# **PROJECT INFORMATION**

PROJECT ADDRESS:	3282, 3230, 3240 & 3300 COFFEY LANE, SANTA ROSA
OWNER/DEVELOPER:	POST STREET REALTY GROUP, LLC C/O GEORGE BUNTING 721 WEST SCHOOL STREET COTATI, CA 94931 (707) 795-8133
CIVIL ENGINEER:	THOMAS J. BILLETER, P.E. BC ENGINEERING GROUP, INC. 418 B STREET, THIRD FLOOR SANTA ROSA, CA 95401 (707) 542-4321
LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT:	STEPHEN A. KOVANIS BC ENGINEERING GROUP, INC. 418 B STREET, THIRD FLOOR SANTA ROSA, CA 95401 (707) 542-4321
ARCHITECT:	CUBIX CONSTRUCTION 5 MEADOWBROOK LANE DANVILLE, CA 94526 (925) 766-5457
ACRES:	1.04

# **DESIGN REVIEW NOTES**

GENERAL PLAN:	LIGHT INDUSTRY
ZONING:	LIGHT INDUSTRIAL
WATER:	CITY OF SANTA ROSA
SEWER:	CITY OF SANTA ROSA

ON SITE FIRE HYDRANTS SHALL BE LOCATED, AS NECESSARY, BY THE CITY OF SANTA ROSA FIRE DEPARTMENT.

TRASH DISPOSAL ON SITE WILL BE FOR OFFICE USE ONLY. PATRONS WILL NOT BE ALLOWED TO DISPOSE OF GARBAGE ON SITE.

BUILDING ROOF DRAINS SHALL BE CONNECTED TO THE UNDERGROUND DRAINAGE SYSTEM.

### PARKING SUMMARY

ON-SITE STALLS:	
COVERED	1
STANDARD	1
ADA	1
PARKING IN EASEMENT:	
STANDARD	25





SET CPI CTRL MAG NAIL

### PARKING EASEMENT DN 2017-021024 TC BC 126.46 ANDSCAPE EASEMENT DN 2008-0658 2°23″E 412.74 NG \_/ 126.89 5.00' PEDESTRIAN ACCESS EASEMENT 5 DN 2008-065813 -FG 126.69 ×126.88 NG SW 126.82 10" STUMP \_\_\_\_\_\_ 127.73 CONC <. 126.77 5.00' LANDSCAPE EASEMENT ${}^{+}_{\rm NG}^{126.76}$ DN 2008-065811 $imes_{ m NG}^{ m 125.96}$ $\times^{126.21}_{NG}$ CPI # 2 /-ELEV: 126.82 SET CPI CTRL SPIKE 100d $imes^{126.53}_{\rm NG}$ $\chi^{126.3}_{NG}$ $\chi^{126.55}_{NG}$ $\times^{126.48}_{NG}$ $\times^{126.29}_{\rm NG}$ $\times^{126.52}_{NG}$ $\times^{126.23}_{NG}$ $\times^{127.03}_{\rm NG}$ $imes_{ m NG}^{ m 126.75}$ $\chi_{\rm NG}^{126.02}$ GRATE: 124.88 FL: 123.88' +҈)++<u>+</u>++++++++++ 126.76 125.76 143.82 TC END 125.96 NG 126.81 125.96 NG 124.48 124.48 SET OPI CTRL CUT $\times^{124.85}_{NG}$ -(6) ${}^{+}_{\rm NG}^{124.98}$ <sup>32</sup> TOP OF BOX: 126.44 FL: 121.94' 15" (THRU) FL: 121.94' 12" S77°22'23"W 200.06' SOLAR PANEL 144.63 GRATE: 124.52 S.O. 123.47 (N.E) FL: 123.21' 8" (S) FL: 122.20' 12" (W) END LOWER BEAM SOLAR -COLUMN (TYP) FINISH FLOOR: 127.16 FF CL 120 GAR FINISH FLOOR: 127.07 FINISH FLOOR: 127.10 FF CL 120 GAR FINISH FLOOR: 127.16 ∫FF CL 72 lands of NAZIR UMRAN APN: 015-390-038 DN 2008-088171 KEY NOTES GRATE: 125.38 FL: 121.58' 15" (THRU) $imes^{126.32}_{\rm FG}$

PINERROAD SYBUT 125.25 SYBUT 125.35 SYBUT SYBUT 125.35 SYBUT SYBUT SYBUT 125.35 SYBUT 

SDMH RIM: 125.52 -FL: 121.52'18"(N) FL: 121.44'18"(W)

\_\_\_\_\_













	1	1		1	1	1		1	1	
<b>[</b>	- FG			PROP BUIL FF 12	POSED DING 27.50					
				EG						
EG 127 0	FG 127.50	EG 126.5 FG 127.50	EG 126.5 FG 127.50	EG 126.4 FG 127.50	EG 126.5 FG 127.50	EG 126.6 FG 127.50	EG 126.6 FG 127.50	EG 126.7 FG 127.50	EG 126.6 FG 127.50	EG 126.3 FG 127.50
2	+50	2+75	3+00	3+25	3+50	3+75	4+00	4+25	4+50	4+75



