

RECEIVED

CARLSBAD CLOVIS IRVINE LOS ANGELES PALM SPRINGS POINT RICHMOND RIVERSIDE ROSEVILLE SAN LUIS OBISPO

MEMORANDUM

DATE:	July 22, 2024
то:	Luana Vaetoe, Chief Executive Officer, Becoming Independent
FROM:	Florentina Craciun, AICP, Associate/Senior Environmental Planner Lauren Peachey, Environmental Planner
Subject:	1455 Corporate Center Parkway (Becoming Independent) Project CEQA Consistency Analysis per <i>State CEQA Guidelines</i> Section 15183

INTRODUCTION

The following is an evaluation of the proposed 1455 Corporate Center Parkway Project's (project) consistency with the scope of analysis provided in the program Environmental Impact Report (EIR)¹ prepared for the Santa Rosa General Plan 2035² (General Plan EIR), certified in November 2009 by the City of Santa Rosa (City). The purpose of this analysis is to determine whether the proposed project is within the scope of the General Plan EIR, including whether the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan EIR and whether the proposed project would result in any potential impacts from construction/operations of the proposed project site, pursuant to *State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines* Section 15183.

PURPOSE OF THE CEQA GUIDELINES SECTION 15183 CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS

A project requiring discretionary approval may use a certified EIR to comply with CEQA if the project area is encompassed by and consistent with the certified EIR. The City may determine whether the project is exempt from further environmental review or whether a supplemental or subsequent EIR is required. The CEQA statute and the *State CEQA Guidelines* provide guidance in this process by requiring an examination of whether, since the certification of the EIR, changes in the project or conditions have been made to an extent that the proposal may result in substantial changes in physical conditions that are considered significant under CEQA. If so, the City would be required to prepare a subsequent or supplemental EIR. The examination of impacts is the first step taken by the City in reviewing the CEQA compliance process of the project.

CEQA provides, in Public Resources Code Section 21083.3, that if a parcel was zoned to accommodate a particular density of development or was designated in a community plan to accommodate a particular density of development and an EIR was certified for that zoning or

¹ City of Santa Rosa. 2009. Draft Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 Environmental Impact Report. March.

² City of Santa Rosa. 2009. *Santa Rosa General Plan 2035*. November 3.

planning action, the application of CEQA to the approval of other projects that are consistent with the zoning or community plan "shall be limited to effects upon the environment which are peculiar to the parcel or to the project and which were not addressed as significant effects in the prior environmental impact report, or which substantial new information shows will be more significant than described in the prior environmental impact report." In accordance with this provision, *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183 (Projects Consistent with a Community Plan, General Plan, or Zoning) provides that projects that are consistent with the development density established by existing zoning, community plan, or general plan policies for which an EIR was certified shall not require additional environmental review, except as might be necessary to examine whether there are project-specific significant effects which are peculiar to the project or its site.

These provisions streamline the review of such projects and reduce the need to prepare repetitive environmental studies. Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183, the public agency shall limit its examination of environmental effects to those which the agency determines, in an initial study or other analysis:

- 1. Are peculiar to the project or the parcel on which the project would be located;
- 2. Were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan or community plan with which the project is consistent;
- 3. Are potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the prior EIR prepared for the general plan, community plan or zoning action; or
- 4. Are previously identified significant effects which, as a result of substantial new information not known at the time of EIR certification, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the prior EIR.

In addition, in accordance with CEQA, as set forth in Public Resources Code Section 21166 and *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162, no subsequent or supplemental EIR shall be required unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in light of the whole record, one or more of the following:

- 1. Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects;
- Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or
- 3. New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was adopted, shows any of the following:

- a. The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR;
- b. Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;
- c. Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or
- d. Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative. (*State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162(a); see also Public Resources Code Section 21166).

SANTA ROSA GENERAL PLAN 2035 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

The Santa Rosa General Plan EIR was certified in November 2009. The General Plan EIR analyzed the potential environmental impacts associated with buildout of the Santa Rosa General Plan 2035, which replaced the previous General Plan 2030 in all elements, focusing on the Housing Element, and would be used to guide development-related decisions in the city. The General Plan 2035 would be implemented through the City's zoning code standard and design review to promote controlled housing growth to meet regional housing needs.

PROJECT SITE

The project site is located at 1455 Corporate Center Parkway (Assessor's Parcel Number [APN] 035-530-044) in the City of Santa Rosa, Sonoma County. Most of the 3.77-acre parcel is currently developed with a commercial building that houses the nonprofit organization Becoming Independent, associated surface parking, and a landscaped garden area. The 1.26-acre project site is located in the western portion of the parcel and is relatively flat except for a graded berm and sidewalk along Northpoint Parkway, and elevations range from approximately 102 to 107 feet above mean sea level. The site is comprised mostly of non-native grassland, small seasonal wetlands, and several storm water drainage structures (drain inlets). There are three seasonal wetlands on the project site that are jurisdictional features³ and drain via three storm drain inlets; these drains outfall to a tributary to the Laguna de Santa Rosa. The project site is considered potential upland habitat for California tiger salamanders (CTS). The project site is within 1.3 miles of known CTS breeding sites; however, no potential breeding habitat is present on the site.⁴

The site is designated as General Industry in the City's General Plan 2035 and is within the General Industrial (IG) zoning district. The project site is bound by a commercial building and undeveloped land to the north, Corporate Center Parkway to the east, Northpoint Parkway to the south, and

³ Department of the Army, San Francisco District, United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2024. File No. SPN-2023-00355. February 15.

⁴ LSA. 2024. 2081 Incidental Take Permit Application, Becoming Independent Parking Lot Project, City of Santa Rosa, Sonoma County, California. July.

vacant/undeveloped land to the west. Access to the project site is provided by two driveways, one from Corporate Center Parkway and the other from Northpoint Parkway.

PROPOSED PROJECT

The proposed project would expand the parking facilities on the project site by adding 60 new parking spaces on 20,470 square feet (0.47 acre), to serve the existing Becoming Independent facility (social services organization). The new paved asphalt parking lot would be constructed on the unpaved lot located west of the existing parking lot and would connect to it. The project does not include any expansion of use for the existing facilities, which is experiencing parking shortages for its existing users. Figure 1 depicts the proposed project's conceptual plan (see Attachment B).

Because of the proximity to CTS breeding sites and the potential presence of CTS at the project site, the proposed project also includes the installation of a 9-inch-high concrete curb on the outside edge of the parking area designed to exclude CTS (and other small terrestrial wildlife) from the parking area.⁵ In addition, during construction, a wetland protection fence/wildlife exclusion fence would surround the perimeter of the project site.

The proposed project would also remove four existing trees located along the west side of the proposed parking lot. These trees are not considered protected trees and would not be replaced as part of the proposed project.

The project would manage stormwater on site to minimize changes in downstream conditions. Water quality treatment measures such as bio-swales would be incorporated into the site design to filter contaminants prior to discharge. The water quality treatment measures would include four bioretention basins totaling 1,609 square feet in area to filter contaminants prior to discharge via the 12-inch, 42-foot drainpipe. Detention basins would be constructed such that the post-development peak flows are 90 percent of the pre-development peak flows in a 15- and 100-year event, pursuant to City of Santa Rosa standards. High frequency storm flows such as 2- and 5-year events would be kept as close to pre-development flows as possible.

Stormwater collected on the project site would flow to an existing shallow linear basin constructed parallel to Northpoint Parkway, which drains into a slightly elevated concrete drain inlet at its western end; the flat grassland area to the north drains into this shallow basin. Another stormwater drain inlet surrounded by a rock apron is located just west of the Northpoint Parkway driveway into the Becoming Independent parking area. Both inlets drain to underground storm water piping under Northpoint Parkway, which ultimately drain southward into realigned segments of an intermittent blue-line creek that is tributary to the Laguna de Santa Rosa approximately 3 miles southwest of the study site. The Laguna de Santa Rosa drains northwestward to Mark West Creek and then to the Russian River, approximately 9 miles northwest of the study site.

⁵ The entire concreate curb would be 24 inches; however, only 9 inches of curb would be exposed above ground.

Construction of the proposed project is expected to occur over approximately 6 months and is planned to begin in summer 2024 and continue into the fall of 2024.

The following permits would be required for the proposed project:

• 2081 Incidental Take Permit

GENERAL PLAN EIR AND PROPOSED PROJECT IMPACT COMPARISON EVALUATION

This analysis provides a summary of the environmental analysis from the General Plan EIR, addresses potential impacts associated with the proposed project, describes whether the proposed project is within the scope of the General Plan EIR such that no further environmental review is required, and whether the proposed project would be consistent with the findings of the General Plan EIR. See Table A, below.

