

# Jennings Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Rail Crossing Real Property License Agreement

Jason Nutt  
Assistant City Manager

April 9, 2024


# CPUC Decision Timeline

- September 20, 2016 – CPUC issues decision 16-09-002 granting approval for an at-grade bicycle and pedestrian crossing
- October 10, 2019 – CPUC issues Decision 19-10-002 granting 1<sup>st</sup> 2-year extension
- October 7, 2021 – CPUC issues Decision 21-10-003 granting 2<sup>nd</sup> 2-year extension
- August 9, 2023 – City submits 3<sup>rd</sup> request to extend Decision 16-09-002

# Current Bicycle & Pedestrian Route


3000+ feet or  
over ½ mile

Transit Hub and  
Shopping Mall



Helen Lehman School

# Proposed At-Grade Crossing



# Proposed At-Grade Crossing



# Real Property License Agreement

Agreement to work together with the idea that a construction agreement can be reached allowing the City to construct an at-grade bicycle and pedestrian crossing

- March 2, 2023 – Joint public meeting
- Initial Meeting – August 4, 2023
- August 9, 2023 – City submits 3<sup>rd</sup> extension request
- Initial draft language from SMART – September 25, 2023
- November 15, 2023 – ALJ Kelley defers decision to continue negotiations
- Revised draft language from SMART – January 10, 2024
- Revised draft language from SMART – January 26, 2024

# Real Property License Agreement

## 14. Assumption of Risk and Liability.

The Property License Agreement creates a significant issue of risk control for the City.

The City assumes ALL risk and liability for determining the location, placement, design and establishment of the pedestrian at-grade crossing, including for any misuse of the crossing by anyone, and faces the possibility of losses over which it has little or no control because SMART has essentially transferred all risk to City even for SMART's sole ordinary negligence.

# Real Property License Agreement

## 15.b. Indemnity Provision - Licensed Property

The City shall be responsible for **ALL** losses from any claims, suits, or actions arising from **ANY** cause whatsoever except to the extent that such claims shown by a final non-appealable judgment are proximately or directly caused by the gross negligence or willful misconduct of SMART.



# Real Property License Agreement “Gross” versus “Ordinary” Negligence

“Gross negligence” refers to severe, reckless, careless misconduct, and is considered the most actionable form of negligence.

“Ordinary negligence” refers to a “reasonable person” failing to exercise reasonable care.

SMART is unwilling to accept legal responsibility for its own ordinary negligence, even when the damage, death, or injury was proximately or directly caused by SMART’s *sole* negligence.

# Real Property License Agreement

## 15.c. Indemnity Provision - Licensed Property

The City's duty to defend SMART is absolute. City cannot recoup its attorney's fees and litigation costs even after a non-appealable judgment that the damage, death, or injury through which any claim arises was proximately or directly caused by the gross negligence or willful misconduct of SMART.

# Real Property License Agreement Alternate Proposal

- Retains SMART's Real Property License Agreement format and language; however,
- Removes the "but for" clauses relating to the City's decision to locate and construct an at-grade crossing at Jennings Avenue,
- Removes the City's "absolute" duty to defend SMART,
- Provides more balanced indemnity language – excludes City's liability for SMART's "sole negligence",
- Ties indemnification to License Area and limits exposure to crossing activities

# Recommendations

## By Motion:

1. Direct the City Manager to sign the Real Property License Agreement drafted by SMART on January 26, 2024, as modified, addressing two areas with grammatical errors, return to SMART and notify the CPUC, or
2. Direct the City Manager to sign an alternate Real Property License Agreement containing more balanced indemnity language, return to SMART and notify the CPUC, or
3. Reject the Real Property License Agreement and notify the CPUC that we are retracting the City's crossing extension request.