SET CPI CTRL MAG NAI

### PARKING EASEMENT DN 2017-021024 $\times^{125.68}_{FG}$ ${}^{+}_{\rm FG}^{125.67}$ TC BC LANDSCAPE EASEMENT DN 2008-0658 $\begin{array}{c} 127.35 \\ \hline \\ 126.90 \\ \hline$ 126.41 10" STUMP NG \_/ 126.89 5.00' 10" STUMP \_\_\_\_\_\_ 127.73 126.69 ×126.88 PEDESTRIAN ACCESS EASEMENT DN 2008-065813 CONC 126.84 CONC <. 126.77 5.00' LANDSCAPE EASEMENT $\chi^{126.60}_{NG}$ ${}^{+126.76}_{\rm NG}$ DN 2008-065811 $imes^{125.96}_{ m NG}$ ${}^{+126.21}_{\rm NG}$ X126.0 $\times^{126.57}_{NG}$ CPI # 2 --ELEV: 126.82 --SET CPI CTRL SPIKE 100d $imes^{126.53}_{\rm NG}$ BUILDING $\chi_{\rm NG}^{\rm 126.37}$ $imes^{126.55}_{\rm NG}$ ×126.75 ${}^{+126.48}_{\rm NG}$ $\times^{126.29}_{\rm NG}$ $\times_{\rm NG}^{126.45}$ $\times^{126.52}_{NG}$ $\times_{\rm NG}^{\rm 126.23}$ $\times^{126.02}_{NG}$ " RISER PVC <sup>CTR</sup>-(8.00' ----8.00' CAP EXISTING PIPE C3.1 GRATE: 124.5 EXISTING BUILDING FINISH FLOOR: 127.07 FF 127.16' FL: 122.20'12"(\ FINISH FLOOR: 127.16 FF CL 120 GAR FINISH FLOOR: 127.10 FF CL 120 GAR FINISH FLOOR: 127.16 lands of NAZIR UMRAN APN: 015-390-038 NER DN 2008-088171 GRATE: 125.38 FL: 121.58' 15" (THRU) 126.32 FG SDMH RIM: 125.5 -FL: 121.52' 18" (N) FL: 121.44'18"(W) -FND MON NO TAGCOSR

PINER ROAD imes<sup>126.55</sup> SYBUT



## HYDRAULIC AREA LEGEND



# LINETYPE LEGEND

	EXISTING STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPERTY LINE
P P	PROPOSED FLOWLINE
	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE

### HYDRAULIC CALCULATION AREAS

A1:	AREA = 3,478 SF / 0.08 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 3,478 SF / 0.08 ACRES
A2:	AREA = 4,521 SF / 0.10 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 4,521 SF / 0.10 ACRES
A3:	AREA = 2,854 SF / 0.07 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 2,854 SF / 0.07 ACRES
A4:	AREA = 5,353 SF / 0.12 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 5,353 SF / 0.12 ACRES
A5:	AREA = 40,998 SF / 0.94 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 40,998 SF / 0.94 ACRES
A6:	AREA = 2,784 SF / 0.06 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 2,784 SF / 0.06 ACRES
A7:	AREA = 11,360 SF / 0.26 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 11,360 SF / 0.26 ACRES
A8:	AREA = 7,559 SF / 0.17 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 7,559 SF / 0.17 ACRES
A9:	AREA = 11,390 SF / 0.26 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 11,390 SF / 0.26 ACRES
A10:	AREA = 8,640 SF / 0.20 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 8,640 SF / 0.20 ACRES
A11:	AREA = 6,902 SF / 0.16 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 6,902 SF / 0.16 ACRES
A12:	AREA = 1,359 SF / 0.03 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 1,359 SF / 0.03 ACRES
A13:	AREA = 24,483 SF / 0.56 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 24,483 SF / 0.56 ACRES

IMPERVIOUS AREA = 23,131 SF / 0.53 ACRES A15: AREA = 7,156 SF / 0.16 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 7,156 SF / 0.16 ACRES

AREA = 23,131 SF / 0.53 ACRES

### ABBREVIATIONS

A14:

AD APN CB CL CY DI EG EX or (E) FD FG FL FS FT GB GR HP	AREA DRAIN ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER CATCH BASIN CENTERLINE CUBIC YARD DROP INLET EXISTING GROUND EXISTING FLOOR DRAIN FINISH GRADE FLOWLINE FINISHED SURFACE FOOT / FEET GRADE BREAK GRATE HIGH POINT
INV	INVERT
L	LENGTH
LF	LINEAL FOOT/FEET
MAX	MAXIMUM
ME	MATCH EXISTING
MIN	MINIMUM
(N)	NEW
NTS	NOT TO SCALE
PL	PROPERTY LINE
S	SLOPE
SF	SQUARE FEET
ТВ	TOP OF BANK
TYP	TYPICAL
YD, YDS	YARD, YARDS







# Initial Storm Water Low Impact Development Report

For

Coffey Park Storage 3282, 3230, 3240, & 3300 Coffey Lane Santa Rosa, CA 95403

APN: 034-011-077, -074, & -076

June 19, 2022

Prepared by



Thomas J. Billeter P.E. No. 78125 Exp. September 2023 Job# 1378-19 TB@bcengineeringgroup.com





### Contents

Project Description	1
Pollution Prevention and Runoff Reduction Measures	1
Proposed BMPs: Design and Calculations	1
Maintenance and Funding Source	2
Appendices	A
Appendix A: Pre and Post Development Site Exhibit	A
Appendix B: Calculation Details from Storm Water Calculator	В
Appendix C: Calculation References	C
Appendix D: BMP Details, Selection Table, and Determination Worksheet	D
Appendix E: Maintenance Check List, and Draft Maintenance Agreement	E



### **Project Description**

This report addresses the Storm Water Low Impact Development associated with the development of a storage facility on Coffey Lane near the intersection with Piner Road in Santa Rosa. The overall project encompasses approximately 1.32 acres across the two lots, which includes a paved driveway and a two story self-storage building. The site is currently an empty field on the northern parcel and a mostly-developed gas station and car wash on the southern parcel. The project location does not include any known sensitive site, water, or drainage features.

While both lots encompass 2.45 acres, improvements are restricted to only 1.32 acres of the site. The remaining 1.13 acres, which consists of a drive-thru car wash, gas station, and associated convenience store, will not be touched and therefore is not included in the tributary area required for stormwater treatment.

This project creates more than a combined total of 1.0 acre of impervious area and therefore requires permanent stormwater best management practices to provide treatment and hydromodification control for 100% of the post project runoff volume. This report compares existing and proposed project site runoff for the design storm provided by the 2017 Storm Water Low Impact Development Technical Design Manual.

### Pollution Prevention and Runoff Reduction Measures

This project will be designed to incorporate temporary and permanent pollution prevention and storm water Best Management Practices measures to minimize the introduction of pollutants in downstream bodies of water.

A Sediment Control Plan will be prepared and included with the construction drawings that will require temporary stormwater BMPs to be installed by the contractor during construction. A formal Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan will also be prepared prior to construction due to the project area being over 1 acre.