This analysis is intended to provide a general overview that supports the City's findings that the proposed project is within the scope of the General Plan EIR and consistent with the General Plan EIR such that no additional environmental review is required pursuant to CEQA.

Effects Found Not to be Significant

Based on the General Plan EIR, implementation of the General Plan 2035 would result in no impact, and would not require mitigation measures, on the following resource area:

Mineral Resources

As such, this issue area was not analyzed further in the General Plan EIR. Based on the proposed location and project details as outlined above, the proposed project would not change the findings of no impact associated with mineral resources, as determined by the General Plan EIR.

EIR Impact Analysis Summary for Impacts Requiring Mitigation

Table B below includes an analysis of potential project impacts as they relate to the following resource areas and specific thresholds that were identified to result in potentially significant impacts prior to mitigation. With the mitigation identified in the General Plan EIR, and outlined in the table below, potential project impacts would be reduced to a less than significant level.

The topic of biological resources is discussed as a stand-alone section of the proposed project impact comparison evaluation because the project site's sensitivity related to special-status species is a condition that is peculiar to the project site and therefore warrants further analysis. However, based on the analysis presented below the proposed project would not result in more significant or new impacts associated with biological resources than what was analyzed in the General Plan EIR.

Biological Resources

Special-Status Species and Significant Habitat Areas

To establish existing conditions related to biological resources, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB)⁶ was reviewed for lists of special-status species that are known to occur or potentially occur on the project site. Table B provides the special-status species known to occur or potentially occur on the project site.

Table A: Special-Status Species Known to Occur or Potentially Occur on theProject Site

Species	CDFW Status ¹	Habitat	Assessment of Occurrence
Amphibians			
California tiger salamander Ambystoma californiense Sonoma County DPS	Т	Grasslands, rangelands, and prairie habitats that include vernal pools or similar seasonal wetlands that typically pond water for three to four months per year.	Potential: No breeding habitat on the project site. The project site is within the 1.3-mile maximum dispersal distance from the breeding ponds and may serve as a movement and/or upland habitat.
Plants			
Sonoma sunshine Blennosperma bakeri	E	Valley and foothill grassland (mesic), vernal pools. Blooms February to April.	Protocol rare plant surveys conducted 2023 and 2024; this species was not found.
Burke's goldfields Lasthenia burkei	E	Meadows and seeps (mesic), vernal pools. Blooms April to June.	Protocol rare plant surveys conducted 2023 and 2024; this species was not found.
Sebastopol meadowfoam Limnanthes vinculans	E	Meadows and seeps, valley and foothill grassland, vernal pools. Blooms April to May	Protocol rare plant surveys conducted 2023 and 2024; this species was not found.
Many-flowered navarretia Navarretia leucocephala ssp. plieantha	E	Vernal pools (volcanic ash)	Protocol rare plant surveys conducted 2023 and 2024; this species was not found.

Source: CNDBB, CDFW (LSA 2024).

¹ CDFW Status Definitions.

CDFW = California Department of Fish and Wildlife

CNDBB = California Natural Diversity Database

DPS = distinct population segments

E = Endangered (legally protected)

T = Threatened (legally protected)

Listed Plants. Botanical surveys following CDFW guidelines were conducted on the project site on April 5 and 7 and May 8, 2023, and April 11, 2024. The focus species of the botanical survey included Sonoma sunshine, Burke's goldfields, Sebastopol meadowfoam, and many-flowered navarretia;

⁶ California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2022. California Natural Diversity Database, commercial version dated April 30, 2022. Biogeographic Data Branch, Sacramento.

none of these species were found on the project site, and the proposed project would have no impact on these plants.

California Tiger Salamander. There is no suitable CTS breeding habitat within the boundaries of the project site or in the vicinity of the site. The wetlands on site do not pond to the depths or for the time durations needed for successful CTS breeding. However, the burrows of Botta's pocket gophers on the project site provide potential upland habitat for CTS.

The project site is also within the maximum CTS dispersal distance of 1.3 miles from known breeding sites. The closest confirmed CTS breeding site is 0.63 mile west of the project site and the closest potential breeding habitat is 0.2 mile to the southwest. Given that the project site is located within potential dispersal distance of a known/potential breeding sites, the project may affect CTS.

The proposed project would expand the parking facilities on the project site, which has the potential to impact upland habitat for CTS. All permanent direct impacts of the proposed project would be within the non-native grassland habitat. A total of 0.51-acre of potential CTS upland habitat would be directly and permanently impacted by development of the proposed project from construction of the parking lot and bioretention basin. The approximate 0.71-acre area to the north, west, and south of the area of direct project impacts would not be impacted by direct cut and fill activities; however, this area would be considered indirectly impacted with respect to long-term habitat suitability for CTS due to proximity to project activities.

As discussed in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy,⁷ projects and other activities would generally be required to adopt measures to minimize their potential direct and indirect effects on CTS. As provided in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy, for activities that may impact CTS upland habitat, fencing would be installed to exclude CTS from entering the project site prior to construction activities. Consistent with this requirement, the proposed project includes the installation of a 9-inch concrete curb and permanent fence designed to exclude CTS (and other small terrestrial wildlife) from the parking area. In addition, a wetland/wildlife protection fence would surround the proposed parking lot during project construction.

General Plan 2035 Policy OSC-D-3, which requires the preservation and restoration of elements of wildlife habitat within the city, would be applicable to the proposed project. In addition, in order to address potential impacts to biological resources with implementation of the General Plan 2035, the General Plan EIR prescribed Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, as provided below.

<u>OSC-D-3</u>: Preserve and restore the elements of wildlife habitats and corridors throughout the Planning Area.

Mitigation Measure 4.F-5: The City of Santa Rosa shall incorporate the avoidance and mitigation measures described in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy and the USFWS Programmatic Biological Opinion, as conditions of approval for development in or near areas with suitable habitat for California tiger salamander, Burke's goldfields, Sonoma sunshine, Sebastopol meadowfoam, and many-flowered navarretia. However, in accordance with the USFWS

⁷ City of Santa Rosa. 2005. *Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy*. December 1.

Programmatic Biological Opinion, projects within the Southwest Santa Rosa Preserve System will be evaluated individually and mitigation may not necessarily adhere to the ratios described in the Conservation Strategy.

Consistent with Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, the following minimization measure and mitigation measure prescribed in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy would be applicable to the proposed project.

Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy Minimization Measure 1. Generally, the following minimization measures will be implemented, as appropriate, depending on the specific site situation:

- A. A USFWS approved biological monitor will be on site each day during wetland restoration and construction, and during initial site grading of development sites where CTS have been found.
- B. The biological monitor will conduct a training session for all construction workers before work is started on the project.
- C. Before the start of work each morning, the biological monitor will check for animals under any equipment such as vehicles and stored pipes. The biological monitor will check all excavated steep-walled holes or trenches greater than one foot deep for any CTS. CTS will be removed by the biological monitor and translocated as described in Section 4.7.2.
- D. An erosion and sediment control plan will be implemented to prevent impacts of wetland restoration and construction on habitat outside the work areas.
- E. Access routes and number and size of staging and work areas will be limited to the minimum necessary to achieve the project goals. Routes and boundaries of the roadwork will be clearly marked prior to initiating construction/grading.
- F. All foods and food-related trash items will be enclosed in sealed trash containers at the end of each day, and removed completely from the site once every three days.
- G. No pets will be allowed anywhere in the project site during construction.
- H. A speed limit of 15 mph on dirt roads will be maintained.
- I. All equipment will be maintained such that there will be no leaks of automotive fluids such as gasoline, oils, or solvents.
- J. Hazardous materials such as fuels, oils, solvents, etc., will be stored in sealable containers in a designated location that is at least 200 feet from aquatic habitats. All fueling and maintenance of vehicles and other equipment and staging areas will occur at least 200 feet from any aquatic habitat.

- K. Grading and clearing will typically be conducted between April 15 and October 15, of any given year, depending on the level of rainfall and/or site conditions.
- L. Project areas temporarily disturbed by construction activities will be re-vegetated with native plants approved by USFWS/CDFW.

Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy Mitigation Measure 1. Compensatory mitigation for project impacts will consist of upland preservation, enhancement, and restoration, and is designed to follow the compensatory mitigation ratios prescribed in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy. The proposed project would be required to compensate for impacts on CTS upland habitat at a ratio of two acres of mitigation to every one acre of impact (2:1) for the whole 1.26-acre project site.

