; Initial Case Management Conference set for 9/04/2025.	According to Proof
POLICE ACTION						
3:22-cv-05270	ANGELINA LOPEZ, individually and as cosuccessor-in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS; E.L., individually and as co-successor-in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS; J.P., individually and as co-successor-in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS; NEYO ALVAREZ, individually and as co-successor-in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS; SESERINA ALVAREZ, individually and as co-successor-in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS; C.P., individually and as co-successor in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS; D.P., individually and as co-successor in interest to Decedent JORDON PAS, vs. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, municipal corporation; MATTHEW CROSBIE, individually and in his capacity as a Police Sergeant for the CITY OF SANTA ROSA; JONATHAN MORGAN, individually and in his official capacity as a Police Officer for the CITY OF SANTA ROSA; ROBERT MOORE, individually and in his official capacity as a Police Officer for the CITY OF SANTA ROSA; FRANK SEDENO,	Settlement Pending	Police Action	Complaint alleges Violation of Civil Rights, Excessive Force, Wrongful Death, Negligence, Assault & Battery, Violation of California Civil Code §52.1, and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	Service of Complaint on all defendants is complete; defendants filed Answer on 10/30/2023; early mediation was unsuccessful; dual Motions for Summary Judgment were argued and taken under submission by the Court on 8/29/2024; the Court vacated the 2/3/2025 trial date and issued an Order on 3/6/2025 granting summary judgment in favor of defendants Crosbie, Morgan, Moore, and Sedeno as to all claims, granting summary judgment in favor of defendant Madarus on the Fourteenth Amendment claim, granting summary judgment in the City's favor as to the Monell claim, and denying summary judgement as to the claims against Madarus under the Fourth Amendment and State law (negligence, assault and battery and Bane Act); Mediation proceeded on 3/28/2025, where a conditional settlement was reached subject to CJPRMA and Court approval; CJPRMA Board of Directors approved the settlement on 4/8/2025; Plaintiffs to file a petition for Court approval because the settlement resolves the minor plaintiffs' remaining claims; a status conference continued to 7/31/2025, if a dismissal has not been filed.	According to Proof
3:23-cv-02478-JSC	John Paul Johnson v. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, OFFICERS CODY SOUSA, O'NEILL, DELEON, JONES AND DOES 1-50	Active - Set for Trial	Police Action	Plaintiff alleges damages related to excessive force, battery, Bane Act Violation and Negligence.	Complaint served on the City 9/1/2023; Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint; City and those individual officers served answered; depositions of Plaintiff and the individual officer defendants have been completed; Court-ordered settlement conference was unsuccessful; City's Motion for Summary Judgment was heard on 11/14/2024 and was granted in part and denied in part; Trial has been reset for 1/5/2026.	According to Proof



C 22-05196	James Earl Lindsey v. Santa Rosa Police Officer Jason Jucutan	Stayed	Police Action	Violation of civil rights; false arrest	Officer Jucutan's Answer to complaint filed on 11/10/2022; Motion for More Definitive Statement filed by Officer Jucutan on 12/15/2022; case has been stayed pending resolution of the plaintiff's criminal case. Court ordered parties to file status report every 6 months and to notify court within 30 days of resolution of criminal charges; That criminal trial appears to have resulted in a mistrial, declared on 2/13/2025; City has updated Federal Court of outcome of the criminal proceedings, timely and pursuant to operative Court order via Status update filed on 3/13/2025; Court set an Order to Show Cause, directed to Plaintiff, re dismissal for 3/25/2025, which was removed from calendar following Plaintiff's response on 4/9/2025; City understands that Plaintiff has been returned to custody and that his criminal proceedings will continue. Initial Case Management Conference was held on 6/29/2025 and further conference has been scheduled for 8/29/2025.	Unknown
<b>WRIT OF MANDATE</b>						
SCV-268752	Western Manufactured Housing Communities Association and Rincon Valley dba Rincon Valley Mobilehome Park v. City of Santa Rosa; Department of Housing and Community of the City of Santa Rosa; Megan Basinger, in her official capacity as Interim Director of the Department of Community Services of the City of Santa Rosa; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive	Appeal	Writ of Mandate	Complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief; Petition for Writ of Traditional Mandamus; regarding mobile home park rent control ordinance - application of State price gouging limitations	The City's Motion for Summary Judgment as to the sole remaining cause of action in the case was granted on 5/22/2024; Judgment entered on 11/25/2024; Plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal on 12/2/2024; Record on Appeal was filed 3/7/2025; Petitioner requested a 2nd extension and the Opening Brief is now due on 6/16/2025; Petitioner's Brief was not timely filed and Appellate Court has given petitioner until 7/2/2025 to file Opening Brief.; Opening Brief filed on 7/3/2025; City's responsive brief is due on 8/4/2025.	According to Proof
SCV-272714 (Appeal A170967)	Airport Business Center, a California limited partnership v. City of Santa Rosa; City Council of the City of Santa Rosa; and DOES 1 through 25	Appeal	Writ of Mandate	Writ of Mandate and Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief relating to designation of a parking garage (Garage 5) as surplus property under Surplus Land Act.	Complaint served on defendant City of Santa Rosa and Santa Rosa City Council on 3/2/2023; Writ of Mandate and petitioner's motion for stay was denied on 5/31/2024; Plaintiff served a Notice of Appeal on 7/16/2024; Petitioner's Writ of Supersedeas and request for stay was denied by the Court of Appeal on 7/31/2024; Appellant's Opening Brief was filed on 3/19/2025 following two extension of times and Court's notice to file appeal or be dismissed; Respondent City's brief was filed on 5/7/2025; Petitioner requested an extension of time to file a Reply Brief and it is now due on 7/25/2025.	According to Proof