The City of Santa Rosa has regular street sweeping and trash pickup that serve as ongoing source controls against stormwater pollution. Storm water inlets will be stenciled with graphics that identify that the inlets drain to the creek, in order to deter dumping of pollutants directly into drainage structures.

Runoff Reduction Measures, such as interceptor trees and impervious area disconnection, may be incorporated into the final site design but are not included in the initial runoff calculations. These reduction credits may be utilized during the development of Construction Drawings when more detailed information about the site plan and proposed features are readily available.

### **Proposed BMPs: Design and Calculations**

A series of BMPs will be incorporated throughout the site to capture post-development stormwater runoff during light storm events. Each BMP area will be designed with larger overflow structures to capture runoff from larger events so as not to inundate the surrounding paved areas. These structures will then be connected to an underground storm drain system that will outlet into existing public drainage infrastructure. The site



shall be graded to direct runoff to bioretention areas to meet hydromodification requirements. Please refer to the Post Development Site Exhibit in Appendix A for proposed preliminary sizes and locations of treatment areas.

The Hydrologic Soil Group of this project site is classified as Group 'D' based on the National Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) Web Soil Survey. Refer to Appendix C for Soil Resource Report. As the project progresses towards construction drawings and a geotechnical investigation is performed, soil types encountered may vary from what is listed on the NRCS website. However, Group 'D' is the most conservative assumption for initial calculations. If more favorable soil types are encountered, calculations will be revised to reflect the site's specific soil type.

Runoff Curve numbers are determined according to the TR-55 manual and can be found in Appendix C for 'TR-55 Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas'. Post-development runoff was conservatively assumed at 98 to reflect the majority of impervious surfaces on site. Runoff curve numbers may be calculated to incorporate the proposed pervious surface during the construction phase.

Calculations were prepared to determine the total amount of post-development stormwater runoff volume required for hydromodification control. The proposed bioretention design assumes that the soil media used has a porosity of at least 40%. Priority 2 'Roadside Bioretention with Curb Opening' facilities are anticipated to be utilized throughout the site. A detail for this feature is included in Appendix D. Calculations included in Appendix B demonstrate that the proposed landscape area reserved for BMPs is sized to meet hydromodification requirements.

### Maintenance and Funding Source

The BMPs in this project shall be maintained, inspected, and replaced by the property owner as necessary to ensure they continue to function effectively. A maintenance agreement shall be recorded against the property and run with the title of the land. A draft of this agreement is included in Appendix E of this report.

Inspections shall be performed twice annually for sediment and/or trash accumulation in the gutter. If obstructions and/or trash are observed, they shall be removed and properly disposed of. Inspections shall be performed twice during the rainy season to check for ponded water. Pesticides and fertilizers shall not be used in the bioretention area, and plants shall be pruned, weeds pulled, and dead plants replaced as needed. All inspection and maintenance activities shall be recorded using the sample Maintenance Checklists included in Appendix E and kept on file to be provided to City staff and/or the Regional Water Quality Control Board staff upon request.



### Appendix A: Pre and Post Development Site Exhibit

## HYDRAULIC AREA LEGEND



# LINETYPE LEGEND

	EXISTING STORMWATER PIPE
	PROPERTY LINE
PP	PROPOSED FLOWLINE
	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
	PROPOSED STORMWATER PIPE

### HYDRAULIC CALCULATION AREAS

A1:	AREA = 3,478 SF / 0.08 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 3,478 SF / 0.08 ACRES
A2:	AREA = 4,521 SF / 0.10 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 4,521 SF / 0.10 ACRES
A3:	AREA = 2,854 SF / 0.07 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 2,854 SF / 0.07 ACRES
A4:	AREA = 5,353 SF / 0.12 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 5,353 SF / 0.12 ACRES
A5:	AREA = 40,998 SF / 0.94 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 40,998 SF / 0.94 ACRES
A6:	AREA = 2,784 SF / 0.06 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 2,784 SF / 0.06 ACRES
A7:	AREA = 11,360 SF / 0.26 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 11,360 SF / 0.26 ACRES
A8:	AREA = 7,559 SF / 0.17 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 7,559 SF / 0.17 ACRES
A9:	AREA = 11,390 SF / 0.26 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 11,390 SF / 0.26 ACRES
A10:	AREA = 8,640 SF / 0.20 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 8,640 SF / 0.20 ACRES
A11:	AREA = 6,902 SF / 0.16 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 6,902 SF / 0.16 ACRES
A12:	AREA = 1,359 SF / 0.03 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 1,359 SF / 0.03 ACRES
A13:	AREA = 24,483 SF / 0.56 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 24,483 SF / 0.56 ACRES

IMPERVIOUS AREA = 23,131 SF / 0.53 ACRES A15: AREA = 7,156 SF / 0.16 ACRES IMPERVIOUS AREA = 7,156 SF / 0.16 ACRES

AREA = 23,131 SF / 0.53 ACRES

# ABBREVIATIONS

A14:

AD APN CB CL CY DI EG EX or (E) FD FD FG FL FS FT	AREA DRAIN ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER CATCH BASIN CENTERLINE CUBIC YARD DROP INLET EXISTING GROUND EXISTING FLOOR DRAIN FINISH GRADE FLOWLINE FINISHED SURFACE FOOT / FEET
GR	GRATE
HP	HIGH POINT
IG	INVERT GRADE
IN	INCH
INV	INVERT
L	LENGTH
LF	LINEAL FOOT/FEET
MAX	MAXIMUM
ME	MATCH EXISTING
MIN	MINIMUM
(N)	NEW
NTS	NOT TO SCALE
PL	PROPERTY LINE
S	SLOPE
SF	SQUARE FEET
ТВ	TOP OF BANK
ТҮР	TYPICAL
YD, YDS	YARD, YARDS