In addition, the proposed project would include a Section 2081 Incidental Take Permit (ITP) to comply with the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Authorization Process (Title 14, Section 783.2) and to provide the required documentation for the proposed project.

With compliance with General Plan 2035 Policy OSC-D-3, implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, and certification of the project-specific ITP, impacts to CTS with implementation of the proposed project would be **less than significant with mitigation**.

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
A. Land Use Consistency and Con	npatibility	•	
		-	inge or increase the capacity of the existing use at
			re of the proposed project (expanded parking
			s with surrounding land uses identified in the
		blicies apply to the proposed project,	and the proposed project would have no impact as
it relates to land use consistency and			
B. Population, Housing, and Emp	•		
	- · ·		opulation or employment growth at the project site
•		the proposed project would result in	no impacts associated with population, housing,
and employment, consistent with th			
C. Transportation and Circulation		1	
Traffic and Level of Service	Impact 4.C-1: Implementation of the		None recommended beyond implementation of
Standards	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	intended to serve the existing use	General Plan 2035 Policy T-E-2, if the City deems
	2035 would result in increased traffic	at the project site and is not	such fees are needed for the project.
	volumes, delay, and a decrease in LOS	anticipated to result in a	
	on area intersections during the peak	substantial increase in traffic	<u><i>T-E-2</i></u> : Require development projects to pay a fair
	hours. Implementation of the policy	volumes, delays, or a decrease in	share of costs for transportation system
	provisions outlined the proposed	LOS on intersections in the	improvements. Periodically update the city's
		surrounding area during the peak	impact fees to assure the adequacy of funding for
	through T-A-6, T-B, T-B-1 through T-B-4,		needed transportation system improvements.
	T-C, T-C-1 through T-C-4, T-D, T-D-1	current use. In addition, General	
	through T-D-5, T-E, T-E-1 through T-E-	Plan 2035 Policy T-E-2 would be	
	3, T-F, and T-F-1 through T-F-3,	applicable to the proposed project	
	together with improvements to the	and would ensure impacts would	
	transportation network when funding	be less than significant.	
	becomes available would provide for		
	acceptable operation and capacity on		
	most roadways in the city. However,		
	because it cannot be assumed that		
	additional funding sources will be		
	available within the General Plan 2035		
	timeframe to mitigate capacity		
	deficiencies on all the roadways, this		
	impact is significant and unavoidable.		

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
Transit Service	Impact 4.C-2: Implementation of the	Consistent. The proposed project is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	intended to serve the existing use	2035 policies.
	2035 would result in an increased	at the project site and is not	
	demand for transit service. However,	anticipated to result in a	
	implementation of General Plan 2035	substantial increase in demand for	
	policies, including T-H, T-H-1 through	transit service. In addition, the	
	T-H-5, T-H-8, T-I, T-I-1, and T-I-2, would	proposed project would not be	
	provide for continued and expanded	subject to the General Plan 2035	
	transit service opportunities and would	policies required to reduce	
	reduce potential transit impacts to less	potential transit impacts. Impacts	
	than significant.	would be less than significant.	
Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities	Impact 4.C-3: Implementation of the	Consistent. The proposed project is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
	proposed General Plan 2035 would	intended to serve the existing use	2035 policies.
	result in an increased demand for	at the project site and is not	
	bicycle and pedestrian facilities.	anticipated to result in a	
	However, implementation of the	substantial increase in demand on	
	Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan and	pedestrian and bicycle facilities. In	
	General Plan 2035 policies, including	addition, the proposed project	
	UB-B-7, UD-B-8, UD-D-2, UD-D-4, UD-	would not conflict with	
	D-5, UD-G-2, UD-G-9, T-H-1, T-J, T-J-1	implementation of the City's	
	through T-J-3, T-K, T-K-1 through T-K-6,	Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan	
	T-L, and T-L-1 through T-L-9, would	and would not be subject to the	
	provide for continued and improved	General Plan 2035 policies required	
	pedestrian and bicycle opportunities	to reduce potential impacts to	
	and would reduce potential impacts to	bicycle and pedestrian facilities.	
	bicycle and pedestrian facilities to less	Impacts would be less than	
	than significant.	significant.	
Roadway Safety and Emergency	Impact 4.C-4: Implementation of the	Consistent. The proposed project is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
Access	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	intended to serve the existing use	2035 policies.
	2035 would result in an increase in	at the project site and is not	
	traffic volumes, which would increase	anticipated to result in a	
	the potential opportunities for safety	substantial increase in traffic	
	conflicts. However, implementation of	volumes. In addition, the proposed	
	the policy provisions to maintain	project would not modify any	
	roadways and improve traffic flow in		

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	the proposed General Plan 2035, in	roadways. Impacts would be less	
	conjunction with enforcement of	than significant.	
	modern design standards in the		
	construction of new roadway facilities,		
	would ensure that construction of		
	roadway facilities associated with the		
	proposed General Plan 2035 would not		
	result in unacceptable safety conflicts.		
	Impacts would be less than significant.		
Parking Demand	Impact 4.C-5: Implementation of the	Consistent. The proposed project	None recommended beyond implementation of
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	would expand the parking facilities	General Plan 2035 Policy UD-D-2.
	2035 would result in an increase in	on the project site by adding 60	
	parking demand due to additional	new parking spaces west of the	UD-D-2: Maintain a uniform setback of structures
	residential and non-residential vehicle	existing parking lot and commercial	from the street. Require parking areas to be placed
	trips. However, the City's development	building to address existing parking	to the side or rear of structures, not in front.
	review process implements parking	shortage for the current use. In	
	requirements that are intended to	addition, General Plan 2035 Policy	
	ensure that adequate numbers of	UD-D-2, would be applicable to the	
	parking spaces are provided. In	proposed project and would ensure	
	addition to the reduction measures	impacts would be less than	
	identified under General Plan 2035	significant.	
	Policy T-A-1, which includes programs		
	designed to reduce the demand for		
	vehicle trips and, consequently,		
	parking, General Plan 2035 policies,		
	including LUL-C-2, LUL-D-2, UD-D-2,		
	LUL-Q-3, LUL-X-5, and H-F-7, would		
	ensure adequate parking for future		
	uses. Impacts would be less than		
	significant.		
Cumulative Traffic Impacts	Impact 4.C-6: Implementation of the		None recommended beyond implementation of
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	intended to serve the existing use	General Plan 2035 Policies T-E-2 and UD-D-2, as
	2035 would result in increased motor	at the project site and is not	outlined above.
	vehicle traffic, which would contribute	anticipated to result in a	
	to an unacceptable LOS on US-101 and	substantial increase in traffic	

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	SR-12. Implementation of the policy	volumes, delays, or a decrease in	
	provisions outlined the proposed	LOS on US-101 and SR-12. In	
	General Plan 2035 together with	addition, General Plan 2035 Policy	
	improvements to the transportation	T-E-2 would be applicable to the	
	network when funding becomes	proposed project and would ensure	
	available would provide for acceptable	impacts would be less than	
	operation and capacity on most	significant.	
	roadways in the city or acceptable		
	operation and capacity on most		
	segments of US-101 within the city.		
	Therefore, this impact is considered		
	cumulatively considerable and		
	significant and unavoidable.		
D. Air Quality and Climate Chang	<u>e</u>		
Conflict with Applicable Air Quality	Impact 4.D-1: New development under	<u>Consistent.</u> The proposed project is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
Plan	the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	intended to serve the existing use	2035 policies.
	2035 could increase population and	at the project site and is not	
	VMT in the area at a rate greater than	anticipated to result in a	
	that assumed in regional air quality	substantial increase in VMT, as it	
	planning and therefore conflict with	would not increase the existing use	
	the implementation of the Bay Area	capacity. In addition, the proposed	
	Ozone Strategy. General Plan 2035	project would not be subject to the	
	policies, including LUL-A-1, LUL-A-2,	General Plan 2035 policies required	
	UD-B-2, UD-D-1, UD-E-2, UD-E-3, UD-	to reduce potential VMT impacts.	
	G-2, H-C-5, H-C6, T-A-1. T-A-2, T-A-6, T-	Impacts would be less than	
	B-1, T-B-4, T-H-1 through T-H-5, T-I-1,	significant.	
	T-I-2, T-J-1, T-K-1, T-K-6, T-L-1, T-L-2, T-		
	L-7, PF-A-7, PSF-A-8, PSF-C-2, and OSC-		
	J-2, would reduce VMT through land		
	use planning and alternative modes of		
	transportation. However, the proposed		
	General Plan 2035 would not be		
	consistent with the 2005 Bay Area		
	Ozone Strategy and this impact would		
	therefore remain significant. Notably,		