### Appendix B: Calculation Details from Storm Water Calculator



#### LID BMP Summary Page & Site Global Values

Project Name: Coffey Park Storage Mean Seasonal Precipitation (MSP) of Project Site:	32.50 (inches)	impervious area, the	post construction BMP		
Address/Location: 3282, 3230, 3240, & 3300, Coffey Lane	4.09	Mean Seasonal Precipitation (MSP) of Project Site: 32.50 (inches) impervious area, the post construction BM			
	1.00	requirement is:			
Designer: TSL					
Date: See Cover Impervious area - pre development:	0.0 ft <sup>2</sup>	100% Captur	e & Treatment		
Impervious area - post development: 57	57,324.0 ft <sup>2</sup>				
Summary of Saved BMP Results:					
	BM	P Design Results			
Tributary Area	Hydromodification				
	Control	Flow Base Treatment	Delta Volume Capture		
Runoff	Pequired	Required			
BMP ID: Reduction Percent Vit	Achieved	Treatment Achieved	Required Achieved		
Area (ft <sup>2</sup> .) (Y/N) Type of Requirement Met Type of BMP Design Achieved	(ft <sup>3</sup> ) (ft <sup>3</sup> )	(cfs) (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Vdelta (ft <sup>3</sup> ) (ft <sup>3</sup> )		
1 DMA 1 7,717 No Hydromod Volume Capture Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - Curb Opening 103.6 5	560.7172 581.0000	)			
2 DMA 2 41,524 No Hydromod Volume Capture Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - Curb Opening 111.3 30	3017.1338 3357.0001	1			
3 DMA 3 7,825 No Hydromod Volume Capture Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - Curb Opening 100.3 5	568.5645 570.0000	)			
4 DMA 4 3,164 No Hydromod Volume Capture Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - Curb Opening 103.4 2	229.8962 237.6000	)			
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
20					
30					



BMP Tributary Parameters	Proje	ect Name:	Coffey Park Storage	
BMP ID:	DMA 1			
BMP Design Criteria:	100% Capture & Treatment			
Type of BMP Design:	Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - C	urb Openi	ng	
BMP's Physical Tributary Area:	7,717.0 ft <sup>2</sup>			
Description/Notes:	·			
Hydromodification Requirement: 100%	/olume Capture: V		V	560 72 ft <sup>3</sup>
riyaromoameation requirement. Too // (	Volume Capture, VHYDROMOD		HYDROMOD	500.72 It
Post development hydrologic soil type within tributary area:	D: 0 - 0.05 in/hr infiltration (transmission) ra	te		
Post development ground cover description:	Impervious - Paved Parking, Rooftop, Drivew	vays		
CN <sub>POST</sub> :	98			
User Composite post development CN:	0.0			
BMP Sizing Tool: Hydromodification Rec	uirement		Percent of Goal Achieved =	<b>103.62</b> %
	BMP Volume		Ponded Water	
	Below Ground		Above	
Porosity:	0.40		Ground	
Depth below perforated pipe if present:	3.50 ft		Depth: 0.00 ft	
Width:	0.00 ft		Width: 0.00 ft	
Length:	0.00 ft		Length: 0.00 ft	
Area:	415.00 ft <sup>2</sup>		Area: 0.00 ft <sup>2</sup>	



BMP Tributary Parameters		Project Name:	Coffey Park Storage	
BMP ID:	DMA 2			
BMP Design Criteria:	100% Capture & Treatment			
Type of BMP Design:	Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioret	ention - Curb Open	ing	
BMP's Physical Tributary Area:	41,524.0 ft <sup>2</sup>			
Description/Notes:				
Hydromodification Requirement: 100%	/olume Capture: Vuyppomon			3.017.13 ft <sup>3</sup>
				.,
Post development hydrologic soil type within tributary area:	D: 0 - 0.05 in/hr infiltration (transr	nission) rate		
Post development ground cover description:	Impervious - Paved Parking, Roof	top, Driveways		
	98			
User Composite post development CN:	0.0			
BMP Sizing Tool: Hydromodification Rec	wirement		Percent of Goal Achieved =	111.26 %
				,,,
	BMP Volume		Ponded Water	
	Below Ground		Above	
Porosity:	0.40		Ground	
Depth below perforated pipe if present:	2.50 ft		Depth: 0.00 ft	
Width:	0.00 ft		Width: 0.00 ft	
Length:	0.00 ft		Length: 0.00 ft	
Area:	<b>3,357.00</b> ft <sup>2</sup>		Area: 0.00 ft <sup>2</sup>	



			_
BMP Tributary Parameters	Proje	ect Name: Coffey Park Storage	
BMP ID:	DMA 3		
BMP Design Criteria:	100% Capture & Treatment		
Type of BMP Design:	Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - C	Curb Opening	
BMP's Physical Tributary Area:	7,825.0 ft <sup>2</sup>		
Description/Notes:	·		
Hydromodification Requirement: 100%	/olume Capture: Vuvppowop	Vuyppowop = 568.56 ft <sup>3</sup>	_
	Channe Captare, THYDROMOD		
Post development hydrologic soil type within tributary area:	D: 0 - 0.05 in/hr infiltration (transmission) ra	ate	
Post development ground cover description:	Impervious - Paved Parking, Rooftop, Drivew	ways	
CN <sub>POST :</sub>	98		
User Composite post development CN:	0.0		
BMP Sizing Tool: Hydromodification Rec	uirement	Percent of Goal Achieved = 100.25 %	
	BMP Volume	Ponded Water	
	Below Ground	Above	
Porosity:	0.40	Ground	
Depth below perforated pipe if present:	3.75 ft	Depth: 0.00 ft	
Width:	0.00 ft	Width: 0.00 ft	
Length:	0.00 ft	Length: 0.00 ft	
Area:	380.00 ft <sup>2</sup>	Area: 0.00 ft <sup>2</sup>	



BMP Tributary Parameters	Proje	ect Name:	Coffey Park Storage	
BMP ID:	DMA 4			
BMP Design Criteria:	100% Capture & Treatment			
Type of BMP Design:	Priority 2: P2-04 Roadside Bioretention - C	urb Open	ing	
BMP's Physical Tributary Area:	3,164.0 ft <sup>2</sup>			
Description/Notes:				
l				
Hydromodification Bogyiromonty 100%	Joluma Cantura V	_	У –	222.00 03
Hydromodification Requirement. 100% V	olume capture, V <sub>HYDROMOD</sub>			229.90 ft
Post development hydrologic soil type within tributary area:	D: 0 - 0.05 in/hr infiltration (transmission) ra	ite		
Post development ground cover description:	Impervious - Paved Parking, Rooftop, Drivev	ways		
CN <sub>POST</sub>	98			
User Composite post development CN:	0.0			
BMP Sizing Tool: Hydromodification Rec	uirement		Percent of Goal Achieved =	<b>103.35</b> %
	BMP Volume		Ponded Water	
	Below Ground		Above	
Porosity:	0.40		Ground	
Depth below perforated pipe if present:	2.25 ft		Depth: 0.00 ft	
Width:	0.00 ft		vviatn: 0.00 ft	
			Length: $0.00$ ft	
Area:	<b>204.00</b>   tt <sup>2</sup>		Area: U.UU Itt	