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	when a jurisdiction develops updated		
	growth forecasts for General Plan		
	revisions that exceed ABAG growth		
	projections, until those forecasts could		
	be incorporated into the next update		
	of the applicable Clean Air Plan, the		
	result is going to be inconsistent with		
	the applicable Clean Air Plan. Impacts		
	would be significant and unavoidable.		
	Impact 4.D-2: The proposed Santa Rosa	Consistent. The proposed project is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
	General Plan 2035 could be	intended to serve the existing use	2035 policies.
	inconsistent with the Transportation	at the project site and is not	
	Control Measures in the 2005 Bay Area	anticipated to result in increased	
	Ozone Strategy. However,	motor vehicle use, as it would not	
	development under the proposed	expand existing use capacity at the	
	General Plan 2035 would be subject to	site. In addition, the proposed	
	policies, including T-A-1, UD-E-2, UD-E-	project would not conflict with the	
	3, UD-G-2, T-A-6. T-J-1, T-K-1, T-K-6, T-	TCMs in the Ozone Strategy and	
	L-1, T-L2, T-L-7, PSF-A-8, PSF-C-2, T-A-2,	would not be subject to the	
	T-B-1, T-B-4, T-H-1, T-C-1, T-C-2, T-C-3,	General Plan 2035 policies. Impact	
	and T-C-4, that are consistent with the	would be less than significant.	
	TCMs in the Ozone Strategy, and which		
	encourage alternative modes of		
	transportation such as use of transit,		
	bicycling, and walking. They also		
	encourage mixed-use development, a		
	concept that places residential,		
	commercial, industrial, and		
	employment activities close to each		
	other thereby reducing the commute		
	distances of residences in the		
	proposed General Plan 2035. This		
	would reduce adverse impacts		
	associated with motor vehicle use,		
	such as poor air quality, and promote		

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	use of transit and other modes of		
	travel, such as bicycling and walking.		
	Therefore, the proposed General Plan		
	2035 is considered to be consistent		
	with the TCMs in the 2005 Bay Area		
	Ozone Strategy and this impact is less		
	than significant.		
Generate Air Pollution in	Impact 4.D-3: Construction activities	Consistent. Construction of the	None recommended beyond implementation of
Exceedance of State or Federal	associated with new development	proposed project is expected to	General Plan 2035 Policy OSC-J-1.
Standards	included in the proposed Santa Rosa	occur over approximately 6 months	
	General Plan 2035 could generate air	and is planned to begin in summer	OSC-J-1: Review all new construction projects and
	pollution that exceeds state or federal	2024 and continue into the fall of	require dust abatement actions as contained in the
	standards. However, implementation	2024. General Plan 2035 Policy	CEQA Handbook of the Bay Area Air Quality
	of General Plan 2035 Policy OSC-J-1	OSC-J-1 would be applicable to the	Management District.
	would reduce air pollutant emissions	proposed project to reduce air	
	during construction and ensure this	pollutant emissions during	
	impact would be less than significant.	construction and ensure less than	
		significant impacts.	
Expose Sensitive Receptors to Air	Impact 4.D-4: Implementation of the	Consistent. The project site is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
Toxics or Objectionable Odors	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	bound by a commercial building	2035 policies.
	2035 could expose existing and	and undeveloped land to the north,	
	proposed sensitive receptors to air	Corporate Center Parkway to the	
	toxics or objectionable odors.	east, Northpoint Parkway to the	
	Implementation of policies included in	south, and vacant/ undeveloped	
	the proposed General Plan 2035,	land (ruderal grassland) to the	
	including Policies LUL-K-1, OSC-J-2, and	west. Additional	
	PSC-J-4, would reduce potential DPM	vacant/undeveloped land exists	
	exposure and ensure appropriate land	east of Corporate Center Parkway	
	use compatibility. The city would also	and additional commercial uses	
	protect existing sensitive land uses	exist south of Northpoint Parkway.	
	from the encroachment of	No sensitive receptors are located	
		in the vicinity of the project site.	
	air pollution to the extent possible	The project would not include any	
	during the development permitting	demolition activities, and	
	process. In addition, implementation	construction would be typical of	

Table B: EIR Im	npact Analysis Su	immary for Impacts	Requiring Mitigation
-----------------	-------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	of Mitigation Measure 4.D-4 would	parking lot construction activities	
	ensure that sensitive land uses	like grading and paving.	
	proposed near high volume traffic		
	corridors would have acceptable	As such, implementation of the	
	indoor air quality. With	proposed project would not expose	
	implementation of General Plan 2035	sensitive receptors to air toxics or	
	Policies and Mitigation Measure 4.D-4,	objectionable odors and would not	
	impact would be less than significant	be subject to the General Plan	
	with mitigation incorporated.	2035 policies. In addition, the	
		proposed project would expand	
		the parking facilities on the project	
		site by adding 60 new parking	
		spaces on the project site and is	
		therefore not considered a	
		sensitive use. Therefore, Mitigation	
		Measure 4.D-4 would not be	
		applicable to the proposed project.	
		Impact would be less than	
Currenteting Air Quelity and Climete	luces at 4 D. F. The successed Courts Deco	significant.	Na angliachta mitigation maganna an Canonal Dlan
Cumulative Air Quality and Climate	Impact 4.D-5: The proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 could conflict with	<u>Consistent.</u> The proposed project is	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
Change Impact		intended to serve the existing use	2035 policies.
	implementation of state or local goals	at the project site and is not	
	for reducing greenhouse gas emissions	anticipated to result in a substantial increase in VMT or	
	or generate greenhouse gas emissions	increased motor vehicle use. In	
	(directly or indirectly) that would		
	exceed any applicable threshold of	addition, due to the nature of the	
	significance and thereby have a	proposed project (expanded	
	negative effect on Global Climate	parking facilities), the proposed	
	Change. General Plan 2035 policies, including OSC-K-1 through OSC-K-3,	project would not be subject to the General Plan 2035 policies to	
		•	
	OSC-L, and OSC-L-2, would encourage energy conservation and alternative	address greenhouse gas emissions or energy use. Impacts would be	
	•.		
	energy sources in projects	less than significant.	
	developments. Depending on the		
	feasibility and level of implementation		

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	as applied to individual development		
	projects consistent with the General		
	Plan 2035, the inclusion of additional		
	trip reduction measures (described in		
	Impacts D-1 and D-2) would help to		
	further reduce vehicle-related CO ₂		
	emissions. Future project-specific		
	compliance with BAAQMD permitting		
	would also help to reduce air quality		
	emissions associated with individual		
	projects. However, the increase in		
	greenhouse gases by the proposed		
	General Plan 2035 of 0.2 percent of the		
	state AB 32 reduction goal places the		
	project in conflict with the goal of the		
	state to reduce up to 174 million		
	metric tons CO ₂ e/yr. Therefore, as a		
	conservative determination, this		
	impact would remain significant.		
	Implementation of the proposed		
	General Plan 2035 including the		
	adoption of the policies listed above		
	would still result in a significant and		
	unavoidable impact.		
E. Noise			
			However, project construction noise would be
			ratory equipment or emergency generators. In
			al noise. As such, no mitigation measures or General
	osed project and impacts would be less t	han significant.	
F. Biological Resources	1	I	
Degradation of Riparian Habitat or	Impact 4.F-1: New development	<u>Consistent.</u> No riparian or other	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
Other Sensitive Natural	permitted under the proposed Santa	sensitive communities exist on the	2035 policies.
Communities	Rosa General Plan 2035 would impact	project site (impacts to wetlands	
	the habitat quality of streambeds,	are discussed under Threshold F-4,	
	riparian areas, and other sensitive	below). In addition, the proposed	