**Appendix C: Calculation References** 

#### **Table 2-2a**Runoff curve numbers for urban areas 1/2

Cover description			Curve numbers for hydrologic soil group			
	Average percent		<b>,</b>	0.1		
Cover type and hydrologic condition	impervious area 2/	А	В	С	D	
Fully developed urban areas (vegetation established)			Reference	ce Numb	ber —	$\overline{\}$
Open space (lawns, parks, golf courses, cemeteries, etc.) <sup>3/</sup> :						Ż
Poor condition (grass cover < 50%)		68	79	86	89	1
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)		49	69	79	84	2
Good condition (grass cover > 75%)		39	61	74	80	2
Impervious areas:						5
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.						
(excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98	4
Streets and roads:		00	00	00	00	
Paved: curbs and storm sewers (excluding						
right-of-way)		98	98	98	98	5
Paved: open ditches (including right-of-way)		83	89	92	93	6
Gravel (including right-of-way)		76	85	89	91	7
Dirt (including right-of-way)		72	82	87	89	8
Western desert urban areas:		•-	0-	01	00	Ŭ
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas only) 4/		63	77	85	88	9
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed barrier.		00		00	00	
desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand or gravel mulch						
and basin borders)		96	96	96	96	10
Urban districts		00	00	00	00	
Commercial and business	85	89	92	94	95	11
Industrial	72	81	88	91	93	12
Residential districts by average lot size:		01	00	01	00	
1/8 acre or less (town houses)		77	85	90	92	13
1/4 acre		61	75	83	87	14
1/3 acre		57	72	81	86	15
1/2 acre		54	70	80	85	16
1 acre	20	51	68	79	84	17
2 acres	12	46	65	77	82	18
Developing urban areas						
Newly graded areas						
(pervious areas only, no vegetation) 5/		77	86	91	94	19
Idle lands (CN's are determined using cover types similar to those in table 2-2c).						

<sup>1</sup> Average runoff condition, and  $I_a = 0.2S$ .

<sup>2</sup> The average percent impervious area shown was used to develop the composite CN's. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic condition. CN's for other combinations of conditions may be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4.

<sup>3</sup> CN's shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space

cover type.

<sup>4</sup> Composite CN's for natural desert landscaping should be computed using figures 2-3 or 2-4 based on the impervious area percentage (CN = 98) and the pervious area CN. The pervious area CN's are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.

<sup>5</sup> Composite CN's to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4 based on the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CN's for the newly graded pervious areas.



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation

Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Sonoma County, California



# Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Sonoma County, California	13
WgC—Wright loam, 0 to 9 percent slopes	
References	15

# **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND	)	MAP INFORMATION			
Area of In	<b>terest (AOI)</b> Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.			
Soils ~~ Special ©	Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points Point Features Blowout Borrow Pit	Ø ♥ ▲ Water Fea ✓	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features Streams and Canals	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.			
× ◇ ∴	Clay Spot Closed Depression Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot Landfill	% <b>} } ∃</b>	Rails Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator			
∧ ☆ © 0	Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water	Backgrou	Local Roads .nd Aerial Photography	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.			
> + ∷ ∉ ◇ ≫	Rock Outcrop Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot Sinkhole Slide or Slip			Soil Survey Area: Sonoma County, California Survey Area Data: Version 13, Sep 16, 2019 Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jul 1, 2018—Jul 31, 2018			
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.			

### Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
WgC	Wright loam, 0 to 9 percent slopes	1.1	100.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		1.1	100.0%

### **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

### Sonoma County, California

### WgC—Wright loam, 0 to 9 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hfkl Elevation: 60 to 300 feet Mean annual precipitation: 30 inches Mean annual air temperature: 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 240 to 260 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Wright and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

### **Description of Wright**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium

### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 15 inches: loam H2 - 15 to 25 inches: loam H3 - 25 to 62 inches: clay H4 - 62 to 73 inches: sandy clay loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: About 25 inches to abrupt textural change
Natural drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.8 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### **Clear lake**

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

### Huichica

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### Zamora

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

# References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf



Appendix D: BMP Details, Selection Table, and Determination Worksheet



	Best Management	Detail			1 <sup>2</sup>	used	and and a	atel atel	istere:	3	ČI C	applied	ucion b	Neasur	seered?	Hentife of BAR Det	-et note:
	Practice (BMP)	Sheet	Detail Title	4	31 2	) V	\$¥ \$}	× ×	8/~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	¥	3 Rul	<u> </u>	<sup>w</sup> .	Ø,	10 Jun 9	131, F45	Oth.
Universal BMP- to be considered on all	Living Roof	N/A	N/A		Х	Х	Х	-	х	х		_	_				
projects.	Harvesting	N/A	N/A		Х	Х	Х			х							
	Interceptor Trees	N/A	N/A		х	х	х				х						
Runoff Reduction	Bovine Terrace	RRM-01	Bovine Terrace		х						х						
Measures	Vegetated Buffer Strip	RRM-02	Vegetated Buffer Strip								х						
	Impervious Area	N/A	N/A		х	х	х				х						
<b>Priority 1</b> - to be installed with no	Bioretention	P1-02	Roadside Bioretention - no C & G						x	x							
Must drain all stading	Vegetated Swale- with Bioretention	P1-06	Swale with Bioretention						х	х							
water within 72 hours.	Constructed Wetlands	N/A	N/A					-	х	х			F				
		P2-02	Roadside Bioretinton - Flush Design Roadside						x	x							
Priority 2 BMPs- with subsurface drains	Bioretention	P2-03	Roadside Bioretenion- Contiguous SW						x	x							
installed above the capture volume.		P2-04	Roadside Bioretenion- Curb Opening						x	x			X				
		P2-05	Roadside Bioretenion- No C & G						x	x							
	Constructed Wetlands	N/A	N/A						х	х							