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	communities, due to increased runoff,	project would include on site	
	siltation, and encroachment (impacts	stormwater features to minimize	
	to wetlands are discussed under	changes in downstream conditions.	
	Impact F-4, below). However,	Water quality treatment measures	
	implementation of General Plan 2035	such as bio-swales would be	
	policies, including OSC-A-2, OSC-B-3,	incorporated into the site design to	
	OSC-D-1, OSC-D-2, OSC-D-4 through	filter contaminants prior to	
	OSC-D-9, OSC-D-11, OSC-D-12, OSC-E-	discharge. Detention basins would	
	1, and OSC-E-2, would mitigate	be constructed such that the post-	
	degradation of creek, riparian, and	development peak flows are 90	
	other sensitive communities. In	percent of the pre-development	
	addition, Citywide Creek Master Plan	peak flows in a 15- and 100-year	
	Policies, including HA-1-1 and HA-1-2,	event, pursuant to City of Santa	
	would also mitigate potential	Rosa standards. Therefore,	
	degradation of creek, riparian, and	implementation of the proposed	
	other sensitive communities.	project would not impact the	
	Implementation of the proposed	habitat quality of streambeds,	
	General Plan 2035 and Citywide Creek	riparian areas, and other sensitive	
	Master Plan policies would reduce	communities and the General Plan	
	potential degradation of creek,	2035 policies designed to reduce	
	riparian, and other water-related	potential impacts would not be	
	habitat to less than significant.	applicable to the proposed project.	
		Impacts would be less than	
		significant.	
Special-Status Species	Impact 4.F-2: New development	Consistent. See the Biological	Mitigation Measure 4.F.5 and General Plan 2035
	anticipated under the proposed Santa	Resources section before this table	OSC-D-3
	Rosa General Plan 2035 would impact	for a discussion of the proposed	
	special-status species, including	project's potential impact on	OSC-D-3: Preserve and restore the elements of
	aquatic species (e.g., steelhead) and	special-status species and	wildlife habitats and corridors throughout the
	vernal pool species (e.g., California	consistency with the General Plan	Planning Area.
	tiger salamander, Burke's goldfield,	EIR. As outlined above, due to on-	
	Sonoma sunshine, Sebastopol	site conservation measures, as	Mitigation Measure 4.F-5: The City of Santa Rosa
	meadowfoam, and many-flowered	outlined in the Santa Rosa Plain	shall incorporate the avoidance and mitigation
	navarretia), through reduction or	Conservation Strategy, project	measures described in the Santa Rosa Plain
	fragmentation of habitat. However,		Conservation Strategy and the USFWS

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	implementation of General Plan 2035 policies, including OSC-A-2, OSC-D-1 through OSC-D-4, OSC-E-1, and OSC-E- 2, and Citywide Creek Master Plan Policies HA-5-1 and HA-5-2 would reduce potential loss of special-status plants or animals to less than significant .	impacts would be less than significant.	Programmatic Biological Opinion, as conditions of approval for development in or near areas with suitable habitat for California tiger salamander, Burke's goldfields, Sonoma sunshine, Sebastopol meadowfoam, and many-flowered navarretia. However, in accordance with the USFWS Programmatic Biological Opinion, projects within the Southwest Santa Rosa Preserve System will be evaluated individually and mitigation may not necessarily adhere to the ratios described in the Conservation Strategy.
Significant Habitat Areas and Animal Movement Corridors	Impact 4.F-3: Expansion of urban land uses envisioned under the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 would adversely affect significant habitat areas and wildlife movement corridors. However, implementation of the proposed General Plan 2035 policies outlined for Impact F-2, above (OSC-A- 2, OSC-D-1, OSC-D-2, OSC-D-3, OSC-D- 4, OSC-E-1, and OSC-E-2) would reduce impacts to habitat areas and wildlife movement corridors to less than significant .	<u>Consistent.</u> See the Biological Resources section before this table for a discussion of the proposed project's potential impact on special-status species and consistency with the General Plan EIR. As outlined above, due to on site conservation measures, as outlined in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy, project impacts would be less than significant .	None recommended beyond implementation of General Plan 2035 OSC-D-3 and Mitigation Measure 4.F.5.
Wetlands and Other Aquatic Resource	Impact 4.F-4: Expansion of urban land uses envisioned under the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 would remove or alter wetlands, marshes, or vernal pools. However, implementation of proposed General Plan 2035 policies, including OSC-D-1, OSC-D-2, OSC-D-4, and OSC-D-5, would mitigate potential loss of vernal pool habitat, and potential impacts to		None recommended beyond implementation of General Plan 2035 Policies OSC-D-1, OSC-D-2, and OSC-D-5. <u>OSC-D-1</u> : Utilize existing regulations and procedures, including Subdivision Guidelines, Zoning, Design Review, and environmental law, to conserve wetlands and rare plants. Comply with the federal policy of no net loss of wetlands using mitigation measures such as: • Avoidance of sensitive habitat,

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	wetlands and other aquatic resources would be less than significant .	proposed project and would reduce potential impacts on the wetlands present on the project site. Consistent with General Plan 2035 policies, the proposed project would avoid all impacts to wetlands and all direct impacts would occur within the non-native grassland habitat. In addition, the proposed project includes the installation of a wetland protection fence along the southern edge and the northwest corner of the proposed parking area. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant .	 Clustered development, Transfer of development rights, and/or Compensatory mitigation, such as restoration or creation. <u>OSC-D-2:</u> Protect high quality wetlands and vernal pools from development or other activities as determined by the Vernal Pool Ecosystem Preservation Plan. <u>OSC-D-5:</u> Consult with North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board staff as part of the CEQA process for proposed developments to help them identify wetland and vernal pool habitat that has candidacy for restoration/protection based on actual and potential beneficial uses, and determine
Conflicts with Local, Regional, or State Habitat Conservation Plans	Impact 4.F-5: Expansion of urban land uses envisioned under the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 would conflict with local, regional, or State habitat conservation plans. However, implementation of Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, which requires the incorporation of the avoidance and mitigation measures described in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy and the USFWS Programmatic Biological Opinion as conditions of approval for development in or near areas with suitable habitat for special-status species, including CTS, would reduce impacts to less than significant with mitigation incorporated.	<u>Significant</u> . The proposed project has the potential to impact upland habitat for CTS and Mitigation Measure 4.F-5 would be required to reduce impacts. As discussed in Biological Resources section below, and required by Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, the proposed project would incorporate all avoidance and mitigation measures related to CTS described in the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy and the USFWS Programmatic Biological Opinion as conditions of approval for development of the project site. Impacts would be less than	appropriate locations for mitigation banking. Mitigation Measure 4.F-5.

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
		significant with mitigation incorporated.	
Cumulative Biological Resources Impact	Impact 4.F-6: The implementation of the Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 in combination with other reasonably foreseeable projects would result in minimal direct mortality and loss of habitat for special-status species, wetlands, and waters of the United States. In addition, General Plan 2035 policies, including OSC-A-2, OSC-B-3, OSC-D-1, OSC-D-2, OSC-D-4 through OSC-D-9, OSC-D-11, OSC-D-12, OSC-E- 1, and OSC-E-2, Citywide Creek Master Plan policies, including HA-1-1 and HA- 1-2, and Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, would ensure potential impacts would be less than significant. Therefore, impacts would be less than cumulatively considerable .	<u>Consistent.</u> With implementation of applicable General Plan 2035 Policies, including OSC-D-1, OSC-D- 2, and OSC-D-5, and Mitigation Measure 4.F-5, potential impacts related to direct mortality and loss of habitat for special-status species (e.g., CTS) and wetlands associated with the proposed project would be less than significant. Therefore, impacts would be less than significant .	Mitigation Measure 4.F-5 and General Plan 2035 Policies OSC-D-1, OSC-D-2, and OSC-D-5.
G. Utilities and Service Systems		·	
	lementation of the proposed project wou		ew or expanded utility infrastructure or increase icts associated with utilities and service systems,
Alteration of an Existing Drainage Pattern or Creek	Impact 4.H-1: New development and intensification anticipated under the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 would alter existing drainage patterns or creeks, causing downstream flooding or erosion. However, implementation of General Plan 2035 policies, including PSF-I, PSF- I-1 through PSF-I-9, OSC-B-3, OSC-D-6, OSC-D-7, NS-D, NS-D-1 through NS-D-6,	parking lot, increasing the amount of impervious surface area at the project site by 20,470 square feet. This increase in impervious surface area would alter the existing	None recommended beyond implementation of General Plan 2035 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5. Some of the General Plan 2035 policies require action on the part of the City; however, the project would implement Best Management Practices to reduce run off. <u>PSF-I:</u> Manage, maintain, and improve stormwater drainage and capacity.