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_



					addi	HIL:	Matel				-oture	Auction .	n Mea	see teel	nifed BM pet	
	Best Management Practice (BMP)	Detail Sheet	Detail Title	anhe	HE C		illa 1996 (	Schieve	eating	ollune ollune	20 RO	NNP IN	31" 27 -	10 Unique	are typenation	one note
		P3-02	Roadside Bioretinton - Flush Design Roadside	х	x	х		x								
Priority 3 BMPs- installed with subdrains and/or	Bioretention	P3-03	Roadside Bioretenion- Contiguous SW	х	x	х		x								
Does not achieve volume capture and must be used as part of a treatment train.		P3-04	Roadside Bioretenion- Curb Opening	x	x	x		x								
	Flow Through Planters	P3-05	Flow Through Planters	х	х	х		х								
	Vegetated Swale	P3-06 P3-07	With Bioretention Vegetated	x x	x x	x x		x x	х			F				
			Swale													
Priority 4 BMPs- does not achieve volume	Tree Filter Unit			х	х	х		х								
capture and must be used as part of a	Modular Bioretention			х	х	х		х								
Priority 5 BMPs- does	Chambered Separator Units			х	х	х		х								
not achieve volume capture and must be	Centrifugal Separator Units			х	х	х		х				L				
used as part of a	Trash Excluders			х	х	х		х								
a caunent trail.	Filter Inserts			х	х	х		х								
Priority 6 BMPs- see the "Offset Program" chapter for details.	Offset Program							N/A	N/A	N/A						
Other	Detention			 х												







Santa Rosa 2017 Storm Water LID Determination Worksheet



**PURPOSE AND APPLICABILITY:** This determination worksheet is intended to satisfy the specific requirements of "ORDER NO. R1-2015-0030, NPDES NO. CA0025054 NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT AND WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGES FROM THE MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS." Additional design requirements imposed by Governing Agencies, such as local grading ordinances, CAL Green, CEQA, 401 permitting, and hydraulic design for flood control still apply as appropriate. Additionally, coverage under another regulation may trigger the requirement to design in accordance with the Storm Water LID Technical Design Manual.

### Part 1: Project Information

Proje	ct Name			Applicant (owner or deve	eloper) Name						
Proje	ct Site Address		Applicant Mailing Address								
Proje	ct City/State/Zip		Applicant City/State/Zip								
Perm	it Number(s) - (if ap	plicable)	Applicant Phone/Email/Fax								
Desig	ner Name			Designer Mailing Address							
Desig	ner City/State/Zip			Designer Phone/Email							
Туре	of Application/P	roject:									
	Subdivison	Grading Permit	Building Permit	Hillside Development							
	DesignReview	Use Permit	Encroachment	Time Extensions	Other :						
PART	PART 2: Project Exemptions										
1.	Is this a project that	t creates or replaces <i>le</i>	<i>ss than</i> 10,000 squ	are feet of impervious su	rface <sup>1</sup> , including all project						
	phases and off-site	improvements?									

Yes No

<sup>1</sup> Impervious surface replacement, such as the reconstruction of parking lots or excavation to roadway subgrades, is not a routine maintenance activity. Reconstruction is defined as work that replaces surfaces down to the subgrade. Overlays, resurfacing, trenching and patching are defined as maintenance activities per section VI.D.2.b.

**Project Name** 

2017 Storm Water LID Determination Worksheet

- Is this project a routine maintenance activity<sup>2</sup> that is being conducted to maintain original line and grade, hydraulic capacity, and original purpose of facility such as resurfacing existing roads and parking lots?
   Yes No
- 3. Is this project a stand alone pedestrian pathway, trail or off-street bike lane?

Yes No

4. Did you answer "YES" to any of the questions in Part 2?

YES: This project will not need to incorporate permanent Storm Water BMP's as required by

the NPDES MS4 Permit. Please complete the "Exemption Signature Section" on Page 4.

**NO:** Please complete the remainder of this worksheet.

### Part 3: Project Triggers

#### **Projects that Trigger Requirements:**

Please answer the following questions to determine whether this project requires permanent Storm Water BMP's and the submittal of a SW LIDs as required by the NPDES MS4 Permit order No. R1-2015-0030.

1. Does this project create or replace a combined total of 10,000 square feet or more of impervious surface<sup>1</sup> including all project phases and off-site improvements?

Yes No

- Does this project create or replace a combined total or 10,000 square feet or more of impervious streets, roads, highways, or freeway construction or reconstruction<sup>3</sup>? Yes No
- Does this project create or replace a combined total of 1.0 acre or more of impervious surface<sup>1</sup> including all project phases and off-site improvements?
   Yes
   No
- 4. Did you answer "YES" to any of the above questions in Part 3?

**YES:** This project will need to incorporate permanent Storm Water BMP's as required by the NPDES MS4 Permit. **Please complete remainder of worksheet and sign the "Acknowledgement Signature Section" on Page 4.** 

**NO:** This project will *not* need to incorporate permanent Storm Water BMP's as required by the NPDES MS4 permit. **Please complete the "Exemption Signature Section" on Page 4.** 

<sup>1</sup> Imprevious surface replacement, such as the reconstruction of parking lots or excavation to roadway subgrades, is not a routine maintence activity. Reconstruction is defined as work that replaces surfaces down to the subgrade. Overlays, resurfacint, trenching and patching are defined as maintenance activities per section VI.D.2.b.

<sup>2 &</sup>quot;Rountine Maintenance Activity" includes activities such as overlays and/or resurfacing of existing roads or parking lots as well as trenching and patching activities and reroofing activities per section VI.D.2.b.

<sup>3 &</sup>quot;Reconstruction" is defined as work that extends into the subgrade of a pavement per section VI.D.2.b.