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	NS-E, and NS-E-1, would ensure that	increase stormwater runoff, which	
	new development and redevelopment	could result in downstream	PSF-I-1: Require dedication, improvement, and
	projects included as part of the	flooding or erosion. However,	maintenance of stormwater flow and retention
	proposed growth in the General Plan	General Plan 2025 Policies PSF-I,	areas as a condition of approval.
	2035 would limit the amount of runoff	PSF-I-1 through PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-	
	that would be directed off-site that	D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5 would be	PSF-I-2: Require developers to cover the costs of
	might impact downstream receiving	applicable to the proposed project	drainage facilities needed for surface runoff
	waters. Therefore, impacts would be	to address potential project	generated as a result of new development.
	less than significant.	impacts. Consistent with these	
		policies, the proposed project	PSF-I-3: Require erosion and sedimentation control
		would manage stormwater on-site	measures to maintain an operational drainage
		to minimize changes in	system, preserve drainage capacity, and protect
		downstream conditions. Water	water quality.
		quality treatment measures such as	
		bio-swales would be incorporated	PSF-I-6: Require implementation of Best
		into the site design to filter	Management Practices to reduce drainage system
		contaminants prior to discharge.	discharge of non-point source pollutants
		The water quality treatment	originating from streets, parking lots, residential
		measures would include four	areas, businesses, industrial operations, and those
		bioretention basins totaling 1,609	open space areas involved with pesticide
		square feet in area to filter	application.
		contaminants prior to discharge via	
		the 12-inch, 42-foot drainpipe.	<u>NS-D</u> : Minimize hazards associated with storm
		Detention basins would be	flooding.
		constructed such that the post-	
		development peak flows are 90%	<u>NS-D-3</u> : Require that new development incorporate
		of the pre-development peak flows	features that are consistent with the Standard
		in a 15- and 100-year event,	Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) into
		pursuant to City of Santa Rosa	site drainage plans that would reduce impermeable
		standards. High frequency storm	surface area, increase surface water infiltration,
		flows such as 2- and 5-year events	and minimize surface water runoff during storm
		will be kept as close to pre-	events. Such features may include:
		development flows as possible.	 Additional landscape areas;
		Therefore, impacts would be less	 Parking lots with bio-infiltration systems;
		than significant.	 Permeable paving designs; and

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
			Stormwater detention basins.
			<u>NS-D-5</u> : Apply design standards to new
			development that help reduce project runoff into
			local creeks, tributaries, and drainage ways.
Water Quality (Nonpoint Source	Impact 4.H-2: New development	Consistent. As discussed above	None recommended beyond implementation of
Pollutants in Stormwater Runoff)	anticipated under the proposed Santa	under Threshold 4.H-1, General	General Plan 2035 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through
	Rosa General Plan 2035 would degrade		PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5.
	water quality by increasing nonpoint	through PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-	
	source pollutants in stormwater	D-3, and NS-D-5 would be	
	runoff. However, implementation of	applicable to the proposed project	
	General Plan 2035 policies, including	and would address potential water	
	PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through PSF-I-3, PSF-6,	quality impacts associated with	
	PSF-7, NS-D, NS-D-1, NS-D-3, and NS-D-	stormwater runoff from the project	
	5, would reduce the total volume and	site. In addition, the proposed	
	improve the water quality of the runoff	project would be required to	
	would be directed off-site that might	comply with the City's Grading and	
	impact downstream receiving waters.	Drainage Ordinance. Therefore,	
	In addition, all future development	impacts would be less than	
	projects would be required to comply	significant.	
	with the City's Grading and Drainage		
	Ordinance. Therefore, impacts would		
	be less than significant.		
Flooding	Impact 4.H-3: Urban development	Consistent. The proposed project	None recommended beyond implementation of
	anticipated under the proposed Santa	would increase the amount of	General Plan 2035 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through
	Rosa General Plan 2035 would increase	impervious surface area at the	PSF-I-3, NS-D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5.
	drainage flows as a result of	project site by 20,470 square feet,	
	impervious surfaces, resulting in	which could result in downstream	
	localized and cumulative flooding.	flooding. However, General Plan	
	However, implementation of General	2025 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through	
	Plan 2035 policies, including PSF-I, PSF-	PSF-I-3, NS-D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5	
	I-1 through PSF-I-5, OSC-B-3, OSC-D-6,	would be applicable to the	
	OSC-D-7, NS-D, and NS-D-3 through	proposed project would limit the	
	NS-D-5, would limit the amount of	amount of runoff directed off-site	
	runoff directed off-site that might	that might impact downstream	

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	impact downstream receiving waters.	receiving waters. Therefore,	
	Therefore, impacts would be less than	impacts would be less than	
	significant.	significant.	
Dam Inundation	Impact 4.H-4: Proposed new	Consistent. The project site is not	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
	development anticipated under the	located within a dam inundation	2035 policies.
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	hazard zone. Therefore,	
	2035 would expose people or	implementation of the proposed	
	structures to risk of flooding due to the	project would not expose people or	
	failure of a dam. However, several	structures to risk of flooding due to	
	General Plan 2035 policies, including	the failure of a dam and General	
	NS-C-7, NS-D-6, NS-E, and NS-E-1,	Plan 2035 Policies NS-C-7, NS-D-6,	
	would minimizing the risk associated	NS-E, and NS-E-1 would not be	
	with dams. Therefore, the risk of	applicable and the project would	
	flooding due to dam failure would be	have no impact .	
	less than significant.		
Cumulative Hydrology and Water	Impact 4.H-5: Land uses and growth	Consistent. As discussed above	None recommended beyond implementation of
Quality Impact	under the proposed Santa Rosa	under Threshold 4.H-1, General	General Pan 2035 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through
	General Plan 2035, in combination	Plan 2025 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1	PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5.
	with current land uses in the	through PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-	
	surrounding communities and land use	D-3, and NS-D-5 would be	
	activities and development of the cities	applicable to the proposed project	
	and other agencies in the County,	and would address potential water	
	could introduce additional non-point	quality impacts associated with	
	source pollutants to surface waters.	stormwater runoff from the project	
	However, implementation of General	site. In addition, the proposed	
	Plan 2035 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1	project would be required to	
	through PSF-I-3, PSF-6, PSF-7, NS-D,	comply with the City's Grading and	
	NS-D-1, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5, would	Drainage Ordinance. Therefore,	
	reduce the total volume and improve	impacts would be less than	
	the water quality of the runoff would	significant.	
	be directed off-site that might impact		
	downstream receiving waters. In		
	addition, all future development		
	projects would be required to comply		
	with the City's Grading and Drainage		

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measure and General Plan Policies
	Ordinance. Therefore, this impact is		
	mitigated through the use of effective		
	BMPs that include site preparation,		
	runoff control, sediment retention, and		
	other similar features. Therefore,		
	impacts would be less than		
	cumulatively considerable.		
	Impact 4.H-6: Implementation of the	Consistent. As discussed above	None recommended beyond implementation of
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	under Threshold 4.H-1, General	General Plan 2035 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through
	2035 along with potential	Plan 2025 Policies PSF-I, PSF-I-1	PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-D-3, and NS-D-5.
	development in the surrounding region	through PSF-I-3, PSF-I-6, NS-D, NS-	
	would increase impervious surfaces	D-3, and NS-D-5 would be	
	and alter drainage conditions and rates	applicable to the proposed project	
	in the Planning Area, which would	to address potential project	
	contribute to cumulative flood	impacts associated with the	
	conditions in creeks in the Planning	alteration of drainage patterns.	
	Area. However, implementation of	Therefore, impacts would be less	
	General Plan 2035 policies, including	than significant.	
	PSF-I, PSF-I-1 through PSF-I-9, OSC-B-3,		
	OSC-D-6, OSC-D-7, NS-D, NS-D-1		
	through NS-D-6, NS-E, and NS-E-1,		
	would ensure that new development		
	and redevelopment projects included		
	as part of the proposed growth in the		
	General Plan 2035 would limit the		
	amount of runoff that would be		
	directed off-site that might impact		
	downstream receiving waters.		
	Therefore, impacts would be less than		
	cumulatively considerable.		

The proposed project is intended to serve the existing commercial use at the project site and would not increase demand on public services. Therefore, implementation of the proposed project would result in **less than significant** impacts associated with public services, consistent with the General Plan EIR.