### Part 4: Project Description

1. Total P	roject area:		square fee acres	square feet acres							
2. Existing land use(s): (check all that apply)											
	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Public	Other						
	Description of build	dings, significant	site features (cree	eks, wetland	s, heritage trees), etc.:						
3. Existing	impervious surface	area:		square fee acres	et						
4. Propose	ed Land Use(s): (cho	eck all that apply	()								
	Commercial	Industrial	Residential	Public	Other						
Description of buildings, significant site features (creeks, wetlands, heritage trees), etc.:											

Proposed 5. Existing impervious surface area:

square feet acres

### Acknowledgment Signature Section:

As the property owner or developer, I understand that this project is required to implement permanent Storm Water Best Management Practices and provide a Storm Water Low Impact Development Submittal (SW LIDS) as required by the City's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Permit Order No. R1-2015-0030. \*Any unknown responses must be resolved to determine if the project is subject to these requirements.

Applicant Signature

Date

### **Exemption Signature Section:**

As the property owner or developer, I understand that this project as currently designed does not require permanent Storm Water BMP's nor the submittal of a Storm Water Low Impact Development Submittal (SW LIDS) as required by the City's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Permit\*. I understand that redesign may require submittal of a new Determination Worksheet and may require permanent Storm Water BMP's.

**Applicant Signature** 

Date

\* This determination worksheet is intended to satisfy the specific requirements of "ORDER NO. R1-2015-0030, NPDES NO. CA0025054 NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM (NPDES) PERMIT AND WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS FOR DISCHARGES FROM THE MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS." Additional design requirements imposed by Governing Agencies, such as local grading ordinances, CAL Green, CEQA, 401 permitting, and hydraulic design for flood control still apply as appropriate. Additionally, coverage under another regulation may trigger the requirement to design in accordance with the Storm Water LID Technical Design Manual.

*Implementation Requirements:* All calculations shall be completed using the "Storm Water Calculator" available at: <u>www.srcity.org/stormwaterLID</u>

**Hydromodification Control/100% Volume Capture**: Capture (infiltration and/or reuse) of 100% of the volume of runoff generated by a 1.0" 24-hour storm event, as calculated using the "Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds" TR-55 Manual method. This is a retention requirement.

**Treatment Requirement:** Treatment of 100% of the flow calculated using the modified Rational Method and a known intensity of 0.20 inches per hour.

**Delta Volume Capture Requirement**: Capture (infiltration and/or reuse) of the increase in volume of storm water due to development generated by a 1.0" 24-hour storm event, as calculated using the "Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds" TR-55 Manual method. This is a retention requirement.



Appendix E: Maintenance Check List, and Draft Maintenance Agreement

### Form A Storm Water Quality Feature Maintenance Check List - Standard Conditions -

Date:	Inspector:	
Start Time:	Project:	Inspection Status Codes:
Stop Time:	Address:	<b>S = Satisfactory</b> * = Refer to Form B (Specials)
		<b>D = Deficient</b> and/or Form C (Notes).

Are there any special conditions and/or maintenance requirements noted for BMP(s)? Y N (circle one)

If Yes, attach Form B for Project.

		Drai	nage		1 [	Erosion						Vegetation				General			Special	
	Drawdown -	- Drainage - Bloc	Vector Ris kage	ik - Pump Out-		Hydraulic Function - Failure - Sediment Clogging					Excessive Mealth	Excessive Mowing - Herbicide Overuse - Health of Desired Vegetation -				Trash and Debris - Improper Modifications - Damage			Features	
Reference code	D1	D2	D3	D4		E1	E2	E3	E4	E5	E6	V1	V2	V3	V4		G1	G2	G4	S
	Evidence of standing or ponding of water in the BMP area after 72 hours	Does the high flow bypass function as designed?	Is there sediment acumination in or calculated and calculated acumination in or calculated acumination acumination in or calculated acumination acumination in or calculated acumination	Has water been observed flowing in the pervious concrete section during the pervious concrete section during the a low intensity storm?		Is there under cutting or washouts along the sidewalks and/or curbs abutting the planter area?	Is there channelization (gully) forming along the length of the planter area?	Is there accumulation of sediment (sand, dirt, mud) in the planter area ?	Observed or potential transport of mulch to drainage system?	Are there voids or holes present in the BMP?	Is there evidence of animal activity?	Is the vegetation clogging the inlet or flow path?	Evidence of Excessive Mowing and/or	Are there dead or dry plants or excessive weeds?	Is there an absence of correct to the term of		Is there debris/trash accumulation in the BMP or high flow by pass?	Missing or damage structural features? (Grates, pipes, walls, curbs, etc.)	Evidence of improper modifications	See Additional Special Conditions or       Features Check List Requirement       Form B
Office Use:     Complete:     Issues Corrective Action:     Re-Inspection Required:     Page of										f										

Date:	Inspector:	Inspection Status Codes:	
Start Time:	Project:	S = Satisfactory	* - See Notes on Form C
Stop Time:	Address:	D = Deficient	

	Special Feature or Conditions										
Reference code	<b>S1</b>	S2	S3	S4	S5	<b>S6</b>	S7	<b>S</b> 8	<b>S</b> 9	S10	S11
Additional Special Maintenance Inspection Criterial	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	Add special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.	dd special inspection requirements in addition to Form A here.
שו וועוכ.											4

### **Special Feature or Conditions**

Office Use: Complete:

Issues Corrective Action:

**Re-Inspection Required:** 

Page \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_

### Form C Storm Water Quality Feature Maintenence Check List - Inspection Notes -

Date:		Inspector: Project: Address:
BMP ID:	Reference Code	Notes

RECORDING REQUESTED BY
AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: \_\_\_\_\_

City of Santa Rosa- Utilities Department Storm Water & Creeks Section- Supervising Engineer 69 Stony Circle Santa Rosa CA 95401

Project/Property: \_\_\_\_\_\_ APN(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_

Santa Rosa, California

### DECLARATION OF COVENANTS REGARDING MAINTENANCE OF STORM WATER BMP FACILITIES

 This Declaration of Covenants Regarding Maintenance of Storm Water BMP Facilities

 ("Declaration") is made on this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, by \_\_\_\_\_\_