Table B: EIR Impact Analysis Summary	y for Impacts Requiring Mitigation
--------------------------------------	------------------------------------

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
J. Cultural Resources	·	· ·	
Archaeological Resources	Impact 4.J-1: Implementation of the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 would generally help protect Santa Rosa's Native American archaeological resources. However, revisions to proposed General Plan 2035 policies would ensure protection of historic-era archaeological resources and to Native American human remains. General Plan 2035 Policies HP-A-1 through HP-A-5 would reduce potential impacts on archaeological resources, including human remains, and impacts would be less than significant .	reduce potential impacts on archaeological resources. Consistent with Policy HP-A-1, a cultural records search was conducted on July 2, 2024. With implementation of policies HP-A-3 and HP-A-5, the project would have	None recommended beyond implementation of General Plan 2035 policies
Historic Resources	Impact 4.J-2:Implementation of the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan2035 would help preserve Santa Rosa's historic structures and neighborhoods and would help to increase public involvement in the historic preservation process. General Plan2035 Policies HP-B-1, HP-B-2, HP-B-4, HP-B-7 would reduce potential impacts on historical resources, impacts would be less than significant.	a less than significant impact. <u>Consistent.</u> No historical resources are present on the project site. Therefore, implementation of the proposed project would not impact any historical resources and General Plan 2035 Policies HP-B-1, HP-B-2, HP-B-4, HP-B-7 would not be applicable, and the project would have no impact .	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan 2035 policies.
Cumulative Cultural Resources Impact	Impact 4.J-3: Implementation of the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 along with potential development in the surrounding region would result in cumulative impacts to cultural resources in the region. General Plan 2035 Policies HP-A-1	<u>Consistent.</u> Construction of the proposed project has the potential to impact previously undiscovered archaeological resources, including human remains. However, General Plan 2035 Policies HP-A-3 and HP- A-5 would be applicable to the	None recommended beyond implementation of General Plan 2035 Policies HP-A-3 and HP-A-5. <u>HP-A-3:</u> If cultural resources are encountered during development, work should be halted to avoid altering the materials and their context until a qualified consulting archaeologist and Native

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	through HP-A-5 would reduce	proposed project and would	American representative (if appropriate) has
	potential cumulative impacts on	reduce potential impacts on	evaluated the situation, recorded the identified
	cultural resources (i.e., prehistoric	archaeological resources.	cultural resources, and determined suitable
	sites, historic sites, and isolated	Therefore, impacts would be less	mitigation measures.
	artifacts and features) and human	than significant.	
	remains to less than significant.		HP-A-5. Ensure that Native American human
	Therefore, impacts would be less than		remains are treated with sensitivity and dignity and
	cumulatively considerable.		assure compliance with the provisions of California
			Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 and
			California Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.
	Impact 4.J-4: Implementation of the	Consistent. Construction of the	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	proposed project has the potential	2035 policies.
	2035 along with potential	to impact previously undiscovered	
	development in the surrounding region	paleontological resources.	
	would result in cumulative impacts to	However, implementation of the	
	paleontological resources in the	provisions outlined in the General	
	region. However, implementation of	Plan 2035 would reduce the	
	the provisions outlined in the proposed	contribution to cumulative impacts	
	General Plan 2035 would reduce the	to paleontological resources to less	
	contribution to cumulative impacts to	than significant. Therefore, impacts	
	paleontological resources to less than	would be less than significant.	
	significant. Therefore, impacts would		
	be less than cumulatively		
	considerable.		
. Visual Quality			
ne proposed project would resu eneral Plan 2035 Policies UD-A-			of the project site from the surrounding area. apacts associated with visual quality would be less

UD-F-4: Provide visual interest in building, site, and landscape design that avoids the sense of a monotonous tract development.

L. Open Space and Agriculture

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
			mland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide iciated with open space and agriculture, consistent
M. Geology, Soils, and Seismicity			
from an earthquake on the Rodgers addition, the proposed project woul impacts associated with soil erosion and CBC. Therefore, implementation with the General Plan EIR. <u>NS-C-8:</u> Adopt mandatory, minimum	Creek Fault (the nearest active fault), an d not include any new habitable structur and loss of topsoil during construction. T of the proposed project would result in erosion control measures for current pr	area of unstable rock on slopes great es on the project site. General Plan 2 The proposed project would also be r less than significant impacts associat operties and those under construction	an area of violent or very violent ground shaking ter than 15 percent, or a landslide complex. In 035 Policy NS-C-8 would ensure less than significant equired to comply with the Uniform Building Code ted with geology, soils, and seismicity, consistent
operations, and storm water runoff.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	shall reduce soil erosion from primary	y erosional agents, including wind, construction
N. Hazards and Hazardous Mater			
Exposure and Release of Hazardous Materials	Impact 4.N-1: Development anticipated under the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 on land previously impacted by releases of hazardous materials such as from underground fuel storage tanks would expose residents or workers to hazardous materials or wastes. However, implementation of General Plan 2035 Policies NS-F and NS-F-1 through NS-F-6 would minimize dangers from exposure to hazardous materials. Impacts would be less than significant .	<u>Consistent.</u> The project site is not known to contain any hazardous materials or wastes (such as underground fuel storage tanks) and is not located on a list of hazardous materials sites. Therefore, the risk of exposure or release of hazardous materials would be less than significant and General Plan 2035 Policies NS-F and NS-F-1 through NS-F-6 would not be applicable to the proposed project.	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan 2035 policies.
Hazardous Building Materials	Impact 4.N-2: Demolition of any existing structures for the purpose of redevelopment anticipated under the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 could contain hazardous building materials, such as lead-based paint,	<u>Consistent.</u> The proposed project does not include demolition activities. Therefore, General Plan 2035 Policies NS-F-1, NS-F-2, and NS-F-5 would not be applicable to	No applicable mitigation measures or General Plan 2035 policies.

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
	asbestos containing materials (ACMs),	the proposed project and impacts	
	and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)	would be less than significant.	
	which could expose and adversely		
	affect workers, the public, or the		
	environment if not handled		
	appropriately. However,		
	implementation of General Plan 2035		
	Policies NS-F-1, NS-F-2, and NS-F-5		
	would require that remediation and		
	cleanups as well as all other aspects of		
	new businesses adhere to all		
	applicable regulations such as those		
	described above in addition to the		
	Hazardous Materials and Waste		
	Management Plan of Sonoma County.		
	Therefore, proposed redevelopment of		
	older existing facilities would be		
	required to adhere to appropriate		
	identification and abatement		
	procedures by certified contractors		
	who employ practices that limit the		
	exposure of hazardous building		
	materials, where present, and impacts		
	would be less than significant.		
Transportation, Use, and Storage of	Impact 4.N-3: New commercial and	Consistent. Construction of the	None recommended beyond implementation of
Hazardous Chemicals	light industrial uses anticipated under	proposed project has the potential	General Plan 2035 policy NS-F-5.
	the proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	to create a hazard to the public or	
	2035 would involve the transportation,	environment through the routine	NS-F-5: Require commercial and industrial
	use, and storage of hazardous	transportation, use, and disposal of	compliance with the Sonoma County Hazardous
	chemicals, which could present public	construction-related hazardous	Materials and Waste Management Plan.
	health and/or safety risks to facility	materials such as fuels, soils,	
	workers, patients and visitors, and the	solvents, and other materials.	
	surrounding area. However,	Operation of the proposed project	
	implementation of General Plan 2035	is not expected to use any	
	Policies NS-F-3 through NS-F-6 would	hazardous materials. General Plan	

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies		
	require that hazardous materials are	2035 Policy NS-F-5 would be			
	stored, handled, and disposed of	applicable to the proposed project			
	according to the Hazardous Materials	to ensure compliance with the			
	and Waste Management Plan of	Hazardous Materials and Waste			
	Sonoma County and restrictions on	Management Plan of Sonoma			
	facilities handling large quantities of	County and compliance with all			
	hazardous materials would be placed.	applicable federal, State, and local			
	With implementation of General Plan	regulations would ensure the			
	2035 policies, impacts would be less	proposed project would have a less			
	than significant.	than significant impact to the			
		public or environment from the			
		routine transportation, use, and			
		disposal of hazardous materials			
		during construction.			
Cumulative Hazards and Hazardous	Impact 4.N-4: Land use and infill	Consistent. With implementation	None recommended beyond implementation of		
Materials Impact	development envisioned under the	of General Plan 2035 Policy NS-F-5,	General Plan 2035 Policy NS-F-5.		
	proposed Santa Rosa General Plan	implementation of the proposed			
	2035 would not result in cumulative	project would not result in a			
	hazardous materials and human health	significant impact related to			
	risk impacts with implementation of	hazards or hazardous materials.			
	the General Plan 2035 policies	Therefore, impacts would be less			
	discussed above. Impacts would be	than significant.			
	less than cumulatively considerable.				
O. Energy	•				
The proposed project is intended to serve the existing use at the project site and would not increase the need for, or cause, inefficient use of, local energy sources.					

The proposed project is intended to serve the existing use at the project site and would not increase the need for, or cause, inefficient use of, local energy sources. Therefore, implementation of the proposed project would result in **less than significant** impacts associated with energy, consistent with the General Plan EIR.

P. Parks and Recreation

The proposed project is intended to serve the existing use at the project site and would not increase demand on parks and recreation facilities. Therefore, implementation of the proposed project would result in **less than significant** impacts associated with parks and recreation, consistent with the General Plan EIR.

¹ Department of the Army, San Francisco District, United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2024. File No. SPN-2023-00355. February 15.

AB = Assembly Bill	LOS = level of service
ABAG = Association of Bay Area Governments	SR-12 = State Route 12
BAAQMD = Bay Area Air Quality Management District	TCM = Transportation Control Measure
CO ₂ = carbon dioxide	US-101 = United States Highway 101
CO ₂ e/yr = carbon dioxide equivalents per year	USACE = United States Army Corps of Engineers
CTS = California tiger salamander	USFWS = United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Resource Topic	Summary of General Plan EIR Impacts	Applicable Potential Project Impacts	Applicable General Plan EIR Mitigation Measures and General Plan Policies
DPM = diesel particulate matter		VMT = vehicle miles traveled	
EIR = Environmental Impact Report			

CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS PURSUENT TO CEQA GUIDELINES SECTION 15183 (PROJECTS CONSISTENT WITH A COMMUNITY PLAN, GENERAL PLAN, OR ZONING)

As demonstrated in the EIR Impact Analysis Summary provided above, the proposed project was determined to be consistent with the findings of the certified General Plan EIR. The proposed project is therefore exempt from further environmental review on the basis that all proposed project elements are consistent with the environmental impacts, environmental effects, and zoning requirements outlined in the General Plan EIR. Further analysis is included below.

A. The project entitlements would be consistent with the land uses, densities, and other zoning and development standards set forth in the General Plan 2035, and which were analyzed in the General Plan EIR.

The proposed project would be located at 1455 Corporate Center Parkway in the City of Santa Rosa, Sonoma County. The project site is designed as General Industry in the City's General Plan 2035 and is within the IG zoning district. The proposed project would expand the parking facilities on the project site by adding 60 new parking spaces on 20,470 square feet (0.47 acre) of the project site in order to serve the existing commercial uses at the project site. As such, the proposed project would be consistent with the land uses densities, and other zoning and development standards set forth in the General Plan 2035.

B. "Environmental effects that are peculiar to the project or the parcel on which the project would be located" Standard.

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183(b)(1), this section presents an examination and discussion of whether the proposed project could result in environmental effects that are peculiar to the project or the project site. If no additional mitigation measures are required to reduce project-specific impacts to a less than significant level, other than those required in the prior EIR, then *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183 exemption applies.

The proposed project would not result in any environmental effects that would be peculiar to the project or the project site. Based on the EIR Impact Analysis Summary (see Table A above), the proposed project would not result in any new impacts that would require additional mitigation measures, beyond those required under the General Plan EIR. Accordingly, no impacts peculiar to the proposed project or project site would occur.

C. "Environmental effects that were not analyzed as significant effects in a prior EIR on the zoning action, general plan or community plan with which the project is consistent" Standard.

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183(b)(2), this section presents an examination and discussion of whether there are any environmental effects that were not analyzed as significant effects in the General Plan EIR (e.g., General Plan amendments, zoning actions, etc.) with which the proposed project would be consistent.

The EIR Impact Analysis Summary includes a summary of the impact conclusions of the General Plan EIR compared to the potential impacts associated with the proposed project. As discussed

in the EIR Impact Analysis Summary (see Table A above), no significant and unavoidable impacts were identified in the General Plan EIR, and no new significant impacts would occur with implementation of the proposed project.

D. "Environmental effects that are potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the prior EIR prepared for the general plan, community plan or zoning action" Standard

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183(b)(3), this section presents a discussion of and analyzes whether there are any environmental effects that are potentially significant off-site impacts and cumulative impacts which were not discussed in the General Plan EIR.

The proposed project would not increase significant off-site or cumulative impacts and would not contribute significantly to public utility demand, traffic, and air quality given that there are no long-term or operational services required. In addition, the appropriate General Plan 2035 policies and mitigation measures discussed in the EIR Impact Analysis Summary would be implemented during construction of the proposed project, and the proposed project would not result in more severe impacts for any environmental resource category than those analyzed in the General Plan EIR.

E. "Environmental effects that are previously identified significant effects which, as a result of substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the prior EIR." Standard

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15183(b)(4), this section presents a discussion of and analyzes whether there are any environmental effects that are previously identified significant effects which, as a result of substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified, are determined to have a more severe adverse impact than discussed in the prior EIR.

The General Plan EIR was certified in November 2009. No other updated planning documents, including ordinances, provided substantial new information which was not known at the time the EIR was certified. Since no substantial new information not known at the time of the General Plan EIR is available, no updated analyses or other studies are required for the project site.

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15162 (Subsequent or Supplemental EIR)

Alternatively, and as also demonstrated in the EIR Impact Analysis Summary, no new information of substantial importance meeting the criteria listed in *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162 has been identified.

The discussion in this section confirms that the proposed project has been evaluated for significant impacts pursuant to CEQA, and no new information of substantial importance meeting the criteria listed in *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162 has been identified. Under *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162 has been identified, under *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162, the determination is that the project's impacts have been considered in the General

Plan EIR that was reviewed and certified by the City Council, and that the EIR provides a sufficient and adequate analysis of the environmental impacts of the proposed project.

A. "Substantial Changes in the Project" Standard

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162(a)(1), this section presents a discussion of whether the proposed project constitutes a substantial change in the project analyzed in the General Plan EIR that would require major revisions to the General Plan EIR due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

The proposed project would be consistent with the existing zoning and planning in the General Plan EIR. Therefore, the proposed project would not require major revisions to the General Plan EIR.

B. "Substantial Changes in the Circumstances" Standard

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162(a)(2), this section presents a discussion of whether changes to the project site or the vicinity (environmental setting) have occurred after certification of the General Plan EIR that would result in new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact that were not evaluated and mitigated by the General Plan EIR.

The project site occupies lands historically graded for runways and drainage on the former Naval Auxiliary Landing Field Santa Rosa. The project site comprises open ruderal grassland, small seasonal wetlands, and several storm water drainage structures (drain inlets) and is currently developed with a commercial building that houses the nonprofit organization Becoming Independent, associated surface parking, and a landscaped garden area.

The project site is in similar condition as originally analyzed in the General Plan EIR. Thus, the proposed project would not result in new significant impacts or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified significant impact from what was included in the General Plan EIR that would affect any issue of environmental significance.

One of the requirements of CEQA is the examination of whether a proposed project would conflict with existing plans and regulations, including the General Plan, zoning regulations, and other planning documents. Inconsistencies may suggest that a project would have environmental effects that were not identified in advance, and for which planning or analysis has not occurred. As discussed above, the proposed project would be consistent with the General Plan 2035 land use and zoning designations for the project site.

Based on the above, the proposed project would not result in any new circumstances that would result in new significant impacts or substantially more severe impacts from what has been anticipated for the site in the General Plan EIR.

C. "New Information of Substantial Importance" Standard

Pursuant to *State CEQA Guidelines* Section 15162(a)(3), this section includes a discussion of whether the proposed project would result in new information of substantial importance which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified. New information of substantial importance includes: (1) one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR; (2) significant effects previously examined that are substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR; (3) mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or (4) mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

The proposed project would not result in significant effects not discussed in the General Plan EIR or increase the severity of impacts identified in the General Plan EIR. In addition, no other mitigation measures or alternatives would be applicable or feasible for the proposed project. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in new information of substantial importance which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified.

Attachments: A: References B: Figure 1



ATTACHMENT A

REFERENCES

California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2022. California Natural Diversity Database, commercial version dated April 30, 2022. Biogeographic Data Branch, Sacramento.

City of Santa Rosa. 2009. Draft Santa Rosa General Plan 2035 Environmental Impact Report. March.

City of Santa Rosa. 2009. Santa Rosa General Plan 2035. November 3.

City of Santa Rosa. 2005. Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy. December 1.

Department of the Army, San Francisco District, United States Army Corps of Engineers. 2024. File No. SPN-2023-00355. February 15.

LSA. 2024. 2081 Incidental Take Permit Application, Becoming Independent Parking Lot Project, City of Santa Rosa, Sonoma County, California. July.



ATTACHMENT B

FIGURE 1



SOURCE: Nearmap (05/2022).

I:\2023\20230971\GIS\MXD\ITP\Figure 3_Proposed Project.mxd (7/17/2024)