 ("Landowner") IF BUSINESS ENTITY, ADD TYPE

### RECITALS

- A. Landowner is the fee simple owner of certain real property located in the City of Santa Rosa ("City"), Sonoma County, California, <u>INSERT LOT #s & DEVELOPMENT DESCRIPTION; APN #s</u> and more fully described in Exhibit A to this Declaration ("Property").
- B. The City's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System ("MS4") Permit, Order number R1-2009-0050, issued by the North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board, requires the City to implement and enforce specific requirements for the construction and maintenance of onsite storm water management facilities/best management practices (collectively, "BMP") for development, redevelopment, and other applicable projects with the goal of mitigating impacts to storm water quality and runoff volume discharges into the MS4.
- C. Provisions of Chapter 17-12 and other applicable sections of the Santa Rosa City Code shall apply to the construction, inspection and maintenance of BMP facilities and the enforcement of MS4 Permit requirements.
- D. On <u>INSERT DATE</u>, <u>WHO (City Engineer OR Chief Building Official)</u> approved Landowner's <u>IMPROVEMENT PLANS or BUILDING PERMIT SITE PLAN</u> ("Plan") and a Final Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP") for the Property which require the construction and maintenance of BMP facilities on the Property (the "BMP Facilities") by Landowner. The BMP Facilities required under the SUSMP may include both built and

Declaration of Covenants Regarding Maintenance of Storm Water BMP Facilities- Version 1.2

landscaping features. The <u>PLAN, SUSMP</u> may be inspected at the City of Santa Rosa, Department of Utilities, Storm Water & Creeks Section, 69 Stony Circle upon appointment.

E.	The Plan, SUSMP	requires	that	Landowner
	make and execute this Declaration.			

### DECLARATION OF COVENANTS

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing recitals, Landowner hereby covenants, agrees and declares as follows:

- Landowner shall, at Landowner's sole cost and expense, construct, inspect, and maintain the BMP Facilities in accordance with the Plan and the SUSMP. Landowner shall assure that all BMPs remain fully functional and that all areas identified in the Plan and SUSMP for treatment and/or volume capture discharge to the specified BMP as designed.
- Landowner shall keep all records related to annual inspections of BMP's by City and all records related to BMP maintenance for a period of at least five years. The records shall include records of any BMP Facilities corrections, repairs, and replacements. Landowner shall make these records available to the City upon request.
- 3. In the event Landowner fails to maintain the BMP Facilities in good working condition as solely determined by the City, the City may enter upon the Property and take whatever steps it deems reasonably necessary to maintain and/or make in good working condition, such BMP Facilities. It is expressly understood that the City is under no obligation to maintain or repair the BMP Facilities, and in no event shall this Declaration be construed to impose such an obligation on the City.
- 4. In the event that the City performs work of any nature, or expends any funds in the performance of such work for labor, use of equipment, supplies, materials, or the like, due to failure of the Landowner to perform its maintenance obligations under this Declaration, as solely determined by City, Landowner shall reimburse the City within 60 days of receipt of notice for all costs incurred by the City to undertake such work. Costs shall include, but are not limited to, the actual cost of construction, maintenance and/or repair, and administrative costs directly related to such work.
- 5. Any violation of the Plan or SUSMP by Landowner shall be deemed a public nuisance under Chapter 1-30 of the Santa Rosa City Code and City shall be entitled to the remedies available to it under Chapter 1-30 in addition to those available to it under Chapter 17-12. The remedies identified herein shall be in addition to and cumulative of all other remedies, criminal or civil, which may be pursued by the City.

- 6. Landowner shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the City and its employees, officials, and agents, from and against any liability, (including liability for claims, suits, actions, arbitration proceedings, administrative proceedings, regulatory proceedings, losses, expenses or costs of any kind, whether actual, alleged or threatened, interest, defense costs, and expert witness fees), where the same relates to, or arises out of, the construction, presence, existence, inspection, or maintenance of BMP Facilities on the Property or the performance of the covenants underlying this Declaration by Landowner, its officers, employees, agents, contractors or subcontractors, excepting only that resulting from the sole, active negligence or intentional misconduct of the City, its employees, officials, or agents. This indemnification obligation is not limited in any way by any limitation on the amount or type of damages or compensation payable to or for the Landowner or its agents under workers' compensation acts, disability benefits acts or other employees' benefits acts. If any judgment or claim against the City, its officials, agents, or employees, shall be entered, Landowner shall pay all cost and expenses in connection therewith.
- 7. If any provisions of this Declaration shall be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby.
- 8. This Declaration shall be governed according to the laws of the State of California. The parties hereto agree that the forum for the adjudication of any dispute related to this Declaration shall be brought exclusively and solely in Sonoma County, California.
- 9. Landowner shall not assign this Declaration to a third party without the express prior written consent of the City, provided that such consent will not be unreasonably withheld and that such consent shall not be required for Landowner to sell or lease the property to a third party.
- 10. Landowner binds itself, its partners, successors, legal representatives and assigns to the City, and to the partners, successors, legal representatives and assigns of the City with respect to all promises and agreements contained herein.
- 11. This Declaration shall be recorded by Landowner, and shall: a) constitute a "covenant running with the land;" b) be binding upon Landowner and Landowner's successors, heirs, and assigns in perpetuity; and, 3) benefit the City of Santa Rosa, its successors, and assigns. Any breach of this Declaration shall render Landowner

or Landowner's heirs, successors or assigns liable pursuant to the provisions of the Santa Rosa City Code.

12. Any notice, submittal or communication required or permitted to be served on Landowner or City may be served by personal delivery to the person or the office of the person identified below. Service may also be made by mail, by placing first-class postage, and addressed as indicated below, and depositing in the United States mail to:

City Representative:

Landowner or Landowner Representative:

City of Santa Rosa	Name:	
Utilities Department	Address:	
Storm Water & Creeks Section		
Supervising Engineer		
69 Stony Circle		
Santa Rosa CA 95401		

Executed as of the day and year first above stated.

### LANDOWNER:

Name:\_\_\_\_\_

Signatures of Authorized Persons:

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Name:

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Name:\_\_\_\_\_

Title:

ATTACHMENTS: Exhibit A- Property Description Notary Acknowledgment